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B: Herbert B. Warburton, July 16, 1976, former member of Congress from Delaware. When were you elected Mr. Warburton?

W: In November of 1952 when Mr. Eisenhower was elected president. And who was your opponent?

W: Joseph Scannell, the eventual adjutant general for the state of Delaware.

B: Why don't you tell us about the campaign, how you happened to run for office, how much you spent, the kind of issues you talked about.

W: Well, actually I got involved in Delaware Republican politics when I returned from military service in 1946. At that time there appeared to be an area where young Republican or young people interest could be generated to involve them in the political system. There had been a young Republican organization in the state of Delaware which World War II had pretty well decimated and I and a few other people from around the state thought it would be worthwhile to reorganize and reinitiate the movement so that we first met in Wilmington in New Castle County and then spread through the state and created what is still known as the Young Republicans of Delaware. The basic result was that we established a statewide Young Republican Organization and eventually we were able to begin working with the regular Republican Party in Delaware and at that time for example, one of the important persons involved in the regular party was Jack-----who recently passed away. Elwood Leech was Wilmington city chairman. Cliff Mahl who was very active in Sussex County; Bob Yerkes in Kent County. The eventual result was that many of the Young Republicans through the I think efforts that they put forth to demonstrate
their sincerity as far as a regular party was concerned. They were moved through the efforts of these gentlemen that I've just named into jobs working with and for the regular party and eventually when the situation developed leading up to the 1952 election campaign in which the Republican national candidate was General Eisenhower the regular party as well as the Young Republicans were good enough to designate me as the Republican candidate for the Congressional seat, at that time I think in part because I had been elected as Young Republican national chairman. Well of course at that time as a part of my election as Young Republican national chairman I had been associated in 1948 through the good efforts of Mrs. Julia Tallman who was one of the patron saintists of the Delaware Republican Party. In the rehabilitation of the Young Republican movement in Delaware, Mrs. Tallman thought that our newly organized club should be represented at the Young Republican national federation, national convention which in 1948 was held in Salt Lake City and I can remember that Julia called me to her home and asked if I wanted to go; that she would financially support my participating in the convention in behalf of the Young Republicans of Delaware so that I went to Salt Lake City with a pocketful of eleven proxies and in Salt Lake City I affiliated with the Young Republican Club of New York State. That organization was run by persons who were still in the national political scene. The top honcho if he can be called that was F. Clifton White who in 1964 managed the nomination of Barry Goldwater as the Republican presidential candidate. Charlie McWater and Bill Rusher; Bill Rusher may be remembered at this time as the editor of the National Review, William Buckley's
conservative publication. At the 1948 Young Republican National Convention in Salt Lake City it was decided then that Delaware Young Republicans would affiliate with the movement that actually had been originated in New York State through F. Clifton White and the efforts of the others I have named so that we set up an axis across the country and that axis and the Young Republican Federation controlled it for the next twenty years. Of course my getting so involved in Young Republican politics on my return from the service was rather unusual in the sense that I had never had any prior political interest as a matter of fact. I think that probably the motivation for moving into Young Republican politics on the return from service was my own reaction to the situation, possibly to Mr. Roosevelt and his philosophy with which I had developed a...as to which I developed an adverse reaction. I can remember that there certainly was no family motivation for my getting into any political activity because when I was elected for Congress my father and mother even refused to go to the polls. They had never gone to the polls in their lives and I don't think they ever did before they died. So that actually I attended the University of Delaware and then went to Dickinson Law School and I suppose...or possibly some psychiatrist could say that I had the seeds for some future political activity planted in me when I was attending the University of Delaware in the sense that there were a number of us on the campus who became involved in the various campus activities important to people in that age group and in that surrounding and I suppose that without trying to sound too stupid about the whole affair, I suppose that they become known as the B.M.O.C's and they appear to be the leaders in college activity and they're on the student
council and they get to know that they can make people go along with them. I guess I was part of it. I can remember that when the instigation first came up for me to be the congressional candidate it was in great part with the support obviously of the Young Republican National Federation and I can very well remember that Frank DuPont was then our regular party national committeeman with his office in the Continental American Building and Frank and I had worked together and been very close for the previous three or four years and the 1952 campaign of course involved the contest between General Eisenhower and Mr.... or Senator Taft from Ohio. The big furor was that Mr. Thomas Dewey was manipulating along with Mr. Tom Stevens of New York state to support Eisenhower and overrun Senator Taft and the thing I remember was that one day Frank asked me to meet with him in his office in the Continental American Building and at that time he told me I was being suckered into being a patsy for General Eisenhower and Mr. Thomas Dewey and fundamentally he ordered me to get out of the Young Republican National Federation and to stop having them support me which I refused to do. The campaign in itself was rather a peculiar one in the sense that my opponent was Joe Scannell who eventually became the adjutant general of Delaware and Joe was a year ahead of me in the University of Delaware. Was a fringe brother of my fraternity the Sigma Phi Epsilon. We were good friends and I think probably it can be said that as eventually developed when I ran for the Senate against Senator Alvin Freer, another good friend, there certainly could not be developed in our campaigns either the one against Joe Scannell or the one against Alvin Freer the kind of attitudes, reactions, accusings, accusations of each other that
