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#### Abstract

This dissertation focuses on nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings of amine and alcohol derivatives to set stereogenic centers. Chapter 1 focuses on the cross-coupling of benzylic ammonium triflates with aryl, heteroaryl, and vinyl boronic acids. This method expands the scope of previous methods from our group, utilizing $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ without any additional phosphine or N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands. This reaction allows for cross-coupling of both naphthyl and phenyl substituted ammonium salts. The mild conditions of this reaction displays excellent functional group tolerance.

Chapter 2 focuses on the cross-coupling of benzylic ammonium triflates with bis(pinacolato)diboron to afford secondary benzylic boronates with excellent chirality transfer, This reaction utilizes $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ as a catalyst with either phosphine or NHC ligands. The reaction proceeds with mild reaction conditions and excellent functional group tolerance. It allows for the cross-coupling of both naphthyl and phenyl substituted ammonium salts. This is the first example of a Miyaura borylation of a non-allylic electrophile to deliver products in highly enantioenriched form.

Chapter 3 describes the development of the cross-coupling of tertiary benzylic acetates to form all-carbon quaternary stereocenters. This reaction prioritizes the use of an air-stable nickel(II) catalyst and environmentally friendly 2-Me-THF. The mild reaction conditions allow for excellent functional group tolerance. The reaction provides an efficient route to both di-aryl and tri-aryl quaternary stereocenters in high stereochemical fidelity. This method shows an expansion in transition metal catalysis to go beyond electrophiles adjacent to functional groups such as carbonyls and alkenes, and displays the possibility for tertiary benzylic electrophiles to under go transition metal catalysis.

Chapter 4 focuses on the cross-coupling of enantioenriched allylic pivalates with aryl and heteroaryl borxines to form allylic, all-carbon quaternary stereocenters. This utilizes an air-stable nickel (II) catalyst, and functional group tolerant boroxine coupling partners to afford these quaternary stereocenters with high stereochemical fidelity. This reaction provides a powerful way to readily access allylic quaternary stereocenters with substituted internal alkenes and a variety of functional groups and heteroatoms in high yield and enantioenrichment


## Chapter 1

## A GENERAL, SIMPLE CATALYST FOR ENANTIOSPECIFIC CROSSCOUPLINGS OF BENZYLIC AMMONIUM TRIFLATES AND BORONIC ACIDS: NO PHOSPHINE LIGAND REQUIRED

### 1.1 Introduction

Throughout this thesis my work has focused on utilizing non-traditional electrophiles in transition metal-catalyzed cross-couplings. The power of transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions has been recognized with the 2010 Nobel Prize in Chemistry awarded to Heck (Scheme 1-1A), Suzuki (Scheme 1-1B), and Negishi (Scheme 1-1C). ${ }^{1}$ These reactions utilize a palladium catalyst in order to form new carbon-carbon bonds via activation of a $\mathrm{Csp}^{2}-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}$ or Br$)$ bond. The expansion of these methods to $\mathrm{Csp}^{3}-\mathrm{X}$ allows for more complexity in the molecule, as well as the possibility of a stereocenter. Toward this goal Suzuki first showed the crosscoupling an alkyl iodide with alkyl 9-BBN reagents (Scheme 1-1D). ${ }^{2}$ This work displays the powerful opportunities in transition metal-catalyzed cross-couplings of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized electrophiles.

Scheme 1-1 Seminal Publications in Transition Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings
A) Seminal Heck Cross-Coupling

B) Seminal Suzuki Cross-Coupling

C) Seminal Negishi Cross-Coupling

D) Suzuki Cross-Coupling of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{sp}^{3}-\mathrm{X}$ electrophiles


A powerful application of transition metal-catalyzed cross-couplings would be to produce diaryl and triaryl alkanes. Diaryl and triaryl alkanes are important motifs in molecules with potential applications in both pharmaceutical and materials science (Figure 1-1). Previously, there have been a variety of methods for cross-coupling of benzylic substrates in both enantioselective and enantiospecific transformations.


1-13
tubulin polymerization inhibitor (racemate)


1-16
sleep-inducing agent


1-14
Detrol LA ${ }^{\circledR}$


1-17
anti-breast cancer agent

Scheme 1-2 Examples of Bioactive Diaryl and Triaryl Alkanes

Fu has demonstrated enantioselective nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings of either benzylic halides (Scheme 1-2A and 1-2B) or alcohols (Scheme 1-2C) with organozinc nucleophiles. ${ }^{3}$ In the case of the reaction with benzylic alcohols, the mesylate is formed in situ and LiI (4.0 equiv) is necessary in order to go through a benzylic iodide intermediate. These reactions rely on chiral oxazoline ligands to afford the tertiary benzylic stereocenters in high yield and enantioenrichment. However this chemistry is limited in functional group compatibility due to the highly reactive nature of zinc nucleophiles.

Scheme 1-3. Prior Art in Enantioselective Transition Metal-Catalyzed CrossCouplings to Form Tertiary Stereocenters
A) Benzylic Halides with Cyclic Substrates
$\mathrm{BrZn}-\mathrm{R}^{1}$


1-18
B) Benzylic Bromides


1-20
C) Benzylic Alcohols


1-22

2) $A r^{2}-Z n I$
$\mathrm{NiBr}_{2}$ - diglyme/L*
Lil, DCM/THF $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


1-19
39-89\%
$75-99 \%$ ee

R-Znl

allow for late-stage transformations with alcohols that are readily accessible in highly enanantioenriched fashion. However, this chemistry is still limited in its functional group tolerance due to the use of harsh nucleophiles such as Grignard reagents as the coupling partner. The Crudden group has shown that the umpolung approach can be used with enantioenriched secondary boronic esters and aryl halides (Scheme 1-4C). ${ }^{6}$ However, this chemistry suffers from a lack of efficient ways to form enantioenriched boronic esters. Inspiration for our group came from prior work from the Tian group (Scheme 1-4D). They demonstrated a copper-catalyzed cross-coupling of benzylic sulfonamides to form diaryl alkane products. However, they only show one example of an enantioenriched benzylic sulfonamide, and its reaction provided the product with very low stereochemical fidelity. However, this result did inspire us to use benzylic amines as electrophiles for a Suzuki cross-coupling due to the fact that they are readily accessible in high enantioenrichment.

Scheme 1-4. Prior Art in Enantiospecific Transition-Metal Catalyzed CrossCouplings to Form Tertiary Stereocenters

C) Benzylic Boronates (Crudden)

1-28 83-90\%ee
D) Benzylic Sulfonamides (Tian)


1-30
99\% ee


THF, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


1-29 48-86\% $54-80 \%$ ee


THF, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


1-31
71\%
$54-80 \%$ ee

Previously the Watson group has developed Suzuki cross-coupling conditions that utilized either benzylic ammonium triflates (Scheme 1-5B) ${ }^{7}$ or pivalates ${ }^{8}$ (Scheme 1-5A) with arylboron reagents as a convenient, functional group tolerant, and highly stereospecific method to form diaryl and triaryl alkanes. These reactions represented some of the first cross-couplings of benzylic electrophiles with aryl
boronic reagents. However, significant limitations were observed, particularly in the aryl substituent of the benzylic electrophile; high yields were only observed with extended aromatic substituents, such as naphthyl. In addition, for benzylic ammonium salts, only methyl substitution was examined at the benzylic position. The substrate scope is also limited in terms of vinyl or heteroaromatic boronic acids as crosscoupling partners.

Scheme 1-5 Previous Stereospecific Suzuki Cross-Couplings from Our Group
A) Benzylic Pivalates


PhMe(0.4 M), $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3h 1-33
33-99\%
B) Benzylic Ammonium Salts
$58-98 \%$ ee



In order to overcome these limitations, I collaborated with my colleague Danielle Shacklady McAtee. We have developed a second-generation catalyst system for the enantiospecific nickel-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling of benzylic ammonium triflates. This second-generation catalyst expands the scope to include non-extended
$\pi$-substituents on the benzylic ammonium salt, a variety of substituents $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ at the benzylic position, and vinyl and heteroaromatic boronic acids as coupling partners.

### 1.2 Results and Discussion

## Synthesis of Benzylic Ammonium Salts

Enantioenriched benzylic ammonium triflates were prepared in one of two ways; either from commercially available primary amines or from the corresponding aldehyde. For amines that were not commercially available, the synthesis began with the condensation of $(R)$-2-methyl-2-propanesulfonamine and the appropriate aldehyde with titanium tetraethoxide as Lewis acid (Scheme 1-6). With the sulfimine 1-40 in hand, a Grignard reagent was added slowly to maximize the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. The diastereomers were separated via column chromatography to give a single diastereomer of $\mathbf{1 - 4 1}$, as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Due to the absence of the minor diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, I estimated the dr to be at least 95:5. Deprotection of the amine then afforded primary benzylic amine 1-42 in $95 \%$ ee. From this point, the synthesis of both commercially available and synthesized primary amines was the same. Using Eschenweiler-Clark conditions, the primary amines were heated with formic acid and formaldehyde to afford tertiary amines 1-43. These products were then purified via silica gel chromatography or distillation.

Subsequent methylation was achieved via slow addition of methyl triflate at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In most cases, trimethyl ammonium triflate 1-44 would precipitate as a white solid that could be isolated by filtration and then washed with diethyl ether and hexanes. The salt was then dried under vacuum and used without further purification. In the cases where the trimethyl ammonium triflate did not precipitate immediately, the solvent was decanted. The remaining oil was washed repeatedly with diethyl ether and hexanes, and then dried under vacuum until a solid or foam formed. All ammonium salts were used without further purification. Notably, salts have been
observed to decompose in solution over the course of one week, but can be stored indefinitely in the solid form.

Scheme 1-6 Synthesis of Benzylic Ammonium Triflates


## Optimization and Scope of $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Generation Cross-Coupling

I selected p-fluorophenyl substrate 1-45 as my model substrate because it gave the lowest yield under the first-generation catalyst system. There was excellent stereochemical fidelity, but the yield of this transformation was poor (Table 1-1 entry 1). Switching to different phosphine ligands or different bases that traditionally help this transformation did not give any significant improvement (Table 1-1 entries 2-3). However, by leaving out any additional ligand I observed a dramatic increase in yield. Slightly increasing the equivalence of base and boronic acid further improved yield, without much loss in stereochemical fidelity (entry 5).

## Table 1-1 Optimization of non-napthyl ammonium salts



| Entry | Ligand (mol \%) | Base (equiv) | $\mathrm{NpB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (equiv) | $\text { Yield (\%) }{ }^{\text {b }}$ | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | t-BuXantphos (12) | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1.3)$ | $1.2$ | 37 | 99 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}(22)$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1.3)$ | 1.2 | 38 | ND |
| 3 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}(22)$ | CsF (1.3) | 1.2 | 26 | ND |
| 4 | none | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1.3)$ | 1.2 | 61 | 91 |
| 5 | none | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1.5)$ | 1.5 | 75 | 92 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: ammonium triflate $\mathbf{1}\left(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), boronic acid, $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$, base, dioxane ( 0.33 M ), $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using $1,3,5-$
trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by chiral HPLC analysis using chiral stationary phase. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

Having identified a successful second-generation catalyst, I explored the scope with respect to the boronic acid coupling partner. These studies were performed in collaboration with Danielle Shacklady-McAtee, Corey H. Basch, and Yegeun Song. Under the optimized reaction conditions, a number of aryl, vinyl, and heteroaromatic boronic acids afforded enantioenriched products in high yields. Interestingly, in some cases my "ligandless" conditions were crucial for reactivity as displayed in Scheme 1-7. For example, the use of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}$ furnished product 1-48 in only $27 \%$ yield, but my ligand-less conditions resulted in $80 \%$ yield. In other cases, the use of ligand made little to no difference as seen in entry 1-52. However, my $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation conditions are still advantageous because of lower costs, and ease of purification when reactions were performed without the addition of phosphine ligand.

Oxygen-containing heterocyclic boronic acids such as benzofuran and dibenzofuran coupled with high yields and high stereochemical fidelity (1-48 and 1-49). Unsubstituted pyridyl boronic acids were unreactive under these reaction conditions. This lack of reactivity could be due to the coordination of the pyridyl nitrogen to the catalyst, forming an unreactive nickel catalyst. For this reason, we explored pyridines with substitution in the 2 -position (1-50 and $\mathbf{1 - 5 1}$ ), which efficiently provided the desired diaryl alkanes. With respect to vinyl boronic acids, we were pleased to see that electron-rich (1-53), electron-poor (1-52), halide-containing (1-54) vinyl groups could be installed. Aryl chlorides such as $\mathbf{1 - 5 4}$ are particularly attractive for further functionalization of the products. We were also excited to see that the sterically encumbered 1,1-disubstituted vinyl boronic acids reacted well affording product 1-55 in synthetically useful yields.

## Scheme 1-7 Scope of Boronic Acids ${ }^{\text {a }}$




$1-49$
$83 \%$
$98 \%$ ee
97\% ee
(2-Np)


$1-53$
$91 \%$
$99 \%$ ee


$1-50$
$83 \%$
$98 \%$ ee


1-51
88\% 95\% ee


1-52 81\% 98\% ee with $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}(79 \%)$ $>99 \%$ ee

$1-54$
$76 \%$
$99 \%$ ee

[^0]Average ee's from duplicate runs determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase $( \pm 1 \%) .{ }^{\text {b }}$ Yields in parentheses determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})_{2}$ was used in this reaction. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{tol})_{3}(7 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was used in this reaction.

With respect to the ammonium triflate coupling partner, non-napthyl substituents and groups other than methyl at the benzylic position were explored. Isopropyl (1-56) and phenyl (1-57) substituents at the benzylic position were well tolerated, although with slightly lower stereochemical fidelity. With respect to the ammonium salt with simple aryl substituents, the model $p$-fluoro substrate worked very well (1-59). Both electron withdrawing $m$-methoxyphenyl (1-60) and electron donating $p$-methoxy (1-61) substituents were also well tolerated.

Scheme 1-8 Scope of Benzylic Ammonium Salts



1-56 ${ }^{\text {c }}$
55\%
86\% ee


1-59 ${ }^{\text {c }}$
75\%
92\% ee

$1-57{ }^{\text {d }}$
58\%
52\% ee

$1-60^{c}$
71\%
83\% ee

$1-58^{c}$
82\% $>99 \% e^{a}$

$1-61^{c}$
53\%
80\% ee
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: ammonium triflate ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Boronic acid ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$, dioxane $(0.33 \mathrm{M}), 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$. Isolated yields are an average of duplicate experiments $( \pm 5 \%)$. Average ee's from duplicate runs determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase $( \pm 1 \%) .{ }^{b}$ Yields in parenthesis determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using $1,3,5$-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. ${ }^{\text {c }} 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})_{2}$ was used in this reaction. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})_{2}$ was used in this reaction.

In order to determine the absolute configuration of the products under these reaction conditions, the optical rotation and HPLC trace of compound 1-57 was compared to those of the same compound that had previously been synthesized in our laboratory. With this in mind, it was determined that the reaction was going through a net inversion of configuration at the benzylic stereocenter. Thus, the mechanism proposed for this reaction is an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' type oxidative addition of the nickel(0) catalyst with inversion of configuration at the benzylic stereocenter to produce either an $\eta^{1}$ - or $\eta^{3}$ - $\pi$-benzyl nickel(II) complex (1-63 or 1-64). Subsequent transmetallation with the aryl boronic acid and reductive elimination with retention of configuration provides the products with overall inversion of the benzylic stereocenter. This type of mechanism has been previously proposed for stereospecific cross-couplings of other benzylic electrophiles. ${ }^{9}$

Scheme 1-9 Proposed Reaction Mechanism


### 1.3 Conclusion

In conclusion, I developed a highly stereospecific Suzuki cross-coupling of benzylic ammonium salts to afford tertiary benzylic stereocenters. My new catalyst system expanded the scope of heteroaromatic and vinyl boronic acids. It has also addressed challenges with electrophiles with non-extended $\pi$-substituents. This reaction utilizes an inexpensive nickel catalyst with commercially available, airstable, and functional group tolerant boronic acids. The substrates are readily accessible in high enantioenrichment from benzylic amines or using classical methods. This reaction provides a powerful way to readily access tertiary benzylic stereocenters in high yield with high enantioenrichment.

Scheme 1-10 A general, simple catalyst for enantiospecific cross-couplings of benzylic ammonium triflates and boronic acids: no phosphine ligand required


### 1.4 Experimental Section

Reactions were performed either in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox in oven-dried 1-dram vials with Teflon-lined caps or in oven-dried round-bottomed flasks unless otherwise noted. Flasks were fitted with rubber septa, and reactions were conducted under a positive pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Stainless steel syringes or cannulae were used to transfer airand moisture-sensitive liquids. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 60 \AA$ ) unless otherwise noted. Select compounds were purified by flash chromatography on silica gel $(5-20 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ as needed. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on glass plates coated with silica gel 60 with F254 indicator. Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros, Fisher, Strem, TCI, Combi Blocks, Alfa Aesar, or Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories and used as received with the following exceptions: toluene, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dioxane, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were dried by
passing through drying columns. ${ }^{10}$ Toluene was then degassed by sparging with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stored over activated $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$ in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox. Anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was purchased from Acros and stored in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox. MeOTf was purchased from TCI America, and used as received. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was stored over ovendried potassium carbonate. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NM}\right)$ spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on both 400 MHz and 600 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to residual protium in the NMR solvent $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}=\delta 7.28 ;\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}=\delta 2.07\right)$. Chemical shifts for carbon are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=\delta 77.07 ;\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}=\delta\right.$ 28.94) Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{p}=$ pentet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $h=$ heptet $)$, coupling constants in Hertz (Hz), integration. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using FTIR spectrophotometers with material loaded onto a NaCl plate. The mass spectral data were obtained at the University of Delaware mass spectrometry facility. Optical rotations were measured using a 2.5 mL cell with a 1 dm path length. Melting points were taken on a Stuart SMP10 instrument.

Dimethyl benzyl amines were prepared either from the benzyl amines using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions or via reductive amination of the benzaldehyde or acetophenone derivative. ${ }^{11}$ It has been reported that epimerization does not occur under the Escheweiler-Clarke conditions. For amines that were not commercially available, the synthesis utilized Ellman's auxiliary to afford the enantioenriched primary amines. ${ }^{12}$ Precursors for racemic ammonium triflates were synthesized via reductive amination of the corresponding acetophenone derivatives. ${ }^{11}$

## General Procedure for Enantiospecific Cross-Coupling

In a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox, $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ (either $5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ or $1.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(64.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were weighed into a 1 -dram vial. Benzyl ammonium triflate ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and boronic acid ( $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added, followed by dioxane ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.33$ M). The vial was capped with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox.

The mixture was stirred for 6 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a short plug of silica gel, which was rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography to give the cross-coupled product.
4.2.1 (R)-4-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)dibenzo[b,d]furan (1-48). General procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give compound $1-48$ ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 98-101 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.2 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=7.996 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=10.60 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+124.5^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.11$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.1,154.3,142.7,133.6,132.3,130.5,128.0,127.9,127.7,127.1,127.0$, 126.0, 125.7, 125.6, 125.5, 124.6, 124.2, 123.0, 122.7, 120.8, 118.7, 111.9, 39.0, 20.9; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3054, 2968, 1451, 1421, 1184, $751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}: 322.1358$, found: 322.1342 .
4.2.2 (R)-2-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)benzofuran (1-49). General procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 4 9 ~ ( ~} 45.0 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 97-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.2 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=6.26 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=6.84 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-38.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.94-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ $-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.1,155.0,140.8,133.7,132.6,128.8,128.4,127.9$, 127.8, 126.2, 126.1, 126.0, 125.8, 123.6, 122.6, 120.6, 111.1, 102.4, 39.9, 20.4; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) $3053,2973,1455,1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}: 272.1201$, found: 272.1186 .
4.2.3 (R)-2-fluoro-3-methyl-5-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)pyridine (1-50). General procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was purified by
silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / 1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} /$ hexanes) to give compound 1-50 (37 $\mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $75 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 5.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=5.99 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=7.18 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-89.6^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 0.73$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.30-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.61-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=238.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 151.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.15\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 142.3,136.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.6,132.2,128.5,127.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $126.3,125.8,125.6,110.7,110.4,39.6,22.0,19.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 162.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=232.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 151.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{F}}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.6,137.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.7,132.3,128.2,127.7,126.1$, $126.4,126.1,125.6,125.5,109.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 39.0,21.2,18.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.0\right.$ Hz ); FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3053, 2968, 1608, 1485, 1373, $962 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) $[\mathrm{M}]+$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}: 265.1267$, found:265.1252. Please note: Although two ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR peaks are coincident when $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ is used as solvent, all $18{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR peaks are seen when $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ is used as solvent.
4.2.4 (R)-2-methoxy-3-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)pyridine (1-51). General procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / 1 \% \mathrm{EtN}_{3} /$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 5 1}$ (47 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as an oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ ee by chiral SFC analysis (OJ-H, $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 40 \% i-\operatorname{PrOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \lambda=254$ and 220 nm ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=2.46 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=3.07 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+58.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.73, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.09-8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.6,144.4,142.7,136.1$, 133.6, 132.2, 129.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.1, 126.0, 125.6, 125.5, 116.9, 53.5, 37.9, 20.5; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) $3055,2969,2948,1589,1507,1463,1409,1321,1253$, $1020 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}: 263.1310$, found: 263.1297.
4.2.5 (S,E)-2-(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)but-3-en-2-yl)naphthalene (1-52). General procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was
purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give compound 1-52 (53.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$ as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 70-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=24.21 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=30.68 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-30.5^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.18$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.5,141.13,141.11$, $138.0,133.8,132.4,129.0\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3,127.78,127.76,126.4,126.3$, $126.2,125.64,125.57\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.5,124.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=272.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 42.8,21.1$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3053, 2967, 1615, 1325, 1164, 1121, $1067 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3}: 326.1282$, found:326.1284.
4.2.6 (S,E)-2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)but-3-en-2-yl)naphthalene (1-53). General procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{1 - 5 3}$ ( $44.0 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 68-71 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=22.47 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=28.85 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-40.8^{\circ}\left(\right.$ c $\left.1.42, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.43-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.4,141.0$, $141.0,137.9,133.7,132.3,128.2,127.69,127.66,126.3,126.2,126.1,125.6,125.4$, 42.7, 21.0; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 143.8,137.2,136.8,134.5,133.1$, 132.7, 129.2, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 126.9, 126.6, 126.1, 125.8, 43.4, 21.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3052, 2965, 1490, 1091, $966 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for C 20 H 17 Cl : 292.1019, found: 292.0993. Please note: Although two ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR peaks are coincident when $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ is used as solvent, all $18{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR peaks are seen when $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ is used as solvent.

### 4.2.7 (S,E)-2-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-yl)naphthalene (1-54). General

 procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 5 4}(53.0 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ eeby chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=27.88 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=30.19 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-35.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.43, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48-6.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.9,143.4,133.7$, $133.1,132.3,130.4,128.3,128.1,127.8,127.7,127.4,126.5,126.0,125.4,125.3$, 114.0, 55.4, 42.7, 21.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2962, 1607, 1511, 1250, 1175, 1034 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}: 288.1514$, found: 288.1517 .
4.2.8 (R)-2-(3-phenylbut-3-en-2-yl)naphthalene (1-55). General procedure was followed using $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexane) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 5 5}$ ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 64-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALCEL OD-H, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=6.33 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=6.73 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-64.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.88-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{t}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3\right) \delta 152.5$, 142.7, 142.2, 133.7, 132.3, 128.2, 128.2, 127.8, 127.7, 127.3, 126.8, 126.6, 125.9, $125.4,113.5,44.4,21.8 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \delta 152.6,142.8,142.1$, 133.7, 132.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.5, 127.5, 127.2, 126.6, 126.4, 125.82, 125.79, 125.3, 112.5, 43.7, 21.2; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3053, 2967, 2930, 2361, 2337, 1624, 1599, $1506 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (EI + ) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18}: 258.1409$, found: 258.1422. Please note: Although two ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR peaks are coincident when $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ is used as solvent, all $18{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR peaks are seen when $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ is used as solvent. 4.2.9 (S)-2-(1-p-tolylethyl)naphthalene (1-56). General procedure was followed using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ and benzylic ammonium triflate prepared in $99.6 \%$ ee. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 5 6}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a white solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $>99 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=21.65$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86-$ $7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.06(\mathrm{~m}$,
$4 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.0,143.3,135.6,133.5,132.1,129.1,128.0,127.8,127.7,127.6$, $126.9,126.0,125.4,125.3,44.5,21.9,21.1$. The spectral data for this compound matches that reported in the literature. ${ }^{7}$ Comparison of the HPLC spectrum of this product to that previously obtained in our laboratory confirmed that the absolute configuration of this product is $S .^{7}$
4.2.10 (S)-2-(2-methyl-1-p-tolylpropyl)naphthalene (1-57). General procedure was followed using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ and benzylic ammonium triflate prepared in $>95 \%$ ee. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 5 7}$ ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 77-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $87 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=8.24 \mathrm{~min}$, $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=8.93 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-33.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.864, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.85-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.7,141.7,135.5,133.6,132.1,129.1$, $128.0,127.9,127.6,127.5,126.5,126.2,125.8,125.1,60.5,31.6,22.0,21.9,21.0$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3053, 3019, 2955, 2922, 2868, 1508, 1457, 1385, 813, 760, $741 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22}: 274.1722$, found: 274.1724 .
4.2.11 (S)-2-(phenyl(p-tolyl)methyl)naphthalene (1-58). General procedure was followed using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ and benzylic ammonium triflate prepared in $>95 \%$ ee. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 5 8}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as an oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $52 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALCEL OD-H, $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $0.2 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ pentane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=38.59 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=40.72 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha$ $]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+37.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.88, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.95-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.9,141.7,140.7,135.9,133.4,132.1,129.5,129.4,129.1,128.3$, $128.1,127.9,127.8,127.7,127.5,126.3,125.9,125.6,56.5,21.1$. The spectral data for this compound matches that reported in the literature. ${ }^{13}$
4.2.12 (R)-2-(1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethyl)naphthalene (1-59). General procedure was followed using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod}) 2$ and benzylic ammonium triflate prepared in $99 \%$ ee. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $100 \%$ petroleum ether) to give compound 59 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as an oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $89 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALCEL OD-H, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=24.62 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=31.78 \mathrm{~min} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 7.81-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.49-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.29(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3\right) \delta$ $161.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=245.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 143.6,141.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.5,132.1,129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}\right.$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.1,127.7,127.6,126.7,126.1,125.5,125.3,115.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 44.1, 21.9. The spectral data for this compound matches that reported in the literature. ${ }^{7}$ Comparison of the HPLC spectrum of this product to that previously obtained in our laboratory confirmed that the absolute configuration of this product is $R$. ${ }^{7}$
4.2.13 (S)-1-methoxy-3-(1-p-tolylethyl)benzene (1-60). General procedure was followed using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ and benzylic ammonium triflate prepared in $>99 \%$ ee. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-1 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 6 0}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$ as an oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $83 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $10.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=5.55 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=5.81$ $\min ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-$ $6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{ddt}, J=8.1,2.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7$, $148.4,143.3,135.6,129.4,129.2,127.5,120.2,113.8,110.9,55.2,44.5,22.0,21.1$. The spectral data for this compound matches that reported in the literature. ${ }^{7}$ Comparison of the HPLC spectrum of this product to that previously obtained in our laboratory confirmed that the absolute configuration of this product is $S .^{7}$
4.2.14 (S)-1-methoxy-4-(1-p-tolylethyl)benzene (1-61). General procedure was followed using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ and benzylic ammonium triflate prepared in $99.5 \%$ ee. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-1 \%$
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ Hexane $)$ to give compound $\mathbf{1 - 6 1}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%)$ as an oil. The enantiomeric
excess was determined to be $81 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=45.54 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=55.21 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-23.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90-6.79$ (m, 2H), 4.09 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.62 (d, $J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.9,143.9,138.9,135.5,129.2,128.6$, $127.5,113.8,55.4,43.6,22.3,21.1$. The spectral data for this compound matches that reported in the literature. ${ }^{14}$

## Procedure for benzyl ammonium triflates

### 4.3.1 (S)-N,N,N,2-tetramethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-

 aminiumtrifluoromethane sulfonate (1-66). Dimethylbenzylamine (179 mg, 0.79 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{M})$. MeOTf ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. White precipitate formed immediately. After complete addition the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 15 minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this case, a precipitate did not form upon addition of MeOTf. Instead, two distinct layers formed. The top layer was decanted off. The bottom layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ multiple times followed by rinsing with hexane. The oil was then dried under vacuum to give $\mathbf{1 - 6 6}(298 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (565 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-78.4 ; \mathrm{FTIR}(\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film $) 2975,1490,1278,1166,1030,828,638$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OTf}]^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: 242.2$, found: 242. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of (1-66) was complex due to the presence of rotamers.
### 4.3.2 (S)-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1-

phenylmethanaminiumtrifluoromethane sulfonate (1-67). Dimethylbenzylamine (245 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{M}) . \operatorname{MeOTf}(0.13$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. White precipitate formed immediately. After complete addition the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 15 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this case, a precipitate did not form upon addition of MeOTf. Instead, two distinct layers formed. The top layer was decanted off. The bottom layer was washed with washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ multiple times followed by rinsing
with hexane. The oil was dried under vacuum to give salt 1-67 (225 mg, 57\%) as a white fluffy solid (mp 61-63 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-$ $7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3042, 1489, 1262, 1225, 1158, 1030, $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.3$; LRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OTf}]^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}: 276.2$, found: 276. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of (1-67) was complex due to the presence of rotamers.
4.3.3(S)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-N,N,N-trimethylethanaminium
trifluoromethanesulfonate (1-68). Dimethylbenzylamine ( $426 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{M})$. MeOTf ( $0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. White precipitate formed immediately. After complete addition the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 15 minutes at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solid was dried under vacuum to give salt $\mathbf{1 - 6 8}$ ( $783 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 94-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.52$ - 7.36 (m, 2H), $7.06-6.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{q}, J=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.3,131.8,124.0,120.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=321.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 114.6, 73.8, 55.5, 50.8, 15.0; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-78.3; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2967, 1611, 1518, 1258, 1157, 1029, $838 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI) [M-OTf] ${ }^{+}$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}^{+}: 194.2\right.$, found: 194.
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## Chapter 2

## NICKEL-CATALYZED BORYLATION OF BENZYLIC AMMONIUM SALTS: STEREOSPECIFIC SYNTHESIS OF ENANTIOENRICHED BENZYLIC BORONATES

### 2.1 Introduction

Enantioenriched benzylic boronates are synthetically valuable intermediates due to their high reactivity. The carbon-boron (C-B) bond can easily be transformed enantiospecifically to form carbon-carbon or carbonoxygen bonds. Oxidation of the carbon-boron bond will deliver an enantioenriched alcohol, while carbon-carbon bonds can be achieved through cross couplings or Matteson-type homologations. The utility of these benzylic boronates as synthetic intermediates has led to a number of ways to make them asymmetrically.

A variety of methods are known in order to make benzylic boronates enantioselectively from alkenes (Scheme 2-1). The most widely used method in these examples is the asymmetric hydroboration of alkenes (Scheme 2-1A). ${ }^{1,2}$ The Hayashi group's seminal work in this field utilizes a rhodium catalyst with a chiral ligand in order to access enantioenriched benzylic boronates. ${ }^{1 a}$ However, this publication is limited to only methyl groups at the benzylic position from terminal styrenes (2-2, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ ). The Yun group has shown that by using a chiral copper catalyst they can efficiently access the same scaffold in high yields and enantioenrichment. ${ }^{3}$ This method can tolerate internal styrenes to deliver benzylic boronates substituted with linear alkyl chains (2-3). However, they only report two such examples. Additional methods involving boration of alkenes include $\beta$-boration of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyls (Scheme 2-1B) ${ }^{2-4}$, diboration (Scheme 2-1C) ${ }^{5}$, and 1,1-aryl borations (Scheme 2-1D). 6

Scheme 2-1 Enantioselective Synthesis of Benzylic Boronates from Alkenes
A) Asymmetric Hydroboration


$R=$ ester, nitrile, amide, alkyl, or aryl
C) Enantioselective Diboration of Alkenes

D) 1,1-Aryl Boration with Chiral Anion Phase Transfer (CAPT) catalyst


Other strategies to access these products utilize starting materials already containing boron. For example, the Hall and Morken groups have independently shown that they can do a palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of diboronates in order to afford the products in high yields and enantioenrichment (Scheme 2-2A). ${ }^{5 d, 5 b}$ The Hall group has done this
enantiospecifically by beginning with two distinct boron groups. The Morken group has done this enantioselectively beginning with two bispinacolborane groups, and utilizing a chiral TADDOL-based ligand. The Hall group has also shown that they can do an enantioselective, copper-catalyzed conjugate reduction of vinyl boronates(Scheme 2-2B). ${ }^{6}$ The Yun and Morken groups have also shown that they can synthesize enantioenriched 1,1-or 1,2-diboronate compounds through either hydroboration (Scheme 2-2C) ${ }^{5 a}$ or 1,2 addition of a pinacoldiboron followed by asymmetric hydrogenation (Scheme 2-2D). ${ }^{5 c}$ Another powerful method in order to make these enantioenriched boronates has come from the Aggarwal group, where they have shown that they can do an enantioselective homologation of carbamates with boronates (Scheme 2-2E, Cb $=\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}$ ). ${ }^{7}$ However, this chemistry is limited due to the stoichiometric use of expensive (-)-sparteine as the chiral promoter as well as the harsh base $s$ - BuLi , which limits functional group tolerance.

Scheme 2-2 Synthesis of Enantioenriched Benzylic Boronates From Boronate Precursors
A) Cross-Couplings of Diboranes

C) Conjugate Reduction of Vinyl Boronates (Hall)

B) Hydroboration of vinyl boronates (Yun)

D) Hydrogenation of Vinyl Boronates (Morken)
$91-98 \%$ ee

E) Homologation (Aggarwal)


Building on our group's success in developing stereospecific Suzuki crosscouplings of enantioenriched benzylic ammonium salts in order to do a Suzuki crosscoupling ${ }^{8}$, my colleague Corey Basch began to optimize a Miyaura borylation of
benzylic ammonium salts. This method utilizes derivatives of benzylic amines, which are readily available in high enantiomeric excess, commercially available and functional group tolerant diboranes as coupling partners, as well as an inexpensive nickel catalyst in low loadings. This method offers complementary scope to traditional methods such as hydroboration to make these benzylic boronates in high enantiomeric excess.

### 2.2 Results and Discussion

The borylation of ammonium salt 2-22 was chosen for reaction optimization. The primary amine precursor was commercially available in $>99 \%$ ee, and was converted to ammonium salt 2-22 via a straightforward 2-step procedure that has been described in the previous chapter. Beginning with conditions that were optimal for the arylation of benzylic ammonium triflates, there was only $12 \%$ of product 2-23 observed (Table 2-1, entry 1). By switching the base from $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ to NaOMe , the yield was increased to $78 \%$ with $95 \%$ ee (Table 2-1, entry 2 ). By dropping the temperature to room temperature, the enantiomeric excess was increased to $99 \%$, and the yield increased to $84 \%$ (Table 2-1, entry 3). Exploring other monodentate phosphine ligands lead to the optimal $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ providing a yield of $86 \%$ with $99 \%$ ee (Table 2-1, entry 4). Notably, this reaction proceeds well with the air stable $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Table 2-1, entry 5) with only a slight decrease in yield. However, this was not consistent throughout the substrate scope, so $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ was used in order to explore the scope of the reaction.

Table 2-1 Optimization of Miyura Borylation of Benzylic Ammonium Triflates


| Entry | $[\mathbf{N i}]$ | Ligand | temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield (\%) | ee (\%) ${ }^{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}(o-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}$ | 70 | 12 | n.d. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}(o-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}$ | 70 | 78 | 95 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}(o-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}$ | rt | 84 | 98 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | rt | 86 | 99 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | rt | 80 | 99 |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: ammonium triflate 2-22 ( $>99 \%$ ee $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2},[\mathrm{Ni}]$, $\mathrm{NaOMe}\left(1.5\right.$ equiv), THF ( 0.2 M ), 24h, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Ee's of the subsequent alcohol, formed via oxidation with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and NaOMe . Determined by chiral HPLC analysis using chiral stationary phase. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ replaced NaOMe . ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$.d. $=$ not determined.

With these optimized conditions in hand, I joined the project in order to explore the substrate scope. As noted in Scheme 2-3, the model substrate afforded compound 2-24 in 81\% yield and 99\% ee. The more sterically encumbered 1-naphthyl product 2-25 still was formed in high yield and enantiomeric excess. Electron-rich methoxy (2-26) and siloxy (2-27) groups on the naphthyl substituent both resulted in high yields and stereochemical fidelity. Heterocycles such as benzofuran (2-29) and tosyl-protected indole (2-30) were also tolerated. Variations of the R substituent, including functionalized alkyl groups (eg. 2-31-2-33) were also well tolerated. Notably, the reaction worked well with an isopropyl group at the benzylic position (2-34). This compound cannot be synthesized using popular asymmetric hydroboration methods. Other diboronates also reacted in high yields and stereochemical fidelity in this reaction (2-35 and 2-36).

Scheme 2-3 Scope of Miyura Borylation of Benzylic Ammoinum Salts

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: ammonium triflate ( $\geq 95 \%$ ee, $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $22 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), NaOMe ( 1.5 equiv), THF ( 0.2 M ), $\mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, unless otherwise noted. Isolated yields. Yields in parentheses determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. Ee's of the subsequent alcohol, formed via oxidation with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and NaOMe , determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase. Enantiospecificity (es) = ee $\mathrm{p}_{\text {prod }} / \mathrm{ee}_{\text {sm }} \mathrm{X} 100 .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Opposite enantiomer of starting material used. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Typically stereospecific cross-couplings of benzylic electrophiles tend to work better for naphthyl substituents due to an $S_{N} 2$ ' type oxidative addition. When switching to simple phenyl systems, the energy barrier is much higher
than naphthyl due to a complete loss of aromaticity in the molecule if the oxidative addition still goes through the same pathway. This trend was condistent with respect to ammonium salts without an extended aryl substituent. Under the optimized conditions product 2-37 was only formed in $5 \%$ yield after 6 hours. By switching the ligand to 1,3-
bis(cyclohexyl)imidazolium tetrafluoroborate (ICy•BF ${ }_{4}$ ) or $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cy}$, the base to KOMe , and increasing the reaction temperature to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the yield was improved to $53 \%$ with $86 \%$ ee. I hypothesize that these more electron-rich ligands accelerate the difficult oxidative addition. With these conditions, substrates with electron-donating groups on their phenyl substituent, such as $p$-methoxy (2-38) and acetal (2-39) were formed with good yields and ee's. These products show that this reaction can utilize benzylic electrophiles that are traditionally a challenge in stereospecific cross-couplings of benzylic electrophiles.