appear to dominate a lot of election campaigns throughout the country over the years. A lot of people indicated that our campaigns...my campaign and Joe's campaign as a matter of fact in the congressional race were too soft. I think that we made out pretty well. I defeated Joe by roughly twenty three hundred votes as I recall and we ended up still being good friends after it was over and I think in 1954 in that senatorial campaign Alvin and I were and still continued to be good friends which I think is pretty valuable. The campaigning then was quite different from the campaigning now. Fundamentally we got some money from the national congressional campaign committee on the Republican side as I'm sure Joe Scarnell did. I think I got five thousand dollars. I don't exactly recall how much the state Republican party put into the whole campaign but it was enough to carry our bills. Of course we didn't have the reporting situations that we've had up to this point and certainly nothing like the new federal election campaign laws require. I do recall that in the...at the end of the congressional campaign my wife and I were probably twenty five hundred dollars in debt as a result of it. We were able to pay this out of our own funds. Eventually when I ran against Alvin Freer and that's a story in itself, we ended up roughly twenty five thousand dollars in the hole on that one. I think that probably the thing to say is that from my experience I'd never get into a campaign again. I just can't afford it. It's hard to remember this far away from 1952 what the campaign issues were. Obviously the national campaign was premised on the slogan, "It's time for a change" following Mr. Truman's administration and a man incidentally I've always admired and I suppose that one can say that General Eisenhower
with his background as a national military hero with his approach to life generally appeared to be "Mr. Clean" against the kind of situations that had developed in the Truman administration that our own Senator John Williams was instrumental in revealing to the public the income tax scandals and the Connally affair in St. Louis and so on. The Conally affair that I referred to involved Mr. Connally the appointments secretary for Mr. Truman when he was president. Mr. Connally was from St. Louis and as I said John Williams our state Senator was instrumental in his work with the Internal Revenue Service in finding out as I remember that Mr. Connally had been instrumental along with Assistant Attorney General in the tax division whose name I don't recall now in giving special favors to many members of the Democratic administration or friends of the Democratic administration as the case might be. The results of John Williams efforts were of course that Mr. Connally as well as the Assistant Attorney General whose name I don't remember were prosecuted as felons and I noticed in the paper just a few weeks ago that Mr. Connally's name had surfaced again. I had not realized that some years ago he had been pardoned after serving approximately two or three years in federal penitentiary. Other hand, despite the Republican approach in 1952, the question of whether everybody in politics is clean really can't be credited to just the Republicans because during the period I was in Washington Mr. Sherman Adams the right hand man for General Eisenhower of course got caught up in the scandal with the gentleman from Boston and we went into the vicuna coat era which I suppose compared with the mink coats of the Truman administration. Of course today the whole atmosphere today after the Nixon
administration situation is I suppose now almost holier than thou. I personally have thought because I've known Mr. Nixon for a good number of years that from his standpoint despite his as I have always thought about it rather tremendous political accumen was sort of led into a situation that he really wasn't aware of. I suppose this could be blamed on Mr. Nixon in the sense that in the position of responsibility he occupied he obviously also had the responsibility for knowing what those whom he delegated trust and service honor were doing on their own. Of course the end result of it now is that the Nixon situation is identified as was identified a few minutes ago at the Democratic National Convention as the greatest scandal in the American history and I'm not a sufficiently deep student of history to make comparisons and know whether there had been something worse than that beforehand but I also am not sure that that experience, the Nixon experience, is really going to have a lasting effect. When I say that I don't mean the politicians are crooked. I don't. I think that the professional politics is not only the most honorable in the world but is fundamentally no different from any other profession. We find in my own profession, the legal profession, that there are persons who will violate trusts that are granted to them. We find this among the clergy. We find it among physicians and so on. Maybe the important thing is that... maybe the important difference is that we do and should and hopefully can anticipate a higher standard of conduct from politicians fundamentally because they do obviously offer themselves to the public as representatives under our constitutional form of government.

B: What about your experience as a representative of the people of
Delaware in Congress?

W: Is the question directed to ask me whether I was crooked?

B: No, I meant what about your career up there.

W: When I was in the Congress, a Representative in the Congress, obviously I aimed at representing the people. I think that the key for the Congressmen at least, that is a member of the House of Representatives, is expressed as Barbara Jordan, Congresswoman Barbara Jordan, indicated when she was a key note speaker at the Democratic National Convention a few days ago that the very name Representative identifies exactly what the guiding principle of a member of the House of Representatives should be. That is to represent his constituents. And I think that this is solidified and verified as a principle by the fact that a member of the House of Representatives has to stand for election every two years. From my own standpoint obviously I attempted to represent to the best ability that I had, the interest of the people of Delaware. For example, I recall that I worked when I was a member of the House Public Works Committee for the widening and deepening of the channel of the Delaware River, the Mispillion Creek, St. Jones and so on; nothing of earth shaking national importance but certainly of value and interest to the people of Delaware and still is incidently of value and interest to the people of Delaware. I do recall that one of the biggest hassles I got into was when I was coming up on the vote I had to cast on the construction of the St. Lawrence Seaway. During that period of time Garrish Castleway who was then the executive director of the then Wilmington now Delaware Chamber of Commerce, came down to see me and told me that all the members of the industrial community in Delaware expected
me to vote against the St. Lawrence Seaway because if it were open it would endanger the port of Wilmington. I accepted Garrish's approach to that situation but then I decided I better check it out myself so I wrote to all of the industrialists I could identify who might use the port or have an interest in the port of Wilmington in the state of Delaware. If I remember correctly I think I wrote to a hundred and fifty representatives of industry in Delaware and again if I remember correctly I think that the response to my question as to whether each of them thought the opening of the St. Lawrence Seaway would damage the port of Delaware was ninety five percent was against the opening of the St. Lawrence Seaway causing any damage. I think this is the kind of thing that I think that a representative of the state and particularly a small state like Delaware has to involve himself deeply in.

B: What was your other House committee? Did you ask for committee assignments when you first arrived?

W: Well of course as you know both parties in the Congress have what is known as committee on committees and that committee on committee is composed of one Congressman from each of the state delegations. Since I was the only Congressman which still exists today, I obviously was the member from Delaware on the committee on committees of the Republican party in the House of Representatives. I had gone down before I was actually sworn in because the...one of the results of my Young Republican National Federation experiences was that I knew the leaders of the House of Representatives on both sides including Joe Martin who was then the ranking...the Minority leader and eventually became the speaker for the one term as well as Charlie Hallick who was the Assistant
Minority leader and Les Aarons the "whip" and I had indicated then that I thought I would like to be on the Public Works Committee and the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee. the result of the voting on committees on committees was that I got a seat on both the committees I had asked for. These were the two seats incidently that I had...that had been suggested to me I attempt to secure membership for or on. I remember that Caleb Boggs who quite often would take me down to meetings in the Congress when he was in the seat before the election had indicated that he thought those were two good committees for the state of Delaware and they were the ones I tried to get on and they were the ones I did get on.