Scheme 2-4 Scope of Miyura Borylation of Non-Naphthyl Benzylic Ammonium Salts


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: ammonium triflate ( $\geq 95 \%$ ee, $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cy}(22 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{KOMe}\left(1.7\right.$ equiv), THF $(1.0 \mathrm{M}), 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 24 h , unless otherwise noted. Isolated yields. Yields in parentheses determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. Ee's of the subsequent alcohol, formed via oxidation with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and NaOMe , determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase. Enantiospecificity (es) =
ee prod $/ \mathrm{ee}_{s m} \mathrm{X} 100 .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ scale. $\mathrm{ICy} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ ( $12 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in place of $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cy}$. Result of a single experiment.

### 2.3 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a highly stereospecific Miyaura borylation of benzylic ammonium salts to afford enantioenriched benzylic boronates. The reaction utilizes an inexpensive nickel catalyst with either phosphine or NHC ligands. This reaction delivers benzylic boronates with both extended aryl substituents and more challenging phenyl substituents. The substrates are readily accessible in high enantioenrichment from benzylic amines or using classical methods. This method delivers valuable enantioenriched benzylic boronate intermediates, and is the first example of a Miyaura borylation of a non-allylic electrophile to deliver products in high ee's.

### 2.4 Experimental Section

Reactions were performed in oven-dried vials with Teflon-lined caps or in ovendried round-bottomed flasks unless otherwise noted. Flasks were fitted with rubber septa, and reactions were conducted under a positive pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Stainless steel syringes or cannulae were used to transfer air- and moisture-sensitive liquids. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 ( $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 60 \AA$ ) unless otherwise noted. Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros, Fisher, Strem, TCI, Combi Blocks, Alfa Aesar, or Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories and used as received with the following exceptions: Bis(pinacolato)diboron, bis(neopentyl glycolato)diboron, and bis(hexylene glycolato)diboron were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and immediately placed in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox for storage. $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was purchased from Alfa Aesar and donated by AstraZeneca. Methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (MeOTf) was purchased from TCI and used directly. 1,3Bis(cyclohexyl)imidazolium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{ICy} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}\right)$ was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received.. THF was dried by passing through drying columns,
then degassed by sparging with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stored over activated $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$ in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere glovebox. ${ }^{9}$ Commercially available enantioenriched amines were purchased from Alfa Aesar or Sigma Aldrich and used as received. Enantioenriched amines that were not commercially available were obtained through Grignard or hydride additions of Ellman's sulfinimines. ${ }^{10}$ Dimethyl benzyl amines were prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions or reductive amination of the corresponding primary benzyl amine with formaldehyde. ${ }^{11}$ In some instances oven-dried potassium carbonate was added into $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to remove trace amount of acid. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra, carbon nuclear magnetic resonance $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR) spectra, fluorine nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR), and silicon nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{NMR}$ ) were recorded on both 400 MHz and 600 MHz spectrometers. Boron nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR) were recorded on a 600 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to residual protium in the NMR solvent $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}=\delta 7.26\right)$. Chemical shifts for carbon are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=\delta 77.2\right)$. Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity $(\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, q $=$ quartet, $\mathrm{p}=$ pentet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{h}=$ heptet , coupling constants in Hertz (Hz), integration. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using FTIR spectrophotometers with material loaded onto a KBr plate. The mass spectral data were obtained at the University of Delaware mass spectrometry facility. Optical rotations were measured using a 2.5 mL cell with a 0.1 dm path length. Melting points were taken on a Stuart SMP10 instrument.

## Stereospecific Borylation of Benzylic Ammonium Salts

## General Procedure A: Borylation of Naphthyl-Substituted Benzylic Ammonium Salts



In a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox, $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}(8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(4.4$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{NaOMe}\left(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}(114 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and ammonium salt $\mathbf{1}(0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were weighed into a 1-dram vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar. THF ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added, and the vial was capped with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quickly filtered through a short plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, which was then rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography to give the benzylic boronate product. The benzylic boronate was then converted to the corresponding benzylic alcohol via oxidation (see General Procedure C below) to determine the enantiomeric excess (ee).

## General Procedure B: Borylation of Non-Naphthyl-Substituted Benzylic Ammonium Salts



In a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox, $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}(8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cy}$ ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{KOMe}\left(38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}(114$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and ammonium salt 1 ( $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were weighed into a 1-dram vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar. THF ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added and the vial was capped with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The mixture was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quickly filtered through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, which was then rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated and then purified by silica gel chromatography to give the benzylic boronate product. The benzylic boronate was then converted to the corresponding benzylic alcohol via oxidation (see General Procedure C below) to determine the enantiomeric excess (ee).

General Procedure C: Oxidation of Benzylic Boronates to Benzylic Alcohols for Determination of Enantiomeric Excess (ee).


A solution of the benzylic boronate $\mathbf{2 - 2 3}$ ( 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.017 \mathrm{M})$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~N}, 5.9 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ of 2-23) was added, followed by aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%, 5.9 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ of 2-23). The mixture was stirred and allowed to warm slowly to room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 3 x 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified via silica gel chromatography to afford benzylic alcohol 2-40 for ee determination. For duplicate experiments, alcohol 2-40 was isolated once via column chromatography (to verify high yield in the oxidation) and once via preparatory thin-layer chromatography under the same mobile-phase conditions.

(R)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane
(2-24). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22 (amine purchased in $>99 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography (5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to give 2-24 (run 1: $69 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$; run $2: 79 \%$ ) as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.7,134.0,131.8,127.8,127.7,127.6,127.4,125.8$, $125.4,124.9,83.5,24.8,24.8,17.0 .{ }^{12}$ The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{2 b}$

Boronate 2-24 was oxidized to alcohol 2-40 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ (run 1: $99 \%$ ee; run $2: 99 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-40 below.

( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethanol (2-40). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-24. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-40 (run 1 ( 66 mg of 2-24): $38 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a white solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ (run 1: $99 \%$ ee; run 2: $99 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=43.70 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=45.74 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{q}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.3,133.4,133.0$, $128.5,128.1,127.8,126.3,126.0,123.97,123.9570 .7,25.3$. The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{13}$

The absolute configuration of alcohol 2-40 was determined to be $R$ by comparison of its HPLC trace to that of commercially available, enantioenriched 240.

( $R$ )-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborolane ((R)-2-25). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22b (amine purchased in $>99 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 2 5}$ (run $1: 47 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$; run 2: $47 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ) as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.5,134.0$, 132.1, 128.9, 126.0, 125.5, 125.4, 124.4, 124.2, 83.6, 24.8, 24.7, 16.6. ${ }^{12}$ The spectral data matches that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{2 b}$

Boronate 2-25 was oxidized to alcohol 2-41 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ (run 1: $92 \%$, run $2: 91 \%$ ) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-41 below.

( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethanol (2-41). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-25. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-41 (run 1 ( 47 mg of 2-25): $21 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ (run 1: $92 \%$ ee; run 2: $91 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRAPAK IC, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2 \% i$ $\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=23.87 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=18.43 \mathrm{~min}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.12$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.89 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.79 (d, $J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.5,133.9$, $130.4,129.1,128.1,126.2,125.73,125.70,123.3,122.1,67.3,24.5$. The spectral data of this compound match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{13}$

(S)-2-(1-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-
dioxaborolane (2-26). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22c (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel
chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 2 6}$ (run $1: 78 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$; run 2: 78 mg , $83 \%$ ) as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.0,140.3,132.7,129.5,129.1,127.8,126.7,125.3,118.5,105.7,83.5$, $55.4,24.8,24.7,17.1 .^{12}$ The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$

Boronate 2-26 was oxidized to alcohol 2-42 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ (run 1: $98 \%$ ee; run $2: 99 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-42 below.

(S)-1-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)ethanol (2-42). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-26. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 4 2}$ (run 1 ( 67 mg of 2-26): 31 mg , $72 \%$ ) as a white solid; the enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ (run 1 : $98 \%$ ee; run 2: $99 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3 \%$ $i-\operatorname{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=19.99 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=25.84 \mathrm{~min}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.8,141.1,134.2,129.6,128.9,127.3,124.5,123.9$, $119.1,105.9,70.6,55.5,25.2$. The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{14}$

## (S)-tert-butyldiphenyl((6-(1-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-

yl)ethyl)naphthalen-2-yl)oxy)silane (2-27). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22d (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-27 ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 84-86 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.85-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ $7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.8,140.4,135.7,133.2,132.7,130.0$, 129.6, 128.8, 128.0, 127.6, 126.8, 125.2, 121.5, 114.5, 83.5, 26.8, 24.82, 24.79, 19.7, $17.2 ;{ }^{12}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (193 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 33.6 ;{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR ( $79 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-6.4$; FTIR (neat) 2960, 2858, 1603, 1500, 1352, 1143, $975,701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ : 536.2887 , found: 536.2894.

Boronate 2-27 was oxidized to alcohol 2-43 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-43 below.
(S)-1-(6-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)naphthalen-2-yl)ethanol (2-43). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-27. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 4 3}$ (run 1 ( 71 mg of 227): $54 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a colorless semi-solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% ~ i-$ $\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=35.70 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=33.76 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-$ $20.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.05$ (m, 2H), 4.98 (q, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16$ (s, $9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.6,141.1,135.7,134.0,133.0,130.1,129.3$, $128.9,128.0,127.3,124.2,123.7,122.0,114.7,70.6,26.7,25.2,19.7 ;{ }^{29}$ Si NMR (119 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.9$; FTIR (neat) 3347 (broad), 3051, 2931, 2858, 1606, 1482, 1263,

1175, 114, 76, 701, $504 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ : 427.2093, found: 427.2090.

(R)-2-(1-(3-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-
dioxaborolane (2-28). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22e (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-28 ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ) as an opaque semisolid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (ddd, $J=$ $8.1,6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.63(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.2,135.7,133.1,129.4,127.3,126.5,126.3,125.3,123.5,104.4,83.2$, 55.1, 24.80, 24.77, 14.8; ${ }^{12}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $193 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 33.6; FTIR (neat) 2976, 1472, 1388, 1251, 1144, 847, $746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ : 312.1897, found: 312.1884.

Boronate 2-28 was oxidized to alcohol 3e via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-44 below.

(R)-1-(3-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)ethanol (2-44). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-28. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-44 (run 1 ( 46 mg of 2-28): 25 mg , $83 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 5 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=22.47$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=14.96 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-35.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.80-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.6,135.0,133.9,128.9$, $127.9,126.5,126.4,125.3,124.1,105.6,67.0,55.5,23.1$. The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature for the racemic compound. ${ }^{15}$

## (S)-2-(1-(benzofuran-5-yl)ethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane

(2-29). Prepared via General Procedure A, except that the reaction temperature was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using ammonium salt 2-22f (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-29 (run 1: $48 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$; run 2: $55 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 58-59 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (dd, $J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.4,144.9,139.6,127.7,124.7,119.8,111.1,106.7,83.4,24.79,24.75,17.9 ;^{12}$ ${ }^{11}$ B NMR ( $193 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 33.6$; FTIR (neat) 2976, 1467, 1319, 1144, 843, 737 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BO}_{3}: 272.1584$, found: 272.1611.

Boronate 2-29 was oxidized to alcohol 2-45 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ (run $1: 97 \%$ ee; run $2: 98 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-45 below.
(S)-1-(benzofuran-5-yl)ethanol (2-45). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-29. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography
( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 4 5}$ (run 1 ( 41 mg of 2-29): $15 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ (run 1: $97 \%$ ee; run $2: 98 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2 \% i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm})$; $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=45.76 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=43.97 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-33.0^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 0.79$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (bs, 1H), $1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.5,145.6$, 140.7, 127.6, 122.2, 118.1, 111.5, 106.8, 70.8, 25.7; FTIR (neat) 3344 (broad), 2921, 1444, 1261, 1129, 1072, 891, 813, $738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 163.0759, found: 163.0756.

(R)-5-(1-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)ethyl)-1-tosyl-1H-indole (230). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22g (prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). Instead of filtering through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, the diluted mixture was filtered through a small plug of silica gel and then concentrated. 1,3,5-Trimethoxybenzene was added as internal standard, and the yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to be $44 \%$ (run $1: 46 \%$, run $2: 41 \%$ ). The reaction mixture was complicated, preventing effective purification and isolation on scale. However, an analytical sample of 2-30 (contaminated with $\sim 15 \% \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$ ) was purified by silica gel chromatography (prep TLC, $30 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to enable characterization: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.9,140.2,135.6,133.1,131.2,130.0,127.0$, $126.3,125.2,120.0,113.4,109.3,83.5,24.79,24.76,21.7,17.6 ;{ }^{12}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}(193 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 33.8; FTIR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2977, 2930, 1459, 1372, 1173, 676, 583; HRMS (CI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: 425.1832$, found: 425.1840.

The sample was then concentrated and subjected to General Procedure C for oxidation and determination of enantiomeric excess.

Boronate 2-30 was oxidized to alcohol 2-46 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-46 below.

(R)-1-(1-tosyl-1H-indol-5-yl)ethanol (2-46). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-30. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-46 (run 1 ( 43 mg of 2-30): 34 mg , $79 \%$ ) as a pale yellow semi-solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ (run 1: $96 \%$ ee; run 2: $95 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 5 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=58.15 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=53.38$ $\min .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-18.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.165, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.1,141.2,135.3,134.3,131.0,130.0,126.9,126.9,122.5,118.2,113.7$, 109.2, 70.6, 25.5, 21.7; FTIR (neat) 3379 (broad), 2971, 1596, 1369, 1173, 1128, 676, $579 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{CI}+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{+}: 316.1007$, found: 316.1017.


## ( $R$ )-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(5,5,5-trifluoro-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)pentyl)-1,3,2-

dioxaborolane (2-31). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 1h (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography (5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to give 2-31 (run 1: $73 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$; run 2: 69 mg , $60 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp $74-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86-7.74$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.2,134.0,132.1,128.1,127.7,127.6,127.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=276.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $127.3,126.5,126.0,125.2,83.7,33.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=28.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 31.6,24.8,24.7,21.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\mathrm{F}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{12}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}\left(193 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 33.1 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \operatorname{NMR}\left(376.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-$ 66.3; FTIR (neat) 2978, 1361, 1259, 1141, 857, $749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 379.2049$, found: 379.2034 .

Boronate 2-31 was oxidized to alcohol 2-47 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ (run $1: 96 \%$ ee; run $2: 96 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 3h below.

( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-5,5,5-trifluoro-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)pentan-1-ol (2-47). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-31. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 4 7}$ (run 1 ( 61 mg of 2-31): 40 $\mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 48-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ (run 1: $96 \%$ ee; run 2: $96 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK $\mathrm{IB}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=28.25 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=$ $25.09 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+38.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-$ $7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87-$ $4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.7,133.4,133.2,128.7,128.1,127.9,127.2\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $277.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.5,126.2,124.7,123.9,74.4,37.8,33.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=28.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 18.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-66.3$; FTIR (neat) 3350 (broad), 2947,

1391, 1259, 1134, 1028, 821, $749,479 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ : 269.1153, found: 269.1158.

(R)-2-(3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane (2-32). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22i (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 3 2}$ ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp $82-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (note: a $10: 1$ mixture of product to $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$ was observed): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.81-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99$ $-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.5,133.9,131.9,127.9,127.63,127.59127 .5,126.5,125.8,125.0$, 104.7, 83.5, 64.9, 33.5, 26.8, 24.8, 24.7; ${ }^{11}$ B NMR ( $193 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 33.2$; FTIR (neat) 2977, 2882, 1371, 1324, 1141, 857, $750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BO}_{4}: 368.2140$, found: 368.2143 .

Boronate 2-32 was oxidized to alcohol 2-48 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 3i below.

(R)-3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-ol (2-48). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-32. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 3 8}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp $67-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 5 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254$
$\mathrm{nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=31.06 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=27.49 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-18.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.78, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.87-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-$ $1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.1,133.4,133.0,128.4,128.1$, $127.8,126.2,125.9,124.6,124.2,104.4,74.3,65.2,65.1,33.1,30.1 ;$ FTIR (neat) 3434 (broad), 2882, 1409, 1139, 1031, 822, 751, $479 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 241.1229$, found: 241.1225 .

(R)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3-phenylpropyl)-1,3,2-
dioxaborolane (2-33). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22j (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 3 3}$ ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp $77-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.87-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-$ $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60$ (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.6,140.6,133.9,131.9,128.7,128.4,128.0$, $127.7,127.6,127.5,126.5,125.84,125.81,125.0,83.6,35.6,34.3,24.84,24.76$, $^{12}$
${ }^{11}$ B NMR (193 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 33.7$; FTIR (neat) 2977, 2930, 1323, 1141, 857, 748, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BO}_{2}: 372.2261$, found: 372.2270.

Boronate 2-33 was oxidized to alcohol 2-48 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-48 below.

(R)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol (2-48). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-33. The crude mixture was purified by silica
gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 4 8}$ ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 85-86 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=35.44 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=38.33 \mathrm{~min}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-$ $7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ), 4.87 (ddd, $J=8.1,5.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.1,141.9,133.5,133.2$, $128.56,128.59,128.63,128.1,127.9,126.4,126.1,126.0,124.9,124.2,74.2,40.5$, 32.2. The spectral data match that of the literature. ${ }^{16}$


## ( $R$ )-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-(2-methyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propyl)-1,3,2-

dioxaborolane (2-34). Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 222k (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography (5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to give 2-34 (run 1: $46 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$; run 2: 47 mg , $50 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp $85-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.71$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.2,133.9,132.0,128.1,127.7,127.6,127.3,125.7$, 124.9, 83.4, 31.1, 24.8, 24.7, 23.4, 22.3; ${ }^{12}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \delta 141.2$, 134.7, 132.9, 128.7, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 127.9, 126.6, 125.7, 83.9, 31.7, 25.0, 24.9, 23.5, 22.4; ${ }^{41} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (193 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 33.2$; FTIR (neat) 2922, 2850, 1382, 1323, 1143, $1103 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BO}_{2}: 310.2104$, found: 310.2126 .

Boronate 2-34 was oxidized to alcohol 2-49 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ (run 1: $97 \%$, run $2: 98 \%$ ) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-49 below.

(R)-2-methyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-ol (2-49). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-34. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-49 (run 1 ( 39 mg of 2-34): 7 mg , $28 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ (run 1: 97\%, run 2: 98\%) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2 \% ~ i-$ $\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=17.49 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=16.15 \mathrm{~min} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 141.2,133.3,133.1,128.2$, $128.1,127.8,126.2,125.9,125.6,124.8,80.4,35.4,19.3,18.4$. The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{17}$

(R)-5,5-dimethyl-2-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane

Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22a (amine purchased in $>99 \%$ ee $)$ and bis(neopentyl glycolato)diboron ( $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ neop $_{2}$ ) instead of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$. Instead of filtering through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, the diluted mixture was filtered through a small plug of silica gel and then concentrated. 1,3,5-Trimethoxybenzene was added as internal standard, and the yield of the reaction was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis to be $61 \%$. The sample was then concentrated and subjected to General Procedure C for oxidation and determination of enantiomeric excess.

Boronate 2-35 was oxidized to alcohol 2-40 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-50 below.

(R)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethanol (2-50). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-35. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 4 0}$ ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a white solid. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=45.06 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=47.29$ min. The spectral data match that of alcohol 2-40 above.


4,4,6-trimethyl-2-((R)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethyl)-1,3,2-dioxaborinane
Prepared via General Procedure A using ammonium salt 2-22a (amine purchased in $>99 \%$ ee) and bis(hexylene glycolato)diboron ( $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{hex}_{2}$ ) instead of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$. Instead of filtering through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, the diluted mixture was filtered through a small plug of silica gel and then concentrated. 1,3,5-Trimethoxybenzene was added as internal standard, and the yield of the reaction was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis to be $74 \%$. The sample was then concentrated and subjected to General Procedure C for oxidation and determination of enantiomeric excess.

Boronate 2-36 was oxidized to alcohol 2-40 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-40 below.

(R)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethanol (2-40). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-36. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give 2-40 ( 35 mg , quant.) as a white solid. The enantiomeric
excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=44.64 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=46.84$ min . The spectral data match that of alcohol 2-40 above.

(R)-2-(1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane
(2-37).
Prepared via General Procedure B on a $0.5-\mathrm{mmol}$ scale using ammonium salt 2-22n (amine purchased in $>99 \%$ ee) and $\mathrm{ICy} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ ( $19.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) instead of $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cy}$. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 3 7}$ ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) as a clear oil (please note that $\mathbf{2 - 3 7}$ was not subjected to high vacuum due to its volatility): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.20-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $243.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 140.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $83.5,24.8,17.4$. The spectral data match that reported in the literature. ${ }^{18}$ The sample was then concentrated and subjected to General Procedure C for oxidation and determination of enantiomeric excess.

Boronate 2-37 was oxidized to alcohol 2-50 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $86 \%$ (run 1 from oxidation of isolated 237: $87 \%$ ee; run 2 from oxidation of crude 2-37: $85 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-50 below.

(R)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethanol (2-50). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-37. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography
( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 5 0}$ ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $86 \%$ (run 1 from oxidation of isolated 2-37: $87 \%$ ee; run 2 from oxidation of crude 2-37: 85\% ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IF, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=16.25 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=$ $17.65 \mathrm{~min}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=244.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 141.7,127.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 115.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 70.0,25.5 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \operatorname{NMR}\left(565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-115.4$. The spectral data match that of the literature. ${ }^{19}$

(R)-2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (2-38).

Prepared via General Procedure B using ammonium salt 2-22o (amine precursor purchased in $>99 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography (5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to give 2-38 (run 1: $45 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$; run 2: $41 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ) as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14$ (d, $\left.J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.2,137.0,128.6,113.8,83.2,55.2,24.7$, 24.6, 17.4. ${ }^{12}$ The spectral data matches that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{20}$

Boronate 2-38 was oxidized to alcohol 2-51 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $86 \%$ (run $1: 85 \%$ ee; run $2: 87 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-51 below.

(R)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethanol (2-51). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate 2-38. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography
( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 5 1}$ (run 1 ( 45 mg of $\mathbf{2 - 3 8}$ ): $19 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $86 \%$ (run $1: 85 \%$, run $2: 87 \%$ ) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=20.16 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=22.24 \mathrm{~min}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.1$, $138.1,126.8,114.0,70.2,55.5,25.2$. The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{21}$

(R)-2-(1-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)ethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (2-39). Prepared via General Procedure B using ammonium salt 2-22p (amine prepared in $\geq 95 \%$ ee). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography (5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to give 2-39 (run $1: 48 \mathrm{mg}$, $58 \%$; run 2: $53 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) as a clear oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.65 (dd, $J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.6,145.2$, $139.0,120.5,108.6,108.3,100.8,83.5,24.8,24.8,17.7,{ }^{12}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (193 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 33.3 ;$ FTIR (neat) $2977,1487,1321,1237,1144,1041,938,811 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BO}_{4}: 277.1611$, found: 277.1609.

Boronate 2-39 was oxidized to alcohol 2-52 via General Procedure C. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $85 \%$ (run 1: $84 \%$ ee; run $2: 85 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. See alcohol 2-52 below.

(R)-1-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)ethanol (2-52). Prepared via General Procedure C using benzylic boronate $\mathbf{2 - 2 9}$. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel
chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give $\mathbf{2 - 5 2}$ (run 1 ( 40 mg of 2-29): 21 mg , $87 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $85 \%$ (run 1: $85 \%$, run 2: $84 \%$ ) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3 \% ~ i-$ $\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=19.60 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=22.01 \mathrm{~min}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.92-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $147.9,147.0,140.1,118.9,108.3,106.2,101.2,70.5,25.3$. The spectral data match that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{21}$

## Preparation of Benzylic Ammonium Salts

Enantioenriched amines that were not commercially available were obtained through Grignard or hydride additions to Ellman's sulfinimines. ${ }^{10}$ Via these reactions, a single diastereomer of each sulfinamine was isolated (as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis). We thus assume $\geq 95 \%$ ee of the subsequent amine after removal of Ellman's auxiliary. Dimethyl benzyl amines were then prepared using EscheweilerClarke conditions or reductive amination of the corresponding primary benzyl amine with formaldehyde. ${ }^{11}$ We assume no loss of ee in the formation of the trimethyl ammonium triflates from this intermediate. For enantioenriched amines that were commercially available, we also assume no loss of ee in the formation of the trimethyl ammonium triflates.

Ammonium triflates 2-22a, 2-22b, 2-22k, 2-22n, and 2-22o have been previously prepared in our laboratory. ${ }^{22}$

Ammonium triflates prepared via these procedures were used as is in the stereospecific borylation reaction, without further purification. In some cases, impurities are present in the ammonium triflates.

General Procedure D: Preparation of ( $S$ )- $N, N, N$, $N$-trimethyl-1-(naphthalen-1yl)ethanaminium trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22b)

(S)-N,N-Dimethyl-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethanamine ( $0.806 \mathrm{~g}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 a}$ from (S)-(-)-1-(1naphthyl)ethylamine (purchased in $>99 \%$ ee), was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0$ M). MeOTf ( $0.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After complete addition, the mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 30 minutes at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL})$, taken out of the ice bath, and allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring. The white precipitate was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was dried under high vacuum to afford salt $\mathbf{2 - 2 2 b}(1.377 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a white solid, which was used directly in the benzylic borylation. This compound was previously prepared in our laboratory via this method. ${ }^{15}$

(R)-1-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)-N,N,N-trimethylethanaminium
trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22c). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 5.64 mmol scale from ( $R$ )-1-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethanamine, which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 a}$ from $(R)$-1-(6-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)ethanamine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ), to afford salt 2-22c ( $2.085 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 109-111 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.3,135.6,130.2$, $128.4,128.1,127.2,121.0\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.4,105.7,74.5,55.6,51.2,15.2$; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.4$; FTIR (neat) 3043, 1608, 1488, 1270, 1160,

846, $639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI+) [M-OTf] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}^{+}\right]: 244.2$, found: 244.2.

## (R)-1-(6-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)naphthalen-2-yl)-N,N,N-

trimethylethanaminium trifluoromethanesulfonate (1d). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 1.50 mmol scale from $(R)-1$-(6-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)naphthalen-2-yl)- $N, N$-dimethylethanamine, which was prepared by reductive amination ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ from $\quad(R)-1-(6-(($ tert -butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)naphthalen-2-yl)ethanamine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ). In this case, stirring ceased as a result of precipitate formation. The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to 2.0 M and the stir bar was agitated with a spatula to resume stirring. The reaction afforded salt $1 d(0.698 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid (mp $180-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.69 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.53 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33$ (m, 5H), 7.13 (dd, $J=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.3,135.6,135.4,132.6,130.3,130.1,128.5,128.14,128.09,127.2,123.3,120.9$ $\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.6,74.6,51.2,26.7,19.7,15.2 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.4 ;{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR (119 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-5.0$; FTIR (neat) 3051, 2933, 2859, 1605, 1483, 1266, 1161, 1031, 879, 703, $639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI+) [M-OTf] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NOSi}^{+}\right]: 468.3$, found: 468.4.


## (S)-1-(3-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)-N,N,N-trimethylethanaminium

trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22e) Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 1.45 mmol scale from (S)-1-(3-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethanamine,
which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 a}$ from (S)-1-(3-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)ethanamine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ). In this case, a white precipitate did not form upon addition of MeOTf. Instead, two distinct layers formed. The top layer was decanted off. The bottom layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then hexanes ( $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$, HPLC grade) and then dried under high vacuum to give salt 2-22e ( $0.359 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ) as a clear viscous oil. By NMR, an $\sim 8: 1$ mixture of rotamers was observed: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, major rotamer) $\delta$ 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, major rotamer) $\delta$ $154.8,135.3,130.7,128.7,128.5,128.1,126.6,125.1,122.5,120.7$ (q, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.0$ Hz ), 106.8, $65.9,56.0,51.09,51.07,51.05,15.4 ;{ }^{23}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 78.4; FTIR (neat) $3048,1634,1474,1260,1163,1031,756,639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI + ) $\left[M-O T f^{+}\right.$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}^{+}\right]$: 244.2, found: 244.2.

## ( $R$ )-1-(benzofuran-5-yl)- $N, N, N$-trimethylethanaminium

trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22f). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 6.12 mmol scale from ( $R$ )-1-(benzofuran- 5 -yl)- $N, N$-dimethylethanamine, which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 a}$ from $(R)$-1-(benzofuran-5yl)ethanamine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ). In this case, a white precipitate did not form upon addition of MeOTf. Instead, two distinct layers formed. The top layer was decanted off. The bottom layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, which caused white precipitate to form. The precipitate was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried under high vacuum to afford salt 2-22f ( $2.076 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 106-108 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.98(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.8,146.9,128.5,127.1,120.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 112.4,107.0,74.4$,
51.18, 51.15, 51.1, 15.5; ${ }^{23}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.4$; FTIR (neat) 3042, 1472, 1263, 1158, 1030, 838, 750, 639, $518 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ LRMS (ESI + ) [M-OTf] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}^{+}\right]$: 204.1, found: 204.2.


## (S)-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-(1-tosyl-1H-indol-5-yl)ethanaminium

trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22g). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 2.97 mmol scale from ( S )- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-1-(1-tosyl-1 H -indol-5-yl)ethanamine, which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$ from (S)-1-(1-tosyl-1 H -indol-5yl)ethanamine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ) to afford salt $\mathbf{2 - 2 2 g}(1.277 \mathrm{~g}$, $85 \%$ ) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 73-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.01$ (d, $J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.8,135.6,135.0,131.3$, $130.4,128.0,127.4,127.1,120.9\left(q, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.12 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.2,109.1,74.3,51.2,21.8$, $15.4 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.4$; FTIR (neat) $3051,1464,1373,1273$, 1175, 1031, $639,581 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OTf}]^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\right]$: 357.2, found: 357.3.


## (S)-5,5,5-trifluoro- $N, N, N$-trimethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)pentan-1-aminium

 trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22h). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 3.47 mmol scale from ( $S$ )-5,5,5-trifluoro- $N, N$-dimethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)pentan-1amine, which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 a}$ from (S)-5,5,5-trifluoro-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)pentan-1-amine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ). In this case, a precipitate did not form upon addition of MeOTf. Instead, two distinctlayers were observed. The top layer was decanted. The bottom layer was washed with a $1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes $(5 \mathrm{x} 4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried under high vacuum at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford salt $\mathbf{2 - 2 2 h}(1.492 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a sticky solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.15-7.88$ (m, 3H), 7.86 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.64-7.44$ (m, 3H), $4.87-4.76$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.0,134.1,133.1,130.0,129.6,128.7$, $128.2,127.9,127.5,126.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=277.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.9,120.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $78.7,51.8,32.8\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=29.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) 26.3,19.2 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.4$, -66.2; FTIR (neat) 3053, 2957, 1491, 1260, 1154, 1031, 831, $639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI+) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OTf}]^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right]$: 310.2, found: 310.4. Two-dimensonal NMR experiments were used to verify ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ assignments due to the complex nature of the spectra.

(S)-3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)- $N, N, N$-trimethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-aminium trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22i). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 1.93 mmol scale from (S)-3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-N,N-dimethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-amine, which was prepared by reductive amination ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ from (S)-3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-amine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ). In this case, a white precipitate did not form upon addition of MeOTf. Instead, two distinct layers formed. The top layer was decanted off. The bottom layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then hexanes ( $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$, HPLC grade) and then dried under high vacuum to give salt $\mathbf{2 - 2 2 i}(0.854 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a sticky white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.15-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.41$ (m, 3H), $4.89-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-$ 3.63 (m, 2H. Please note: this peak is contaminated with an unknown impurity. At 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the peak corresponding to the impurity shifts and an accurate integration of two protons is obtained), $3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 8.14-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.82(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.59$ - $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.2,134.1$, 133.1, 129.9, 129.5, 128.8, 128.1, 127.8, 127.4, 123.0, $120.8\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=321.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $102.8,78.9,65.1,65.0,51.8,30.0 ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.3$; FTIR (neat) 3054, 2890, 1489, 1264, 1159, 1031, 830, 639, $518 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI+) [M-OTf] ${ }^{+}$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{+}\right]$: 300.2, found: 300.3. Two-dimensonal NMR experiments were used to verify ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ assignments due to the complex nature of the spectra.


## (S)-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1-aminium

trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22j). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 1.93 mmol scale from ( $S$ )-N,N-dimethyl-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1amine, which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$ from (S)-3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)propan-1-amine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ). In this case, a white precipitate did not form upon addition of MeOTf. Instead, two distinct layers formed. The top layer was decanted off. The bottom layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then hexanes ( $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$, HPLC grade) and then dried under high vacuum to give salt $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}(0.854 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a beige solid that slowly turned yellow ( $\mathrm{mp} 65-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.07-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$. Please note: this peak is contaminated with an unknown impurity; however at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the peak corresponding to the impurity shifts and a more accurate integration is obtained.), 3.12 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.55$ (m, 2H), $7.55-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.6,135.1,134.1,133.1,130.0,129.5,128.8,128.4$, $128.2,127.9,127.5,127.3,126.7,123.0,120.8\left(q, J_{\text {C-F }}=320.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 78.9,51.7,32.3$, 29.3; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.3$; FTIR (neat) 3058, 2969, 1490, 1262, 1160, 1030, 829, $638 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OTf}]^{+}$calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right]: 304.2$, found: 304.3. Two-dimensonal NMR experiments were used to verify ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ assignments due to the complex nature of the spectra.


## (S)-1-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-N,N,N-trimethylethanaminium

trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22p). Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 1.52 mmol scale from (S)-1-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)- $N, N$-dimethylethanamine, which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 a}$ from (S)-1(benzo[d] [1,3]dioxol-5-yl)ethanamine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ), to afford salt 2-22p ( $0.471 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as an off-white solid (mp 136-138 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.8,148.6,125.9,120.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 109.0,102.1$, 74.1, 51.13, 51.11, 51.08, 15.3; ${ }^{23}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.5$; FTIR (neat) 3045, 2909, 1493, 1256, 1159, 1031, 835, $639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI+) [M-OTf] ${ }^{+}$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{+}\right]$: 208.1, found: 208.2.


## (S)-1-(1-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)-N,N,N-trimethylethanaminium

trifluoromethanesulfonate (2-22q) Prepared according to General Procedure D on a 5.64 mmol scale from (S)-1-(1-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)- $N, N$-dimethylethanamine, which was prepared using Escheweiler-Clarke conditions ${ }^{11 a}$ from (S)-1-(1-methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)ethanamine (prepared using Ellman's auxiliary ${ }^{10}$ ), to afford salt 2-22I ( $2.085 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ) as a white solid (mp 123-124 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.14-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-$ $7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 1.94 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.4,135.9,128.5$, $128.3,127.5,127.4,125.6,124.4,123.3,120.94,120.90\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=320.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 67.1$, 63.9, $51.38,51.3651 .34,15.4 ;{ }^{23}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-78.4$; FTIR (neat) 3051, 1471, 1272, 1158, 1031, 827, $639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; LRMS (ESI + ) [M-OTf] ${ }^{+}$calculated for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}^{+}\right]: 244.2$, found: 244.2.
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## Chapter 3

## STEREOSPECIFIC CROSS-COUPLINGS TO SET BENZYLIC, ALLCARBON QUATERNARY STEREOCENTERS IN HIGH ENANTIOPURITY

### 3.1 Introduction

Benzylic all-carbon quaternary stereocenters are an important scaffold in pharmaceutical, medicinal, natural products synthesis, and materials science. ${ }^{1}$ Due to their prevalence in biologically active molecules, there are a variety of methods that have been developed in order to produce these compounds asymmetrically. Many of the advances in this field require a functional group in proximity to the quaternary center. These reactions include reactions at the $\alpha-\varepsilon$ carbon from a carbonyl, such as enolate allylations ${ }^{1 d, 2}$ or arylations ${ }^{3}$ (Scheme 3-1A), conjugate additions ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}, 4}$ (Scheme 3-1B), and redox-relay Heck reactions ${ }^{5}$ (Scheme 3-1C). Asymmetric synthesis of quaternary stereocenters $\alpha$ to an alkene can also be accomplished. Hydrovinylation of styrenes delivers this motif (Scheme 3-1D). ${ }^{6}$ In addition, transition metal-catalyzed cross couplings of allylic phosphonate esters or halides provide these products (Scheme 3-1E). ${ }^{7}$

Scheme 3-1 Reactions to Deliver Quaternary Stereocenters Adjacent to $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ Hybridized Carbons
A) Enolate Allylations or Arylations

B) Conjugate Additions

C) Redox-Relay Heck Reactions


E) Allylic Substitutions


However, it still remains a challenge to form these quaternary stereocenter products isolated from either a carbonyl or vinyl group. Towards overcoming this limitation, transition-metal catalyzed cross-couplings have been developed. The Biscoe group has shown that they can form these products with a nickel-catalyzed

Kumada cross coupling of tertiary alkyl Grignard reagents and aryl bromides or triflates (Scheme 3-2A). ${ }^{7}$ The Fu group has developed a nickel-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling of tertiary alkyl bromides and aryl boronic esters (Scheme 3-2B). ${ }^{8}$ The Gong group has developed a reductive cross-coupling of tertiary alkyl halides and aryl bromides to afford these products (Scheme 3-2C). ${ }^{9}$ While all of these examples are seminal publications in order to afford these challenging compounds, they all provide either achiral or racemic products. The only report of an enantioenriched product came from the Doyle group. Utilizing an aziridine as substrate, Doyle showed a single enantioselective example where they could make the product in good yields, but only 27\% ee (Scheme 3-2D). ${ }^{10}$

Scheme 3-2 Transition Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings to Form Achiral or Racemic Quaternary Stereocenters
A) Kumada Cross-Coupling of Aryl-Halides or Triflates


C) Reductive Couplings of Aryl Halides and Tertiary Alkyl Halides

D) Negishi Coupling of Aziridines


The state-of-the-art in asymmetric synthesis of all carbon quaternary stereocenters comes from the Aggarwal group. They have shown a transition metalfree coupling of enantioenriched tertiary boronic esters with aryl lithium reagents to stereospecifically form quaternary stereocenters in good yields and stereochemical fidelity (Scheme 3-3). ${ }^{11}$ Although this is a powerful method to access these scaffolds, it is limited in functional group compatibility due to the harsh $n$-BuLi base.