B: What about your impressions, first impressions of candidate Eisenhower and then President Eisenhower?

W: Well I had had the opportunity of meeting General Eisenhower very, very casually obviously while I was a Young Republican and really even before he had been asked by Mr. Truman to be a candidate for the Democratic party to replace Mr. Truman and General Eisenhower had refused that honor and then opted to become the Republican party candidate. I don't think anybody can fault Mr. Eisenhower from the standpoint of his marvelous career and certainly his leadership in World War II. I will never forget the moment when after he had been designated as the Republican party candidate in the 1952 election. He made his opening speech at Abilene, Kansas, the town where he had grown up standing in a pouring rainstorm in the public square with his hat brim turned down and his coat collar turned up; stumbling in his speech because this was really his first experience in a public political surrounding but I think that in
the period I got to know him when I was down in Washington during his two terms that he turned out to really be one of the great presidents. Many people disagree with that estimate. Much of their disagreement is based on the impression that Mr. Eisenhower really didn't do anything. My reaction has always been and still continues to be that Mr. Eisenhower just let things alone and let the people fundamentally run the government. He created no waves and I think that that period economically, philosophically, socially was the kind of period that the American people would like to have again.

B: How did you decide to run for the Senate in '54? Were you feeling very excited about Washington and wanted to have a six year term or what?

W: Well actually the reason I decided to run for the Senate was that I...it really wasn't a decision at all. Jack ---- was then the state chairman of the Republican party and for a number of years one of the people that we really wanted to get into public life on an elective basis because of his tremendous popularity around the state was John Leech who then was deeply involved not only in Beacom College but in civic affairs, school affairs and so on. So, I can remember that...oh, a couple of months before the election campaign of 1954 began, ---- called me and asked me if I'd have lunch with him the next time I was up from Washington so we went out to Wilmington...the old Wilmington Country Club and at that time we discussed the way we might be able to get Johnny Leech out to declare so that I don't that...I don't recall now that whether ---- asked me or whether I volunteered or whether it was just one of those common decisions that sort of squeezes up when people are
talking about things but in any event the end result was that I
indicated that I would announce for the Senate seat against
Alvin Freer with the hope that this would force Johnny Leech
out to indicate himself that he was going to run. The problem
was of course that John never did announce and I was stuck with
the Senate contest and obviously that meant that we had to set
up a whole new slate for the 1954 election with my leading the
ticket. Lillian Martin who was then the state auditor being
ominated for my Congressional seat; Vince Tyson being
ominated for the Attorney General and that was the 1954
election when the whole issue of segregation and integration in
Delaware first came to the forefront when Brian Boles from
Florida suddenly appeared on the Delaware scene. Picketting of
Milford High School began just a few weeks before the election
campaign started and all during the campaign the issue of
integration and segregation was at the top of everyone's minds.
I can remember we'd have meetings. Alvin Freer and I would be
supposedly debating each other at prearranged political meetings
and we'd have five people as the audience and Brian Boles would
be out on some little airstrip airport outside of Milford or
one of our other little, small towns with hundreds of people
collecting five dollar bills and twenty dollar bills and ten
dollar bills in bushel baskets. Brian Boles was one of the so
called great anti-integrationists of that period who I suppose
really first initiated that kind of campaign on an active, almost
violent basis. Eventually I understand he left Delaware of course
and went back to Florida and ended up in Texas and then there he
got into some kind of murder fracas and was convicted and
sentenced to life imprisonment in jail so that's the way that kind
of a situation ended. I lost the election. The whole Republican party ticket in the state of Delaware lost every seat except three in the General Assembly. The whole ticket went down. The one claim to fame I had in that Senatorial race was that I was the first candidate in the history of the state of Delaware to be defeated by such a large majority, eighteen thousand, seven hundred and thirty two votes. My recollection of Brian Boles is that first he was a I suppose you'd call him a Florida "red-neck" who got onto the segregationist kick. If I remember him at all correctly I think he did some work in Georgia and then moved north and for some reason that I don't remember now selected Delaware as a target area to really go public and I think I'm right in my recollection that he was the founder or one of the founders of the organization that became known as the National Association for the Protection of White People. His strong approach of course was to stir up racial hatred and at that time I think we all have to recognize and even admit that the time itself was right for that kind of public discord and discontent.