Scheme 3-3 Transition Metal-Free Cross-Couplings of Tertiary Boronic Esters to Form Enantioenriched Quaternary Stereocenters


Previously, we have reported an enantiospecific nickel-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling of secondary benzylic pivalates in order to form tertiary stereocenters (Scheme 3-4A). ${ }^{12}$ During this work, and consistent with other stereospecific crosscouplings ${ }^{12-13,13 f\{\text { Maity, } 2013 \# 38,13 \mathrm{~g}}$ we noticed that there is a preference for reactivity towards benzylic compounds with naphthyl substituents. It has been proposed that this is due to the mechanism of oxidative addition, which is believed to be $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' at the benzylic position (Scheme 3-4B). ${ }^{13 a 14}$ We hypothesized that with this type of oxidative addition, the increased steric hindrance of utilizing a tertiary carboxylate would be tolerated and allow us to do an enantiospecific nickel-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling to afford all-carbon benzylic quaternary stereocenters (Scheme 3-4C).

Scheme 3-4 Proposed $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' Type Oxidative Additions of Benzylic Carboxylates
A) Our Previous Nickel Catalyzed Suzuki Cross-Coupling of Benzylic Pivalates

B) Proposed Mechanism: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ Type Oxidative Addition $58-98 \%$ ee

C) This Work


In order to develop this chemistry, my colleague Qi Zhou developed conditions in order to afford these quaternary stereocenters in high yields and enantioenrichment. This method utilizes acetates derived from enantioenriched benzylic alcohols, which are readily available through an asymmetric zinc addition. ${ }^{15}$ It also has an air-stable nickel (II) pre-catalyst and air-stable and functional group tolerant aryl boronic esters. I came on this project in order to explore the scope of the reaction.

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

## Synthesis of Enantioenriched Benzylic Acetates

The synthesis of enantioenriched benzylic substrates began with the corresponding ketone (3-33, Scheme 3-5A). Utilizing an asymmetric zinc addition to ketones developed by the Walsh lab ${ }^{15}$, we were able to obtain enantioenriched benzylic alcohols (3-34) in high yields and enantioenrichment. With these in hand, we first attempted to make the benzylic pivalates, which were successful in our previous work to form tertiary stereocenters (Scheme 3-5B). However, due to the steric hinderance of the tertiary alcohols (3-34) we were unable to make these products (335) with our traditional methods. We instead opted for the smaller acetate, enabling synthesis of compounds 3-36 in high yield, with good stereochemical fidelity. The tertiary benzylic acetates (3-36) were stable for over 4 months when stored neat at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox.

## Scheme 3-5 Synthesis of Enantioenriched Benzylic Carboxylates

## A) Synthesis of Enantioenriched Alcohols



3-33
B) Synthesis of Carboxylates

3-34
9-93\%

$89-96 \%$ ee



Optimization and Scope of Suzuki Cross-Coupling of Benzylic Acetates to Form All-

## Carbon Quaternary Stereocenters

My colleague Qi Zhou chose (S)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-yl acetate (3-37) for his preliminary investigation of this reaction. Under similar conditions as those for the Suzuki cross-couping of secondary carboxylates, he was excited to see very high yield of our desired quaternary stereocenter however with diminished enantioenrichment (Entry 1). By surveying different phosphine ligands such as $\mathrm{PhPCy}_{2}$, the stereochemical fidelity was improved, but he saw an increase in elimination by-products 3-40 (Entry 2). Lower temperature (Entry 3) and using THF in place of toluene (Entry 4) improved the enantioenrichment even further, but did not aid in yield or suppression of byproduct formation. He proposed that if this byproduct was from $\beta$-hydride elimination, a bulky Buchwald ligand could suppress this pathway by blocking a coordination site on nickel. Fortunately, the use of CyJohnPhos gave 3-40 in only 5\% yield, while giving the desired product in $81 \%$ with $96 \%$ ee (Entry 5). Transitioning to the more environmentally friendly $2-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{THF}$ and air-stable $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot$ DME, the desired product was obtained in $90 \%$ yield with $95 \%$ ee (Entry 6). Finally, by opting for the neopentyl aryl boronic ester instead of aryl boroxine 3-38, product 3-39 was obtained in near quantitative yield with full stereochemical transfer of the starting material 3-37 (Entry 7). The improvement observed with the boronic ester is likely due to increased solubility.

Table 3-1: Optimization of Tertiary Benzylic Acetates to Form Quaternary
Stereocenters


| Entry | ligand (mol \%) | temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Solvent | yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | es (\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 3-39 (ee, \%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3-40 |  |
| 1 | none | 80 | PhMe | 93 (20) | 2 | 21 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{PhPCy}_{2}(11)$ | 80 | PhMe | 74 (87) | 22 | 90 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{PhPCy}_{2}$ (11) | 60 | PhMe | 72(90) | 25 | 93 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{PhPCy}_{2}$ (11) | 60 | THF | 63 (93) | 24 | 96 |
| 5 | CyJohnPhos (5) | 40 | THF | 81 (96) | 6 | 99 |
| $6^{\text {e }}$ | CyJohnPhos (5) | 40 | 2-Me-THF | 90 (95) | 6 | 98 |
| $7^{\text {e,f }}$ | CyJohnPhos | 40 | 2-Me-THF | 99 (97) | $\leq 3$ | >99 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 3-37 ( 0.10 mmol ), 3-38 ( 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, ligand, NaOMe ( 2.0 equiv), solvent ( 0.4 M ), unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR yields, using an internal standard. Total yields over $100 \%$ reflect the error of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR yields, particularly for minor products. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ es $=$ enantiospecificity $=\left(\mathrm{ee}_{\text {product }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{ee}_{\text {starting material }}\right) .{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot$ DME in place of $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2} .{ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Boronate ester 3-41 ( 2.0 equiv) in place of boroxine 3-38.

Having identified a successful catalyst for an enantiospecific Suzuki crosscoupling to afford benzylic quaternary stereocenters, I, along with Tianyu Tan, joined Qi Zhou in order to explore the substrate scope with respect to the boronic ester coupling partner. Both electron-rich boronic esters, such as amine (3-44) and ether (345), and electron-poor aryl boronic esters such as trifluoromethyl (4-49) and fluo (350) couple well under these reaction conditions. Functional groups such as amides (347) and esters (3-48) are also tolerated well under these mild conditions. Notably, a chloride-substituted aryl boronic ester (3-46) is tolerated, which would allows for further functionalization of these products. Gratifyingly, the sterically encumbered

2,4-dimethyl boronic ester (3-52) provided the quaternary center in high yield and excellent stereochemical fidelity.

Scheme 3-6 Scope of Aryl Boronate Esters




3-48, 87\% 96\% ee $\geq 99 \%$ es ${ }^{\text {c,d }}$


$3-43,95 \%$
$95 \%$ ee
$99 \%$ es


3-44, 82\%

$$
96 \% \text { ee }
$$

$$
\geq 99 \% \mathrm{es}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$


$\begin{array}{cc}3-45,93 \% & 3-46,57 \% \\ 96 \% \mathrm{ee} & 92 \% \mathrm{ee} \\ \geq 99 \% \text { es } & 97 \% \text { es }\end{array}$



3-47, 86\% 94\% ee $98 \%$ es $^{\text {c }}$


3-49, 81\% 96\% ee $\geq 99 \%$ es

$3-50,95 \%$
$94 \%$ ee
$98 \%$ es

$3-51,86 \%$
$92 \%$ ee $97 \%$ es


3-52, 89\% 95\% ee $99 \%$ es
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 3-37 ( 0.40 mmol ), 3-41 ( 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot$ DME ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CyJohnPhos ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), NaOMe ( 2.0 equiv), 2-Me-THF ( 0.4 M ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$, unless noted. Average isolated yields ( $\pm 9 \%$ ) and ee's ( $\pm 1 \%$, determined by HPLC or SFC using a chiral stationary phase) of duplicate reactions, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Single experiment. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{d}} 3.0$ equiv of 3-41.

With respect to the scope of tertiary acetates, the reaction tolerated electronrich methoxy substitution (3-53), sterically encumbered 1-naphthyl (3-54), and
substituted quinoline (3-55) aromatic groups. Protected alcohols (3-56), aryl groups (3-57 and 3-59), and alkenes (3-58) on the alkyl chain all provide high yields and levels of stereochemical fidelity. Notably, these conditions can also be used in order to form triaryl quaternary stereocenters (3-60 and 3-61) in high yields and enantioenrichment. Compound 3-61 is significant as the only example of acetate without a naphthyl-like substituent.

Scheme 3-7 Scope of Tertiary Acetates ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: 3-37 ( 0.40 mmol ), 3-41 ( 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot$ DME ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CyJohnPhos ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), NaOMe ( 2.0 equiv), $2-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{THF}\left(0.4 \mathrm{M}\right.$ ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$, unless noted. Average isolated yields ( $\pm 9 \%$ ) and ee's ( $\pm 1 \%$, determined by HPLC or SFC using a chiral stationary phase) of duplicate reactions, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Single experiment. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 78 \%, 83 \%$ ee, $99 \%$ es ( $84 \%$ ee of acetate) ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ of acetate. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Aryl boroxine ( 0.83 equiv) in place of $\mathbf{3}-\mathbf{4 1} .{ }^{\mathrm{f}} 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathrm{g}} 48 \mathrm{~h}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Opposite enantiomer of acetate used. ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot$ DME, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ CyJohnPhos, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 48 h .

In order to determine the absolute stereochemistry of our starting materials and product, we were able to obtain a crystal of acetate 3-37 and benzylic quaternary stereocenter 3-47. Through X-ray crystallography with a $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, we were able to determine that the reaction was going through retention of configuration. As has been proposed for cross-couplings of benzylic and allylic electrophiles in the past, we believe that the reaction is going through a directed $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' type oxidative addition with the acetate coordinating the nickel catalyst. This is followed by a transmetallation (3-64) and reductive elimination which are known to go through retention of configuration to afford 3-65 with a net retention of the benzylic stereocenter.

Scheme 3-8 Proposed Reaction Mechanism


### 3.3 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a stereospecific nickel-catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling of tertiary acetates to construct all-carbon benzylic quaternary stereocenters in high yield and enantiomeric excess. This reaction provides an efficient asymmetric synthesis to afford both di-aryl and tri-aryl quaternary stereocenters. This reaction utilizes enantioenriched tertiary benzylic alcohols, which are readily available in high enantioenrichment. It also uses air-stable nickel source and boronic ester nucleophiles that are functional group tolerant. This method shows an expansion in transition metal catalysis to go beyond electrophiles adjacent to functional groups such as carbonyls and alkenes, and displays the possibility for tertiary benzylic electrophiles to under go transition metal catalysis.

Scheme 3-9 Stereospecific Cross-Coupling to Set Benzylic, All-Carbon Quaternary Steroecenters in High Enantiopurity


### 3.4 Experimental

## General Information

Reactions were performed in oven-dried vials with Teflon-lined caps or in oven-dried round-bottomed flasks unless otherwise noted. On occasions when a viscous mixture formed in the reaction vials, a higher speed of stirring or shaking was performed to guarantee sufficient mixing. Flasks were fitted with rubber septa, and reactions were conducted under a positive pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Stainless steel syringes or cannulae were used to transfer air- and moisture-sensitive liquids. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 ( $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, or 5-20 $\mu \mathrm{m} 60 \AA$ ) unless otherwise noted. Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros, Fisher, Strem, TCI, Combi Blocks, Alfa Aesar, or Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories
and used as received with the following exceptions: sodium methoxide, anhydrous 2methyltetrahydrofuran, diethyl zinc, dimethyl zinc ( 1.0 M in PhMe ) were purchased from vendors and immediately placed in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox for storage. Acetic anhydride and $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i \mathrm{Pr})_{4}$ were distilled before use and stored under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Toluene, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and THF were dried by passing through drying columns and stored over activated $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$ in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox. ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}(R, R)$ - Bis (sulfonamide) diol ligand L1 was prepared according to reported literature procedure. ${ }^{i i} \operatorname{Bis}(4-((t e r t-$ butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butyl)zinc was prepared according to reported literature procedure and used immediately.iii Oven-dried potassium carbonate was added into $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to remove trace amount of acid. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR) spectra were recorded on both 400 MHz and 600 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to residual protium in the NMR solvent $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}=\delta 7.26\right)$. Chemical shifts for carbon are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=\delta 77.2\right)$. Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity $(\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, q $=$ quartet, $\mathrm{p}=$ pentet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{h}=$ heptet , coupling constants in Hertz (Hz), integration. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using FTIR spectrophotometers with material loaded onto a NaCl plate. The mass spectral and X ray crystallography data were obtained at the University of Delaware facilities. Optical rotations were measured using a 2.5 mL cell with a 0.1 dm path length. Melting points were taken on a Stuart SMP10 instrument. Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined using chiral HPLC analysis at the University of Delaware or chiral SFC analysis at Lotus Separations, Inc.


L1

bis(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butyl)zinc

## Detailed Optimization Table



Conditions: 1a ( 0.10 mmol ), 2a ( 1.0 equiv), [ Ni ] ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ligand, NaOMe ( 2.0 equiv), solvent ( 0.4 M), unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{a}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis using an internal standard. Total yields over $100 \%$ reflect the inherent error bar of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR yields, particularly for minor products. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase. ${ }^{c}$ es $=$ enantiospecificity $=$ $\left(\mathrm{ee}_{\text {product }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{e}_{\text {starting material }}\right) .{ }^{d}$ Boronate ester 3a used in place of boroxine $\mathbf{2 a}$.

## Evaluation of Aryl Boronate Reagents



Conditions: 1a ( 0.10 mmol ), $\mathrm{ArBX}_{2}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DME}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CyJohnPhos ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{NaOMe}(2.0$ equiv), 2-Me-THF ( 0.4 M ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $22 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis using an internal standard. Total yields over $100 \%$ reflect the inherent error bar of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR yields, particularly for minor products. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase. ${ }^{c}$ es $=$ enantiospecificity $=$ $\left(\mathrm{ee}_{\text {product }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{ee}_{\text {starting material }}\right)$.

## Stereospecific Arylation to Prepare Diaryl and Triarylalkanes

## General Procedure A: Stereospecific Arylation of Tertiary Benzylic Acetates


(S)-2-(2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-42). In a $\mathrm{N}_{2}-$ atmosphere glovebox, $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot$ DME ( $4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CyJohnPhos ( 7.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOMe}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were weighed into a 1-dram vial fitted with a magnetic stir bar. 2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane (3-41a, $176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.800 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and $(S)$-2-(naphthalen-$2-y l)$ butan-2-yl acetate (3-37a, prepared in $95 \%$ ee, $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were added, followed by 2-Me-THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ ). The vial was capped with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h . Please note that these reactions are heterogeneous and vigorous stirring is critical. The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a plug of silica gel and Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, which was then rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated and then purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-2 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give the compound 3-42 (run 1: $100.3 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$; run 2: 105.7 mg , $91 \%$ ) as a colorless sticky oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ (run 1: $93 \%$ ee; run 2: $92 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=12.058 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=$ $14.930 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-10.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 4.25, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85-$ $7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ $-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.20(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.4$, $151.5,146.9,133.3,131.9,128.9,128.1,127.6,127.5,127.2,125.9,125.5,124.9$, 120.4, 114.3, 110.3, 55.3, 46.8, 33.9, 26.9, 9.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3054, 2967, 2934, 2877, 1599, 1582, 1485, 1457, 1430, 1290, 1254, 1053, 819, 749, 703, $477 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; HRMS (CI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}$ : 291.1749, found: 291.1773.

(R)-2-(2-Phenylbutan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-43). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $96 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (run 1: $100 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$; run 2: 96.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ (run $1: 95 \%$ ee; run 2 : $95 \%$ ee) by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H ( $25 \times 0.46 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $15 \% \operatorname{EtOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine $) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (100 bar), $\lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=6.25 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=7.32 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+13.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.88-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.18(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.7,147.0,133.2,131.8,128.10,128.07,127.63,127.61,127.5,127.3$, $126.0,125.7,125.6,124.9,46.8,33.8,26.9,9.4$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3055, 2968, 2934, 2876, 1599, 1494, 1444, 1380, 1273, 1131, 1029, 948, 897, $770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20}: 260.1565$, found: 260.1558 .

(R)-N,N-Dimethyl-4-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-yl)aniline (3-44). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in 96\% ee) as a white solid (mp 64-66 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $99 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H ( 25 x 0.46 cm ) , $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 55 \% \mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine) $\left./ \mathrm{CO}_{2}(100 \mathrm{bar}), \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}\right) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=11.68 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=17.76$ $\min .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+22.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 3.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$,
$1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (dd, $J=8.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.28$ $-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $148.6,147.6,137.8,133.3,131.8,128.2,128.1,127.50,127.46,127.4,125.8,125.4$, $124.8,112.3,45.9,40.8,34.0,27.0,9.4$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3431, 3054, 2966, 2934, 2876, 1613, 1519, 1444, 1348, 1201, 1166, 948, 818, 746, 569, $476 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}: 303.1987$, found: 303.1966.

(R)-2-(2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-45). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $96 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (run 1: $110 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$; run 2: $105.7 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ (run 1 : $96 \%$ ee; run 2: $96 \%$ ee) by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H ( $25 \times 0.46 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 25 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine $) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}(100$ bar $\left.), \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}\right) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=$ $4.89 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=6.27 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+12.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.98, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-$ $7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.5$, $147.2,141.8,133.2,131.8,128.6,128.1,127.6,127.5,127.3,125.9,125.5,124.8$, 113.3, 55.3, 46.1, 34.0, 27.1, 9.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3055, 2967, 2932, 2876, 1511, 1463, 1441, 1298, 1248, 1182, 1034, 852, $745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}: 291.1749$, found: 291.1768 .

(R)-2-(2-(4-Chlorophenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-46). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $95 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (run 1: 62.1 mg ,
$53 \%$; run 2: $70.4 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ (run 1: $92 \%$ ee; run $2: 92 \%$ ee) by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H ( $25 \times 0.46$ $\mathrm{cm}), 3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 15 \% \operatorname{EtOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine $) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ( 100 bar ), $\lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=6.18 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=6.97 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+10.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.66, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.85-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.3$, $146.4,133.3,131.9,131.6,129.1,128.2,128.1,127.8,127.5,127.0,126.1,125.7$, 125.0, 46.6, 33.9, 26.9, 9.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3055, 2969, 2934, 2887, 1599, 1489, 1092, 1012, 817, 746, $477 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}$ 294.1775, found: 294.1189 .

( $R$ )-N,N-Diethyl-4-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-yl)benzamide (3-47). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $96 \%$ ee) except that the reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Compound 3-47 was obtained as white solid (mp $96-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; run $1: 125 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$; run 2: $122 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ (run 1: $94 \%$ ee, run 2: $94 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 8 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=11.038$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=10.179 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+18.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.16, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ - 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.54 (br s, 2H), 3.28 (br s, 2H), $2.33-2.18$ (m, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.70 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.5,150.9,146.5,134.6,133.2,131.9,128.1,127.7,127.6,127.5,127.2$, 126.3, 126.0, 125.7, 125.1, 46.9, 43.4, 39.3, 33.8, 26.9, 14.4, 13.0, 9.3; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3053, 2970, 2934, 2876, 1630, 1457, 1424, 1288, 1098, 1019, 819, $748,478 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}: 360.2327$, found: 360.2347 .

X-ray quality crystals were obtained from slow evaporation of 3-47 in EtOAc. The crystal structure demonstrates that the absolute configuration is $R$ (Figure S 1 ). The enantiomeric excess of the crystal was determined to be $96 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis, with the major enantiomer matching that of the bulk material isolated as described above.

Figure S1. Molecular diagram of (R)-3-47 with ellipsoids at $50 \%$ probability. Hatoms omitted for clarity. (CCDC 1424635)


( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-Methyl-4-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-yl)benzoate (3-48). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-48 (prepared in $96 \%$ ee) except that 3.0 equiv of $\mathbf{3 - 4 1}$ were used and the reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Compound 3-48 was obtained as a colorless oil (run 1: $114.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$; run 2: $105.6 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). The
enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ (run 1: $96 \%$ ee, run $2: 96 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{hexane}, \lambda=254$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=30.604 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=33.299 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+8.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.23, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.98-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.76$ (m, 2H), 7.69 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.41$ (m, 2H), $7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ (dd, $J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.2,155.1,146.2,133.3,131.9,129.4$, 128.1, 127.84, 127.75, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.1, 125.8, 125.0, 52.1, 47.2, 33.8, 26.8, 9.3; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) $3055,2969,2878,1718,1608,1435,1279,1188,1115$, 1018, 854, 819, 747, $477 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 319.1698, found: 319.1708.

(R)-2-(2-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-49). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $96 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil ( 117 mg , 89\%). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=39.173$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=35.980 \mathrm{~min}$. A second run using 3-37a (prepared in $95 \%$ ee) gave 349 (95 mg, 72\%) in $95 \%$ ee. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+9.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-$ 7.45 (m, 4H), 7.35 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ (dd, $J=8.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.21$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.9$, $145.9,133.2,131.9,128.1,128.02\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=32.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.0,127.9,127.6,126.9$, $126.2,125.8,125.1,125.0\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=272.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 47.0,33.8$, 26.8, 9.25; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-62.2; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3057, 2971, 2937, 2880, 1921, 1617, 1504, 1409, 1325, 1273, 1122, 1068, 1016, 948, 841, 748 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{EI}+)[\mathrm{M}]+$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3}: 328.1439$, found: 328.1447 .

(S)-2-(2-(3-Fluorophenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-50). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $96 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (run 1: 103.5 mg , $93 \%$; run 2: $106.4 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ (run 1: $94 \%$ ee; run 2: $94 \%$ ee) by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H (25 x $0.46 \mathrm{~cm}), 3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 8 \% \operatorname{EtOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine $) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (100 bar), $\lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=5.22 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=5.57 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+7.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.19, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5$, $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=245 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 152.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 146.3,133.3$, 131.9, 129.4 (d, $J_{C-F}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 128.1, 127.8, 127.5, 127.0, 126.1, 125.7, 125.0, 123.4 $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{C-F}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 112.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 46.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=\right.$ 1.5 Hz ), 33.8, 26.8, 9.3; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $565 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-113.6$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) $3056,2970,2878,1612,1585,1485,1433,1243,1163,917,818,783,477 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}: 278.1471$, found: 278.1479 .

(S)-5-(2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-yl)benzo-[1,3]-dioxole (3-51). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $95 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (run 1: 110 $\mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$; run 2: $100 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ (run 1: $92 \%$ ee; run $2: 92 \%$ ee) by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H ( 25 x $0.46 \mathrm{~cm}), 3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine $\left.) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}(100 \mathrm{bar}), \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$; $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=4.19 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=4.93 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+3.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 4.57, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$
( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.6,147.1,145.4,143.8,133.3,131.9$, 128.1, 127.7, 127.5, 127.1, 126.0, 125.6, 124.8, 120.4, 108.7, 107.6, 100.9, 46.6, 34.1, 27.1, 9.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3055, 2968, 2933, 2877, 1631, 1599, 1485, 1430, 1235, 1039, 938, 817, $746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}: 304.1463$ found: 304.1482.

(S)-2-(2-(2,4-Dimethylphenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-52). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37a (prepared in $96 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (run 1: 103.8 mg , $90 \%$; run 2: $100.4 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ (run 1: $95 \%$ ee; run 2: $95 \%$ ee) by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H (25 x $0.46 \mathrm{~cm}), 3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 8 \% \operatorname{EtOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine $) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (100 bar), $\lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=7.83 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=8.59 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-16.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.84-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (dtd, $J=14.9,7.5,7.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (dd, $J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ $-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.2$, $142.8,137.2,135.7,133.5,133.4,131.7,128.0,127.7,127.6,127.5,126.3,126.1$, 125.8, 125.3, 124.2, 46.9, 32.8, 28.0, 21.9, 20.9, 9.5; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3054, 2969, 2934, 2876 1630, 1598, 1502, 1455, 1376, 1265, 1130, 1040, 948, 894, 769, $476 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24}: 288.1878$, found: 288.1896.

(S)-2-Methoxy-6-(2-(3-methoxyphenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-53). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37b (prepared in $92 \%$ ee) as a colorless sticky oil (run 1: $120 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$; run 2: $124.9 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $90 \%$ (run 1: $90 \%$ ee; run $2: 89 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=16.688$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=18.948 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+7.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 4.28, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.30-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.4,157.6,151.7,144.6,132.9,129.6,128.9,128.7,127.7,126.6,124.8$, 120.4, 118.6, 114.3, 110.2, 105.7, 55.5, 55.2, 46.7, 33.9, 26.9, 9.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) $3057,2967,2936,2834,1609,1488,1456,1388,1264,1198,1032,852,779$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{CI}+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}: 321.1855$, found: 321.1859.

(R)-1-(2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)butan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-54). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37c (prepared in $90 \%$ ee), except on a 0.30 mmol scale. Product 3-54 was isolated as a colorless sticky oil ( $58.6 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $88 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $0.1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=11.227 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=14.389 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{A}$ duplicate run was performed via General Procedure A using 3-37c (prepared in $84 \%$ ee), except on a 0.3 mmol scale, to give 3-54 as a colorless oil ( $70.1 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) in $83 \%$ ee. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+17.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 3.09, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81-7.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,6.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=14.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.0,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7,153.1,143.3,135.0,131.6,129.3$,
$129.0,127.9,127.3,125.3,124.9,124.8,124.7,119.4,113.1,110.0,55.2,47.5,33.6$, 29.4, 9.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3048, 2969, 2936, 2833, 1604, 1580, 1485, 1289, 1043, 877, 777, $705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS $(\mathrm{CI}+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}: 291.1749$, found: 291.1747.

(S)-6-(2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)butan-2-yl)-2-methylquinoline (3-55). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37d (prepared in 99\% ee), except that 3-38 (133 mg, $0.332 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.83$ equiv) was used in place of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Product 3-55 was isolated as a pale yellow oil (run $1: 91 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$; run 2: $102.9 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $97 \%$ (run 1: $97 \%$ ee; run 2: $97 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 5 \% i$ $\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=8.758 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=9.969 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $+5.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 3.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-$ $7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,158.6,151.1,146.9,146.6,136.4,130.7,129.0$, 128.3, 126.1, 124.5, 122.0, 120.3, 114.3, 110.4, 55.2, 46.8, 33.9, 26.9, 25.4, 9.3; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3053, 2968, 2936, 2833, 1599, 1488, 1431, 1291, 1254, 1173, 1052, 837, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}: 305.1780$, found: 305.1759 .

(S)-tert-Butyl((5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-5-(naphthalen-2-yl)hexyl)oxy)dimethylsilane (3-56). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37e (prepared in $99 \%$ ee) as a
colorless sticky oil ( $159.8 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=33.672 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=26.337 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-11.0^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 5.22$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (dddd, $J=19.3,8.1,6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.26-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), $-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.4,151.6,147.0,133.3,131.9$, $129.0,128.1,127.7,127.5,127.1,125.9,125.5,124.7,120.3,114.2,110.3,63.2,55.2$, 46.6, 41.4, 33.7, 27.6, 26.1, 21.3, 18.4, -5.1; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3055, 2934, 2856, 1606, 1470, 1255, 1099, 1046, 836, 775, 705, $476 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: 448.2798$, found: 448.2790 .

(S)-2-(2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-4-phenylbutan-2-yl)naphthalene (3-57). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37f (prepared in 94\% ee), except that the reaction was run for 48 h . Product 3-57 was obtained as a colorless oil (run 1: $171.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$; run 2: $137.8 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ (run1: $94 \%$ ee; run 2: $94 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=34.901 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=31.785 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-25.9^{\circ}$ (c $4.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17$ (m, 3H), $7.17-7.13$ (m, 2H), $6.88-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (ddd, $J=$ 8.3, 2.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.59-2.49 (m, 2H), $2.49-2.37$ (m, 2H), 1.84 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.6,151.1,146.6,143.0,133.3,132.0,129.1$, $128.51,128.50,128.2,127.9,127.5,127.0,126.1,125.9,125.7,124.8,120.2,114.2$, 110.5, 55.3, 46.7, 43.9, 31.5, 27.5; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3056, 3024, 2946, 2867,

1600, 1283, 1494, 1291, 1047, 908, 818, $760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}: 366.1984$, found: 366.1967.

Please note: The absolute configuration of 3-57 is tentatively assigned. The absolute configuration resulting from the method used in the preparation of its alcohol precursor 3-71 has not been reported in the literature. Please see the experimental for 3-71 below.

(E)-2-(2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(o-tolyl)pent-4-en-2-yl)naphthalene

Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37g (prepared in 96\% ee), except that 3-38 ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.332 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.83$ equiv) was used in place of $\mathbf{3 - 4 1}$. Product 3-58 was isolated as a colorless sticky oil (run 1: $125.6 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$; run 2: $119.7 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ (run $1: 95 \%$ ee; run $2: 94 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.1 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=13.480 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=15.096 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+3.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 4.84, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.85-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-$ $3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,151.0$, $146.5,137.1,135.1,133.3,132.0,131.1,130.1,129.1,128.5,128.2,127.8,127.5$, 127.1, 127.0, 126.04, 126.02, 125.9, 125.7, 124.8, 120.3, 114.2, 110.6, 55.3, 46.8, 45.6, 27.7, 19.8; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3054, 2965, 2933, 1599, 1485, 1431, 1258, 1047, 967, 818, $754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}: 392.2140$, found: 392.2137.

Please note: The absolute configuration of 3-58 is tentatively assigned. The absolute configuration resulting from the method used in the preparation of its acetate
precursor 3-37g has not been reported in the literature. Please see the experimental for 3-37g below.

(S)-2-(1,3-Bis(3-methoxyphenyl)pentan-3-yl)naphthalene (3-59). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37h (prepared in $89 \%$ ee), except that 3-38 (133 mg, $0.332 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.83$ equiv) was used in place of 3-41. Product 3-59 was isolated as a colorless sticky oil ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $87 \%$ by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALCEL OJ-H ( $25 \times 0.46 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 20 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \%$ diethylamine $) / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (100 bar), $\lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=9.10 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=7.81 \mathrm{~min}$. A duplicate experiment was conducted with $\mathbf{1 h}$ (prepared in $87 \%$ ee) to give $22(103 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ in $86 \%$ ee. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-30.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 3.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.87-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.8,159.4,150.1,145.7,144.8,133.2,131.9,129.5$, $128.9,128.2,127.7,127.51,127.48,126.0,125.6,125.5,120.93,120.92,114.9$, $114.4,111.0,110.4,55.29,55.28,50.0,38.9,30.9,29.5,8.6$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3054, 2955, 2833, 1600, 1487, 1257, 1153, 1050, 908, 813, $782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 410.2246$, found: 410.2238.

Please note: The absolute configuration of 3-59 is tentatively assigned. The absolute configuration resulting from the method used in the preparation of its alcohol precursor 3-72 has not been reported in the literature. Please see the experimental for 3-72 below.

(R)-2-(1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylethyl)naphthalene (3-6-). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37i (prepared in 96\% ee) as a colorless oil (run 1: 95 $\mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$; run $2: 101 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ (run 1: 94\%; run 2: 94\%) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $0.1 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=49.084 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=52.102 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $+15.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.86, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-6.74$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.4,150.6,148.8,146.5,133.2,132.0,130.6,128.94,128.91,128.3$, $128.1,127.8,127.5,127.0,126.2,126.0,125.9,121.7,115.6,110.9,55.3,52.9,30.6 ;$ FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3055, 2978, 2934, 2833, 1597, 1487, 1256, 1044, 820, 745, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) [M+H] calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}: 339.1749$, found: 339.1742.

(R)-4-(1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (3-61). Prepared via General Procedure A using 3-37j (prepared as $91 \%$ ee), except with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot$ DME, 10 mol \% CyJohnPhos, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 48 h . Product 3-61 was isolated as a colorless oil (run 1: $84.4 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$; run 2: $96.2 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $91 \%$ (run 1: $91 \%$ ee; run $2: 91 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.1 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=18.913 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=18.288 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+31.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-$
$7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$ $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.4,150.8,149.0,148.2,140.9,138.8,129.3$, $128.90,128.86,128.85,128.0,127.3,127.1,126.6,126.2,121.6,115.6,110.8,55.3$, 52.5, 30.6; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3055, 3028, 2979, 2833, 1598, 1486, 1290, 1254, 1040, 845, 735, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS $(\mathrm{CI}+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}: 365.1905$, found: 365.1907 .

## Preparation of Enantioenriched Tertiary Benzyl Acetates

## General Procedure B: Preparation of (S)-2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-yl Acetate (3-37a).



In an oven-dried $100-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottomed flask, was placed 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-ol (3-66, prepared in $96 \%$ ee, $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), 4pyrrolidinopyridine (PPY, $168 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.150$ equiv), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3$ M). Then flask was then placed in an ice/water bath. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added, followed by acetic anhydride ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The solution was then stirred at room temperature for $14 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Sat} . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-20 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give 3-37a as a viscous oil ( 1.45 g , $80 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=8.234 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=6.313$ $\min .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+5.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 3.59, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86-7.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.8,142.4,133.2,132.5,128.3$, 128.1, 127.6, 126.2, 125.9, 123.6, 123.2, 84.6, 35.1, 24.5, 22.4, 8.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) $3057,2977,2938,2880,1734,1458,1366,1246,1128,1017,817,747,477 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 242.1307$, found: 242.1309.

This type of compound decomposed to olefins quickly in pure form at room temperature, but is relatively stable in cold solution. Our suggestion is to immediately dissolve in anhydrous 2-Me-THF and store in fridge under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

A crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis was obtained upon cooling the viscous oil isolated above neat at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystal structure demonstrates that the absolute configuration is $S$ (Figure S 2 ).

Figure S2. Molecular diagram of 3-37a with ellipsoids at $50 \%$ probability, H -atoms omitted for clarity. (CCDC 1502353)


(S)-2-(6-Methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-yl acetate (3-37b). Prepared via General Procedure B using 3-67 (prepared as $92 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (75\%). The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $92 \%$ based on the starting material (3-67). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+42^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40$ (dd, $J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.16-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.9,157.8,140.1,133.6,129.8,128.7,126.9,123.8,123.5,119.0$, 105.7, 84.7, 55.5, 35.1, 24.5, 22.4, 8.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2975, 2937, 1734,

1608, 1367, 1247, 1204, 1164, 1031, $850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI + ) [M]+H calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 273.1491, found: 273.1501.

(S)-2-(Naphthalen-1-yl)butan-2-yl acetate (3-37c). Prepared via General Procedure B using 3-68 $(90 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil ( $58 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $90 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1.0 \%$ $i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=9.214 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=8.322 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $+10.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.88, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.7,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.6,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $169.5,139.9,134.9,130.4,129.7,128.7,125.6,125.5,125.1,125.0,124.3,85.6,34.0$, 24.6, 21.8, 8.7; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2979, 2940, 1734, 1653, 1558, 1507, 1364, 1242, 1107, 1015, 804, $776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 242.1307, found: 242.1316 .

(S)-2-(2-Methylquinolin-6-yl)butan-2-yl acetate (3-37d). Prepared via General Procedure B using 3-69 ( $99 \%$ ee) as a yellow oil ( $87 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 6.0 \%$ i$\operatorname{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=13.331 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=9.787 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $+7.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.51, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.8,159.0,147.1,142.2,136.5,128.7,126.7,126.1,123.2,122.3$, 84.3, 35.1, 25.4, 24.4, 22.3, 8.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2977, 2938, 1739, 1601, 1368,

1247, 1136, 1078, $834 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) $[\mathrm{M}]+\mathrm{H}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : 258.1494, found: 258.1488 .

(S)-6-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)hexan-2-yl acetate (337e). Prepared via General Procedure B using 3-70 ( $99 \%$ ee) as a colorless oil (75\%). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLCEL OD-H, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, ~ 0.5 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=$ $21.535 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=14.469 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+15.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 4.73, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(600$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $169.9,142.5,133.2,132.5,128.3,128.1,127.6,126.1,125.9,123.5,123.1,84.2,63.0$, $42.4,33.0,26.0,24.8,22.4,20.3,18.4,-5.2$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3058, 2952, 2929, 2857, 1739, 1366, 1248, 1101, 836, $775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}: 400.2434$, found: 400.2435 .

(S)-2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-4-phenylbutan-2-yl acetate (3-37f). Prepared via General Procedure B as a colorless oil ( $96 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determine to be $94 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.5 \% ~ i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=11.227 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=12.577 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-74.8^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.9,142.2,141.8$, $133.2,132.5,128.49,128.46,128.4,128.3,127.6,126.3,126.01,126.00,123.6$, 123.0, 84.0, 44.1, 30.4, 25.3, 22.4; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3059,3 025, 2937, 1734, 1717, 1652, 1558, 1506, 1244, $747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 318.1620, found: 318.1648.

Please note: The absolute configuration of 3-37f is tentatively assigned. The absolute configuration resulting from the method used in the preparation of its alcohol precursor 3-71 has not been reported in the literature. Please see the experimental for 3-71 below.

(S)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)pentan-3-yl acetate (3-37h). Prepared via General Procedure B using 3-72(89\% ee) as a colorless oil ( $80 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $89 \%$ based on the starting material. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $+30.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-$ $7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.7,7.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-$ $6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.6,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51$ - 2.41 (m, 2H), $2.39-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.7,159.7,143.6,140.6,133.2,132.5,129.4,128.4$, 128.2, 127.6, 126.3, 126.0, 124.5, 123.2, 120.9, 114.2, 111.3, 87.8, 55.2, 39.4, 30.9, 30.1, 22.2, 7.8; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3056, 2970, 2937, 1733, 1600, 1489, 1455, 1366, 1242, 1046, 1021, 819, $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 362.1882$, found: 362.1906 .

Please note: The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3 - 3 7 h}$ is tentatively assigned. The absolute configuration resulting from the method used in the preparation of its alcohol precursor 3-72 has not been reported in the literature. Please see the experimental for 3-72 below.