B: Do you happen to remember any meetings at the University involving residence dorms for example? Or any discussion of that during the '54 campaign?

W: No, I campaigned at the University of Delaware in the sense that I was invited in to appear before various student groups and faculty groups as was Alvin Freer and the other candidates. From this distance I don't recall that the integration-segregation issue was raised in any important context, one that would lead to an argument. Obviously I think that all candidates and most particularly Alvin Freer and I were asked for our views on the
issue at the particular time and I suppose that both of us in the context of the times tried to fudge a little bit. I think that probably that was the approach of most politicians basically because the whole issue suddenly surfaced so fast that no one had from a political standpoint had a real opportunity to size it up to even possibly make their own personal decisions as to where they stood on the issue, certainly no political decisions. Well, things were pretty distressing of course after the Senatorial campaign defeat. That kind of thing is always traumatic I think to everybody because I think that most if not all politicians really go into a political campaign in earnestness, dedicated to the effort and not necessarily to be re-elected to office for some ego trip. In any event I know it took me a couple of weeks to overcome my pessimism, my concern, my self analysis to attempt to discover what went wrong, what I had done wrong and so forth. But in any event when that period was finally over since we obviously were residents of Delaware, I went back to my law firm which I had moved out of and at that point found that my two law partners and I were not going to have any future together so that we each brought in our accountants and split up the firm. I took my money out of it and rather than reopening a law practice on my own in Wilmington my wife and I decided that we'd go to Washington and part of the impelling reason was that I had been invited by United States Secretary of Labor, Jim Mitchell, to come down and talk to him because he wanted me to serve as his confidential assistant. So that after that conversation with Jim I accepted the position. We moved to Bethesda and I worked in the Department of Labor from about April of what 1955 until 1957 and in May of 1957 Art Sommerfield who was then Postmaster
General came over and talked to Jim Mitchell and myself and asked me...asked Jim really if he'd release me so that I could become Sommerfield's General Council of the Postoffice Department. And Jim said it was agreeable with him so I transferred in May of 1957 to that position with Art Sommerfield and was commissioned to set up the...to re-establish really, to revitalize, to reorganize the Post Office Department General Council's office which I did. I didn't realize at the time, I don't think anybody did, that it was going to throw me directly into the question of obscenity and pornography. As it turned out I had to be the member of the United States government who banned Lady Chatterley's Lover and that was a case that eventually led to the Supreme Court's decision on obscenity and pornography. I suppose that a lot of people will think I did wrong in banning but that was the way it had to be played so that I imagine anyone who wants to think of it this way can blame me for the status for the current morals in the United States. Do you want...So, I guess if I'm supposed to continue this autobiography, I went from the Post Office Department's General Councilship after Mr. Kennedy was inaugurated to an investment house as a vice-president for about a year to learn the investment business and then the Democrat-Republican leaders of the House of Representatives, Mr. McCormick of Massachusetts and Mr...Charlie Hallick of Ohio invited me to serve as a special counsel on the House Government Operations Subcommittee that was investigating the Billy Sallis's Grain Scandal. So, I spent roughly two and a half years on that and then decided the whole thing was a stall on the Democratic side so I talked to Charlie Hallick and John McCormick about it and with their subcommittee chairman and subcommittee council and
within a month or so we printed a report, pushed the whole
thing from the Republican side although not necessarily mine
had been to try and pin the Billy Sallis's Grain Scandal on
Mr. Lyndon Johnson which the committee was never able to prove
incidently. So that after the report was published I then
resigned from the committee and at that time I was invited to
take over the directorship of an international association,
the association of those persons who work with people needing
rehabilitation because they've been amputated. That is the
American Orthotic and Prosthetic Association. During that period of
time with the associations that I had in my government experience
a great deal of time was spent not only in administration or
in investigation but in really lobbying in Congress for the
interests that the various agencies and departments and private
enterprise organizations that I worked for had. Well, I've been
asked if during the period of time that I was lobbying I had
anything to do with for example our former Congressman J. George
Stuart. George and I were friends. He was architect of the
Capital of course by appointment of Mr. Eisenhower and he actually