(R)-1-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-1-phenylethyl acetate (3-37i). Prepared via General Procedure B using 3-73 ( $96 \%$ ee) as a colorless sticky oil (54\%). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IB, 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=8.923 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=7.511$
$\min .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+15.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 4.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89-7.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (dd, $J=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.4,145.6,142.9,133.0,132.6,128.5$, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 127.3, 126.3, 126.2, 126.1, 124.6, 124.5, 84.8, 27.0, 22.6; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) $3056,3024,2981,1739,1368,1241,1188,749,699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 290.1307$, found: 290.1328.

(R)-1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-1-phenylethyl acetate (3-37j). Prepared via General Procedure B using 3-74 (91\% ee) as a colorless oil (61\%). The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $91 \%$ based on the starting material. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-17.8^{\circ}$ (c 0.84, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.4,145.7,144.8,140.8,140.1,128.9$, 128.3, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 127.0, 126.5, 126.0, 84.6, 27.0, 22.6; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) $3057,3029,2939,1739,1600,1582,1487,1446,1368,1238,1057,875,761$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 316.1463$, found: 316.1485 .

## Preparation of (S,E)-2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-5-(o-tolyl)pent-4-en-2-yl acetate (3-

 37g).

2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-ol. This procedure was adapted from that reported in the literature. iv In an oven-dried, $50-\mathrm{mL}$, round-bottomed flask was placed ( $R$ )-BINOL ( $312 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.300$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(9.0 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ). $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i \operatorname{Pr})_{4}(0.33$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.30$ equiv) was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 10 min . Then $i \operatorname{PrOH}(5.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 73 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv) was added, followed by a solution of 2-acetonaphthone ( $618 \mathrm{mg}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and then tetraallyltin ( $0.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). The orange solution was stirred at room temperature for 22 h and quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. To remove solids, the mixture was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, which was then washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with sat. NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified on silica gel chromatography ( $0-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give a 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-ol as a clear oil ( $634.2 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3 \%$ i-PrOH/hexane, $\lambda=254$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=12.164 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=10.175 \mathrm{~min}$. The spectral data of this compound matches of that reported in the literature. ${ }^{v}$

Please note: The absolute configuration of 2-(naphthalene-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-ol is tentatively assigned. The absolute configuration resulting from this allylation procedure has not been reported in the literature.[REF: Walsh ACIE 2002]

2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-yl acetate. Using General Procedure B, 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-yl acetate was obtained as a colorless oil ( 632.5 mg , 86\%) from 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-ol ( $611 \mathrm{mg}, 2.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ee): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.90-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dtd}, J=$ $9.2,6.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.63 (ddt, $J=17.3,10.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.11-4.95$ (m, 2H), 2.94 (dd, $J=14.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.8,142.2,133.2,133.0,132.6,128.4,128.2,127.6$, 126.2, 126.0, 123.6, 123.1, 118.8, 83.4, 46.4, 25.1, 22.4.
(S,E)-2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-5-(o-tolyl)pent-4-en-2-yl acetate (3-37g). The procedure was adapted from reported literature. ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ In $25-\mathrm{mL}$, round-bottomed flask was placed 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)pent-4-en-2-yl acetate ( $632 \mathrm{mg}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv), 2-methylphenyl boronic acid ( $677 \mathrm{mg}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00$ equiv), N methylmorpholine ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was exposed to open air. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(335 \mathrm{mg}, 0.498 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and neocuproine ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.598 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were added. The mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was removed by filtration through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and the organic layer was concentrated. The crude mixture was purified via silica gel chromatography ( $0-15 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give $\mathbf{3 - 3 7}$ g as a colorless oil ( 351 mg , 41\%). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=15.924$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=21.866 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+10.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.12, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.99$ (m, 2H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.97 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $169.8,142.2,136.8,135.3,133.2,132.6,132.1,130.2,128.4,128.2,127.6,127.3$, 126.3, 126.1, 126.05, 126.03, 125.9, 123.6, 123.2, 83.8, 45.9, 25.3, 22.4, 19.8; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2955, 2921, 2850, 1713, 1464, 1364, 1232, 1076, $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 344.1776$, found: 344.1769.

Please note: The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3 - 3 7} \mathbf{g}$ is tentatively assigned. The absolute configuration resulting from the method used in the preparation of its alcohol precursor has not been reported in the literature, as discussed above.

## Preparation of Tertiary Benzyl Alcohols (3-66-3-XX)

In addition to the reactions described below, a number of other methods are available for the preparation of highly enantioenriched tertiary benzylic alcohols. Notable examples are described in the following references:

1) Jeon, S.-J., Li, H. \& Walsh, P. J. A Green Chemistry Approach to a More Efficient Asymmetric Catalyst: Solvent-Free and Highly Concentrated Alkyl Additions to Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 16416-16425 (2005).
2) García, C., LaRochelle, L. K. \& Walsh, P. J. A Practical Catalytic Asymmetric Addition of Alkyl Groups to Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 10970-10971 (2002).
3) Li, H. \& Walsh, P. J. Catalytic Asymmetric Vinylation of Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 6538-6539 (2004).
4) García, C. \& Walsh, P. J. Highly Enantioselective Catalytic Phenylation of Ketones with a Constrained Geometry Titanium Catalyst. Org. Lett. 5, 3641-3644 (2003).
5) Waltz, K. M., Gavenonis, J. \& Walsh, P. J. A Simple, Reliable, Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation of Ketones. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 41, 3697-3699 (2002).
6) Watson, C. G. \& Aggarwal, V. K. Asymmetric Synthesis of 1-Heteroaryl-1arylalkyl Tertiary Alcohols and 1-Pyridyl-1-arylethanes by LithiationBorylation Methodology. Org. Lett. 15, 1346-1349 (2013).
7) Cozzi, P. G. Enantioselective Alkynylation of Ketones Catalyzed by Zn(Salen) Complexes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 42, 2895-2898 (2003).
8) Zhou, Y., Wang, R., Xu, Z., Yan, W., Liu, L., Kang, Y. \& Han, Z. Highly Enantioselective Phenylacetylene Additions to Ketones Catalyzed by (S)-BINOL-Ti Complex. Org. Lett. 6, 4147-4149 (2004).

## Preparation of 3-66-3-69.


(S)-2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-ol (3-66). This procedure was adapted from that reported in the literature. vii ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ In an oven-dried, $100-\mathrm{mL}$, round-bottomed flask was placed L1 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.010$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(0.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i \operatorname{Pr})_{4}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added. The resulting greenish solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . 2-Acetonaphthalone $(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 6.00$ mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added into the flask in one portion. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 h . The resulting brown sticky oil was diluted with EtOAc $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N})$. The product was extracted from the aqueous layer with EtOAc ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via silica gel chromatography ( $5-10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give 3-66 ( $470 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $97 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=10.269$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=11.370 \mathrm{~min}$. Based on the optical rotation, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-9.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{MeOH})\left(\right.$ Literature data: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+16.3^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$ for $R$ configuration), ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ the
absolute configuration of 3-66 was assigned as $S$. The spectral data of this compound matched that reported in the literature. ${ }^{7}$

(S)-2-(6-Methoxynaphthalen-2-yl)butan-2-ol (3-67). Prepared via the procedure described above for preparation of 3-66 as a colorless oil ( $32 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IC, 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=21.219 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=$ $16.516 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+5.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 3.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-$ 7.11 (m, 2H), $3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.82(\operatorname{td}, J=7.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.7,143.0,133.4$, 129.7, 128.8, 126.8, 124.4, 123.3, 118.9, 105.7, 75.2, 55.5, 36.7, 29.9, 8.5; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3447 (br s), 3059, 2969, 2935, 1634, 4606, 1504, 1485, 1462, 1388, $1265,1199,1033,852,810 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (CI+) $[\mathrm{M}]+\mathrm{H}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 231.1385, found: 231.1400.

(S)-2-(Naphthalen-1-yl)butan-2-ol (3-68). Prepared via the procedure described above for preparation of 3-66 as a colorless oil (9\%). The enantiomeric excess was determined as $90 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IB, $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2.0 \% ~ i-$ $\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=15.085 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=17.287 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $+33.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.91, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.79-8.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{pd}$, $J=6.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.4,135.0,131.1$, 129.3, 128.6, 127.1, 125.3, 125.2, 124.9, 124.0, 76.9, 35.4, 29.5, 9.0; FTIR (NaCl/thin
film) 3420 (brs), 3048, 2971, 2936, 2877, 1653, 1508, 1456, 1374, 1117, 804, 777 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{EI}+)[\mathrm{M}]+\mathrm{H}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}: 200.1201$, found: 200.1205 .

(S)-2-(2-Methylquinolin-6-yl)butan-2-ol (3-69). Prepared via the procedure described above for preparation of 3-66 as a pale yellow solid (mp 86-89, $41 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined as $99 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IC, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 8.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=230 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=23.228 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)$ $=19.313 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+33^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right){ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{ddt}, J=27.2,14.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.9,147.0$, 145.1, 136.5, 128.6, 127.4, 126.2, 123.1, 122.3, 75.1, 36.7, 30.0, 25.5, 8.4; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3355 (brs), 2969, 2933, 2878, 1601, 1497, 1457, 1374, 1165, 1126, 837, $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (CI+) [M]+H calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}: 216.1388$, found: 216.1398.

A crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis was obtained via diffusion of hexanes into a solution of 3-69 in EtOAc at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystal structure demonstrates that the absolute configuration is $S$ (Figure S3).

Figure S3. Molecular diagram of 3-69 with ellipsoids at $50 \%$ probability, all nonoxygen bound H -atoms omitted for clarity. (CCDC 1424634)


## Preparation of 3-70.


(S)-6-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)hexan-2-ol 3-70). Prepared via the procedure described above for preparation of 3-66, except that bis(4-((tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butyl)zinc was used instead of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$, as a colorless oil (30\%). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IA, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=14.468$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=13.695 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+10.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.63, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.93-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-$ $1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.5,133.3,132.4,128.3,128.0,127.6$, $126.1,125.8,123.8,123.3,75.1,63.1,43.8,33.1,30.4,26.1,20.6,18.4,-5.16,-5.17$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3432 (brs), 3056, 2952, 2929, 2857, 1471, 1254, 1101, 836, $775,476 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (LIFDI) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 358.2328$, found: 358.2343 .

## Preparation of 3-71 and 3-72.



(S)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-4-phenylbutan-2-ol 3-71). The procedure for formation of the allylic alcohol was adapted from a reported procedure. viii For preparation the vinylzinc reagent, in an oven-dried, round-bottomed flask was placed $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrHCl}$ (346 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20$ equiv) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. At room temperature, phenylacetylene ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added into the flask and stirred for 10 min . The solvent was removed, and the orange solid was dissolved in PhMe $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, before $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, 1.2 M in PhMe ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The resulting solution was assumed to be the vinylzinc in PhMe solution. In a separate flask was placed $\mathbf{L} 1\left(54.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.100\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.40$ mmol, 0.40 equiv, 1.2 M in PhMe ), and $\mathrm{PhMe}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this mixture was added $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i \operatorname{Pr})_{4}(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min , then the solution was added into the preformed vinylzinc solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ via cannula. The combined solution was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with a solution of 2-acetylnaphthalone $(170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{PhMe}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting reddish solution was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and then quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aq. $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was removed via filtration through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The product was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via silica gel chromatography (0$10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give (E)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-ol as a colorless oil ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ), which was used directly in next step.

Please note: We assume the same absolute configuration using these homemade vinylzinc reagents as when $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$ is used. However, the absolute configuration obtained for this procedure has not been reported in the literature. ${ }^{\text {viii }}$
(E)-2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-ol ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 0.736 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 7 mL ) at room temperature. $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \% \mathrm{w}$ ) was added. The headspace of the flask was evacuated and refilled with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ three times.

The mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 12 h under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (1 atm). The solid was removed via filtration through a tight-packed pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified via silica gel chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to give 3-71 ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 76-79^{\circ}$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+43.6^{\circ}$ (c 2.2, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.02-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{pd}, J=6.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.21-7.16$ (m, 1H), $7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ (ddd, $J=13.7,11.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48$ (ddd, $J=13.6,11.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.0,142.3,133.4,132.4,128.51,128.46,128.3,128.2$, 127.6, 126.3, 125.90, 125.89, 123.7, 123.4, 75.1, 45.9, 30.8, 30.7; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3446 (brs), $3057,3024,2972,2932,1601,1496,1455,819,747,700,487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}: 276.1514$, found: 276.1514.

(S)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)pentan-3-ol 3-72). Following a similar procedure as for the preparation of 3-71 above, 3-72 was prepared as a colorless oil ( $40 \%$ overall yield from 1-(naphthalene-2-yl)propan-1-one). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $89 \%$ ee by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IC, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 5.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=9.989$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=10.700 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+41.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.92, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dtd}, J=9.4,7.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.66 (ddd, $J=13.6,11.7,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.35 (ddd, $J=13.6,11.8,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.9,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.8$, $144.2,143.0,133.3,132.3,129.5,128.3,128.1,127.6,126.2,125.8,124.4,123.9$, 120.8, 114.2, 111.2, 77.6, 55.2, 44.5, 35.9, 30.3, 7.9; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3486 (brs), 3054, 2964, 2936, 1680, 1489, 1455, 1258, 1152, 1048, 819, 748, 698, $477 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 320.1776$, found: 320.1753.

Please note: We assume the same absolute configuration using these homemade vinylzinc reagents as when $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$ is used. However, the absolute configuration obtained for this procedure has not been reported in the literature. ${ }^{\text {viii }}$

## Preparation of 3-73, 3-74.



(R)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3-73). The procedure was adapted from that reported in the literature. ${ }^{\text {ix }}$ In an oven-dried, $50-\mathrm{mL}$, round-bottomed flask was placed $\mathbf{L} 1$ ( $54.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.100$ equiv), $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(351 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.60$ equiv), and $\mathrm{PhMe}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{Pr}) 4(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.60 equiv) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min . A solution of 2-acetonaphthalone ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{PhMe}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the flask. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 h . The reaction was then quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aq. $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solids were removed via filtration through a pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 25 mL $x$ 2). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified via silica gel chromatography $\left(5-15 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give $\mathbf{3 - 7 3}(203.7 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 4.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=13.183$ $\min , \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=14.119 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+10.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.82, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.77 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.44$ (m, 4H), 7.42 (dd, $J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33 (dd, $J=8.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.9,145.4,133.1,132.5,128.40,128.38,128.1,127.6,127.2$,
126.3, 126.10, 126.09, 125.1, 123.9, 76.5, 30.9; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3560 (brs), 3056, 2978, 2931, 1599, 1505, 1493, 14461, 1372, 1126, 1065, 909, $858 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS $(\mathrm{EI}+)[\mathrm{M}]+$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BO}: 248.1201$, found: 248.1193.

(R)-1-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-ol (3-74). Following a similar procedure as described for 3-73 above, compound 3-73 was prepared as a white solid (mp 108-111 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $91 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRLPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 2.0 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=34.792 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=18.929 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+9.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, $J=8.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.0,147.2,140.9,140.0,128.9$, 128.4, 127.4, 127.21, 127.17, 127.1, 126.4, 126.0, 76.3, 31.0; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3458 (brs), 3056, 3028, 2978, 1599, 1486, 1449, 1401, 1266, 1171, 1068, 907, 845 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{CI}+)[\mathrm{M}]+\mathrm{H}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}: 275.1436$, found: 275.1444 .

## Evidence for Stereoretention

As discussed above, the absolute configurations of 3-37a, 3-47, and 3-69 were determined by X-ray crystallography. The arylation of (S)-3-37a produced ${ }^{\circledR}-3-47$, demonstrating that this arylation proceeds with overall retention of absolute stereochemistry.

## Crystal Structure Data for 3-47




Table S1. Sample and crystal data for $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 0}$.

Identification code
Chemical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal size
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient F(000)
mary029
$\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}$
359.49

200(2) K
1.54178 Å
$0.248 \times 0.378 \times 0.487 \mathrm{~mm}$
orthorhombic
P 212121

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}=8.1727(3) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{b}=12.9877(5) \AA & \beta=90^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{c}=19.1341(7) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ}
\end{array}
$$

$$
2030.98(13) \AA^{3}
$$

4
$1.176 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$0.540 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
776

Table S2. Data collection and structure refinement for $(R)-\mathbf{1 0}$.
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Coverage of independent reflections
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Refinement program
Function minimized
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathbf{F}^{2}$
Final R indices

## Weighting scheme

Absolute structure parameter
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
R.M.S. deviation from mean
4.11 to $59.90^{\circ}$
$-8<=\mathrm{h}<=9,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-21<=1<=19$
6276
$2630[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0365]$
95.1\%
multi-scan
0.8780 and 0.7080

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2014)
$\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$
2630/0/249
0.860

2423 data; $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \quad \mathrm{R} 1=0.0373, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1046$
all data
$R 1=0.0407, w R 2=0.1084$
$\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1000 \mathrm{P})^{2}\right]$
where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
0.0(3)
0.0058(9)
0.135 and $-0.151 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$0.037 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table S3. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for $(R)-$ 10.
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.4981(3)$ | $0.50887(17)$ | $0.01225(10)$ | $0.0528(6)$ |
| N1 | $0.5202(3)$ | $0.39117(18)$ | $0.09868(13)$ | $0.0412(6)$ |
| C1 | $0.6431(3)$ | $0.61242(19)$ | $0.24991(13)$ | $0.0288(6)$ |
| C2 | $0.5755(3)$ | $0.5641(2)$ | $0.30982(14)$ | $0.0311(7)$ |
| C3 | $0.4047(4)$ | $0.5453(2)$ | $0.31633(15)$ | $0.0364(7)$ |
| C4 | $0.3434(4)$ | $0.4997(2)$ | $0.37492(16)$ | $0.0445(8)$ |
| C5 | $0.4486(4)$ | $0.4689(2)$ | $0.42913(16)$ | $0.0455(8)$ |
| C6 | $0.6129(4)$ | $0.4850(2)$ | $0.42429(15)$ | $0.0403(7)$ |
| C7 | $0.6800(3)$ | $0.5343(2)$ | $0.36502(14)$ | $0.0334(7)$ |
| C8 | $0.8496(3)$ | $0.5562(2)$ | $0.35893(14)$ | $0.0377(7)$ |
| C9 | $0.9094(3)$ | $0.6044(2)$ | $0.30127(14)$ | $0.0376(8)$ |
| C10 | $0.8070(3)$ | $0.6340(2)$ | $0.24435(13)$ | $0.0305(6)$ |
| C11 | $0.8828(3)$ | $0.6918(2)$ | $0.18274(13)$ | $0.0298(6)$ |
| C12 | $0.9236(4)$ | $0.8013(2)$ | $0.20884(16)$ | $0.0396(7)$ |
| C13 | $0.7628(3)$ | $0.6988(2)$ | $0.12036(13)$ | $0.0354(7)$ |


| C14 | $0.8307(4)$ | $0.7444(2)$ | $0.05339(14)$ | $0.0435(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C15 | $0.0316(3)$ | $0.5282(2)$ | $0.14805(14)$ | $0.0342(7)$ |
| C16 | $0.1636(3)$ | $0.4742(2)$ | $0.12206(14)$ | $0.0355(7)$ |
| C17 | $0.3068(3)$ | $0.5257(2)$ | $0.10319(12)$ | $0.0295(6)$ |
| C18 | $0.3106(3)$ | $0.6315(2)$ | $0.11035(13)$ | $0.0305(6)$ |
| C19 | $0.1794(3)$ | $0.6850(2)$ | $0.13789(13)$ | $0.0303(6)$ |
| C20 | $0.0364(3)$ | $0.6345(2)$ | $0.15721(13)$ | $0.0290(6)$ |
| C21 | $0.4492(4)$ | $0.4735(2)$ | $0.06862(14)$ | $0.0354(7)$ |
| C22 | $0.6428(4)$ | $0.3346(3)$ | $0.05765(18)$ | $0.0536(9)$ |
| C23 | $0.5677(6)$ | $0.2525(3)$ | $0.0140(3)$ | $0.0894(15)$ |
| C24 | $0.4761(4)$ | $0.3501(2)$ | $0.16769(16)$ | $0.0459(8)$ |
| C25 | $0.6199(4)$ | $0.3331(3)$ | $0.21548(18)$ | $0.0530(9)$ |

Table S4. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for ( $R$ )-10.

| O1-C21 | $1.239(3)$ | N1-C21 | $1.345(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1-C24 | $1.469(4)$ | N1-C22 | $1.470(4)$ |
| C1-C10 | $1.373(4)$ | C1-C2 | $1.419(4)$ |
| C1-H1 | 0.95 | C2-C7 | $1.413(4)$ |
| C2-C3 | $1.422(4)$ | C3-C4 | $1.363(4)$ |
| C3-H3 | 0.95 | C4-C5 | $1.406(4)$ |
| C4-H4 | 0.95 | C5-C6 | $1.361(5)$ |
| C5-H5 | 0.95 | C6-C7 | $1.414(4)$ |
| C6-H6 | 0.95 | C7-C8 | $1.419(4)$ |
| C8-C9 | $1.359(4)$ | C8-H8 | 0.95 |
| C9-C10 | $1.426(4)$ | C9-H9 | 0.95 |
| C10-C11 | $1.529(4)$ | C11-C20 | $1.539(4)$ |
| C11-C12 | $1.543(4)$ | C11-C13 | $1.548(4)$ |
| C12-H12A | 0.98 | C12-H12B | 0.98 |
| C12-H12C | 0.98 | C13-C14 | $1.517(4)$ |
| C13-H13A | 0.99 | C13-H13B | 0.99 |
| C14-H14A | 0.98 | C14-H14B | 0.98 |
| C14-H14C | 0.98 | C15-C16 | $1.379(4)$ |
| C15-C20 | $1.393(4)$ | C15-H15 | 0.95 |
| C16-C17 | $1.396(4)$ | C16-H16 | 0.95 |
| C17-C18 | $1.381(4)$ | C17-C21 | $1.501(4)$ |
| C18-C19 | $1.382(4)$ | C18-H18 | 0.95 |
| C19-C20 | $1.390(4)$ | C19-H19 | 0.95 |
| C22-C23 | $1.487(5)$ | C22-H22A | 0.99 |
| C22-H22B | 0.99 | C23-H23A | 0.98 |
| C23-H23B | 0.98 | C23-H23C | 0.98 |
| C24-C25 | $1.506(5)$ | C24-H24A | 0.99 |
| C24-H24B | 0.99 | C25-H25A | 0.98 |
| C25-H25B | 0.98 | C25-H25C | 0.98 |

Table S5. Bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $(R)$-10.

| C21-N1-C24 | 124.5(2) | C21-N1-C22 | 117.6(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C24-N1-C22 | 117.8(2) | C10-C1-C2 | 122.2(2) |
| C10-C1-H1 | 118.9 | C2-C1-H1 | 118.9 |
| C7-C2-C1 | 119.3(2) | C7-C2-C3 | 118.8(3) |
| C1-C2-C3 | 121.9(3) | C4-C3-C2 | 120.5(3) |
| C4-C3-H3 | 119.8 | C2-C3-H3 | 119.8 |
| C3-C4-C5 | 120.4(3) | C3-C4-H4 | 119.8 |
| C5-C4-H4 | 119.8 | C6-C5-C4 | 120.6(3) |
| C6-C5-H5 | 119.7 | C4-C5-H5 | 119.7 |
| C5-C6-C7 | 120.5(3) | C5-C6-H6 | 119.8 |
| C7-C6-H6 | 119.8 | C2-C7-C6 | 119.3(3) |
| C2-C7-C8 | 118.3(2) | C6-C7-C8 | 122.4(3) |
| C9-C8-C7 | 120.7(3) | C9-C8-H8 | 119.7 |
| C7-C8-H8 | 119.7 | C8-C9-C10 | 122.2(3) |
| C8-C9-H9 | 118.9 | C10-C9-H9 | 118.9 |
| C1-C10-C9 | 117.3(2) | C1-C10-C11 | 123.7(2) |
| C9-C10-C11 | 118.9(2) | C10-C11-C20 | 109.7(2) |
| C10-C11-C12 | 106.9(2) | C20-C11-C12 | 111.8(2) |
| C10-C11-C13 | 111.5(2) | C20-C11-C13 | 107.5(2) |
| C12-C11-C13 | 109.4(2) | C11-C12-H12A | 109.5 |
| C11-C12-H12B | 109.5 | H12A-C12-H12B | 109.5 |
| C11-C12-H12C | 109.5 | H12A-C12-H12C | 109.5 |
| H12B-C12-H12C | 109.5 | C14-C13-C11 | 116.3(2) |
| C14-C13-H13A | 108.2 | C11-C13-H13A | 108.2 |
| C14-C13-H13B | 108.2 | C11-C13-H13B | 108.2 |
| H13A-C13-H13B | 107.4 | C13-C14-H14A | 109.5 |
| C13-C14-H14B | 109.5 | H14A-C14-H14B | 109.5 |
| C13-C14-H14C | 109.5 | H14A-C14-H14C | 109.5 |
| H14B-C14-H14C | 109.5 | C16-C15-C20 | 121.8(3) |
| C16-C15-H15 | 119.1 | C20-C15-H15 | 119.1 |
| C15-C16-C17 | 120.4(3) | C15-C16-H16 | 119.8 |
| C17-C16-H16 | 119.8 | C18-C17-C16 | 118.1(2) |
| C18-C17-C21 | 118.4(2) | C16-C17-C21 | 123.2(2) |
| C17-C18-C19 | 121.4(3) | C17-C18-H18 | 119.3 |
| C19-C18-H18 | 119.3 | C18-C19-C20 | 121.1(2) |
| C18-C19-H19 | 119.5 | C20-C19-H19 | 119.5 |
| C19-C20-C15 | 117.3(2) | C19-C20-C11 | 122.8(2) |
| C15-C20-C11 | 119.8(2) | O1-C21-N1 | 121.9(3) |
| O1-C21-C17 | 117.8(3) | N1-C21-C17 | 120.4(2) |
| N1-C22-C23 | 112.1(3) | N1-C22-H22A | 109.2 |
| C23-C22-H22A | 109.2 | N1-C22-H22B | 109.2 |
| C23-C22-H22B | 109.2 | H22A-C22-H22B | 107.9 |
| C22-C23-H23A | 109.5 | C22-C23-H23B | 109.5 |
| H23A-C23-H23B | 109.5 | C22-C23-H23C | 109.5 |


| H23A-C23-H23C | 109.5 | H23B-C23-H23C | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1-C24-C25 | $114.1(3)$ | N1-C24-H24A | 108.7 |
| C25-C24-H24A | 108.7 | N1-C24-H24B | 108.7 |
| C25-C24-H24B | 108.7 | H24A-C24-H24B | 107.6 |
| C24-C25-H25A | 109.5 | C24-C25-H25B | 109.5 |
| H25A-C25-H25B | 109.5 | C24-C25-H25C | 109.5 |
| H25A-C25-H25C | 109.5 | H25B-C25-H25C | 109.5 |

Table S6. Torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $(R)$ - $\mathbf{1 0}$.

| C10-C1-C2-C7 | $-1.8(4)$ | C10-C1-C2-C3 | $177.7(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C7-C2-C3-C4 | $0.3(4)$ | C1-C2-C3-C4 | $-179.2(3)$ |
| C2-C3-C4-C5 | $-1.3(4)$ | C3-C4-C5-C6 | $0.7(5)$ |
| C4-C5-C6-C7 | $0.9(5)$ | C1-C2-C7-C6 | $-179.2(2)$ |
| C3-C2-C7-C6 | $1.3(4)$ | C1-C2-C7-C8 | $1.4(4)$ |
| C3-C2-C7-C8 | $-178.2(3)$ | C5-C6-C7-C2 | $-1.9(4)$ |
| C5-C6-C7-C8 | $177.5(3)$ | C2-C7-C8-C9 | $-0.2(4)$ |
| C6-C7-C8-C9 | $-179.6(3)$ | C7-C8-C9-C10 | $-0.7(5)$ |
| C2-C1-C10-C9 | $1.0(4)$ | C2-C1-C10-C11 | $-176.1(2)$ |
| C8-C9-C10-C1 | $0.3(4)$ | C8-C9-C10-C11 | $177.5(3)$ |
| C1-C10-C11-C20 | $-133.0(3)$ | C9-C10-C11-C20 | $50.0(3)$ |
| C1-C10-C11-C12 | $105.5(3)$ | C9-C10-C11-C12 | $-71.5(3)$ |
| C1-C10-C11-C13 | $-14.1(4)$ | C9-C10-C11-C13 | $168.9(2)$ |
| C10-C11-C13-C14 | $-173.7(2)$ | C20-C11-C13-C14 | $-53.4(3)$ |
| C12-C11-C13-C14 | $68.3(3)$ | C20-C15-C16-C17 | $-0.8(4)$ |
| C15-C16-C17-C18 | $-1.0(4)$ | C15-C16-C17-C21 | $-174.3(2)$ |
| C16-C17-C18-C19 | $2.5(4)$ | C21-C17-C18-C19 | $176.1(2)$ |
| C17-C18-C19-C20 | $-2.2(4)$ | C18-C19-C20-C15 | $0.4(4)$ |
| C18-C19-C20-C11 | $-175.2(2)$ | C16-C15-C20-C19 | $1.1(4)$ |
| C16-C15-C20-C11 | $176.8(2)$ | C10-C11-C20-C19 | $-138.7(3)$ |
| C12-C11-C20-C19 | $-20.3(4)$ | C13-C11-C20-C19 | $99.9(3)$ |
| C10-C11-C20-C15 | $45.9(3)$ | C12-C11-C20-C15 | $164.3(2)$ |
| C13-C11-C20-C15 | $-75.6(3)$ | C24-N1-C21-O1 | $175.3(3)$ |
| C22-N1-C21-O1 | $-8.8(4)$ | C24-N1-C21-C17 | $-4.5(4)$ |
| C22-N1-C21-C17 | $171.3(3)$ | C18-C17-C21-O1 | $-48.9(4)$ |
| C16-C17-C21-O1 | $124.4(3)$ | C18-C17-C21-N1 | $131.0(3)$ |
| C16-C17-C21-N1 | $-55.7(4)$ | C21-N1-C22-C23 | $-88.4(4)$ |
| C24-N1-C22-C23 | $87.7(4)$ | C21-N1-C24-C25 | $-129.8(3)$ |
| C22-N1-C24-C25 | $54.3(4)$ |  |  |

Table S7. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for $(R)-\mathbf{1 0}$.
The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hk} \mathrm{a}^{*} b^{*}\right.$ $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ ]

|  | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.0573(14)$ | $0.0621(14)$ | $0.0391(12)$ | $0.0079(11)$ | $0.0201(12)$ | $0.0140(12)$ |
| N1 | $0.0358(14)$ | $0.0424(14)$ | $0.0453(14)$ | $0.0030(12)$ | $0.0100(12)$ | $0.0097(12)$ |
| C1 | $0.0289(14)$ | $0.0312(14)$ | $0.0262(14)$ | $0.0003(12)$ | $-0.0029(12)$ | $0.0033(11)$ |
| C2 | $0.0331(15)$ | $0.0278(15)$ | $0.0323(16)$ | $-0.0029(12)$ | $0.0014(13)$ | $-0.0010(12)$ |
| C3 | $0.0313(15)$ | $0.0395(17)$ | $0.0384(17)$ | $0.0019(14)$ | $0.0009(14)$ | $-0.0025(13)$ |
| C4 | $0.0386(17)$ | $0.0449(18)$ | $0.0499(19)$ | $0.0010(16)$ | $0.0045(15)$ | $-0.0121(14)$ |
| C5 | $0.0537(19)$ | $0.0443(18)$ | $0.0385(18)$ | $0.0054(14)$ | $0.0095(15)$ | $-0.0116(15)$ |
| C6 | $0.0464(18)$ | $0.0413(17)$ | $0.0332(16)$ | $0.0040(13)$ | $0.0004(15)$ | $-0.0025(14)$ |
| C7 | $0.0356(15)$ | $0.0325(15)$ | $0.0319(15)$ | $-0.0034(13)$ | $-0.0005(13)$ | $0.0001(12)$ |
| C8 | $0.0315(15)$ | $0.0515(18)$ | $0.0301(15)$ | $0.0065(14)$ | $-0.0023(14)$ | $0.0056(13)$ |
| C9 | $0.0252(15)$ | $0.0516(19)$ | $0.0360(17)$ | $0.0004(14)$ | $-0.0015(13)$ | $0.0014(13)$ |
| C10 | $0.0276(13)$ | $0.0337(15)$ | $0.0302(14)$ | $0.0024(12)$ | $0.0000(13)$ | $0.0047(12)$ |
| C11 | $0.0230(13)$ | $0.0367(15)$ | $0.0295(14)$ | $0.0017(12)$ | $0.0004(12)$ | $0.0030(11)$ |
| C12 | $0.0370(16)$ | $0.0366(16)$ | $0.0453(17)$ | $-0.0011(14)$ | $0.0076(14)$ | $0.0029(13)$ |
| C13 | $0.0297(15)$ | $0.0412(16)$ | $0.0353(16)$ | $0.0038(13)$ | $0.0005(12)$ | $0.0052(13)$ |
| C14 | $0.0436(19)$ | $0.0510(17)$ | $0.0359(15)$ | $0.0077(15)$ | $0.0006(15)$ | $0.0077(15)$ |
| C15 | $0.0274(14)$ | $0.0372(17)$ | $0.0379(15)$ | $0.0044(13)$ | $0.0035(13)$ | $-0.0020(12)$ |
| C16 | $0.0367(16)$ | $0.0305(15)$ | $0.0392(16)$ | $-0.0013(13)$ | $0.0041(13)$ | $0.0014(13)$ |
| C17 | $0.0300(14)$ | $0.0378(16)$ | $0.0207(13)$ | $0.0026(12)$ | $0.0005(12)$ | $0.0046(13)$ |
| C18 | $0.0255(13)$ | $0.0392(16)$ | $0.0269(13)$ | $0.0054(12)$ | $-0.0017(12)$ | $-0.0016(12)$ |
| C19 | $0.0290(15)$ | $0.0312(14)$ | $0.0309(14)$ | $0.0011(12)$ | $0.0013(13)$ | $0.0009(12)$ |
| C20 | $0.0278(14)$ | $0.0329(16)$ | $0.0262(14)$ | $0.0039(12)$ | $-0.0015(12)$ | $0.0009(11)$ |
| C21 | $0.0330(15)$ | $0.0413(17)$ | $0.0319(16)$ | $-0.0020(13)$ | $0.0045(13)$ | $0.0039(13)$ |
| C22 | $0.0482(19)$ | $0.0486(19)$ | $0.064(2)$ | $-0.0055(18)$ | $0.0122(18)$ | $0.0142(16)$ |
| C23 | $0.092(3)$ | $0.063(2)$ | $0.113(4)$ | $-0.035(3)$ | $0.027(3)$ | $-0.003(2)$ |
| C24 | $0.041(17)$ | $0.0452(18)$ | $0.0513(18)$ | $0.0145(15)$ | $0.0062(16)$ | $0.0087(14)$ |
| C25 | $0.051(2)$ | $0.0470(19)$ | $0.061(2)$ | $0.0045(16)$ | $-0.0059(18)$ | $0.0073(16)$ |

Table S8. Hydrogen atomic coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for $(R)$ 10.

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | -0.4274 | 0.6304 | 0.2124 | 0.035 |
| H3 | -0.6672 | 0.5646 | 0.2796 | 0.044 |
| H4 | -0.7711 | 0.4887 | 0.3792 | 0.053 |
| H5 | -0.5952 | 0.4366 | 0.4695 | 0.055 |
| H6 | -0.3172 | 0.4629 | 0.4610 | 0.048 |
| H8 | -0.0780 | 0.5370 | 0.3955 | 0.045 |
| H9 | 0.0232 | 0.6188 | 0.2988 | 0.045 |
| H12A | -0.1767 | 0.8350 | 0.2251 | 0.059 |
| H12B | -0.0286 | 0.8413 | 0.1705 | 0.059 |
| H12C | 0.0021 | 0.7970 | 0.2475 | 0.059 |
| H13A | -0.2777 | 0.6285 | 0.1100 | 0.042 |
| H13B | -0.3326 | 0.7405 | 0.1350 | 0.042 |


| H14A | -0.1280 | 0.8139 | 0.0626 | 0.065 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H14B | -0.2562 | 0.7477 | 0.0182 | 0.065 |
| H14C | -0.0799 | 0.7010 | 0.0361 | 0.065 |
| H15 | -0.0653 | 0.4918 | 0.1600 | 0.041 |
| H16 | 0.1570 | 0.4016 | 0.1170 | 0.043 |
| H18 | 0.4054 | 0.6682 | 0.0960 | 0.037 |
| H19 | 0.1871 | 0.7575 | 0.1437 | 0.036 |
| H22A | 0.7236 | 0.3032 | 0.0898 | 0.064 |
| H22B | 0.7019 | 0.3834 | 0.0270 | 0.064 |
| H23A | 0.5062 | 0.2051 | 0.0440 | 0.134 |
| H23B | 0.6538 | 0.2145 | -0.0105 | 0.134 |
| H23C | 0.4934 | 0.2837 | -0.0201 | 0.134 |
| H24A | 0.4182 | 0.2838 | 0.1613 | 0.055 |
| H24B | 0.3992 | 0.3985 | 0.1905 | 0.055 |
| H25A | 0.6849 | 0.2750 | 0.1984 | 0.079 |
| H25B | 0.5805 | 0.3181 | 0.2628 | 0.079 |
| H25C | 0.6879 | 0.3953 | 0.2164 | 0.079 |

## Crystal Structure Data for 1a



Table S9. Sample and crystal data for (S)-1a.
Identification
code
Chemical
formula $\quad \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

Formula weight $\quad 242.30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Temperature $\quad 200(2) \mathrm{K}$
Wavelength $\quad 1.54178 \AA$
Crystal size $\quad 0.144 \times 0.196 \times 0.269 \mathrm{~mm}$
Crystal system monoclinic
Space group
C 121
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density
(calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
$\mathrm{a}=21.7695(7) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{b}=5.8807(2) \AA$
$\beta=97.282(2)^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{c}=10.4637(3) \AA$
$\gamma=90^{\circ}$
F(000) 520

Table S10. Data collection and structure refinement for (S)-1a.
Theta range for data collection
4.09 to $75.07^{\circ}$

Index ranges
$-27<=\mathrm{h}<=26,-7<=\mathrm{k}<=7,-13<=1<=12$

| Reflections collected | 13728 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Independent reflections | $2708[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0319]$ |  |
| Coverage of independent reflections | $99.4 \%$ |  |
| Absorption correction | multi-scan |  |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.7539 and 0.6441 |  |
| Structure solution technique | direct methods |  |
| Structure solution program | SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick 2008) |  |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |  |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2014) |  |
| Function minimized | $\sum \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $2708 / 1 / 166$ |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.035 | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0349, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0937$ |
| Final R indices | 2626 data; $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \quad \mathrm{R} 1=0.0359, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0948$ |  |
|  | all data | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0638 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.1971 \mathrm{P}\right]$ |
| Weighting scheme | where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$ |  |
| Absolute structure parameter | $-0.1(1)$ |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.193 and $-0.164 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ |  |
| R.M.S. deviation from mean | $0.034 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |  |

Table S11. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\breve{A}^{2}$ ) for (S)-1a.
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.40605(5)$ | $0.6779(2)$ | $0.16441(11)$ | $0.0352(3)$ |
| O2 | $0.32500(7)$ | $0.4448(3)$ | $0.10507(15)$ | $0.0560(4)$ |
| C1 | $0.44069(7)$ | $0.5057(3)$ | $0.24545(16)$ | $0.0324(4)$ |
| C2 | $0.45441(10)$ | $0.3005(3)$ | $0.1639(2)$ | $0.0452(4)$ |
| C3 | $0.50055(7)$ | $0.6315(3)$ | $0.29722(16)$ | $0.0353(4)$ |
| C4 | $0.54151(8)$ | $0.6990(4)$ | $0.19539(19)$ | $0.0444(4)$ |
| C5 | $0.35048(8)$ | $0.6252(4)$ | $0.09814(17)$ | $0.0407(4)$ |
| C6 | $0.32655(9)$ | $0.8226(5)$ | $0.0164(2)$ | $0.0528(5)$ |
| C7 | $0.40667(7)$ | $0.4437(3)$ | $0.35920(16)$ | $0.0324(4)$ |
| C8 | $0.42021(8)$ | $0.2325(3)$ | $0.42318(19)$ | $0.0378(4)$ |
| C9 | $0.39467(8)$ | $0.1768(3)$ | $0.53140(18)$ | $0.0398(4)$ |
| C10 | $0.35368(8)$ | $0.3262(3)$ | $0.58396(18)$ | $0.0358(4)$ |
| C11 | $0.32657(9)$ | $0.2747(4)$ | $0.69691(19)$ | $0.0445(4)$ |
| C12 | $0.28692(9)$ | $0.4252(4)$ | $0.74387(19)$ | $0.0481(5)$ |
| C13 | $0.27174(9)$ | $0.6322(4)$ | $0.67982(19)$ | $0.0453(5)$ |
| C14 | $0.29716(8)$ | $0.6866(3)$ | $0.57105(18)$ | $0.0381(4)$ |
| C15 | $0.33914(7)$ | $0.5370(3)$ | $0.52055(17)$ | $0.0325(4)$ |
| C16 | $0.36692(7)$ | $0.5906(3)$ | $0.40857(16)$ | $0.0327(3)$ |

Table S12. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for ( $S$ )-1a.

| O1-C5 | $1.352(2)$ | O1-C1 | $1.466(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O2-C5 | $1.204(3)$ | C1-C7 | $1.524(2)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.529(2)$ | C1-C3 | $1.536(2)$ |
| C2-H2A | 0.98 | C2-H2B | 0.98 |
| C2-H2C | 0.98 | C3-C4 | $1.526(2)$ |
| C3-H3A | 0.99 | C3-H3B | 0.99 |
| C4-H4A | 0.98 | C4-H4B | 0.98 |
| C4-H4C | 0.98 | C5-C6 | $1.496(3)$ |
| C6-H6A | 0.98 | C6-H6B | 0.98 |
| C6-H6C | 0.98 | C7-C16 | $1.369(2)$ |
| C7-C8 | $1.424(2)$ | C8-C9 | $1.363(3)$ |
| C8-H8 | 0.95 | C10-C10 | $1.413(3)$ |
| C9-H9 | 0.95 | C11-C112 | $1.419(3)$ |
| C10-C15 | $1.423(2)$ | C12-C13 | $1.370(3)$ |
| C11-H11 | 0.95 | C13-C14 | $1.409(3)$ |
| C12-H12 | 0.95 | C14-C15 | $1.365(3)$ |
| C13-H13 | 0.95 | C15-C16 | $1.418(2)$ |
| C14-H14 | 0.95 |  | $1.420(2)$ |
| C16-H16 | 0.95 |  |  |

Table S13. Bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ for $(S)-1 \mathbf{1 a}$.

| C5-O1-C1 | $119.98(14)$ | O1-C1-C7 | $110.59(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1-C1-C2 | $110.15(14)$ | C7-C1-C2 | $113.31(15)$ |
| O1-C1-C3 | $102.86(13)$ | C7-C1-C3 | $108.33(13)$ |
| C2-C1-C3 | $111.09(14)$ | C1-C2-H2A | 109.5 |
| C1-C2-H2B | 109.5 | H2A-C2-H2B | 109.5 |
| C1-C2-H2C | 109.5 | H2A-C2-H2C | 109.5 |
| H2B-C2-H2C | 109.5 | C4-C3-C1 | $115.06(14)$ |
| C4-C3-H3A | 108.5 | C1-C3-H3A | 108.5 |
| C4-C3-H3B | 108.5 | C1-C3-H3B | 108.5 |
| H3A-C3-H3B | 107.5 | C3-C4-H4A | 109.5 |
| C3-C4-H4B | 109.5 | H4A-C4-H4B | 109.5 |
| C3-C4-H4C | 109.5 | H4A-C4-H4C | 109.5 |
| H4B-C4-H4C | 109.5 | O2-C5-O1 | $124.07(19)$ |
| O2-C5-C6 | $126.06(18)$ | O1-C5-C6 | $109.87(17)$ |
| C5-C6-H6A | 109.5 | C5-C6-H6B | 109.5 |
| H6A-C6-H6B | 109.5 | C5-C6-H6C | 109.5 |
| H6A-C6-H6C | 109.5 | H6B-C6-H6C | 109.5 |
| C16-C7-C8 | $118.41(16)$ | C16-C7-C1 | $122.46(15)$ |
| C8-C7-C1 | $118.95(15)$ | C9-C8-C7 | $121.23(17)$ |
| C9-C8-H8 | 119.4 | C7-C8-H8 | 119.4 |
| C8-C9-C10 | $121.20(17)$ | C8-C9-H9 | 119.4 |
| C10-C9-H9 | 119.4 | C9-C10-C11 | $122.78(17)$ |
| C9-C10-C15 | $118.34(16)$ | C11-C10-C15 | $118.88(16)$ |
| C12-C11-C10 | $120.54(19)$ | C12-C11-H11 | 119.7 |


| C10-C11-H11 | 119.7 | C11-C12-C13 | $120.54(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C11-C12-H12 | 119.7 | C13-C12-H12 | 119.7 |
| C14-C13-C12 | $120.26(19)$ | C14-C13-H13 | 119.9 |
| C12-C13-H13 | 119.9 | C13-C14-C15 | $120.91(18)$ |
| C13-C14-H14 | 119.5 | C15-C14-H14 | 119.5 |
| C14-C15-C16 | $122.12(16)$ | C14-C15-C10 | $118.86(16)$ |
| C16-C15-C10 | $119.02(15)$ | C7-C16-C15 | $121.79(16)$ |
| C7-C16-H16 | 119.1 | C15-C16-H16 | 119.1 |


| Table S14. Torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $(S)-1 \mathbf{1 a}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C5-O1-C1-C7 | $-66.62(18)$ | C5-O1-C1-C2 | $59.41(19)$ |
| C5-O1-C1-C3 | $177.89(14)$ | O1-C1-C3-C4 | $-64.92(17)$ |
| C7-C1-C3-C4 | $177.97(16)$ | C2-C1-C3-C4 | $52.9(2)$ |
| C1-O1-C5-O2 | $3.6(3)$ | C1-O1-C5-C6 | $-176.21(14)$ |
| O1-C1-C7-C16 | $-26.1(2)$ | C2-C1-C7-C16 | $-150.35(16)$ |
| C3-C1-C7-C16 | $85.91(18)$ | O1-C1-C7-C8 | $158.93(14)$ |
| C2-C1-C7-C8 | $34.7(2)$ | C3-C1-C7-C8 | $-89.05(18)$ |
| C16-C7-C8-C9 | $-0.3(3)$ | C1-C7-C8-C9 | $174.88(16)$ |
| C7-C8-C9-C10 | $0.2(3)$ | C8-C9-C10-C11 | $-179.53(18)$ |
| C8-C9-C10-C15 | $0.5(3)$ | C9-C10-C11-C12 | $-179.82(18)$ |
| C15-C10-C11-C12 | $0.2(3)$ | C10-C11-C12-C13 | $0.9(3)$ |
| C11-C12-C13-C14 | $-1.0(3)$ | C12-C13-C14-C15 | $0.0(3)$ |
| C13-C14-C15-C16 | $-179.14(16)$ | C13-C14-C15-C10 | $1.1(3)$ |
| C9-C10-C15-C14 | $178.85(16)$ | C11-C10-C15-C14 | $-1.2(2)$ |
| C9-C10-C15-C16 | $-0.9(2)$ | C11-C10-C15-C16 | $179.06(16)$ |
| C8-C7-C16-C15 | $-0.2(2)$ | C1-C7-C16-C15 | $-175.20(14)$ |
| C14-C15-C16-C7 | $-178.95(15)$ | C10-C15-C16-C7 | $0.8(2)$ |

Table S15. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for (S)-1a.
The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*}\right.$ $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ ]

|  | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.0306(6)$ | $0.0377(6)$ | $0.0366(6)$ | $0.0034(5)$ | $0.0016(4)$ | $-0.0007(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.0427(7)$ | $0.0672(10)$ | $0.0555(8)$ | $-0.0023(8)$ | $-0.0033(6)$ | $-0.0155(8)$ |
| C1 | $0.0318(7)$ | $0.0301(8)$ | $0.0353(8)$ | $-0.0008(7)$ | $0.0035(6)$ | $0.0007(6)$ |
| C2 | $0.0510(11)$ | $0.0386(10)$ | $0.0478(10)$ | $-0.0099(8)$ | $0.0134(8)$ | $-0.0023(8)$ |
| C3 | $0.0299(8)$ | $0.0387(9)$ | $0.0368(8)$ | $-0.0010(7)$ | $0.0029(6)$ | $-0.0005(7)$ |
| C4 | $0.0357(9)$ | $0.0512(11)$ | $0.0472(10)$ | $-0.0004(9)$ | $0.0085(7)$ | $-0.0061(8)$ |
| C5 | $0.0320(8)$ | $0.0560(12)$ | $0.0341(8)$ | $-0.0024(8)$ | $0.0037(6)$ | $-0.0019(8)$ |
| C6 | $0.0387(9)$ | $0.0745(15)$ | $0.0443(10)$ | $0.0088(10)$ | $0.0016(8)$ | $0.0103(10)$ |
| C7 | $0.0308(7)$ | $0.0296(8)$ | $0.0363(8)$ | $-0.0022(7)$ | $0.0025(6)$ | $-0.0018(6)$ |
| C8 | $0.0376(8)$ | $0.0283(8)$ | $0.0479(10)$ | $-0.0003(7)$ | $0.0071(7)$ | $0.0030(7)$ |
| C9 | $0.0420(9)$ | $0.0291(8)$ | $0.0478(10)$ | $0.0037(8)$ | $0.0036(7)$ | $0.0002(7)$ |
| C10 | $0.0334(8)$ | $0.0342(8)$ | $0.0391(8)$ | $0.0004(7)$ | $0.0025(6)$ | $-0.0048(7)$ |


| C11 | $0.0469(10)$ | $0.0434(10)$ | $0.0432(10)$ | $0.0055(8)$ | $0.0059(8)$ | $-0.0062(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C12 | $0.0482(10)$ | $0.0577(12)$ | $0.0407(9)$ | $-0.0002(9)$ | $0.0146(8)$ | $-0.0094(9)$ |
| C13 | $0.0395(9)$ | $0.0528(12)$ | $0.0448(10)$ | $-0.0086(8)$ | $0.0100(7)$ | $-0.0004(8)$ |
| C14 | $0.0337(8)$ | $0.0379(9)$ | $0.0425(9)$ | $-0.0033(8)$ | $0.0036(6)$ | $0.0010(7)$ |
| C15 | $0.0287(7)$ | $0.0307(8)$ | $0.0374(8)$ | $-0.0006(6)$ | $0.0013(6)$ | $-0.0029(6)$ |
| C16 | $0.0320(7)$ | $0.0282(8)$ | $0.0374(8)$ | $0.0006(6)$ | $0.0024(6)$ | $-0.0005(6)$ |

Table S16. Hydrogen atomic coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) for (S)-1a.

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2A | 0.4184 | 0.1992 | 0.1532 | 0.068 |
| H2B | 0.4904 | 0.2184 | 0.2070 | 0.068 |
| H2C | 0.4633 | 0.3526 | 0.0791 | 0.068 |
| H3A | 0.4894 | 0.7708 | 0.3422 | 0.042 |
| H3B | 0.5249 | 0.5333 | 0.3617 | 0.042 |
| H4A | 0.5574 | 0.5617 | 0.1577 | 0.067 |
| H4B | 0.5763 | 0.7908 | 0.2354 | 0.067 |
| H4C | 0.5172 | 0.7877 | 0.1276 | 0.067 |
| H6A | 0.3521 | 0.8435 | -0.0533 | 0.079 |
| H6B | 0.3282 | 0.9605 | 0.0693 | 0.079 |
| H6C | 0.2836 | 0.7932 | -0.0204 | 0.079 |
| H8 | 0.4476 | 0.1286 | 0.3898 | 0.045 |
| H9 | 0.4046 | 0.0348 | 0.5722 | 0.048 |
| H11 | 0.3360 | 0.1347 | 0.7403 | 0.053 |
| H12 | 0.2695 | 0.3896 | 0.8202 | 0.058 |
| H13 | 0.2437 | 0.7343 | 0.7124 | 0.054 |
| H14 | 0.2866 | 0.8267 | 0.5286 | 0.046 |
| H16 | 0.3577 | 0.7322 | 0.3668 | 0.039 |

## Crystal Structure Data for S-1d




Table S17. Sample and crystal data for $\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{1 d}$.

| Identification code | mary 026 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ |  |
| Formula weight | 215.28 |  |
| Temperature | $200(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $1.54178 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal size | $0.216 \times 0.425 \times 0.545 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |  |
| Space group | P 212121 | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=5.9000(2) \AA$ | $\beta=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=8.4404(3) \AA$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=23.7442(10) \AA$ |  |
| Volume | $1182.42(8) \AA^{3}$ |  |
| Z | 4 |  |
| Density (calculated) | $1.209 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |  |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.591 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |  |
| F(000) | 464 |  |
|  |  |  |

Table S18. Data collection and structure refinement for $\mathbf{S}-1 \mathbf{d}$.

Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
3.72 to $74.70^{\circ}$
$-7<=\mathrm{h}<=7,-10<=\mathrm{k}<=10,-29<=1<=29$
19673

| Independent reflections | 2415 [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0388]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8830 and 0.7390 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2014) |
| Function minimized | $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2415 / 0 / 150 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1.020 |
| $\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$ | 0.001 |
| Final R indices | $\begin{array}{ll} 2389 \text { data; } \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) & \mathrm{R} 1=0.0333, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0953 \\ \text { all data } & \mathrm{R} 1=0.0337, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0976 \end{array}$ |
| Weighting scheme | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\mathrm{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0716 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.1296 \mathrm{P}\right] \\ & \text { where } \mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | -0.1(1) |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0143 (18) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.213 and $-0.198 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |
| R.M.S. deviation from mean | $0.044 \mathrm{e}^{\text {® }}{ }^{-3}$ |

Table S19. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for $\mathbf{S}$ 1d.
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.7994(2)$ | $0.02782(15)$ | $0.06577(6)$ | $0.0283(3)$ |
| O1 | $0.37311(19)$ | $0.72139(14)$ | $0.84869(5)$ | $0.0341(3)$ |
| C1 | $0.7123(4)$ | $0.1740(2)$ | $0.15036(7)$ | $0.0426(4)$ |
| C2 | $0.6518(3)$ | $0.12030(18)$ | $0.09177(6)$ | $0.0297(3)$ |
| C3 | $0.4435(3)$ | $0.16671(18)$ | $0.06700(7)$ | $0.0309(4)$ |
| C4 | $0.3886(3)$ | $0.11487(18)$ | $0.01428(6)$ | $0.0275(3)$ |
| C5 | $0.5437(3)$ | $0.01707(16)$ | $0.98464(6)$ | $0.0244(3)$ |
| C6 | $0.5001(2)$ | $0.95546(17)$ | $0.93022(6)$ | $0.0256(3)$ |
| C7 | $0.6555(3)$ | $0.86111(17)$ | $0.90266(6)$ | $0.0254(3)$ |
| C8 | $0.8641(3)$ | $0.82844(17)$ | $0.92987(6)$ | $0.0275(3)$ |
| C9 | $0.9091(2)$ | $0.88311(18)$ | $0.98318(6)$ | $0.0275(3)$ |
| C10 | $0.7501(3)$ | $0.97764(16)$ | $0.01203(6)$ | $0.0243(3)$ |
| C11 | $0.5951(3)$ | $0.79050(17)$ | $0.84508(6)$ | $0.0273(3)$ |
| C12 | $0.7606(3)$ | $0.6636(2)$ | $0.82559(7)$ | $0.0408(4)$ |
| C13 | $0.5692(3)$ | $0.9218(2)$ | $0.80068(7)$ | $0.0376(4)$ |
| C14 | $0.7760(5)$ | $0.0252(3)$ | $0.79252(8)$ | $0.0610(7)$ |

Table S20. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for $\mathbf{S - 1 d}$.
N1-C2
$1.322(2)$
N1-C10
$1.376(2)$

| O1-C11 | $1.4365(19)$ | O1-H1 | 0.84 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1-C2 | $1.506(2)$ | C1-H1A | 0.98 |
| C1-H1B | 0.98 | C1-H1C | 0.98 |
| C2-C3 | $1.418(2)$ | C3-C4 | $1.365(2)$ |
| C3-H3 | 0.95 | C4-C5 | $1.419(2)$ |
| C4-H4 | 0.95 | C5-C6 | $1.416(2)$ |
| C5-C10 | $1.420(2)$ | C6-C7 | $1.379(2)$ |
| C6-H6 | 0.95 | C7-C8 | $1.417(2)$ |
| C7-C11 | $1.5335(19)$ | C8-C9 | $1.373(2)$ |
| C8-H8 | 0.95 | C9-C10 | $1.409(2)$ |
| C9-H9 | 0.95 | C11-C12 | $1.522(2)$ |
| C11-C13 | $1.537(2)$ | C12-H12A | 0.98 |
| C12-H12B | 0.98 | C12-H12C | 0.98 |
| C13-C14 | $1.512(3)$ | C13-H13A | 0.99 |
| C13-H13B | 0.99 | C14-H14A | 0.98 |
| C14-H14B | 0.98 | C14-H14C | 0.98 |

Table S21. Bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{S}$-1d.

| C2-N1-C10 | $118.39(14)$ | C11-O1-H1 | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2-C1-H1A | 109.5 | C2-C1-H1B | 109.5 |
| H1A-C1-H1B | 109.5 | C2-C1-H1C | 109.5 |
| H1A-C1-H1C | 109.5 | H1B-C1-H1C | 109.5 |
| N1-C2-C3 | $122.71(14)$ | N1-C2-C1 | $116.91(15)$ |
| C3-C2-C1 | $120.37(15)$ | C4-C3-C2 | $119.84(14)$ |
| C4-C3-H3 | 120.1 | C2-C3-H3 | 120.1 |
| C3-C4-C5 | $119.22(14)$ | C3-C4-H4 | 120.4 |
| C5-C4-H4 | 120.4 | C6-C5-C4 | $123.29(14)$ |
| C6-C5-C10 | $119.17(13)$ | C4-C5-C10 | $117.53(13)$ |
| C7-C6-C5 | $121.61(13)$ | C7-C6-H6 | 119.2 |
| C5-C6-H6 | 119.2 | C6-C7-C8 | $118.24(13)$ |
| C6-C7-C11 | $119.53(13)$ | C8-C7-C11 | $122.19(13)$ |
| C9-C8-C7 | $121.53(14)$ | C9-C8-H8 | 119.2 |
| C7-C8-H8 | 119.2 | C8-C9-C10 | $120.62(14)$ |
| C8-C9-H9 | 119.7 | C10-C9-H9 | 119.7 |
| N1-C10-C9 | $118.97(14)$ | N1-C10-C5 | $122.28(14)$ |
| C9-C10-C5 | $118.75(13)$ | O1-C11-C12 | $108.50(13)$ |
| O1-C11-C7 | $108.45(11)$ | C12-C11-C7 | $113.30(13)$ |
| O1-C11-C13 | $104.08(12)$ | C12-C11-C13 | $11.28(14)$ |
| C7-C11-C13 | $110.75(12)$ | C11-C12-H12A | 109.5 |
| C11-C12-H12B | 109.5 | H12A-C12-H12B | 109.5 |
| C11-C12-H12C | 109.5 | H12A-C12-H12C | 109.5 |
| H12B-C12-H12C | 109.5 | C14-C13-C11 | $115.07(16)$ |
| C14-C13-H13A | 108.5 | C11-C13-H13A | 108.5 |
| C14-C13-H13B | 108.5 | C11-C13-H13B | 108.5 |


| H13A-C13-H13B | 107.5 | C13-C14-H14A | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C13-C14-H14B | 109.5 | H14A-C14-H14B | 109.5 |
| C13-C14-H14C | 109.5 | H14A-C14-H14C | 109.5 |
| H14B-C14-H14C | 109.5 |  |  |

Table S22. Torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{S - 1 d}$.

| C10-N1-C2-C3 | $1.4(2)$ | C10-N1-C2-C1 | $-179.53(14)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1-C2-C3-C4 | $0.3(2)$ | C1-C2-C3-C4 | $-178.76(15)$ |
| C2-C3-C4-C5 | $-1.1(2)$ | C3-C4-C5-C6 | $178.91(13)$ |
| C3-C4-C5-C10 | $0.2(2)$ | C4-C5-C6-C7 | $179.80(14)$ |
| C10-C5-C6-C7 | $-1.5(2)$ | C5-C6-C7-C8 | $-0.9(2)$ |
| C5-C6-C7-C11 | $176.90(12)$ | C6-C7-C8-C9 | $2.6(2)$ |
| C11-C7-C8-C9 | $-175.20(13)$ | C7-C8-C9-C10 | $-1.7(2)$ |
| C2-N1-C10-C9 | $178.04(13)$ | C2-N1-C10-C5 | $-2.3(2)$ |
| C8-C9-C10-N1 | $178.83(13)$ | C8-C9-C10-C5 | $-0.8(2)$ |
| C6-C5-C10-N1 | $-177.24(13)$ | C4-C5-C10-N1 | $1.5(2)$ |
| C6-C5-C10-C9 | $2.4(2)$ | C4-C5-C10-C9 | $-178.84(13)$ |
| C6-C7-C11-O1 | $-47.66(18)$ | C8-C7-C11-O1 | $130.09(14)$ |
| C6-C7-C11-C12 | $-168.19(14)$ | C8-C7-C11-C12 | $9.6(2)$ |
| C6-C7-C11-C13 | $65.96(18)$ | C8-C7-C11-C13 | $-116.29(16)$ |
| O1-C11-C13-C14 | $174.47(15)$ | C12-C11-C13-C14 | $-68.9(2)$ |
| C7-C11-C13-C14 | $58.1(2)$ |  |  |

Table S23. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for $\mathbf{S}$-1d.
The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*}\right.$ $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ ]

|  | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.0306(7)$ | $0.0276(6)$ | $0.0268(6)$ | $-0.0002(5)$ | $-0.0030(5)$ | $0.0005(5)$ |
| O1 | $0.0311(6)$ | $0.0391(6)$ | $0.0321(6)$ | $-0.0004(4)$ | $-0.0023(4)$ | $-0.0084(5)$ |
| C1 | $0.0553(11)$ | $0.0413(9)$ | $0.0311(8)$ | $-0.0072(7)$ | $-0.0055(8)$ | $0.0079(8)$ |
| C2 | $0.0365(8)$ | $0.0247(6)$ | $0.0279(7)$ | $0.0000(6)$ | $-0.0006(6)$ | $-0.0004(6)$ |
| C3 | $0.0348(8)$ | $0.0276(7)$ | $0.0301(7)$ | $-0.0007(6)$ | $0.0041(6)$ | $0.0036(6)$ |
| C4 | $0.0253(7)$ | $0.0265(6)$ | $0.0308(7)$ | $0.0018(6)$ | $-0.0003(6)$ | $0.0023(6)$ |
| C5 | $0.0242(7)$ | $0.0225(6)$ | $0.0264(7)$ | $0.0033(5)$ | $0.0005(5)$ | $-0.0006(6)$ |
| C6 | $0.0230(7)$ | $0.0270(7)$ | $0.0268(7)$ | $0.0021(5)$ | $-0.0021(6)$ | $-0.0004(6)$ |
| C7 | $0.0262(7)$ | $0.0257(6)$ | $0.0242(6)$ | $0.0019(5)$ | $0.0011(5)$ | $-0.0031(6)$ |
| C8 | $0.0235(7)$ | $0.0290(7)$ | $0.0301(7)$ | $-0.0010(6)$ | $0.0016(6)$ | $0.0023(6)$ |
| C9 | $0.0226(7)$ | $0.0293(7)$ | $0.0305(7)$ | $0.0011(6)$ | $-0.0028(5)$ | $0.0006(6)$ |
| C10 | $0.0245(7)$ | $0.0222(6)$ | $0.0260(7)$ | $0.0021(5)$ | $-0.0016(5)$ | $-0.0022(6)$ |
| C11 | $0.0278(7)$ | $0.0293(7)$ | $0.0249(7)$ | $-0.0013(5)$ | $-0.0009(5)$ | $-0.0025(6)$ |
| C12 | $0.0406(9)$ | $0.0441(9)$ | $0.0377(8)$ | $-0.0141(7)$ | $-0.0021(7)$ | $0.0055(8)$ |
| C13 | $0.0509(10)$ | $0.0376(8)$ | $0.0242(7)$ | $0.0019(6)$ | $-0.0016(7)$ | $-0.0046(8)$ |


| C 14 | $0.0884(17)$ | $0.0595(12)$ | $0.0351(9)$ | $0.0044(8)$ | $0.0028(11)$ | $-0.0373(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table S24. Hydrogen atomic coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for $\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{1 d}$

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | 0.3743 | 0.6477 | 0.8725 | 0.051 |
| H1A | 0.6046 | 1.1287 | 1.1773 | 0.064 |
| H1B | 0.7057 | 1.2898 | 1.1523 | 0.064 |
| H1C | 0.8659 | 1.1382 | 1.1596 | 0.064 |
| H3 | 0.3423 | 1.2337 | 1.0870 | 0.037 |
| H4 | 0.2483 | 1.1439 | 0.9976 | 0.033 |
| H6 | 0.3605 | 0.9797 | 0.9123 | 0.031 |
| H8 | 0.9754 | 0.7673 | 0.9108 | 0.033 |
| H9 | 1.0488 | 0.8570 | 1.0008 | 0.033 |
| H12A | 0.7689 | 0.5794 | 0.8539 | 0.061 |
| H12B | 0.9111 | 0.7106 | 0.8205 | 0.061 |
| H12C | 0.7085 | 0.6189 | 0.7898 | 0.061 |
| H13A | 0.5308 | 0.8720 | 0.7642 | 0.045 |
| H13B | 0.4402 | 0.9903 | 0.8116 | 0.045 |
| H14A | 0.8085 | 1.0824 | 0.8275 | 0.091 |
| H14B | 0.7473 | 1.1013 | 0.7622 | 0.091 |
| H14C | 0.9062 | 0.9587 | 0.7825 | 0.091 |
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## Chapter 4

## STEREOSPECIFIC, NICKEL-CATALYZED CROSS-COUPLINGS OF ALLYLIC PIVALATES AND ARYL BOROXINES TO DELIVER QUATERNARY STEREOCENTERS

### 4.1 Introduction

All-carbon quaternary stereocenters are an important motif in biologically active molecules, pharmaceuticals, and materials applications. ${ }^{1}$ One motif that is of particular interest is allylic quaternary stereocenters. These are particularly attractive because the alkene is a powerful functional group handle to further functionalize the molecule (Scheme 4-1). Some of these applications include dihydroxylation, ${ }^{2}$ Wacker oxidation, ${ }^{3}$ hydrogenations, hydroborations, ${ }^{4}$ epoxidations, ${ }^{5}$ brominations, ${ }^{6}$ and oxidative cleavage of the alkene. ${ }^{7}$ Due to these applications, and the variety of structures that can quickly be accessed via an alkene functional group handle, there are a number of synthetic methods that have been developed in order to access these types of molecules.

## Scheme 4-1 Functionalization of Allylic Quaternary Stereocenters



4-8


4-2

4-6



4-3



One powerful method to access these scaffolds is asymmetric substitution of allylic electrophiles. There are a number of examples that enantioselectively form allylic quaternary stereocenters substituted with terminal alkenes. Using a copper catalyst and either bromide or phosphonate esters as leaving groups, these transformations can deliver alkyl, ${ }^{8}$ aryl, ${ }^{9}$ vinyl, ${ }^{10}$ or alkynyl ${ }^{11}$ groups with the use of harsh nucleophiles such as lithium, zinc, or Grignard reagents (Scheme 4-2A). The Sawamura and Hayashi groups have also demonstrated enantioselective cross-couplings with alkyl9-BBN ${ }^{12}$ and aryl boronic esters ${ }^{13}$ with a chiral copper catalyst (Scheme 4-2B). The Hoveyda and Alexakis groups have also
shown that these types of transformations can be done in the absence of a transition metal. They have used chiral N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands in order to do an asymmetric allylic substitution with either Grignard, ${ }^{14}$ lithio, or zinc nucleophiles (Scheme 4-2C).

Scheme 4-2 Enantioselective Allylic Substitutions to Form Terminal Alkenes

## A) Copper-Catalyzed Allylic Substitutions with Harsh Nucleophiles


B) Copper-Catalyzed Allylic Substitutions with Boron Nucleophiles

C) Copper-Free Allylic Substitutions


While there are a number of methods that deliver allylic quaternary stereocenters with substituted terminal alkenes, there are only two previous examples where internal alkenes are formed. The Kobayashi group has shown that they can use enantioenriched allylic carboxylates along with aryl cuprates in order to
enantiospecifically form quaternary stereocenters with excellent stereochemical fidelity (Scheme 4-3A). ${ }^{15}$ While this is a powerful approach to this motif, it is limited by the fact that it uses stoichiometric copper and harsh Grignard nucleophiles. The Morken group has used an umpolung approach in order to form these quaternary stereocenters stereospecifically (Scheme 4-3B). ${ }^{16}$ Using chemistry previously developed in their group, ${ }^{17}$ they can make enantiomerically enriched allylic boronates (4-17) that undergo a palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling with aryl halides to form quaternary stereocenters adjacent to an internal alkene (4-18). Although this approach displays excellent stereochemical fidelity, the starting materials suffer from lower enantioenrichment $(82-90 \%$ ee $)$ leading to products with $81-87 \%$ ee.

Scheme 4-3 Enantiospecific Allylic Substitution to Deliver Internal Alkenes
A) Enantiospecific Addition of Aryl Cuperates



Forming allylic quaternary stereocenters with substituted internal alkenes in high enantioenrichment still remains a synthetic challenge. We believed that a powerful method to addressing this challenge would be a combination of these two approaches. The Kobayashi group has shown that allylic alcohols can be synthesized in high enantioenrichment, and can be good electrophiles for enantiospecific cross-
couplings. We also were intrigued by the catalytic cross-coupling and the use of an organoborane displayed by the Morken group. With both of these factors in mind, we also drew inspiration from chemistry previously developed in our group where we utilized secondary allylic pivalates (4-19) in order to do a stereospecific nickelcatalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling to form tertiary allylic stereocenters (Scheme 4-4). ${ }^{18}$

Scheme 4-4 Nickel-Catalyzed Suzuki Cross-Coupling to Form Tertiary Allylic Stereocenters


With this precedent in mind, my colleague Qi Zhou initially discovered the reaction on a racemic allylic pivalate under the same conditions that were used in forming tertiary allylic stereocenters (Scheme 4-5). This initial discovery proved that while there was high reactivity with these allylic pivalates, it remained uncertain if the reaction would be enantiospecific or stereooblative. I set out to find conditions that would provide both high yields and stereochemical fidelity in the Suzuki cross-coupling of allylic pivalates to form quaternary stereocenters. As described below, this method transforms readily available, highly enantioenriched allylic alcohol derivatives into products with all-carbon quaternary sterereocenters substituted with internal alkenes. It features low loadings of an inexpensive, air-stable nickel pre-catalyst and the use of commercially available, functional group tolerant aryl boronic esters. This is the first example of quaternary stereocenter formation to form internal substituted alkenes via allylic substitution with an aryl boronic nucleophile.

Scheme 4-5 Proof of Concept For Nickel-Catalyzed Suzuki Cross-Coupling to Form Quaternary Stereocenters


### 4.2 Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Enantioenriched Allylic Pivalates
In order for this strategy to make allylic quaternary stereocenters to be useful, I needed to have an efficient synthesis in order to make enantioenriched allylic alcohols. I began my synthesis with methyl-2-butynoate (4-23), and did a Gilman cuprate addition across the alkene to afford trans-alkene 4-24. The ester was then reduced to primary alcohol 4-25 with DIBAL-H. The primary alcohol was then oxidized to alkene 4-26 with a swern oxidation. Addition of phenyl Grignard then provided racemic alcohol 4-27. A kinetic resolution using asymmetric sharpless epoxidation then provided enantioenriched alcohol 4-28 in 20\% yield and $97 \%$ ee. Pivalation of the enantioenriched alcohol then proceeded in high yield (92\%) without any loss of enantiomeric excess (ee).

Scheme 4-6 Initial Synthesis of Enantioenriched Allylic Pivalates


While this synthesis did provide the desired products in high ee, there were a few problems that I had discovered. The first issue with the synthesis was that the Swern oxidation was isomerizing the alkene in product 4-26. In order to circumvent this problem, I switched to a TPAP oxidation, which provided product 4-26 without any isomerization, and in $77 \%$ yield (Scheme 4-7). The second issue was the kinetic resolution that afforded excellent enantioselectivity but with less than optimal yields. I envisioned that by accessing the ketone of substrate 4-26, I could do a CBSreduction to afford enantioenriched 4-28 in high yields and ee.

Scheme 4-7 Oxidation of Primary Alcohol Without Isomerization of Alkene Geometry


In order to set up my substrate for a CBS-reduction, I had to revise my synthesis again. After the Gilman cuprate addition to form trans-alkene 4-24, I made Weinreb amide 4-30. An aryl Grignard was then added to the Weinreb amide in order to afford the ketone 4-31. We were then able to do a CBS reduction on the ketone to afford the enantioenriched alcohol 4-28 in between 94-99\% ee. The alcohol could then undergo pivalation to provide the starting material 4-29. In most cases we were able to determine the ee via HPLC or SFC analysis with a chiral stationary phase. However, in some cases the pivalates proved to be unstable on the chiral columns, in which case we assume the pivalate was formed without any loss of enantioenrichment from the alcohol.

Scheme 4-8 Synthesis of Enantioenriched Allylic Alcohols


## Optimization and Scope of Suzuki Cross-Coupling of Allylic Pivalates

I chose to begin my optimization with $(S, E)$-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-hepten-yl pivalate (4-29). I began by testing conditions that worked well for the Suzuki crosscoupling of allylic pivalates to provide tertiary stereocenters ${ }^{18}$. Although the conditions provided high yields of the desired product 4-32, the stereochemical fidelity was only $56 \%$ es (Table 4-1, Entry 1). By switching to a different phosphine ligand such as $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$, the yield and ee increased, but not significantly (Entry 2). Switching from air-sensitive $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ to the air stable $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ decreased the yield, but there was an increase to $75 \%$ ee (Entry 3 ). Due to the air-stable and less expensive $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ source, I proceeded with this in place of $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$.

Table 4-1 Optimization of Suzuki Cross-Coupling of Allylic Pivalates

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: Allylic pivalate $\mathbf{4 - 2 9}$ ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Boroxine ( 1.0 equiv), NaOMe ( 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.4 \mathrm{M}), 3 \mathrm{~h}$, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by chiral HPLC analysis using chiral stationary phase. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ es $=$ enantiospecificity $=$ (ee $\left.{ }_{\text {product }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{ee}_{\text {starting material }}\right){ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{KOMe}$ used in place of NaOMe . ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} 1.5$ equiv of Boroxine and 3.0 equiv of base. ${ }^{g} 16 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{h}} 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

In considering possible reasons for the low stereochemical fidelity, I speculated that the oxidative addition step of nickel-catalyzed cross couplings of allylic and benzylic pivalates can proceed via either open or closed transition states (see mechanism discussion and Scheme 4-15 below). ${ }^{19}$ These different modes of oxidative addition lead to opposite enantiomers of product. In MeCN , previous cross couplings of allylic pivalates have led to inversion of stereochemistry, presumably via the open transition state. I anticipated that the major pathway in my reaction involved
a similar open transition state, but that the closed transition state may be competitive, lowering stereochemical fidelity. In the closed, cyclic transition state, the nickel catalyst is coordinated by the pivalate leaving group. I hypothesized that a bidentate ligand may prevent this coordination, thereby shutting down the directed oxidative addition pathway.