wasn't in an area where he could really do much for someone who
was lobbying for a cause or an issue. The one thing I do remember
about George Stuart who was a very fine, jolly man and always
friendly, was that anytime I wanted potted plants from the
conservatory, national conservatory, I could get them just by
asking for them. There's a funny thing about lobbying at least
in my opinion. Again I think it's a very honorable profession.
I consider it comes under the right of the American people as
guaranteed by the constitution to in effect petition their
Congress for regress or to advance views, ideas, opinions, causes
that they're interested in. Obviously I don't think that lobbying at least within my experience requires a kind of thing that now has been causing so much consternation in the minds of the public. I always found that if you knew what you were talking about and could make a contact in a Congressional office in either the House or the Senate side and demonstrate to the administrative assistant of the particular Congressman or Senator that you did have a good position, that you could present facts that collaborated the position you were advocating, that you were going to get a hearing and more often than not you could get support with whatever you were concerned with. I've been asked whether I ever represented more than one group at a time in lobbying and I suppose that fundamentally the answer to that is no. For example, when I was executive director of the American Orthotic and Prosthetic Association our greatest interest naturally was in vocational rehabilitation and the general rehabilitation of the physically handicapped so that we had a great interest in those areas as far as the Department of Health, Education and Welfare were concerned and also the Veterans Administration naturally so that we directed our lobbying efforts along those lines from the standpoint of appropriations, making sure to the best of our ability that the appropriations would be adequate to take care of what we considered to be on the basis of our research with the various agencies the needs of the population requiring rehabilitation either physical or vocational. Well many people feel that lobbying is a dishonorable profession as I think I mentioned a few moments ago and I've never considered it that. To one who has been in the Congress, it's absolutely impossible for the Congressman or the Senator or even
for their staffs and large as they have been since I was in the Congress to be up to date on every issue on which the Congressman or Senator has to make a decision if he's to perform his function properly for the people he represents so that to me the lobbyist if he plays the game honestly has a very definite role to serve in the American political system. And I think possibly I've already expressed it in another way. I think it's up to the lobbyist to know exactly what his facts are, what his situations are. He may over play them. He may over emphasize them and if he does he's being somewhat dishonest. I for one could never see going into a congressional committee to advocate a position for an increase in funds if we knew we were asking for an exhorbatant figure merely for the purpose of reaching a level that we wanted. I've always thought and possibly I was naive, I've always thought that the way to play the game was to go straight across the board with exactly what the identifications and the facts were and take the chances on it. So, after the six and a half or seven years with the American Orthotic and Prosthetic Association I suddenly reached the point where working with orthopedic surgeons all over the world as well as the people who worked with the...those who neede physical rehabilitation because of amputations that I no longer could serve a purpose with that group. I had been brought in as executive director to lift the members of the association, the ones who actually worked with the patients in measuring and fabricating and fitting artificial devices called prostheses to elevate them from the status of craftsmen to the status of medical professional or allied medical professional as they're now called so that at the end of the six and a half or seven years I figured I had accomplished that as did the
memberships and the board of directors so I gave them a years notice to help them find a replacement and my wife and I decided to retire and the natural place for us to retire to was our home state of Delaware. But instead of going back to New Castle County in Wilmington which we were both native born residents we decided we'd come back to Sussex County so that we're now in Sussex County. I got bored from being in retirement and staying in bed too late in the morning and going to bed too early at night so I opened a small law office in Frankford, Delaware and I'm now working harder than I ever worked in Washington. Of course at this point having known Sussex County all our lives and having campaigned through Sussex County two elections in a row we wanted to come back here. I can't say that I don't miss where we lived. I couldn't get my wife out of the place where we are now in Sussex County with a nuclear bomb. The thing I miss about it is that our former home in Bethesda was in a beautiful rolling area, the Potomac River Valley and here in Sussex County the tallest thing I ever see is the ten foot high corn at the end of August.

The Herbert B. Warburton tape ends here.