Indeed, when I tried bidentate ligands such as dppf, there was a significant boost in ee to $89 \%$, but the yield dropped to only $30 \%$ with a poor mass balance (Entry 4). I proposed that a ligand with a similar bite angle to dppf would keep the high stereochemical fidelity without decomposition of the starting material. I then tried dppb as the ligand which has a bite angle of $97^{\circ}$ compared to that of dppf which is $99^{\circ} .{ }^{20}$ This change did improve the yield to $48 \%$, however the ee dropped to $75 \%$ (Entry 5). This drop is likely due to the more flexible backbone of dppb as compared to dppf. This is when I switched to the ligand BISBI, which has a natural bite angle of $113^{\circ}$. BISBI has also been shown to adapt to bite angles from $92^{\circ}$ to $115^{\circ}$ with less than $3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ increase in energy. ${ }^{20}$ Gratifyingly, this change raised the yield to $87 \%$ with $90 \%$ ee (Entry 6). By switching from $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ to $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DME}$, I was able to drop the temperature to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the catalyst loading from $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ to $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$. This combination increased the yield to $96 \%$ with a $91 \%$ ee (Entry 7). Lowering the temperature from $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature did increase the stereochemical fidelity, however after 24 h the yield was still only $28 \%$ (Entry 8). For this reason, I continued with the conditions in Entry 7 as optimal in order to explore the substrate scope of this reaction.

## Scheme 4-9 Ligands With Wide Bite Angles


dppf
bite angle: $98.7^{\circ}$

dppb
bite angle: $97.1^{\circ}$


BISBI bite angle: $113^{\circ}$ ( $92^{\circ}-155^{\circ}$ )

Having identified a efficient catalyst to form these allylic quaternary stereocenters in high yields and stereochemical fidelity, I explored the scope of this reaction. For these studies I recruited graduate students Javon Rabb-Lynch and Megan Hoerrner, as well as undergraduate Alex Manders to join my team. Broad scope was demonstrated with respect to the aryl boroxine. Our model substrate 4-32 was isolated in $90 \%$ yield and $94 \%$ ee. Electron-rich aryl boroxines worked very well under these reaction conditions including those with dimethyl amino (4-33), methoxy (4-34), and dioxolane groups (4-35). Cross-couplings with electron-neutral phenyl (436) as well as electron-poor trifluormethyl (4-37), also provided quaternary stereocenter in high yields and stereochemical fidelity. Aryl boronic esters with functional groups such as ketones (4-38), esters (4-39), and amides (4-40), and nitriles (4-41) were all well tolerated under these reaction conditions. However, the ketone (4-38), amide (4-40), and nitrile (4-41) substrates did require elevated temperatures for the reaction to go to completion. We were also pleased to see that heteroaromatic boronic esters such as N -methyl indole (4-42) and benzofuran (4-43) provided good yields and stereochemical fidelity.

Scheme 4-10 Scope of Aryl Boroxines
$(\mathrm{ArBO})_{3}$
$2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \mathrm{DME}$


4-29, $98 \%$ ee
 $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h


4-32,
94\% ee, $96 \%$ es


4-36, 87\%
94\% ee, $96 \%$ es

$90 \%$ ee, $92 \%$ es $^{\text {b }}$


4-33, 65\%
$90 \%$ ee, $92 \%$ es $^{\text {b }}$


4-37, 90\%
88\% ee, $90 \%$ es


4-41, 90\%
$86 \%$ ee, $88 \%$ es $^{\text {b }}$


4-34, $90 \%$ $94 \%$ ee, $96 \%$ es

$84 \%$ ee, $86 \%$ es $^{\text {b }}$


4-42, 79\%
$80 \%$ ee, $82 \%$ es $^{\text {b }}$


4-35, 89\%
92\% ee, $94 \%$ es


92\% ee, $94 \%$ es


4-43, 83\% $89 \%$ ee, $91 \%$ es
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: allylic pivalate ( $\mathbf{4 - 2 9}$ ) ( $0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Boroxine ( 1.5 equiv), NaOMe ( 3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.4 \mathrm{M}), 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$. Isolated yields are an average of duplicate experiments ( $\pm 8 \%$ ). Average ee's from duplicate runs determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase ( $\pm 2 \%$ ). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reaction run at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

With respect to the pivalate coupling partner, we explored the scope of the substituents on the alkene, as well as the aryl group. With respect to the aromatic group, increased steric hindrance of substitution at the ortho position (4-44) was well tolerated. Silyl-protected phenols were also undisturbed under these reaction conditions (4-45). Cross-couplings of pivalates with electron-poor aromatic groups provide the desired quaternary stereocenter in good yields and excellent stereochemical fidelity (4-46 and 4-47). Heteroaromatic groups such as pyridines (448) and benzofurans (4-49) are also well tolerated under these reaction conditions.

For substitution on the alkene, increased bulk of both ethyl (4-48) and iso-butyl (450) groups produce the quaternary stereocenter in high yields. Functional groups on the alkene such as an epoxide (4-51) and silyl-protected alcohols (4-52) were also unharmed under these mild reaction conditions.

Scheme 4-11 Scope of Allylic Pivalates
$(\mathrm{ArBO})_{3}$

4-29a-l, 93-99\% ee
 $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$


4-44, 91\%
86\% ee, $92 \%$ es (93\% ee of 4-29b)


4-45, 66 \%
$84 \%$ ee, $88 \%$ es (96\% ee of 4-29c)


4-46, 68\%
$95 \%$ ee, $96 \%$ es
(99\% ee of 4-29d) ${ }^{\text {b }}$


4-47, 73\%
$93 \%$ ee, $96 \%$ es
( $97 \%$ ee of 4-29e)


4-48, 86\%
$93 \%$ ee, $97 \%$ es
(96\% ee of 4-29f)


4-52, 89\%
91\% ee, $99 \%$ es
(92\% ee of 4-29j)


4-49, 90\%
$93 \%$ ee, $95 \%$ es
( $98 \%$ ee of $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 g}$ )


4-50, 69\%
$70 \%$ ee, $71 \%$ es (98\% ee of 4-29h)


4-51, 88\%
89\% ee, $92 \%$ es
(97\% ee of 4-29i)


4-54, 69\%
$91 \%$ ee, $93 \%$ es
$(98 \% \text { ee of } 4-291)^{\text {b }}$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: allylic pivalate ( $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 a - l}$ ) ( $0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), boroxine ( 1.5 equiv), NaOMe ( 3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(0.4 \mathrm{M}\right.$ ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h . Isolated yields are an average of duplicate experiments ( $\pm 8 \%$ ). Average ee's from duplicate runs determined by chiral HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase ( $\pm 2 \%$ ). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Single experiment.

In developing this chemistry, one question I had was if the alkene geometry of pivalates would have any influence on reactivity. In order to test this theory, I
synthesized enantioenriched allylic pivalates beginning from either geraniol ( $E$-alkene isomer) or nerol ( $Z$-alkene isomer). Under the same reaction conditions, they had similar reactivity however they produced the opposite enantiomer of product.

Geraniol-derived pivalate (4-53) showed similar yields and stereochemical fidelity to the model substrate providing the product 4-54 in 96\% yield and 93\% ee. However, the nerol-derived pivalate (4-55) showed lower yields and ee of product 4-56. This suggests that the reaction proceeds better with $E$-isomers of alkene, and for highly enantioenriched products it is essential to synthesize allylic pivalates with only one alkene isomer.

Scheme 4-12 Influence of Alkene Geometry



There are several limitations in the scope of this reaction. We found that pivalates with electron-rich aryl substituents such as $p$-methoxyphenyl (4-57) decomposed with minor amounts of the product formed. I hypothesized that this may be due to instability of the pivalate, and having an electron-withdrawing group on the phenol (e.g. a pivalate (4-58) would help stabilize the reactant). However, this led to further problems in decomposition. While there were a number of decomposition products, I hypothesized that this may be due to activation of both the allylic and aryl-
pivalate. ${ }^{21}$ While we were excited to see that iso-butyl substitution on the alkene was tolerated (4-50), it seems to be the upper limit for size as both tert-butyl (4-59) and sec-butyl (4-60) both inhibit product formation. I also saw limitation in the size of boroxine coupling partner that was tolerated. Ortho-substitution on the boroxine also did not provide any of the desired product (4-61). Halogens on the boroxine (4-62) as well as pyridines (4-63 to 4-65) were also unsuccessful. I believe that aryl-halogens may also be activated by the catalyst, providing product other than the desired quaternary stereocenters. I hypothesize that pyridyl boronic acids are unreactive due to possible coordination of the nitrogen to the nickel, forming an unreactive catalyst. For this reason, I also tried boroxines with substitution adjacent to the nitrogen atom. However, they still remained unreactive.

Scheme 4-13 Unsuccessful Substrates


4-57: $R=M e$
4-58: R = Piv


4-61


4-59


4-62
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$ or Cl


4-60


4-63: $R^{1}=F, R^{2}=H$
4-64: $R^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$
4-65: $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=M e$

In order to determine the absolute stereochemistry of our starting materials, I was able to acylate alcohol 4-28, to obtain 4-66. Oxidative cleavage of 4-66 using ozone provided aldehyde 4-67. By comparing the optical rotation of 4-67 to that reported in the literature, ${ }^{22}$ I have determined that the allylic pivalates we are forming are the $(R)$-enantiomer. This is in agreement with the literature report from the Grubbs group that is used to make these allylic alcohols. ${ }^{23}$ In order to determine the absolute stereochemistry of the products, I did an oxidative cleavage of the alkene in compound 4-48, to obtain carboxylic acid 4-68 (Scheme 4-14). By comparing the optical rotation of 4-68 to that reported in the literature, ${ }^{24}$ I have determined that the allylic quaternary stereocenters we are forming are the ( $S$ )-enantiomer. I am currently working to define the absolute stereochemistry of the alcohols and pivalates. This result means that we are going through inversion of stereochemistry.

Scheme 4-14 Determination of Absolute Configuration


When considering the possible mechanistic pathways possible for this reaction, we have considered four possibilities. These come from open or closed transition states of the oxidative addition step that have previously been reported for nickel-catalyzed cross couplings of allylic and benzylic pivalates. ${ }^{19}$ Retention of configuration occurs through either transition state 4-69 or 4-70. The alternative option is an open transition state where the pivalate is not coordinated to the nickel (4-71 or 4-72). Both transition states 4-70 and 4-72 are disfavored due to a developing $\mathrm{A}(1,3)$ strain between the methyl and phenyl substituents. In transition states 4-69 and 4-71, these steric interactions are minimized with an interaction instead between methyl and hydrogen. We also have confirmation that the reaction does not go through transition state 4-70 and 4-72, because this would result in formation of $(Z)-4-74$ and ( $Z$ )-4-74. The large coupling constant characteristic of a cis-olefin vinyl protons $(\mathrm{J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ rules this out. We anticipate that this reaction
would go through the open transition state 4-71 because the optimal solvent is MeCN , which can coordinate the nickel catalyst and suppress coordination of the pivalate. ${ }^{19 a}$, ${ }^{25}$ Also, large bidentate ligands have been proposed to block the coordination of pivalates which would also favor the open transition states. ${ }^{19 \mathrm{~b}}$ This hypothesis was supported through the synthesis of 4-68, and 4-67 showing that we have made the $(R)$-enantiomer of our alcohols, and the $(S)$-enantiomer of our products (Scheme 414). After the undirected oxidative addition, we believe the reaction then undergoes transmetallation with the aryl boroxine and reductive elimination, which are well precedented to proceed with stereoretention. ${ }^{26}$

Scheme 4-15 Proposed Transition State for Retention of Configuration


### 4.3 Conclusion

In conclusion, I have developed a highly stereospecific Suzuki cross-coupling of allylic pivalates to afford allylic all-carbon quaternary stereocenters. This reaction utilizes an inexpensive nickel catalyst with air-stable and functional group tolerant boroxines. The allylic alcohols are readily accessible in high enantioenrichment from the corresponding ketone via a classical CBS-reduction. This reaction provides a powerful way to readily access allylic quaternary stereocenters with substituted internal alkenes and a variety of functional groups and heteroatoms in high yield and enantioenrichment.

### 4.4 Experimental Section

Reactions were performed in oven-dried vials with Teflon-lined caps or in oven-dried round-bottomed flasks unless otherwise noted. Flasks were fitted with rubber septa, and reactions were conducted under a positive pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Stainless steel syringes or cannulae were used to transfer air- and moisture-sensitive liquids. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 60 \AA)$ unless otherwise noted. Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros, Fisher, Strem, TCI, Combi Blocks, Alfa Aesar, Oakwood Chemicals, or Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories and used as received with the following exceptions: Sodium methoxide was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and immediately placed in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox for storage. $\mathrm{PhMe}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, and THF were dried by passing through drying columns. PhMe and MeCN were then degassed by sparging with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stored over activated $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$ in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox. Enantioenriched allylic alcohols are obtained via CBS reduction of ketones according to the procedure reported in the literature. ${ }^{23}$ Oven-dried potassium carbonate was added into $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to remove trace amount of acid. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on both 400 MHz and 600 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to
residual protium in the NMR solvent $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}=\delta 7.26\right)$. Chemical shifts for carbon are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=\delta 77.2\right)$. Chemical shifts for fluorine were externally referenced to $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}=\delta 0\right)$. Chemical shifts for silicon were externally referenced to tetramethylsilane in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (tetramethylsilane $=\delta 0$ ). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{p}=$ pentet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{h}=$ heptet), coupling constants in Hertz (Hz), integration. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using FTIR spectrophotometers with material loaded onto a NaCl plate. The mass spectral data were obtained at the University of Delaware mass spectrometry facility. Optical rotations were measured using a 2.5 mL cell with a 0.1 dm path length.

## Optimization Studies



6a, 96\% ee


8

General Optimization Procedure. In a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox, nickel, ligand, and base were weighed into a 1-dram vial fitted with a stir bar. Allylic pivalate ( 0.20 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and boroxine were added, followed by acetonitrile ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ ).

The vial was capped with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The mixture was stirred at the temperature described below for 3 h , unless otherwise stated. The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through
a plug of silica gel, which was rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated, 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (internal standard) and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ were added and the yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. An analytical sample of product 32 was prepared via preparatory thin layer chromatography, and the ee of this sample was determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase. Changes to this general procedure are noted in the table below.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Entr } \\ \mathbf{y} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{Ni}]} \\ (\mathrm{mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | Ligand <br> (mol <br> \%) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | time (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ \text { (equiv) } \end{gathered}$ | Equiv <br> (ArBO) <br> 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { pdt } \end{gathered}$ | \% ee | \% es |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})_{2}(5)$ | $\mathrm{BnPPh}_{2}$ <br> (11) | 70 | 3 | NaOMe <br> (2.0) | 1.0 | 90 | 54 | 56 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})_{2}(5)$ | $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ <br> (11) | 70 | 3 | NaOMe <br> (2.0) | 1.0 | 95 | 64 | 67 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(5)$ | $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ <br> (11) | 70 | 3 | KOMe <br> (2.0) | 1.0 | 56 | 75 | 79 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{OTf}_{2}(5)\right.$ | DPPF <br> (5) | 70 | 3 | KOMe <br> (2.0) | 1.0 | 30 | 89 | 93 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(5)$ | dppb <br> (5) | 70 | 3 | KOMe <br> (2.0) | 1.0 | 48 | 75 | 79 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{OTf}_{2}(5)\right.$ | BISBI <br> (5) | 70 | 3 | KOMe <br> (3.0) | 1.5 | 87 | 90 | 95 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \text { •DME }$ <br> (2) | BISBI <br> (2) | 50 | 16 | NaOMe (3.0) | 1.5 | 96 | 91 | 95 |
| 8 | None | None | 70 | 3 | NaOMe (3.0) | 1.5 | 0 | - | - |
| 9 | None | BISBI <br> (2) | 70 | 3 | NaOMe (3.0) | 1.5 | 0 | - | - |

Stereospecific, Nickel-Catalyzed Cross Coupling of Allylic Pivalates

## General Procedure A: Stereospecific, Nickel-Catalyzed Coupling of Allylic

## Pivalates with Boroxines



In a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere glovebox, $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ - DME ( $1.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BISBI ( $4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and $\mathrm{NaOMe}(64.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) were weighed into a 1 -dram vial fitted with a stir bar. Allylic pivalate $(0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and boroxine ( $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added, followed by acetonitrile ( 1.0 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{M})$. The vial was capped with a Teflon-lined cap and removed from the glovebox. The mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a plug of silica gel, which was rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated and then purified by silica gel chromatography to give the arylated product.

((S,E)-3-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1-heptene (32).
Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in 98\% ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{8}$ (run 1: $112.4 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$; run $2: 100.0 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ (run $1: 92 \%$ ee; run $2: 94 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRACEL IC, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=23.73 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=20.68 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-17.7\left(\mathrm{c} 1.52, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18$ (m, 2H), $6.99-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84$ (dddd, $J=$ $38.7,13.2,11.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.6$, $150.0,139.5,138.0,129.2,128.7,127.2,127.1,126.4,119.5,113.6,110.5,55.4,44.2$,
41.5, 27.0, 25.8, 23.6, 14.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2957, 2860, 1599, 1252, 1050, 693 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{ESI}+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}: 295.1984$, found: 295.2056.

propenyl]phenyl\}amine (33). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 429a (prepared in $98 \%$ ee). The reaction was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $2-5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give compound 33 (run 1: $79.9 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$; run 2: $76.2 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $90 \%$ (run 1: $90 \%$ ee; run $2: 90 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=43.77 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=39.09 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-11.0\left(\mathrm{c} 1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.9,140.4,138.3,136.1,128.6,127.5,126.9,126.4,126.3$, 112.7, 43.2, 41.6, 40.9, 27.1, 25.9, 23.7, 14.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2929, 2859, 1613, 1519, $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}: 308.2300$, found: 308.2362.


Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in 98\% ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexanes) to give compound 34 (run 1: $95.5 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$; run $2: 110.3 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.

The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ (run 1: $94 \%$ ee; run $2: 91 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=26.67 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=24.13 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-16.1\left(\mathrm{c} 1.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-$ $1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.8,140.2,140.0,138.1,128.7,127.9,127.1,126.8$, 126.3, 113.6, 55.4, 43.5, 41.7, 27.0, 26.0, 23.6, 14.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2957, 2931, 1511, 1250, 1035, $828 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}$ : 295.1984, found: 295.2058.


5-[(S,E)-1-Butyl-1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl]-2H-1,3-
benzodioxole (35). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in $98 \%$ ee).The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound 35 (run 1: $114.9 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$; run 2: 104.8 mg , $85 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ (run 1 : 93\% ee; run 2: $90 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRAIPAK IC, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=28.55 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=25.79 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-15.0$ (c $0.71, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.39$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.31 $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.7,145.6,142.3$, 139.7, 138.0, 128.7, 127.2, 126.9, 126.3, 119.8, 107.9, 107.8, 101.0, 44.0, 41.7, 27.0, 26.1, 23.6, 14.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2958, 2870, 1486,1241, $1040811,693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $[M]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 308.1776$, found: 308.1771.

(S,E)-3-Methyl-1,3-diphenyl-1-heptene (36). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in $98 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes ) to give compound 36 (run 1: $94.7 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$; run $2: 89.4 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ (run 1: $94 \%$ ee; run 2: $93 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRAIPAK OJ-3R, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 50-66 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=19.11 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=20.22 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-17.2\left(\mathrm{c} 1.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1,7.7$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97$ $-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 148.2, 139.7, 138.1, 128.7, 128.3, 127.2, 127.1, 126.9, 126.4, 126.0, 44.1, 41.6, 27.0, 25.8, 23.6, 14.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3057, 2931, 2361, 1494, $607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ : 264.1878, found: 264.1869.

(37 Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in 98\% ee).The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-1 \%$
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{3 7}$ (run 1: $123.2 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$; run 2: $115.6 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $88 \%$ (run 1: $88 \% \mathrm{ee}$; run 2: $88 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK OJ-3R, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 50-$ $100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \lambda=280 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=13.01 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=12.06 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}$ $=-8.35\left(\mathrm{c} 1.15, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.96-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta ; 152.3$,
138.6, 137.7, 128.8, 128.8, $128.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.2,127.9,127.5,127.3$, $126.4,125.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=271.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{z}, 125.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.3,125.2,125.2$, 125.2, 123.6, 44.4, 41.5, 27.0, 25.8, 23.6, 14.2; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-62.3$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2959, 1617, 1327, 1123, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3}: 332.1752$, found: 332.1742 .

phenylformaldehyde (38). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in $98 \%$ ee), except that the reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography (3-5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ (run 1: $123.3 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$; run $2: 131.2 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $81 \%$ (run 1: $81 \%$ ee; run $2: 80 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK OJ-3R, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 50-100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \lambda=280 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=15.71 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=18.06 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-8.42(\mathrm{c} 1.94$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.84-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.98-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-$ $1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 196.6,153.3$, $138.8,138.1,137.8,135.4,132.4,130.3,130.2,128.8,128.4,127.8,127.4,126.9$, 126.4, 44.5, 41.6, 27.0, 25.7, 23.6, 14.2; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2931, 1658, 1277, 701 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{ESI}+)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}: 369.214$, found: 369.2182 .


Methyl $p$-[(S,E)-1-butyl-1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl]benzoate (39). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in $98 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes ) to give compound 39 (run 1: $115.6 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$; run 2: $107.3 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ (run 1: $92 \%$ ee; run $2: 91 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.1 \%$ isopropanol/hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=12.04 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=10.62 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-19.1(\mathrm{c} 1.04$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33$ (dd, 2H), $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-$ $1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.3,153.6,138.8,137.8,129.7,128.8,128.0,127.7$, 127.4, 127.0, 126.4, 52.2, 44.5, 41.5, 27.0, 25.7, 23.6, 14.2; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2955, 2362, 1723, 1279, 1017, $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 323.1933$, found: 323.2000.
 \{p-[(S,E)-1-Butyl-1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl]phenyl\}
(diethylamino)formaldehyde (40). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in $98 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $20-25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give compound 40 (run 1: 104.4 mg , $72 \%$; run 2: $116.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $90 \%$ (run 1: $91 \%$ ee; run 2: $88 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $5 \%$ isopropanol/hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=9.77$ $\min , t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=8.67 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-16.9\left(\mathrm{c} 2.42, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.23-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $171.6,149.3,139.2,137.8,134.8,128.7,128.6,127.3,126.9,126.4,126.3,44.2,43.5$, 41.5, 39.4, 26.9, 25.7, 23.6, 14.5, 14.3, 13.1; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2963, 2870, 1631, 1425, 1095 972, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}$ : 364.2562 , found: 364.2635 .
 $p-[(S, E)$-1-Butyl-1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl]benzonitrile (41).
Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in 98\% ee), except that the reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $3-5 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give compound 41 (run 1: $104.9 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$; run 2: $103.0 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $86 \%$ (run 1: $88 \%$ ee; run $2: 84 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=40.85$ $\min , t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=45.27 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-19.3\left(\mathrm{c} 1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36$ (m, 3H), $7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-$ $1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.8,137.9,137.4,131.7,130.7,129.8,129.1,128.8,128.3,127.6,126.4$, 119.5, 112.4, 44.2, 41.4, 26.9, 25.6, 23.5, 14.2; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2956, 2860, 2228, 1598, 972, 749, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}$ : 290.1830, found: 290.1903.


5-[(S,E)-1-Butyl-1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl]-1-methyl-1 H -
indole (42). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in 98\% ee), except that the reaction mixture was heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $3-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to give compound 42 (run 1: $99.6 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$; run 2: $101.6 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $89 \%$ (run $1: 89 \%$ ee; run $2: 88 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=29.82 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=18.83 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-23.1\left(\mathrm{c} 1.35, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7$ Hz, 2H), $7.29-7.23$ (m, 3H), $7.23-7.18$ (m, 1H), 7.03 (d, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52$ (d, $J$ $=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01$ $-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.8,139.0$, $138.3,135.3,129.0,128.7,128.5,127.0,126.4,126.3,121.3,118.6,108.9,101.1$, 44.0, 41.9, 33.0, 27.1, 26.4, 23.7, 14.3; sFTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2957, 869, 1489, 1249, 971, 747, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}$ : 317.2143, found: 318.2214 .


5-[(S,E)-1-Butyl-1-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propenyl]-1-benzofuran
(43). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29a (prepared in $98 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $0-1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes ) to give compound $\mathbf{4 3}$ (run 1: $90.6 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$; run 2: $111.0 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $89 \%$ (run 1: $89 \%$ ee; run 2: $89 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK ID, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ );
$t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=28.12 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=25.16 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-20.1\left(\mathrm{c} 2.33, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.45-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.28$ (m, 3H), $7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-$ $1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.5,145.2,142.7,140.1,138.0,128.7,127.3,127.2$, $126.8,126.3,123.7,119.1,111.0,106.9,44.1,41.9,27.1,26.3,23.6,14.3$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) $2956,2859,1466,1262,1030,737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}: 305.1827$, found: 305.1890 .

(44). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29b (prepared in $98 \%$ ee), except that the reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $3-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give compound 44 (run 1: $113.2 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$; run 2: $111.2 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $86 \%$ (run 1: $86 \%$ ee; run 2: $86 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=22.22 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=20.61 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-9.0\left(\mathrm{c} 1.21, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.11$ (m, 3H), 6.97 (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.84 (dddd, $J=36.0,13.3,11.7,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,150.0,140.7,137.2,135.2$, 130.1, 129.0, 126.9, 126.1, 125.6, 125.0, 119.3, 113.4, 110.4, 55.2, 44.3, 41.4, 26.9, 25.8, 23.5, 19.9, 14.1; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2956, 2860, 600, 1484, 1251, $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}: 309.2140$, found: 309.2213.

(S,E)-tert-Butyl(3-(3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-methylhept-1-en-
1-yl)phenoxy)dimethylsilane (45). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29c (prepared in $96 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound 45 (run $1: 103.5 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$; run 2: $118.6,70 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $84 \%$ (run 1: $86 \%$ ee; run $2: 82 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, 0.2 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=38.04 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=35.60 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-11.7\left(\mathrm{c} 2.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ $-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-$ $1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.6,156.0,150.0,139.51,139.48,129.5,129.2,126.9,119.5,119.4,118.8,118.1$, $113.5,110.5,55.3,44.1,41.5,27.0,25.9,25.8,23.6,18.4,14.3,-4.2 ;{ }^{29}$ Si NMR (119 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.56$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2930, 2858, 1598, 1485, 1280, 856, 780 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: 425.2798$, found: 425.2828.

$p-[(S, E)$-3-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1-
heptenyl]benzonitrile (46). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29d (prepared in $99 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography (3-5\% $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound $46(86.5 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $95 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis
(CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $1 \%$ isopropanol $/$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=$ $11.75 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=15.31 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-23.2\left(\mathrm{c} 1.33, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.6,149.0,143.7,142.5,132.5,129.4,126.8,125.7,119.31$, $119.28,113.7,110.5,110.3,55.3,44.4,41.2,26.9,25.3,23.5,14.2$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2932, 2224, 1603, 1290, 1043, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}: 320.1936$, found: 320.1984 .

(S, $E$ )-3-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1-[ $p$ -
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1-heptene (47). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29e (prepared in $97 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound 47 (run 1: $106.4 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$; run 2: $96 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. There was a $4 \%$ impurity of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ product observed in this reaction. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ (run 1 : 93\% ee; run 2: 93\%) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=36.59 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=34.08 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-16.4(\mathrm{c}$ 2.19, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-\mathrm{z} 6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ $-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.95-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,149.2,142.2,141.3,129.1$, 128.8 (q, $J=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $126.3,125.8,125.5(\mathrm{q}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.3(\mathrm{q}, J=271.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $119.2,113.5,110.4,55.2,44.2,41.2,26.8,25.4,23.4,14.1 ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHZ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-62.36$ FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2958, 1607, $1324,1123 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (EI+) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}: 362.1858$, found: 362.1872.


3-[(S,E)-3-(m-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1-heptenyl]pyridine
(48). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29f (prepared in $96 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $40 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes with $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to give compound 48 (run $1: 103.3 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$; run $2: 98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ (run $1: 93 \%$ ee; run 2: $93 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \%$ isopropanol/hexanes, $\lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=32.69 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=23.16 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}$ $=+13.4\left(\mathrm{c} 1.86, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46$ - $8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48$ (d, $J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.84-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-$ $1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7,149.3$, $148.5,148.3,142.0,133.5,132.7,129.3,123.6,123.5,119.4,113.6,110.6,55.4,44.4$, 41.4, 27.0, 25.5, 23.6, 14.2; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2956, 2869, 1605, 1484, 1252, 1044, $702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}: 296.1936$, found: 296.2009.


## 5-[(S,E)-3-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1-heptenyl]-1-

benzofuran (49). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29g (prepared in $98 \%$ ee ). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \%$
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound 49 (run 1: $120.2 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$; run 2: $120.3 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ (run 1: $93 \%$ ee; run 2: $93 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.1 \%$ isopropanol/hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=7.87 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=6.90 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-$ 23.9 (c 1.20, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.43(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,154.4,150.0,145.4,138.3,133.0,129.0,127.7,127.0,122.8$, 119.3, 118.6, 113.4, 111.3, 110.3, 106.6, 55.2, 44.0, 41.5, 26.9, 25.7, 23.5, 14.1; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2956, 2931, 1606, 1465, 1262, 1031, 765, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 335.1933$, found: 335.2001.

(S,E)-3-Ethyl-3-( $m$-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-1-heptene
(50).

Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29h (prepared in 98\% ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes ) to give compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ (run 1: $82 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$; run $2: 89 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $68 \%$ (run $1: 68 \%$ ee run $2: 68 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IF, $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ pentane, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=56.76 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=53.61 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+4.1\left(\mathrm{c} 1.91, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,148.5,138.4,138.2,128.9,128.7,127.9,127.1$, $126.3,120.3,114.4,110.4,55.3,47.7,37.3,30.5,26.5,23.7,14.3,8.8$; FTIR (NaCl/ thin film) 2957, 2932, 1599, 1485, 1247, 1052, 775, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}: 309.2140$, found: 309.2205.

(S,E)-1-(m-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3-phenyl-1pentene (51). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29i (prepared in $97 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{5 1}$ (run 1: $96.3 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$; run 2: $91.6 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $89 \%$ (run 1: $89 \%$ ee; run 2: $88 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=26.11 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=22.57 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-16.6(\mathrm{c} 2.02$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.77 (dd, $J=8.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.9,147.6,139.5,139.4,129.5,128.1,127.0,126.8,125.8$, 118.9, 112.7, 111.4, 55.2, 44.2, 33.9, 25.0, 9.1; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3852, 2964, 2361, 1578, 1156, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}$ : 267.1671, found: 267.1739.

(S, $E$ )-3-( $m$-methoxyphenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1-hexene (52).
Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29j (prepared in $92 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound 52 (run 1: $97.9 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$; run 2: $100.6 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $91 \%$ (run 1: $90 \%$ ee; run 2: $91 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=22.80 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=19.57 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-7.05\left(\mathrm{c} 2.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18$ (m, 2H), $6.99-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.5,150.3,139.7,138.0,129.1,128.7,127.1,126.8$, 126.3, 119.5, 113.6, 110.5, 55.4, 50.8, 44.6, 26.1, 25.3, 25.2, 25.0; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2953, 1599, 1485, 1247, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}: 295.1198$, found: 295.2056.


## Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-5-phenyl-4-pentenyl]-2,2-dimethyloxirane

(53).

Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29k (prepared in $81 \%$ ee, $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $10-15 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{5 3}$ (run 1: 121.7 mg , $91 \%$; run 2: $118.2 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess of each diastereomer was determined to be $81 \%$ (run 1: $81 \%$ ee; run $2: 81 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.8 \%$ isopropanol $/$ hexanes, $\lambda=254$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major for diastereomer 1$)=12.96 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor for diastereomer 1$)=11.43$ $\mathrm{min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major for diastereomer 2$)=18.46 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor for diastereomer 2$)=16.35$ $\min .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-8.0\left(\mathrm{c} 1.15, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, both diastereomers) $\delta 7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-$ $1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7,149.3,149.0,138.8,138.4,137.7,137.7,129.4$, 129.4, 128.8, 128.7, 127.7, 127.4, 127.4, 127.3, 126.4, 119.4, 119.3, 113.6, 113.5, $110.8,110.7,64.8,58.7,58.6,55.4,44.0,43.9,38.0,37.9,25.7,25.5,25.1,24.6,24.6$, 18.8, 18.8; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2963, 2361, 1599, 1486, 1251, $749,694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 337.2089$, found: 337.2155 .

(S,E)-tert-Butyl((4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-6-phenylhex-5-en-1-yl)oxy)dimethylsilane (54). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-291 (prepared in $>99 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound 54 ( $109.1 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) as acolorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $89 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=46.40$ $\min , t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=43.28 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-8.5\left(\mathrm{c} 1.31, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.96 (dd, $J=7.8,1.8,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.6,0.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.40 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.58 (t, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.83$ (m, 2H), 1.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.41 (dtd, $J=11.8,6.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7,149.7,139.2,138.0,129.2,128.7,127.23$, $127.18,126.4,119.5,113.6,110.7,63.8,55.3,43.9,37.7,28.3,26.2,25.9,18.6,-5.1$; ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR (119 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 18.5$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 1952, 2856, 1599,1255, 1095, $835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: 411.2641$, found: 411.2680 .

(S,1E)-3-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3,7-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1,6octadiene (56). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29m (prepared in 98\% ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound 56 (run 1: $119.0 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$, run 2: $125.2 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ ee (run 1: $93 \%$ ee, run 2: $93 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=26.31 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=23.16 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-4.09(\mathrm{c}$ $2.10, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-$
$6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,3.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.6,149.7,139.1,138.0,131.7,129.2,128.7$, 127.2, 126.3, 124.8, 119.4, 113.5, 110.6, 55.4, 44.2, 41.7, 25.9, 25.7, 23.6, 17.8; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2965, 2927, 1599, 1485, 1290, 1049, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}: 321.2140$, found: 321.2208.

( $R, 1 E$ )-3-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3,7-dimethyl-1-phenyl-
1,6-octadiene (58). Prepared via General Procedure A using pivalate 4-29n (prepared in $98 \%$ ee). The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $1-2 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{5 8}$ (run 1: $95.6 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$, run 2: $101.6 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $84 \%$ (run 1: $84 \%$ ee, run 2: $84 \%$ ee) by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexanes, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=21.94 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=25.79 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+3.43(\mathrm{c}$ 2.17, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.1, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97$ $-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7,149.7,139.2,138.1,131.6,129.2,128.7,127.3$, $127.2,126.4,124.9,119.5,113.6,110.7,55.4,44.3,41.8,25.9,25.7,23.7,17.8$. The spectral data for this compound matches that of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{3 1}$ reported above.

## Determination of Absolute Configuration


(R)-2-Methyl-2-phenylhexanoic acid (32). The following synthesis was adapted from a literature procedure. ${ }^{27}$ (S,E)-1-(m-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3-phenyl-1-pentene (27) ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in acetone ( $1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.22 \mathrm{M}$ ). $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.7$ equiv) was then added to the solution, which was then stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{EtOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The mixture was then stirred for an additional hour at room temperature. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the Celite bed was washed with water ( $2 \times 2$ mL ) and acetone ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{PhMe}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were then extracted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was then made acidic with 1 M HCl , and extracted with $\mathrm{PhMe}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were then washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(1 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The resulting residue was then purified via silica gel chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc/Hexane) to give compound 32 as a white solid. The spectral data matched that reported in the literature. ${ }^{16}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=21.9$ (c $0.42, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ). The absolute configuration assigned by comparing the optical rotation with a reported literature value for $(R)-32,[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=32.6\left(\mathrm{c} 0.3, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) .{ }^{24}$

( $S$ )-Formylphenylmethyl acetate (33) ( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-phenyl-2-heptenyl acetate ( $1.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( $76 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.025 \mathrm{M}$ ) and the solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ozone was then apassed through the
solution until there was a persistent blue color. Dimethyl sulfide ( $3.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was then added dropwise to the solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to stir and slowly warm to room temperature over a period of 3 h . The solution was then concentrated, and purified via silica gel chromatography (30\% EtOAc/Hex) to give compound 33 as a pale yellow oil. The spectral data matched that reported in the literature. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=+123.9$ (c 2.17, acetone). The absolute configuration was assigned by comparing the optical rotation with a reported literature value for $(R)-33,[\alpha]_{D^{24}}=119$ (acetone). ${ }^{22}$

## Preparation of Allylic Pivalates

## General Procedure B: Preparation of Allylic Pivalates (4-29a-4-29i)


( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-(phenyl)-2-heptenyl pivalate (4-29a). ( $R, E$ )-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-hepten-1-ol ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, $98 \%$ ee) and DMAP ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.10 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}) . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) and pivaloyl chloride ( $0.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were then added. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 15 h at room temperature, before $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20$ mL ) was added. The organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.0 \mathrm{M}, 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (column wet-packed with $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ :hexanes; then run using $2 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes $)$ to give compound $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 a}(1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $98 \%$, because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-31.3\left(\mathrm{c} 1.22, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.33 (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.34-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.5,141.1,140.8,128.4,127.4,126.2,123.2,72.4,39.2,38.8,29.7,27.1$,
22.2, 16.8, 13.9; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2958, 2931, 1728, 1151, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}: 289.2084$, found: 289.1252.

( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-(o-tolyl)-2-heptenyl pivalate (4-29b).
Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 2.75 mmol scale to give 4-29b ( 607 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $93 \%$ because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-43.4\left(\mathrm{c} 1.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.6,141.5,139.6,135.4,130.6,127.5,126.3,126.1,123.0,70.3,39.4$, 39.0, 30.0, 27.3, 22.4, 19.5, 17.0, 14.1; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2957, 1726, 1280, 1153, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M] calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 302.2246$, found: 302.2232 .


## (R,E)-1-(3-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)-3-

methylhept-2-en-1-yl pivalate (4-29c). Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 4.12 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 c}(1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$ as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$, because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-28.5\left(\mathrm{c} 1.59, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36-7.29$ (m, 4H), $7.29-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.56(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 177.7,155.9,142.7,140.9,129.5,123.4,119.33,119.31,118.0,72.3,39.4,39.0$, 29.9, 27.3, 25.9, 22.4, 18.4, 17.0, 14.1, -4.2; ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR ( $119 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 20.8$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2957, 2859, 1731, 1278, 1153, 840, $781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{OSi}$ 317.2295, found: 317.2290.

( $R, E$ )-1-(p-Cyanophenyl)-3-methyl-2-heptenyl pivalate (4-
29d). Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 0.72 mmol scale to give 4-29d ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $>99 \%$ by chiral SFC analysis (CHIRALPAK IF, $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \lambda=210$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=1.97 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=2.47 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-50.6\left(\mathrm{c} 2.62, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.41 (d, $\left.J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.48$ (d, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.6,146.6,142.7,132.6,126.9,122.2,119.0,111.4$, 71.8, 39.3, 39.0, 29.8, 27.3, 22.3, 17.1, 14.1; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2958, 2229, 1732, $1148,824 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}: 212.1434$, found: 212.1430 .

( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-[ $p$-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-heptenyl
pivalate (4-29e). Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 2.74 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 e}$ ( $895 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $97 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IC, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 100 \%$ hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=14.47 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=16.64 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-32.0(\mathrm{c} 2.27$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.6,145.3 \mathrm{f}, 142.1,129.8$ (q, $J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 126.6, $125.6(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.3(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=272.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.7,72.0,39.4,39.0$, 29.8, 27.3, 22.4, 17.0, 14.1; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-62.5; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin
film) 2960, 1732, 1325, 1149, $1067 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3}: 255.1355$, found: 225.1348 .

( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-(3-pyridyl)-2-heptenyl pivalate (4-29f).
Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 1.44 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 f}$ ( $367 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 96\% by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 3 \%$
isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=10.90 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=7.82 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-38.3\left(\mathrm{c} 1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.52 (dd, $J=4.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.62 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.31-7.23$ (m, 1H), 6.50 (d, $J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.7,149.0,148.3,142.3,136.7,134.0,123.5$, 122.3, 70.6, 39.3, 39.0, 29.8, 27.3, 22.4, 17.1, 14.1; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2958, 1729,1478, 1149, $712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M+H]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : 290.2042, found: 290.2088 .

( $R, E$ )-1-(1-Benzofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2-heptenyl pivalate
(4-29g). Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 4.51 mmol scale to give 4$\mathbf{2 9 g}(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IA, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=11.47 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=12.99 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24}=-17.8(\mathrm{c} 1.06$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.8,154.5,145.6,140.7,136.0,127.6$,
123.7, 123.1, 119.2, 111.5, 106.9, 72.9, 39.4, 39.0, 29.9, 27.3, 22.4, 17.0, 14.1; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2958, 2931, 1726, 1155, $737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv] ${ }^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}: 227.1430$, found: 227.1426.

( $R, E$ )-3-Ethyl-1-phenyl-2-heptenyl pivalate (4-29h). Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 1.72 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 h}$ as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $98 \%$, because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}=-31.05\left(c 1.13, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-$ $1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.7,146.8,141.4,128.6,127.6,126.5$, 122.7, 72.2, 38.9, 36.1, 30.1, 27.3, 23.9, 22.5, 14.2, 13.5; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2962, 2931, 1728, 1151, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) [M] calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 302.2240, found: 302.2232.

( $R, E$ )-1-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-2-pentenyl pivalate (4-29i). Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 1.9 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 i}$ ( $412 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $97 \%$, because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-41.1\left(\mathrm{c} 1.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.5,159.6$, $142.8,142.4,129.5,122.0,118.5,112.7,111.9,72.3,55.2,38.8,32.2,27.2,16.9$, 12.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2967, 2361, 1727, 1487, 1279, 1152, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated for: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 290.1882$, found: 290.1872 .

( $R, E$ )-3,5-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-2-hexenyl pivalate (4-29j).
Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 4.9 mmol scale to give 4-29j ( 1.31 g , $93 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $92 \%$ because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}=-39.7$ (c 1.58, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.7$, $141.1,140.0,128.6,127.6,126.3,124.8,72.5,49.5,39.0,27.3,26.1,22.7,22.3,16.9$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2956, 2930, 1729, 1152, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv] ${ }^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19}$ : 187.1481, found: 187.1478.

( $R, 2 E$ )-3,7-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-2,6-octadienyl pivalate (4-29m). Prepared according to General Procedure B on a 3.7 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 m}(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $98 \%$, because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-55.0$ (c 0.71 , $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ $-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.8,141.2,140.4,131.9,128.6,127.6,126.4,123.9$, 123.7, 72.5, 39.7, 39.0, 27.3, 26.3, 25.9, 17.9, 17.0; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2969, 1728, 1278, 1151, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OPiv}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21}$ : 212.1565 , found: 212.1572 .

( $R, 2 Z$ )-3,7-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-2,6-octadienyl pivalate (4-
29n). Prepared according to General Procedure $B$ on a 3.38 mmol scale to give 4-29n ( $88.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$, because that is the ee of the allylic alcohol precursor. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-45.8\left(\mathrm{c} 1.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ :
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.22-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 177.8, 141.2, 140.4, 132.2, 128.6, 127.7, 126.5, 124.3, 124.0, 72.4, 39.0, 32.7, 27.3, 26.8, 25.9, 23.7, 17.9; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) $2969,1728,1278,1151,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M-OPiv] ${ }^{+}$calculated for: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21}: 212.1565$, found: 212.1572 .

## Preparation of $\mathbf{6 k}$ and 61





61

(R,E)-5-(3,3-
Dimethyl-2-oxiranyl)-1-(m-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-2-pentenyl pivalate (429k). This procedure is adapted from a literature procedure. ${ }^{28}$ Pivalate $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 m}$ ( 1.24 $\mathrm{g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(28 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.14 \mathrm{M})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3-Chloroperbenzoic acid ( $0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was then added to the solution, which was then stirred at rt for 4 h . To the resulting mixture was added sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then separated, and the aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic fractions were then washed with water ( $1 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes with $2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to give compound $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 k}(911 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $98 \%$, because that was the ee of compound 4 29m. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-37.6\left(\mathrm{c} 1.19, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{q}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.8,141.0,141.0$, $139.5,128.7,127.8,127.8,127.1,126.4,126.3,124.4,124.3,72.6,72.5,64.1,63.9$, 58.7, 58.6, 39.0, 36.4, 27.3, 27.2, 27.1, 25.0, 24.9, 18.9, 18.9, 17.1, 17.0; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2965, 1728, 1152, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M-OPiv]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}: 229.1592$, found: 229.1592 .

(R,E)-tert-Butyl((4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-6-phenylhex-5-en-1-yl)oxy)dimethylsilane (4-291). This procedure is adapted from a literature procedure. ${ }^{28}$ Pivalate ( $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 k}$ ) ( $0.91 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in THF $(4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{M})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In a separate flask periodic acid $(627 \mathrm{mg}$,
$2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in water ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ ), and then added dropwise to the solution of pivalate ( $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 k}$ ) and THF. The mixture was then stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 45 min . Sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic fractions were then washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified via silica gel chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes with $2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to afford compound $\mathbf{S 5}$ ( $460 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-17.3$ (c $1.31, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.75(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.52(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $2.41-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.9,177.7,140.7,138.5,128.7,127.8,126.3,124.5,72.3,41.9,39.0$, 31.7, 27.3, 17.2 ; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2972, 1725, 1151, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}$ 187.1117, found: 187.1111.

Compound S5 ( $460 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was then dissolved in MeOH $(18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.09 \mathrm{M})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ was then added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional hour at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Acetone ( 3.0 mL ) and water ( 9 mL ) were added, and the mixture was warmed to room temperature. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic fractions were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \mathrm{x} 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was then purified via silica gel chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes with $2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to afford compound $\mathbf{S 6}(336 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%)$ as a clear oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-27.9\left(\mathrm{c} 0.59, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.8,140.9,140.2,128.7$, 127.7, 126.3, 124.1, 72.6, 62.7, 39.0, 36.1, 30.6, 27.3, 17.0; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3360, 2971, 1727, 1153, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}$ calculated: 189.1274 found: 189.1267.

Compound S6 (292 mg, $1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and imidazole ( $272 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) were then dissolved in DMF ( $13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.08 \mathrm{M}$ ) at room temperature. TBS-Cl ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was then added to the solution, which was stirred for an additional 24 h at room temperature. Water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic fractions were then washed with water ( 2 x 40 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was then purified via silica gel chromatography (column wet-packed with $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ :hexanes; then run using 2\% $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes $)$ to afford compound $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 1}(211.4 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%)$ as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was assumed to be $98 \%$, because that was the ee of compound 429m. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-17.5\left(\mathrm{c} 0.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.56(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.8,141.2,140.3,128.6,127.6,126.3,123.7,72.6,62.7,39.0,35.8,30.9,27.3$, 26.1, 18.5, 17.1, $-5.1 ;{ }^{29}$ Si NMR ( $119 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 18.6$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 2955, 2857, 1729, 1151, 835, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OPiv]+ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{OSi}$ : 303.2139 found: 303.2132.

## Preparation of Allylic Alcohols

## General Procedure C: Preparation of ( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-phenyl-2-hepten-1ol (4-29aa) via CBS Reduction



This procedure is adapted from a literature procedure. ${ }^{23}(S)$-Diphenyl prolinol ( 4.81 g , $9.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and methyl boronic acid ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene ( $63.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.33 \mathrm{M}$ ). The flask was fitted with a Dean-Stark apparatus, and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h to form the CBS catalyst. The solution was then cooled to room temperature. In a separate oven-dried round-
bottomed flask purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2},(E)$-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-hepten-1-one (1.92 g, 9.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( $47 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) with 4 $4 \AA$ molecular sieves and stirred at rt for 2 h . The cooled solution of CBS catalyst was then added to the solution of $(E)$-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-hepten-1-one and THF. The resulting mixture was cooled to $-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 28.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was then added dropwise over 20 min using a syringe pump. The mixture was stirred at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 1.5 h . $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added at $-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $15 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes) to give compound $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 a a}(1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.8 \% i-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ hexanes, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=33.62 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=29.57 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-95.2\left(\mathrm{c} 1.01, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(600$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.23$ (m, 2H), 5.49 (dd, $J=8.8,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 144.5,139.5,128.6,127.4,127.3$, 126.0, 70.9, 39.5, 30.0, 22.6, 16.9, 14.2; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3325, 2956, 2858, 1451, 1004, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) [M-OH] ${ }^{+}$calculated for: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19}: 187.1481$, found: 187.1479.

( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-(o-tolyl)-2-hepten-1-ol (4-29bb). Prepared according to General Procedure C on a 3.84 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 b b}$ ( 602 mg , $72 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $94 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.8 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=26.13 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=19.54 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-79.9$ (c 1.10 ,
$\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ $-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.5,136.4,135.7,130.4,127.4,126.2,125.8,125.0,73.7,42.9,28.6$, 26.5, 23.3, 20.1, 14.3; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3319, 2929, 2858, 1461, 1002, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; HRMS (EI+) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}: 218.1671$, found: 218.1669.

( $R, E$ )-1-( $m$-Dimethyl, t-butyl-silyl phenol)-3-methyl-2-
hepten-1-ol (4-29cc). Prepared according to General Procedure C on a 1.59 mmol scale to give 4-29cc ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chial HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.5 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=20.34 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=16.12 \mathrm{~min} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $-79.8\left(\mathrm{c} 1.25, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~h}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.0,146.1,139.4,129.6$, $127.3,119.0,118.9,117.8,70.7,39.5,30.1,25.9,22.6,18.4,16.9,14.2,-4.2,{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR ( $119 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 20.6$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 2930, 2860, 1602, 1482, 1274, 957, $839 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}: 318.2379$, found: 318.2369 .


(4-29dd). Compound $\mathbf{S 8}$ was added to an oven-dried round-bottomed flask, and dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 0.5 M ). The reaction was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and p -$\mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{PhMgBr}$ (1.3 equiv) was added dropwise to the solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and stirred for an additional 3 h . The reaction was then quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic fractions were washed with sat. aq. NaCl, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified via column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes ) to afford $p-[(E)-$ 1-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-heptenyl]benzonitrile ( $\pm$ )-4-29dd) .The enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-4-29dd were then separated using preparatory SFC with a chiral stationary phase to give 4-29dd in $\mathbf{> 9 9 \%}$ ee. The absolute configuration of 4-29dd was not determined. $[\alpha]_{D^{24}}=-150.2\left(c 1.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 87.63(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.4,141.0,132.3$, 126.5, 126.2, 119.0, 110.8, 70.0, 39.3, 29.8, 22.4, 16.8, 14.0; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3428, 2929, 2228, 1607, 013, 820, $566 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) [M+H] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}: 230.1539$, found: 230.1535 .

( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-Methyl-1-[ $\boldsymbol{p}$-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-hepten-
1-ol (4-29ee). Prepared according to General Procedure C on a 4.76 mmol scale to give 4-29ee ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $96 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK $1 \mathrm{C}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=9.92 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=12.86 \mathrm{~min} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $-79.8\left(\mathrm{c} 1.28, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08$

- $1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $148.3,140.5,129.9,129.6,129.3,129.0,128.4,126.7,126.2,125.7,125.6,125.5$, $125.5,125.4,123.0,120.3,70.2,39.4,30.0,22.5,16.9,14.1 ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-62.4$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3314, 2932, 2861, 1619, 1326, 1127, 1068, 824, $605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3}: 255.1355$, found: 255.1350.

( $R, E$ )-3-Methyl-1-(3-pyridyl)-2-hepten-1-ol (4-29ff). 3Bromopyridine ( 1.2 equiv) was added to an oven-dried round-bottomed flask, dissolved in anhydrous THF $(1.67 \mathrm{M})$, and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . i-\mathrm{PrMgCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}(1.32$ equiv) was then added dropwise to the solution over 30 min with a syringe pump. The solution was stirred for an additional 1 hr at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $\mathbf{S 8}$ (1.0 equiv) was added to a separate oven-dried round-bottomed flask, and dissolved in anhydrous THF $(0.5 \mathrm{M})$. The reaction was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the prepared grignard reagent was added dropwise to the solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and stirred for an additional 3 h . The reaction was then quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic fractions were washed with sat. aq. NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified via column chromatography ( $30 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) to afford ( $E$ )-3-Methyl-1-(3-pyridyl)-2-hepten-1-ol ( $\pm$ )-4-29ff) The enantiomers of ( $\pm$ )-4-29ff were then separated using preparatory SFC with a chiral stationary phase to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 f f}$. The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 f f}$ was not determined. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $97 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=82.2\left(\mathrm{c} 2.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.63-8.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.07-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.3,147.8,140.1$,
$140.0,133.9,126.7,123.6,68.5,39.4,29.9,22.5,16.9,14.1$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) $3211,2928,1423,1018,713 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ : 206.1539, found: 206.1537.

( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{E}$ )-1-(1-Benzofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2-hepten-1-ol
29gg). Prepared according to General Procedure $C$ on a 6.76 mmol scale to give 4$\mathbf{2 9 g g}(1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $99 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPACK IC, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=52.02 \mathrm{~min} t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=48.44 \mathrm{~min} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ -109.2 (c $2.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.47 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (dd, $J=8.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.4,145.5,139.2,139.1,127.64,127.62$, $122.7,118.5,111.5,106.9,71.0,39.5,30.0,22.6,16.8,14.2$; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3325, 2928, 2858, 1467, 1262, 1032, $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI + ) $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}: 227.1430$, found: 227.1427.

( $R, E$ )-3-Ethyl-1-phenyl-2-hepten-1-ol (4-29hh). Prepared according to General Procedure C on a 2.13 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 h h}$ ( 398 mg , 86\%) as a pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.8 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=19.10 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=16.86 \mathrm{~min} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-76.1(\mathrm{c} 1.50$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45 \mathrm{z}-$ 1.35 (m, 2H), $1.35-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.3,144.5,128.6,127.4,126.6,126.1,70.4,36.2$, 30.3, 23.9, 22.7, 14.2, 13.8; FTIR (NaCl/thin film) 3330, 2961, 2872, 1432, 1006, 689 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (EI+) [M] calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}: 218.1671$, found: 218.1678.

( $R, E$ )-1-( $m$-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-2-penten-1-ol (4-
29ii). Prepared according to General Procedure $C$ on a 2.3 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4 - 2 9 i i}$ ( $437.1 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $97 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=46.15 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor) $=32.69 \mathrm{~min} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ -76.1 (c 1.50, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-$ $6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.7$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.0,146.2$, 141.0, 129.7, 126.1, 118.4, 112.9, 111.6, 77.4, 70.8, 55.4, 32.4, 16.9, 12.5; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film ) 3330, 2961, 2872, 1432, 1006, $689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 206.1307, found: 206.1305.

( $R, E$ )-3,5-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-2-hexen-1-ol (6jj). Prepared via General Procedure C on a 8.43 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{6 j j}(1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.8 \%$ isopropanol $/$ hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=22.74$ $\min , t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=19.41 \mathrm{~min} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-97.1\left(\mathrm{c} 1.67, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, J=14.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44-5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.4,138.3$, $128.8,128.6,127.4,126.0,70.8,49.5,26.2,22.8,22.5,16.8$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} /$ thin film) 3320, 2953, 1451, 1006, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI+) [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$ : 204.1514, found: 204.1504.


29mm). Prepared according to General Procedure $C$ on a 4.1 mmol scale to give $\mathbf{4}$ $\mathbf{2 9 m m}(923 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 98\% by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.8 \%$
isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}$ ); $t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=19.10 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=16.86 \mathrm{~min} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ $-76.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; The spectral data for this compound matches that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{29}$

( $R, 2 Z$ )-3,7-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-2,6-octadien-1-ol (4-29nn). Prepared according to General Procedure C on a 4.4 mmol scale to give 4-29nn (780 $\mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a clear oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be $98 \%$ by chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0.8 \%$ isopropanol/hexane, $\lambda=210$ $\mathrm{nm}) ; t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $)=19.10 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $)=16.86 \mathrm{~min} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-76.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; The spectral data for this compound matches that previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{29}$

## Preparation of Enone Precurosrs

The synthesis of enone precursors generally was through the following 3-step synthesis.


The cuperate additions to form 4-22 were performed according to literature procedure. ${ }^{30}$

The formation of Weinreb amide 4-23 was performed according to literature procedure. ${ }^{31}$
(E)-1-( $N$-Methylmethoxyamino)-3-methyl-2-hepten-1-one 4-23 was added to an oven-dried round-bottomed flask, and dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 0.5 M ). The reaction was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and PhMgBr ( 1.3 equiv) was added dropwise to the solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and stirred for an additional 3 h . The reaction was then quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic fractions were washed with sat. aq. NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified via column chromatography (10\% $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /hexanes) to afford ( $E$ )-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-hepten-1-one (4-24). The spectral data for this compound matched that reported in the literature.
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| Current Data Parameters |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Acquisition Parameters |  |
| Date | 20131220 |
| Time | 10.57 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zgpg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDC13 |
| NS | 1024 |
| DS | 4 |
| SWH | 23980.814 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.365918 Hz |
| AQ | 1.3664756 sec |
| RG | 512 |
| DW | 20.850 usec |
| DE | 18.00 usec |
| TE | 298.2 K |
| D1 | 2.00000000 sec |
| D11 | 0.03000000 sec |
| TD0 | 1 |
| ======== CHANNEL fl ======== |  |
| NUCl | 13C |
| P1 | 9.25 usec |
| PL1 | 0.55 dB |
| PLIW | 35.18820572 w |
| SFOl | 100.6228298 MHz |
| ======= | CHANNEL f2 ======== waltz16 |
| NUC2 | 1H |
| PCPD2 | 90.00 usec |
| PL2 | 4.90 dB |
| PL12 | 20.46 dB |
| PL13 | 21.00 dB |
| PL2W | 3.30822015 w |
| PL12w | 0.09195905 w |
| PL13w | 0.08120718 W |
| SFO2 | 400.1316005 MHz |
| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | 100.6127690 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 1.00 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.40 |

PROTON_16 CDCl3 /opt/topspin dmcatee
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9.5 0.0 р
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & \mathrm{ppm}\end{array}$ (ọ

[^1]

| Current | Data Parameters DMM4-242-3-PROTON-2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Acquisition Parameters |  |
| Date | 20131231 |
| Time | 13.43 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |
| NS | 16 |
| DS | 2 |
| SWH | 8278.146 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.126314 Hz |
| AQ | 3.9584243 sec |
| RG | 4 |
| DW | 60.400 usec |
| DE | 6.00 usec |
| TE | 298.2 K |
| D1 | 1.00000000 sec |
| TD0 | 1 |
| $========~ C H A N N E L ~$NUC1 1 ll |  |
|  |  |
| P1 | 15.00 usec |
| PL1 | 4.90 dB |
| PL1W | 3.30822015 W |
| SFO1 | 400.1324710 MHz |
| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | 400.1300000 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 0.30 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.00 |

DMM4-250-1-CARBON2
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Curr
NAME EXPNO

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F2 - } \quad \text { A } \\ & \text { Date_ } \end{aligned}$ | sition Parameters $20140108$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | 21.05 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zgpg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |
| NS | 1024 |
| DS | 4 |
| SWH | 23980.814 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.365918 Hz |
| AQ | 1.3664756 sec |
| RG | 512 |
| DW | 20.850 use |
| DE | 18.00 use |
| TE | 298.2 K |
| D1 | 2.00000000 sec |
| 11 | 0.03000000 sec |
| TD0 | 1 |
| $========$ CHANNEL $f 1=======$ <br> NUCl 13 C <br> P1 9.25 use <br> PL1 0.55 dB <br> PL1W 35.18820572 W <br> SFOl 100.6228298 MHz |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

$=======$ CHANNEL $\mathrm{f} 2=======$

CPDPRG2
NUC2
PCPD2
PL2
PL12
PL13
PL12W
PL13W
SFO2

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| F2 | - Processing parameters |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | 100.6127690 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 1.00 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.40 |

DMM4-250-1-PROTON2
PROTON_16 CDCl3 /opt/topspin dmcatee 31





| NAME | DMM4-250-1-PROTON2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Acquisition Parameters |  |
| Date | 20140109 |
| Time | 1.05 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |
| Ns | 16 |
| DS | 2 |
| swh | 8278.146 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.126314 Hz |
| AQ | 3.9584243 sec |
| RG | 9 |
| DW | 60.400 usec |
| DE | 6.00 usec |
| TE | 298.1 K |
| D1 | 1.00000000 sec |
| TD0 | 1 |
| ===1=== CHANNEL fl ======== |  |
| NUCl | 1H |
| P1 | 15.00 usec |
| PL1 | 4.90 dB |
| PLIW | 3.30822015 w |
| sFOl | 400.1324710 MHz |
| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | 400.1300101 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| ssB | 0 |
| LB | 0.30 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.00 |




DMM4-234-1-PROTON-3
PROTON_16 CDCl3 /opt/topspin dmcatee 20






| NAME | DMM4-234-1-PROTON-3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Acquisition Parameters |  |
| Date | 20131220 |
| Time | 19.53 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |
| NS | 16 |
| DS | 2 |
| SWH | 8278.146 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.126314 Hz |
| AQ | 3.9584243 sec |
| RG | 8 |
| DW | 60.400 usec |
| DE | 6.00 usec |
| TE | 298.2 K |
| D1 | 1.00000000 sec |
| TD0 | 1 |
| ======== CHANNEL $\mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{l}========$ |  |
| P1 | 15.00 usec |
| PL1 | 4.90 dB |
| PL1W | 3.30822015 W |
| SFO1 | 400.1324710 MHz |
| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | 400.1300096 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 0.30 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.00 |

## DMM4-234-2-CARBON

C13CPD1024 CDC13 /opt/topspin dmcatee
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Current Data Parameters


F2 - Acquisition Parameters


| Current Data Parameters |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAME | DMM4-234-3-CARBON IN |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Acquisition Parameters |  |
| Date_ | 20140101 |
| Time ${ }^{-}$ | 13.49 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zgpg 30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | Acetone |
| NS | 1024 |
| DS | ${ }^{4}$ |
| SWH | 23980.814 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.365918 Hz |
| AQ | 1.3664756 sec |
| RG | 512 |
| DW | 20.850 usec |
| DE | 18.00 usec |
| TE | 298.2 K |
| D1 | 2.00000000 sec |
| D11 | 0.03000000 sec |
| TDO | 1 |
| ===== CHANNEL fl ======= |  |
| NUC1 | 13 C |
| P1 | 9.25 usec |
| PL1 | 0.55 dB |
| PLIW | 35.18820572 W |
| SFO1 | 100.6228298 MHz |
| ====== CHANNEL $\mathrm{f}^{2}=======$ |  |
| CPDPRG2 | waltz16 |
| NUC2 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 H |
| PCPD2 | 90.00 usec |
| PL2 | 4.90 dB |
| PL12 | 20.46 dB |
| PL13 | 21.00 dB |
| PL2W | 3.30822015 W |
| PL12W | 0.09195905 W |
| PL13W | 0.08120718 W |
| SFO2 | 400.1316005 MHz |
| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | 100.6127690 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 1.00 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.40 |


DMM4-234-3-1-CARBON
C13CPD102 $\triangle$ CDCl3 /opt/topspin dmcatee 42 -
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Current Data Parameters
 EXPNO

| Date | $20131215$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | 19.20 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDC13 |
| NS | 16 |
| DS | 2 |
| SWH | 8278.146 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.126314 Hz |
| AQ | 3.9584243 sec |
| RG | 11.3 |
| DW | 60.400 us |
| DE | 6.00 us |
| TE | 298.2 K |
| D1 | 1.00000000 sec |
| TD0 | 1 |
| $=======$ CHANNEL $\mathrm{fl}========$ <br> NUCl 1 H <br> P1 15.00 usec <br> PL1 4.90 dB <br> PLlW 3.30822015 W |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| SI | 32768 |
| SF | 400.1299877 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 0.30 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.00 |

DMM4-250-2-CARBON IN ACETONE2
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Acquisition parameter
Time - 20140109
spect
PROBHD 5 mm CPONP $1 \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{s}$
PULPROG
SOLVENT
SOLV
NS
DS
swh
FIDRES
AQ
RG
RG
DW
DE
zg30
65536
CDC13
CDC13
16
8278.146 Hz 0.126314 Hz 3.9584243 sec
11.3
60.400 usec 6.00 usec 298.1 K

TE
D1 D1 $\quad 1.00000000 \mathrm{se}$

| ======== CHANNEL $\mathrm{fl}========$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| NUC1 | 1 H |
| Pl | 15.00 usec |
| PL1 | 4.90 dB |
| PL1W | 3.30822015 W |
| SFOl | 400.1324710 MHz |

F2 - Processing parameters
400.1300000 MHz EM
0
0.30 Hz
1.00

C13CPD32 CDCl3 /opt/topspin kmrob 45



Current Data Parameters

## NAME

 CHB1-240-carbon -240-carbo PROCNO 1

F2 - Acquisition Parameters
Date 20140113
Date_ 20140113

| Time | 18.30 |
| :--- | :--- |

INSTRUM 5 mm spect
PROBHD 5 mm CPQNP $1 \mathrm{H} /$
PULPROG PULPROG $\quad$ zgpg30

| TD | 65536 |
| :--- | :--- |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |


| NS | 1024 |
| :--- | ---: |
| DS |  |

DS
23980.814 Hz
FIDRES $\quad 0.365918 \mathrm{~Hz}$
AQ
DW
DW
DE
TE
D1 2.00000000 sec
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { D11 } & 0.03000000 \mathrm{sec} \\ \text { TD0 }\end{array}$
$========$ CHANNEL
f1 =======
NUCl
Pl
PL1
PL1

                                    9.25 usec
    SFO1 $\quad 35.18820572 \mathrm{~W}$
========= CHANNEL $\mathrm{f} 2========$
CPDPRG2 waltzl6
NUC2
90.00 usec
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { PCPD2 } & 90.00 \text { use } \\ \text { PL2 } & 4.90 \mathrm{~dB}\end{array}$
PL2
PL12
PL13
PL2W
PL12W
PL13W
SFO2
21.00 dB
3.30822015 W
0.09195905 W
0.09195905 W
0.08120718 W
400.1316005 MHz
F2 - Processing parameters
F2 - Processing paramet
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SI } & 100.6127690 \mathrm{MHz} \\ \text { SF } & \end{array}$
*WW
SSB
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$1-60$

urrent Data Parameters
NAME Data Parameters EXPNO
PROCNO

F2 - Acquisition Parameters


INSTRUM 6.57 PROBHD 5 mm CPQNP $1 \mathrm{H} /$ $\begin{array}{lr}\text { PULPROG } & \text { 2g30 } \\ \text { TD } & 65536\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lr}\text { SOLVENT } & \text { CDC13 } \\ \text { NS } & 16\end{array}$ NS
DS $\begin{array}{ll}\text { SWH } & 8278.146 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \text { FIDRES } & 0.126314 \mathrm{~Hz}\end{array}$ FIDR AQ
RG RG
DW
DE DE
TE

DI | D1 | $\begin{aligned} 298.2 \mathrm{ks} \\ \text { D1 }\end{aligned}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

======== CHANNEL NUCl

| NUCl |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| P1 |  |
| PL1 |  |
| PLIW | 3.308 |
| SFOl | 400 |

$1=======$
1 H
15.00 use 5.00 use
3.30822015 w

F2 - Processing parameters

| SI | 400.1300000 MHz |
| :--- | :--- |
| SF | 400 |

EM
EM
0
0.30 Hz
1.00


DMM4-252-1-PROTON1-AV400
PROTON_16 CDCl3 /opt/topspin dmcatee
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| Current | Data Parameters |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAME | DMM4-252-1-PROTON1-AV4 |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |
| F2 - Acq | uisition Parameters |
| Date | 20140110 |
| Time | 5.51 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zg30 |
| TD | 65536 |
| SOLVENT | CDC13 |
| NS | 16 |
| DS | 2 |
| Swh | 8278.146 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.126314 Hz |
| AL | 3.9584243 sec |
| RG | 10.1 |
| DW | 60.400 usec |
| DE | 6.00 usec |
| TE | 298.2 K |
| D1 | 1.00000000 sec |
| TD0 | 1 |
| ====== | CHANNEL $\mathrm{fl}========$ |
| NUC1 | 1H |
| P1 | 15.00 usec |
| PL1 | 4.90 dB |
| PLIw | 3.30822015 w |
| SF | 400.1324710 MHz |


| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| SI | 32768 |
| SE | 400.1300097 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 0.30 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.00 |



CHB1-233-carbon
C13CPD32 CDCl3 /opt/topspin kmrob 46
(

CHB1-233-fluorine

current Data Parameters NAME CHB1-233-fluorine EXPNO
PROCNO
F2 - Acquisition Parameters

| Date_ | 20140113 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Time | 18.39 |
| INSTRUM | Spect |
| PROBHD | 5 marl CPQNP 1H/ |
| PULPROG | zgdc |
| TD | 131072 |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |
| NS | 16 |
| DS | 2 |
| SWH | 75187.969 Hz |
| FIDRES | 0.573639 Hz | 0.8716788 sec 1149.4

6.650 usec 20.00 usec 298.2 K
2.00000000 sec
0.03000000 sec

1 1

| ======== CHANNEL $\mathbb{I} 1=======$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| NUC1 | 19 F |
| P1 | 15.03 usec |
| PL1 | -4.00 dB |
| PLIW | 25.74305916 W |
| SFO1 | 376.4607164 MHZ |

========= CHANNEL f2 ========
CPDPRG2 CHANNEL 12
NUC2
waltz16
1H

| PL2 | 9.00 use |
| :--- | ---: |

PL12 20.46 dB
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { PL2W } & 3.30822015 \mathrm{~W} \\ \text { PL12W } & 0.09195905 \mathrm{~W}\end{array}$
PL12W 0.09195905 W SFO2 400.1316005 MHZ

| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| SI | 65536 |
| SF | 376.4983660 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 2.00 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.00 |




CHB1-221-fluorine
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Current Data Parameters

| NAME | CHB1-221-fluorine |
| :--- | :---: |
| EXPNO | 1 |
| PROCNO | 1 |


| F2 - Acquisition Parameters |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Date_- | 20140114 |
| Time | 9.43 |
| INSTRUM | spect |
| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP $1 \mathrm{H} /$ |
| PULPROG | zgdc |
| TD | 131072 |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |
| NS | 16 |
| DS | 2 |
| SWH | 75187.969 |
| FIDRES | 0.573639 |
| AQ | 0.8716788 |
| RG | 1625.5 |
| RG | 6.650 |
| DW | 20.00 |
| DE | 298.2 |
| TE | 2.00000000 |
| D1 | 0.03000000 |
| D1 |  |
| D1 | 1 |





[^2]KMR 1-221-FLUORINE
F19CPD CDC1. 3 /nnt/tonanin kmroh 1


Current Data Parameters

| EXPNO | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROCNO | 1 |

F2 - Acquisition Parameters
Time
20140112

PROBHD 5 mm CPONP 1 H
PULPROG zgfhigqn
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { TD } & 131072\end{array}$
SOLVENT CDCl3

16
DS
75187.969 Hz

FIDRES $\quad 0.573639 \mathrm{~Hz}$
AO
RG

| DW | 6.650 usec |
| :--- | ---: |
| DE | 6.50 usec |

6.50 usec 298.2 K

D1 $\quad 1.00000000 \mathrm{sec}$
D11 0.03000000 sec
D12 0.00002000 sec
TD0 1


| F2 - Processing parameters |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| SI | 65536 |
| SF | 376.4983660 MHz |
| WDW | EM |
| SSB | 0 |
| LB | 0.30 Hz |
| GB | 0 |
| PC | 1.00 |

KMR 1-221-PROTON
PROTON_16 CDCl3 /opt/topspin kmrob 34


| Current | Data | Parameters |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NAME | KMR | $1-221-$ PROTON |
| EXPNO |  | 4 |
| PROCNO |  | 1 |

F2 - Acquisition Parameters
Date 20140113
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Time- } & 14.03 \\ \text { INSTRUM } & \text { spect }\end{array}$

| PROBHD | 5 mm CPQNP $1 \mathrm{H} /$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| PULPROG | zg 30 |


| TD | 65536 |
| :--- | :--- |
| SOLVENT | CDCl3 |

NS
16
2
8278.146 Hz
0.126314
3.9584243 sec
14.3
60.400 usec
6.00 usec
298.2 K
1.00000000 sec

1
$========$ CHANNEL f 1
1
=
1 H
15.00 usec

P1
PL1
4.90 dB
3.30822015 W 400.1324710 MHz

F2 - Processing parameters
SI Processing paramet
400.1300201 MHz

## 0

0.30 Hz
0
1.00
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & \mathrm{ppm}\end{array}$


## Compound 1-48, racemic



Detector A Chl 254 nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.711 | 1656098 | 151316 | 49.649 | 56.269 |
| 2 | 10.081 | 1679516 | 117601 | 50.351 | 43.731 |
| Total |  | 3335614 | 268916 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-48,99\% ee



Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 7.996 | 1761412 | 151169 | 99.365 | 90.357 |
| 2 | 10.604 | 11252 | 978 | 0.635 | 0.643 |
| Total |  | 1772664 | 152147 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-49, racemic



Detector A Chl 254 nm

| Pcak\# | Rct. Time | Arca | Hcight | Area $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | Hcight $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 6.141 | 724449 | 63708 | 50.614 | 60.367 |
| 2 | 6.875 | 706862 | 41827 | 49.386 | 39.633 |
| Total |  | 1431312 | 105536 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-49,98\% ее



Detector 1 Ch $1254 n m$

| Peak\# | Ket. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 6.261 | 40903 | 4730 | 1.159 | 1.601 |
| 2 | 6.843 | 3489421 | 290760 | 98.841 | 98.399 |
| Total |  | 3530324 | 295490 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-50, racemic



Detcctor A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 5.996 | 497654 | 56740 | 49.584 | 52.767 |
| 2 | 7.215 | 506004 | 50789 | 50.416 | 47.233 |
| Total |  | 1003657 | 107529 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-50,75\% ee


Detector A Chl 254 nm

| Pcak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 5.985 | 338641 | 37234 | 12.572 | 13.839 |
| 2 | 7.184 | 2355059 | 2.31805 | 87.428 | 86.161 |
| Total |  | 2693699 | 269038 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-51, racemic


| Index | Time | Width | Hoight | Res. HW | Selectivity | Area | Area |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | (Min] | $[$ Min] | $[\mu \mathrm{V}$ |  |  | $[\mu \mathrm{V}$. Min] $]$ | $[\%]$ |
| 1 | 2.43 | 0.06 | 799.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 56.0 | 19.885 |
| 2 | 3.01 | 0.09 | 611.0 | 4.81 | 1.25 | 57.1 | 50.115 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1410.8 |  |  | 114.0 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-51,95\% ee



## Compound 1-52, racemic

mAU


Detector A Chl 2.54 nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 25.936 | 5203928 | 146.301 | 50.289 | 54.908 |
| 2 | 33.171 | 5144076 | 120148 | 49.711 | 45.092 |
| Total |  | 10348004 | 266449 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-52,98\% ee


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ket. 'lime | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 24.208 | 1047966 | 34361 | 98.809 | 98.906 |
| 2 | 30.678 | 12628 | 380 | 1.191 | 1.094 |
| Total |  | 1060594 | 34741 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-53, racemic



Detector A Chl 254nın

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 25.223 | 2654759 | 62771 | 49.692 | 49.803 |
| 2 | 27.026 | 2687689 | 63266 | 50.308 | 50.197 |
| Total |  | 5342448 | 1260.38 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-53,99\% ee



Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 27.883 | 3674930 | 70516 | 99.504 | 99.430 |
| 2 | 30.186 | 18306 | 404 | 0.496 | 0.570 |
| Totai |  | 3693237 | 70920 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-54, racemic



## Det.A Ch1/254nm

| Peal\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 21.425 | 6187717 | 193804 | 49.926 | 54.757 |
| 2 | 27.275 | 6206154 | 160132 | 50.074 | 45.243 |
| Total |  | 12393871 | 353936 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-54,99\% ee



Det.A Ch1/254nm

| Yeak\# | Ket lime | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 22.469 | 5156302 | 1.5922 .5 | 99.359 | 99.400 |
| 2 | 28.845 | 33277 | 961 | 0.641 | 0.600 |
| Total |  | 5189578 | 160186 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-55, racemic
maU


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area $\%$ | Height $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 6.380 | 336440 | 33838 | 50.168 | 50.642 |
| 2 | 6.809 | 334192 | 32981 | 49.832 | 49.358 |
| Total |  | 670632 | 66819 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-55,96\% ee



Detector A Chl 254nm

| Pcak\# | Ret. Time | Arca | Height | Ara \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 6.328 | 48108 | 5104 | 2.009 | 2.725 |
| 2 | 6.730 | 2346770 | 182200 | 97.991 | 97.275 |
| Total |  | 2394878 | 187304 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-56, racemate

mAU
Detector A Chl 254nm

| Pcak\# | Rct. Timc | Arca | Hcight | Arca \% | Hcight \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 8.255 | 709002 | 57468 | 50.831 | 56.905 |
| 2 | 8.824 | 685811 | 43522 | 49.169 | 43.095 |
| Total |  | 1394812 | 100990 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-56,86\% ee


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 8.242 | 342386 | 26925 | 93.176 | 93.236 |
| 2 | 8.934 | 25075 | 1954 | 6.824 | 6.764 |
| Tutal |  | 367462 | 28879 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-57, racemic



Detector A Chl 25Anm

| PcakA | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 38.712 | 1882323 | 27993 | 49.000 | 41.014 |
| 2 | 40.767 | 1959118 | 40259 | 51.000 | 58.986 |
| Tutal |  | 3841441 | 68252 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-57,52\% се


Delecitor A Chl 254inn

| Pealf | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area $\%$ | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 38.591 | 3753096 | 53270 | 75.430 | 63.952 |
| 2 | 40.724 | 1222527 | 30027 | 24.570 | 36.048 |
| Total |  | 4975623 | 83297 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-58, racemic

mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peal并 | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 21.542 | 788.39 | 2843 | 50.033 | 51.898 |
| 2 | 23.145 | 78735 | 26.35 | 49.967 | 48.102 |
| Totai |  | 157574 | 5478 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-58, >99\% ee


Detector A Chl 254nm

| PeakH | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 21.650 | 132973 | 4798 | 100.000 | 100.000 |
| Total |  | 132973 | 4798 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-59, racemic


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Pcuk\# | Ret Time | Area | Heighl | Ařa \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 24.466 | 492703 | 13047 | 50.652 | 57.061 |
| 2 | 31.131 | 480018 | 9818 | 49.348 | 42.939 |
| Total |  | 972721 | 22865 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-59,92\% ee
mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ket. Time | Ara | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 24.622 | 9857 | 265 | 3.832 | 5.387 |
| 2 | 31.775 | 247379 | 4657 | 96.168 | 94.613 |
| Tonal |  | 257236 | 492.3 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-60, racemic



Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 5.641 | 28336 | 4020 | 50.186 | 53.282 |
| 2 | 5.949 | 28127 | 3525 | 49.814 | 46.718 |
| Totail |  | 56463 | 7545 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-60, $83 \%$ ce


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height. | Area \% | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 5.551 | 19671 | 2814 | 8.659 | 9.580 |
| 2 | 5.806 | 207507 | 26561 | 91.341 | 90.420 |
| Total |  | 227178 | 29375 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 1-61, racemic

mAU


Detector A ChI 254nm

| Peak\& | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 48.721 | 457545 | 5994 | 50.055 | 52.702 |
| 2 | 55.009 | 456533 | 5380 | 49.945 | 47.298 |
| Tutal |  | 914078 | 11374 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 1-61,80\% ee


Detector A Chl 254nm

| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 48.535 | 285395 | 3834 | 89.837 | 90.386 |
| 2 | 55.206 | 32285 | 408 | 10.163 | 9.614 |
| Total |  | 317680 | 4242 | 100.00 | 100.000 |
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Compound 3e, racemic (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 14.944 | 239370 | 12773 | 50.150 | 59.107 |
| 2 | 22.866 | 237935 | 8837 | 49.850 | 40.893 |
| Total |  | 477305 | 21610 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 3e, 95\% ee (254 nm)
mAU


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 14.956 | 35963 | 1982 | 2.675 | 4.104 |
| 2 | 22.473 | 1308675 | 46315 | 97.325 | 95.896 |
| Total |  | 1344638 | 48297 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 2-40, racemic ( 254 nm )
mAU


| Pcak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 45.172 | 64627 | 1174 | 50.417 | 51.245 |
| 2 | 47.381 | 63558 | 1117 | 49.58 .3 | 48.755 |
| Total |  | 128185 | 2292 | 100.000 | 1(0).000 |

Compound 2-40, 95\% ee (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Ared \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 45.064 | 1159668 | 20005 | 97.571 | 97.019 |
| 2 | 47.287 | 28864 | 615 | 2.429 | 2.981 |
| Total |  | 1188532 | 20620 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 2-40, racemic (254 nm)


| Pcak\# | Ret. Tinc | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 45.172 | 64627 | 1174 | 50.417 | 51.245 |
| 2 | 47.381 | 63558 | 1117 | 49.583 | 48.755 |
| Total |  | 128185 | 2292 | $100.0(0)$ | $100 .(0) 00$ |

## Compound2-40,,95\%ee (254nm)



| Peala\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 44.637 | 946536 | 16673 | 97.303 | 96.868 |
| 2 | 46.837 | 26234 | 539 | 2.697 | 3.132 |
| Tolal |  | 972770 | 17212 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 3a, racemic (254 nm)
mAU


| Pe:aht\# | Res. Time | Ane:a | Heiond | Anal | Heishlar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.5.172 | 64627 | 1174 | 59). 417 | 51.24.5 |
| 2 | 47.381 | 6.3 .5 .58 | 1117 | 49.58 .3 | 48.755 |
| T(M,1) |  | 12 l 189 | 2292 | 100.000 | IOW.1)(\%) |

Compound 3a, 99\% ee (254 nm)


## Compound 3b, racemic (254nm)



| Peak\# | Rec. Tink | Areat | Height | Ancar | Ikight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18.953 | 12.392 .31 | 5561] | 50.146 | 56.6.32 |
| 2 | 24.61? | 12.32017 | 42586 | 4).8.54 | 4.3.368 |
| Tulal |  | 2471248 | ソ8197 | ION.OU0 | ION.000 |

Compound 3b, 92\% ee (254nm)


| Pcil苐 | Rea. Tinse | Area | Height | Areil $\%$ | Hejogn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18.428 | 28226 | 1362 | 4. 0.34 | 5.381 |
| 2 | 2.3 .871 | 671.567 | 23948 | 9.5.9x\% | 94.619 |
| Tonal |  | (6)(x)79.3 | 25310 | I(X).(X)( |  |

Compound 3c, racemic (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 20.140 | 165137 | 6165 | 50.153 | 55.982 |
| 2 | 25.662 | 164128 | 4848 | 49.847 | 44.018 |
| Total |  | 329266 | 11013 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 3c, 99\% ee (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 19.987 | 1037849 | 38360 | 99.309 | 99.372 |
| 2 | 25.838 | 7220 | 242 | 0.691 | 0.628 |
| Total |  | 1045069 | 38602 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Compound 3d, racemic (254 nm)



| Pcak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 30.441 | 364152 | 8496 | 49.958 | 52.369 |
| 2 | 32.915 | 364762 | 7727 | 50.042 | 47.631 |
| Total |  | 728913 | 16223 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 3d, 92\% ee (254 nm)
mAL


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 33.761 | 14125 | 358 | 3.958 | 5.084 |
| 2 | 35.703 | 342763 | 6682 | 96.042 | 94.916 |
| Total |  | 356888 | 344 | 7040 | 100.000 |

Compound 3e, racemic (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 14.944 | 239370 | 12773 | 50.150 | 59.107 |
| 2 | 22.866 | 237935 | 8837 | 49.850 | 40.893 |
| Total |  | 477305 | 21610 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 3e, 95\% ee (254 nm)
mAU


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 14.956 | 35963 | 1982 | 2.675 | 4.104 |
| 2 | 22.473 | 1308675 | 46315 | 97.325 | 95.896 |
| Total |  | 1344638 | 48297 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 3g, racemic (254nm)
mAU


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 53.571 | 422898 | 4957 | 50.129 | 52.089 |
| 2 | 58.585 | 420722 | 4559 | 49.871 | 47.911 |
| Total |  | 843620 | 9516 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 3g, 96\% ee ( 254 nm )


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 53.377 | 64936 | 808 | 2.149 | 2.450 |
| 2 | 58.150 | 2956179 | 32179 | 97.851 | 97.550 |
| Total |  | 3021114 | 346 | 32987 | 100.000 |

## Compound 2-47, racemic (254 nm)



| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% | Height\% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 24.795 | 210483 | 7521 | 50.324 | 52.855 |
| 2 | 27.679 | 207772 | 6708 | 49.676 | 47.145 |
| Total |  | 418255 | 14229 | 100.000 | $1(0) .0000$ |

Compound 2-47, 96\% ee (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Rel. Time | Areat | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 25.085 | 8553 | 324 | 1.840 | 2.212 |
| 2 | 28.248 | 456259 | 14308 | 98.160 | 97.788 |
| Total |  | 464812 | 14632 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 ( 0 0 . 0 0 0 )}$ |

Compound 2-48, racemtc (254 nm)
mAU


| Majk ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Kes. Tince | Are:i | Hejolst | Area \% | Height \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 28.01 .3 | . 446.58 .3 | 8460 | 49.977 | 52.224 |
| 2 | 32.(kN) | . 346898 | 7745 | .50.02. | 47.776 |
| Teral |  | 69.3481 | 16211 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 2-48, 98\% ee (254


| Pcalk | Rel. Tinne | Alril | Hexan | Areil $\%$ : | Heisht 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 27.48 .5 | 1800 | 5.3 | 0.1508 | 1.19] |
| 2 | .31.(6). | 184751 | 4.374 | (x).0.3? | $98.8(x)$ |
| Total |  | 186.557 | 4426 | 1(M).(x) ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | ( $(\mathrm{K}) .(1) \times$ ) |

Compound 2－49，racemic（254nm）
mAU＇


| Reakit | Rel．Tirte | An゙a | Helight | Area 笕 | Heigtt 第 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ． 4.732 | 198596 | 4170 | 50.141 | 51.978 |
| 2 | 37．572 | 19748？ | ． 3859 | 4）．85） | $4 \times .022$ |
| Tenol |  | $3 \times(0) 78$ | M127 | 10（2，（1） 0 （\％ | 130000 |

Compound 2－49，98\％ee（254nm）


| Pcyk薷 | Relt Tjar | Ared | He isth |  | Heidut 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ． 35.435 | 41236 | 82．2 | 98，853 | 98.861 |
| 2 | 38．328 | 4783 | 45 | 1.147 | 1．13） |
| Tolal |  | 417119 | 8317 | 100.000 | 10.00 |

## Compound 2－50，racemlc（254 nm）



| Paik年 | Rel．Timic | Area | Heisht | Areai \％ | Heigh ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15．329） | 116884 | 6.36 .3 | 50.105 | 51.956 |
| 2 | 16.542 | 116193 | 5884 | 49.895 | 45.146 |
| Total |  | 232877 | 12246 | $100.00 \times$ ） | 1）$)^{(1)(0 \times 0}$ |

Compound 2－50， $98 \%$ ee（254nm）


| Pcalat | Kıı．Tink | Arcio | Heigut | Aバけ\％ | Heiglx \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.146 | 1594 | 71） | 1．126 | 1.11 .4 |
| 2 | 17.491 | 14（0）16 | 66.57 | 98.874 | ${ }^{9} 8.966$ |
| Torial |  | 141610） | 6726 | I（M）．（X） | I（M）（x） |

Compound 2-51, racemic (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 16.239 | 189291 | 10142 | 50.049 | 52.077 |
| 2 | 17.599 | 188917 | 9333 | 49.951 | 47.923 |
| Total |  | 378208 | 19476 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 2-51, 85\% ee (254 nm)


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 16.253 | 625785 | 33025 | 92796 | 93.094 |
| 2 | 17.645 | 48585 | 2450 | 7.204 | 6.906 |
| Tota. |  | 674370 | 35475 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 2-52 racemic (254 nm)


| Pcak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Arca \% | Height $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 20.018 | 16955 | 713 | 49.424 | 51.024 |
| 2 | 22.021 | 17351 | 684 | 50.576 | 48.976 |
| Total |  | 34306 | 1397 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Compound 2-52, 86\% ee ( 254 nm )


| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area \% | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 20.161 | 61611 | 2512 | 93.425 | 93.488 |
| 2 | 22.235 | 4336 | 175 | 6.575 | 6.512 |
| Total |  | 65947 | 2687 | 100,000 | 100,000 |

Compound 2-53, racemic ( 210 nm )


| Pcilk | Rer. Time | Ac:1 | Height | An:i \% | Heishe \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 19.248 | 485.388 | 187177 | 49.816 | 52.91 .3 |
| 2 | 21.50 .3 | 4889175 | 1(x),570 | 50. 18.4 | 47.187 |
| Toial |  | $97+25(8)$ | 35374 | ( $(\mathrm{X})(\mathrm{MK})$ | I(X). $\mathrm{IXXX}^{\prime}$ |

Compound 2-53, 85\% ee (210 nm)


| Pcaid | Reet. Tinse | Area | liciche | Area \% | Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | 19.603 | 14324275 | 516565 | 92.465 | 92.804 |
| 2 | 221113 | 1167262 | $4(\mathrm{X}) 56$ | 7.535 | 7.196 |
| Toval |  | 1.54915 .57 | 556K2! | 1( ${ }^{(1)(X X)}$ | I(K) (XX) |
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Racemic 3-37a
mAU


PDA Chl 254nm 4nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 6.328 & 491674 & 54209 & 49.939 & 57.391 \\
\hline 2 & 8.318 & 492882 & 40246 & 50.061 & 42.609 \\
\hline Total & & 984556 & 94456 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-37a, 96\% ee mAU


PDA Ch1 254nm 4nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & Area \% & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 6.313 & 8728 & 1084 & 2.011 & 3.036 \\
\hline 2 & 8.234 & 425380 & 34633 & 97.989 & 96.964 \\
\hline Total & & 434108 & 35717 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-37c
mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & Height & Area \% & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 7.879 & 542497 & 54132 & 49.894 & 54.318 \\
\hline 2 & 8.702 & 544812 & 45525 & 50.106 & 45.682 \\
\hline Total & & \(\mathbf{1 0 8 7 3 0 9}\) & 99658 & 100.000 & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 0}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-37c, 90\% ee mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 8.322 & 6955 & 681 & 5.184 & 5.523 \\
\hline 2 & 9.214 & 127204 & 11657 & 94.816 & 94.477 \\
\hline Total & & 134159 & 12338 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-37d
mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 9.793 & 255341 & 8840 & 49.841 & 55.369 \\
\hline 2 & 13.431 & 256972 & 7126 & 50.159 & 44.631 \\
\hline Total & & 512313 & 15966 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-37d, 99\% ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 9.787 & 2432 & 82 & 0.716 & 0.847 \\
\hline 2 & 13.331 & 337293 & 9599 & 99.284 & 99.153 \\
\hline Total & & 339725 & 9681 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-37e
mAU


Detector A Ch2 220nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 14.150 & 6717068 & 118892 & 49.889 & 55.245 \\
\hline 2 & 21.445 & 6746910 & 96316 & 50.111 & 44.755 \\
\hline Total & & 13463978 & 215209 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-37e, 99\% ee


Detector A Ch2 220nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 14.469 & 10257 & 216 & 0.521 & 0.711 \\
\hline 2 & 21.535 & 1957089 & 30206 & 99.479 & 99.289 \\
\hline Total & & 1967346 & 30422 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-37f
mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & Height & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 11.685 & 259259 & 14975 & 49.898 & 50.568 \\
\hline 2 & 12.976 & 260324 & 14639 & 50.102 & 49.432 \\
\hline Total & & 519583 & 29614 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-37f, 94\% ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 11.227 & 154894 & 9370 & 97.078 & 96.964 \\
\hline 2 & 12.577 & 4663 & 293 & 2.922 & 3.036 \\
\hline Total & & 159557 & 9664 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Racemic 3-37g \\ mAU \\ }

Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 15.074 & 682100 & 29840 & 49.883 & 65.138 \\
\hline 2 & 20.792 & 685307 & 15970 & 50.117 & 34.862 \\
\hline Total & & 1367408 & 45811 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched \(\mathbf{3 - 3 7 g}\), 96\% ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 15.924 & 789682 & 35965 & 98.270 & 99.044 \\
\hline 2 & 21.866 & 13905 & 347 & 1.730 & 0.956 \\
\hline Total & & 803587 & 36312 & 100.000 & 100.000
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-37i
mAU


PDA Chi 254 nm 4 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 7.600 & 291470 & 28014 & 50.165 & 56.265 \\
\hline 2 & 9.034 & 289554 & 21776 & 49.835 & 43.735 \\
\hline Total & & 581024 & 49790 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-37i, 96\% ee


PDA Ch1 254nm 4nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 7.511 & 7964 & 824 & 1.915 & 2.731 \\
\hline 2 & 8.923 & 407977 & 29359 & 98.085 & 97.269 \\
\hline Total & & 415942 & 30183 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-43


Enantioenriched 3-43, 95\% ee

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT. Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline & & {\([\operatorname{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & [Min] & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\%\) Area] } & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 5.93 & 6.25 & 6.73 & 0.00 & 97.62 & 1220.1 & 202.1 & 97.625 \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 7.09 & 7.32 & 7.59 & 0.00 & 2.38 & 27.5 & 4.9 & 2.375 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 1247.6 & 207.0 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-44

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline & & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & [\% Area] & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 10.97 & 11.74 & 12.94 & 0.00 & 49.90 & 627.8 & 276.5 & 49.904 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 16.89 & 18.37 & 19.87 & 0.00 & 50.10 & 387.5 & 277.5 & 50.096 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 1015.2 & 554.0 & 100.000
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-44, 96\% ee


\section*{Racemic 3-45}


\section*{Enantioenriched 3-45, 96\% ee}

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Offset & Quandity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline \hline & & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & [Min] & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\%\) Area] } & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & [ \(\mu \mathrm{V}\). Min] & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 4.65 & 4.89 & 5.30 & 0.00 & 98.05 & 790.5 & 114.5 & 98.051 \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 6.09 & 6.27 & 6.47 & 0.00 & 1.95 & 13.5 & 2.3 & 1.949 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 804.0 & 116.8 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Racemic 3-46}


Enantioen riched 3-46, 92\% ee


\section*{Racemic 3-47}


Detector A Ch1 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 10.471 & 1653101 & 109872 & 50.507 & 52.247 \\
\hline 2 & 11.483 & 1619926 & 100424 & 49.493 & 47.753 \\
\hline Total & & 3273026 & 210296 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Enantioenriched 3-47, 94\% ee}


Detector A Chl 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 10.179 & 9333 & 548 & 2.832 & 2.609 \\
\hline 2 & 11.038 & 320214 & 20472 & 97.168 & 97.391 \\
\hline Total & & 329548 & 21021 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline \(\mathbf{1}\) & 31.758 & \(\mathbf{1 7 2 8 2 3 6}\) & 44032 & 49.845 & 52.134 \\
\hline 2 & 34.546 & 1738977 & 40427 & 50.155 & \(\mathbf{4 7 . 8 6 6}\) \\
\hline Total & & 3467213 & 84459 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Enantioenriched 3-48, 96\% ee}


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & Area \% & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 30.604 & 251321 & 6477 & 97.885 & 98.017 \\
\hline 2 & 33.299 & 5430 & 131 & 2.115 & 1.983 \\
\hline Total & & 256751 & 6608 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 33.885 & 1587788 & 36919 & 49.856 & 51.534 \\
\hline 2 & 36.489 & 1596950 & 34721 & 50.144 & 48.466 \\
\hline Total & & 3184738 & 71640 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-49, 96\% ee


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 35,980 & 18779 & 442 & \(\underline{2}, 148\) & \(\underline{2}, 424\) \\
\hline 2 & 39.173 & 855635 & 17798 & 97.852 & 97.576 \\
\hline Total & & 874414 & 18241 & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 0}\) & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 0}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-50
( \(\mathrm{A}=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{~B}=254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{C}=280 \mathrm{~nm})\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline \hline & & {\([M i n]\)} & {\([M i n]\)} & {\([M i n]\)} & {\([M i n]\)} & {\([\%\) Area] } & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V} . M i n]\)} & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 5.01 & 5.21 & 5.41 & 0.00 & 49.95 & 599.1 & 80.3 & 49.946 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 5.41 & 5.55 & 5.88 & 0.00 & 50.05 & 555.9 & 80.5 & 50.054 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 1155.0 & 160.8 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-50, 94\% ee

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline \hline & & {\([M i n]\)} & {\([\) Min \(]\)} & {\([\) Min \(]\)} & {\([\) Min \(]\)} & {\([\%\) Area \(]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}\). Min] } & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 5.05 & 5.22 & 5.47 & 0.00 & 97.13 & 303.5 & 40.2 & 97.132 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 5.47 & 5.57 & 5.83 & 0.00 & 2.87 & 7.8 & 1.2 & 2.868 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 311.3 & 41.4 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Racemic 3-51}

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Stant & Time & End & RT Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline \hline & & {\([M i n]\)} & [Min] & [Min] & [Min] & [\% Area] & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V} . M i n]\)} & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 3.99 & 4.18 & 4.45 & 0.00 & 49.67 & 950.2 & 114.9 & 49.670 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 4.70 & 4.91 & 5.27 & 0.00 & 50.33 & 814.4 & 116.4 & 50.330 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 1764.6 & 231.2 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Enantioenriched 3-51, 92\% ee}

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline \hline & & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & [\% Area] & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 3.98 & 4.19 & 4.43 & 0.00 & 96.07 & 1130.0 & 139.5 & 96.066 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 4.75 & 4.93 & 5.16 & 0.00 & 3.93 & 41.1 & 5.7 & 3.934 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 1171.2 & 145.2 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Racemic 3-52}

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Incex & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Olfset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline \hline & & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\%\) Area \(]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & {\([\mu \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{Min}]\)} & {\([\%]\)} \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 7.55 & 7.85 & 8.26 & 0.00 & 49.14 & 1491.2 & 352.2 & 49.145 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 8.26 & 8.59 & 9.27 & 0.00 & 50.86 & 1391.4 & 364.5 & 50.855 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 2882.6 & 716.8 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-52, 95\% ee

\begin{tabular}{|c|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline \hline & & {\([M i n]\)} & [Min] & [Min] & [Min] & [\% Area] & {\([\mu \mathrm{V}]\)} & [ \(\mu \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{Min}]\) & [\%] \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 7.50 & 7.83 & 8.22 & 0.00 & 97.52 & 758.0 & 161.1 & 97.517 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 8.36 & 8.59 & 8.97 & 0.00 & 2.48 & 18.4 & 4.1 & 2.483 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 776.4 & 165.2 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-53
mAU
Det.ACh1
Detector A Chl 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\#\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 17.916 & 330741 & 14782 & 49.859 & 50.395 \\
\hline 2 & 20.200 & 332617 & 14550 & 50.141 & 49.605 \\
\hline Total & & 663357 & 29332 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-53, 90\% ee


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline \(\mathbf{1}\) & 16.688 & 147777 & 7132 & 94.789 & 94.386 \\
\hline 2 & 18.948 & 8124 & 424 & 5.211 & 5.614 \\
\hline Total & & 155901 & 7556 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-54
mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 11.933 & 192477 & 12599 & 50.018 & 54.934 \\
\hline 2 & 15.440 & 192342 & 10336 & 49.982 & 45.066 \\
\hline Total & & 384819 & 22934 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantio enriched 3-54, 88\% ee


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 11.227 & 378067 & 26292 & 93.832 & 94.517 \\
\hline 2 & 14.389 & 24853 & 1525 & 6.168 & 5.483 \\
\hline Total & & 402920 & 27817 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-55
mAU


PDA Ch1 254nm 4nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 8.753 & 355326 & 28276 & 50.038 & 52.795 \\
\hline 2 & 9.949 & 354785 & 25282 & 49.962 & 47.205 \\
\hline Total & & 710111 & 53557 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-55, 97\% ee


PDA Chi 254nm 4nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 8.758 & 310956 & 24796 & 98.496 & 98.455 \\
\hline 2 & 9.969 & 4747 & 389 & 1.504 & 1.545 \\
\hline Total & & 315703 & 25185 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-56
mAU


Detector A Chl 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 26.232 & 416026 & 11202 & 50.139 & 56.329 \\
\hline 2 & 33.767 & 413712 & 8684 & 49.861 & 43.671 \\
\hline Total & & 829738 & 19886 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-56, 99\% ee


Detector A Chl 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 26.337 & 2073 & 64 & 0.625 & 0.925 \\
\hline 2 & 33.672 & 329423 & 6878 & 99.375 & 99.075 \\
\hline Total & & 331496 & 6942 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-57
mAU


Detector A Ch2 220nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 53.803 & 3938543 & 65377 & 49.786 & 50.537 \\
\hline 2 & 56.351 & 3972469 & 63987 & 50.214 & 49.463 \\
\hline Total & & 7911011 & 129364 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-57, 94\% ee
mAU


Detector A Ch2 220 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 53.025 & 977426 & 19027 & 2.811 & 3.355 \\
\hline 2 & 53.798 & 33790474 & 548101 & 97.189 & 96.645 \\
\hline Total & & 34767901 & 567128 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-58
mAU

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 13.506 & 1981293 & 87791 & 49.645 & 56.359 \\
\hline 2 & 15.101 & 2009656 & 67980 & 50.355 & 43.641 \\
\hline Total & & 3990949 & 155771 & 100.000 & 100.000
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-58, 94\% ee mAU

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & Area \% & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 13.480 & 1845100 & 76078 & 97.047 & 97.444 \\
\hline 2 & 15.096 & 56141 & 1996 & 2.953 & 2.556 \\
\hline Total & & 1901241 & 78073 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-59

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Orfset & Quantity & Heght & Arsa & Ares \\
\hline & & [Min] & [Min] & [Min] & [Min] & [\% Area] & \([\mu \vee]\) & [ \(\mu \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{Min}\) ] & [\%] \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOW/N & 7.16 & 7.54 & 8.07 & 0.00 & 49.93 & 445.3 & 104.9 & 49.926 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOW/N & 8.74 & 9.18 & 9.79 & 0.00 & 50.07 & 356.0 & 105.2 & 50.074 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 801.3 & 210.1 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-59, 87\% ee
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
\(-59\)
\end{tabular} &  & & \[
\triangle
\] & \[
\langle A=220
\] & \[
\mathrm{nm}, \mathrm{~B}=254 \mathrm{~nm}
\] & \[
\mathrm{C}=280 \mathrm{~nm})
\] \\
\hline & 12 & & 4 & 5 & & 8 & 9 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline Index & Name & Start & Time & End & RT Offset & Quantity & Height & Area & Area \\
\hline & & [Min] & [Min] & [Min] & [Min] & [\% Area] & [ \(\mu \mathrm{V}\) ] & [ \(\mu\) V. Min] & [\%] \\
\hline 1 & UNKNOWN & 7.18 & 7.48 & 7.81 & 0.00 & 6.28 & 34.8 & 7.8 & 6.282 \\
\hline 2 & UNKNOWN & 8.55 & 9.10 & 9.75 & 0.00 & 93.72 & 396.5 & 116.7 & 93.718 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & & & & & 100.00 & 431.3 & 124.6 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-60
mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 40.045 & 1348999 & 27748 & 49.573 & 50.539 \\
\hline 2 & 41.650 & 1372253 & 27157 & 50.427 & 49.461 \\
\hline Total & & 2721252 & 54905 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Enantioenriched 3-60, 94\% ee}


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 49.084 & 15876695 & 242733 & 97.073 & 97.378 \\
\hline 2 & 52.102 & 478750 & 6535 & 2.927 & 2.622 \\
\hline Total & & 16355444 & 249267 & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 0}\) & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & Height & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 17.947 & 146239 & 7340 & 49.779 & 42.872 \\
\hline 2 & 18.756 & 147539 & 9781 & 50.221 & 57.128 \\
\hline Total & & 293778 & 17120 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 18.288 & 179299 & 9861 & 4.531 & 3.984 \\
\hline 2 & 18.913 & 3777644 & 237657 & 95.469 & 96.016 \\
\hline Total & & 3956943 & 247518 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-66 mAU


Detector A Ch1 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 10.353 & 144648 & 11554 & 49.962 & 51.955 \\
\hline 2 & 11.468 & 144869 & 10684 & 50.038 & 48.045 \\
\hline Total & & 289518 & 22238 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-66, 96\% ee mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 10.269 & 283433 & 22977 & 98.493 & 98.537 \\
\hline 2 & 11.370 & 4338 & 341 & 1.507 & 1.463 \\
\hline Total & & 287771 & 23318 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-67
mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \(\%\) \\
\hline 1 & 16.534 & 73547 & 3522 & 50.022 & 56.460 \\
\hline 2 & 21.305 & 73483 & 2716 & 49.978 & 43.540 \\
\hline Total & & 147031 & 6238 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-67, 91\% ee


Detector A Chi 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 16.516 & 6536 & 328 & 4.672 & 6.201 \\
\hline 2 & 21.219 & 133384 & 4962 & 95.328 & 93.799 \\
\hline Total & & 139920 & 5291 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Racemic 3-68}
mAU


Detector A Chl 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 15.186 & 337510 & 20102 & 49.967 & 52.913 \\
\hline 2 & 17.346 & 337952 & 17889 & 50.033 & 47.087 \\
\hline Total & & 675461 & 37991 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline \(\mathbf{1}\) & 15.085 & 379069 & 22316 & 94.789 & 95.245 \\
\hline 2 & 17.287 & 20837 & 1114 & 5.211 & 4.755 \\
\hline Total & & 399906 & 23430 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-69
mAU


Detector A Ch2 230nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 19.343 & 830508 & 27995 & 49.756 & 54.100 \\
\hline 2 & 23.296 & 838670 & 23752 & 50.244 & 45.900 \\
\hline Total & & 1669178 & 51747 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-69, 99\% ee
mAU


Detector A Ch2 230nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 19.313 & 10754 & 429 & 0.510 & 0.708 \\
\hline 2 & 23.228 & 2099723 & 60224 & 99.490 & 99.292 \\
\hline Total & & 2110477 & 60653 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-70
mAU


Detector A Ch1 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 13.737 & 525563 & 30811 & 49.594 & 50.552 \\
\hline 2 & 14.503 & 534166 & 30139 & 50.406 & 49.448 \\
\hline Total & & 1059729 & 60950 & 100.000 & 100.000
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-70, 99\% ee mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 13.695 & 2096 & 141 & 0.553 & 0.651 \\
\hline 2 & 14.468 & 376900 & 21525 & 99.447 & 99.349 \\
\hline Total & & 378996 & 21666 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-72
mĀ́


PDA Chi 254 nm 4 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 9.944 & 89648 & 6313 & 50.174 & 55.701 \\
\hline 2 & 10.699 & 89026 & 5021 & 49.826 & 44.299 \\
\hline Total & & 178674 & 11333 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-72, 89\% ee mAU


PDA Ch1 \(254 n m 4 n m\)
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & Height & Area \% & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 9.989 & 678937 & 47129 & 94.701 & 95.414 \\
\hline 2 & 10.700 & 37992 & 2265 & 5.299 & 4.586 \\
\hline Total & & 716930 & 49394 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Racemic 3-73
mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 12.591 & 110150 & 7219 & 50.024 & 51.378 \\
\hline 2 & 13.419 & 110043 & 6832 & 49.976 & 48.622 \\
\hline Total & & 220194 & 14051 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-73, 96\% ee mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 13.183 & 429320 & 27232 & 97.819 & 98.017 \\
\hline 2 & 14.119 & 9571 & 551 & 2.181 & 1.983 \\
\hline Total & & 438890 & 27783 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Racemic 3-74}
mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 17.830 & 1163647 & 54572 & 49.994 & 63.499 \\
\hline 2 & 32.340 & 1163916 & 31370 & 50.006 & 36.501 \\
\hline Total & & 2327563 & 85942 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Enantioenriched 3-74, 91\% ee mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 18.929 & 11129 & 512 & 4.454 & 8.053 \\
\hline 2 & 34.792 & 238760 & 5845 & 95.546 & 91.947 \\
\hline Total & & 249889 & 6357 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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4-53
Mixture of Diasteromers






cers-

\({ }^{\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}}>\)




4－29a



4-29a
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\)


4－29b


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & I & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & I & 1 \\
\hline 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 &  & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}






4-29d


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & , & , & , & 1 & , & , & & , & & 1 & 526 & 1 & & & O & , & , & & , & 1 & 1 & 10 \\
\hline 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & \begin{tabular}{l}
100 \\
f1 (ppm)
\end{tabular} & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


4-29d


\(4-29 e\)





4-29e


4-29f




4－29g

\section*{\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\)}




4-29g

\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\)
\({ }^{\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}} \searrow\)
\({ }^{\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}} \searrow\)





4-29h



4-29h
|
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\)


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline , & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 536 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


4-29i



4-29i

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 538 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & , & 1 & 1 & , \\
\hline 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



4-29j





4-29k




4-291




4-291




4-29m




4-29n




4-65
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{b+1}{+} \\
& \stackrel{+}{\sim} \\
& \underset{\sim}{\sim}
\end{aligned}
\]} & & &  & & & & & & &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& T \\
& T^{\prime} \\
& \cdots \\
& m
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline T & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 550 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1. & 1. & 1 & 1 \\
\hline . 0.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
5.0 \\
f1 (ppm)
\end{tabular} & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


4-65

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 551 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


4－66




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & T & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}







4-69






4-71






4-72




4-73



4-74



4-74


\section*{Compound 4-32, racemic}

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 21.076 & 4819547 & 114091 & 50.036 & 57.126 \\
\hline 2 & 24.984 & 4812611 & 85626 & 49.964 & 42.874 \\
\hline Total & & 9632158 & 199717 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-32, 94\% ee

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 20.119 & 448026 & 12139 & 3.043 & 4.229 \\
\hline 2 & 23.113 & 14275387 & 274912 & 96.957 & 95.771 \\
\hline Total & & 14723413 & 287051 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-33, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 38.452 & 10827966 & 80146 & 50.276 & 56.763 \\
\hline 2 & 45.486 & 10708951 & 61050 & 49.724 & 43.237 \\
\hline Total & & 21536917 & 141196 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-33, \(90 \%\) ee
mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 39.093 & 1294517 & 11782 & 5.156 & 8.625 \\
\hline 2 & 43.774 & 23813212 & 124819 & 94.844 & 91.375 \\
\hline Total & & 25107729 & 136601 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-34, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 21.035 & 13304169 & 487298 & 49.915 & 52.348 \\
\hline 2 & 23.701 & 13349360 & 443582 & 50.085 & 47.652 \\
\hline Total & & 26653529 & 930881 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-34, \(94 \%\) ee

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 21.480 & 1076266 & 42140 & 3.038 & 3.824 \\
\hline 2 & 24.027 & 34355565 & 1059798 & 96.962 & 96.176 \\
\hline Total & & 35431830 & 1101939 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-35, racemic
(as)
Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 25.885 & 2547603 & 39950 & 50.655 & 54.898 \\
\hline 2 & 29.856 & 2481675 & 32821 & 49.345 & 45.102 \\
\hline Total & & 5029278 & 72771 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-35, \(93 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 25.785 & 746634 & 14550 & 3.248 & 5.208 \\
\hline 2 & 28.554 & 22242277 & 264852 & 96.752 & 94.792 \\
\hline Total & & 22988911 & 279402 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Peak \# & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RetTime } \\
& \text { [min] }
\end{aligned}
\] & Type & \begin{tabular}{l}
Width \\
[min]
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Area } \\
{\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]}
\end{gathered}
\] & Height [mAU] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Area \\
\%
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1 & 18.999 & MM & 0.3994 & 124.04628 & 5.17638 & 50.5931 \\
\hline 2 & 20.174 & & 0.4141 & 121.13783 & 4.87557 & 49.4069 \\
\hline Tota & & & & 245.18410 & 10.05195 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-36, \(94 \%\) ee


Signal 1: DAD1 B, Sig=254,4 Ref=off
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Peak \# & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { RetTime } \\
\text { [min] }
\end{gathered}
\] & Type & \begin{tabular}{l}
Width \\
[min]
\end{tabular} & Area [mAU*s] & Height [mAU] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Area } \\
\%
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1 & 19.114 & MM & 0.3064 & 373.69662 & 20.32554 & 2.7947 \\
\hline 2 & 20.216 & MM & 0.4179 & 1.29978 e 4 & 518.40771 & 97.2053 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Signal 1: DAD1 B, Sig=254,4 Ref=off
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Peak \# & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { RetTime } \\
{[\mathrm{min}]}
\end{gathered}
\] & Type & \begin{tabular}{l}
Width \\
[min]
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Area } \\
{\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]}
\end{gathered}
\] & Height [mAU] & Area \% \\
\hline 1 & 11.991 & & 0.1791 & 1.13556 e 4 & 1056.96252 & 49.6468 \\
\hline 2 & 12.966 & & 0.1928 & 1.15172 e 4 & 995.67889 & 50.3532 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Totals :
\(2.28729 \mathrm{e} 4 \quad 2052.64142\)

Compound 4-37, 88\% ee


Signal 1: DAD1 B, Sig=254,4 Ref=off
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Peak \# & ```
RetTime
    [min]
``` & Type & \begin{tabular}{l}
Width \\
[min]
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Area } \\
{\left[\mathrm{mAU}{ }^{*}\right]}
\end{gathered}
\] & Height [mAU] & Area \% \\
\hline 1 & 12.059 & MM & 0.1775 & 1322.05725 & 124.10520 & 5.4894 \\
\hline 2 & 13.009 & MM & 0.2154 & 2.27616 e 4 & 1761.35901 & 94.5106 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Signal 3: DAD1 E, Sig=280,4 Ref=off
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Peak \# & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { RetTime } \\
\text { [min] }
\end{gathered}
\] & Type & \begin{tabular}{l}
Width \\
[min]
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Area } \\
{\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]}
\end{gathered}
\] & Height [mAU] & Area \% \\
\hline 1 & 15.645 & & 0.2342 & 235.20174 & 16.73850 & 48.9418 \\
\hline 2 & 17.726 & & 0.2636 & 245.37256 & 15.51406 & 51.0582 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Totals :} & & 480.57430 & 32.25256 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-38, 81\% ee
DAD1 E, Sig=280,4 Ref=off (MEHIKMR 5-201 2017-02-20 13-01-48.D)

Signal 3: DAD1 E, Sig=280, 4 Ref=off
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Peak \# & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { RetTime } \\
\text { [min] }
\end{gathered}
\] & Type & Width [min] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Area } \\
{\left[m A U^{*} s\right]}
\end{gathered}
\] & Height [mAU] & Area \% \\
\hline 1 & 15.714 & MM & 0.3276 & 1.25690 e 4 & 639.48132 & 90.7519 \\
\hline 2 & 18.059 & MM & 0.3457 & 1280.85156 & 61.75790 & 9.2481 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-39, racemic


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 11.122 & 299350 & 14583 & 49.727 & 53.032 \\
\hline 2 & 12.791 & 302638 & 12916 & 50.273 & 46.968 \\
\hline Total & & 601988 & 27499 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-39, \(92 \%\) ee

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 10.623 & 494765 & 29019 & 4.245 & 5.675 \\
\hline 2 & 12.040 & 11159283 & 482281 & 95.755 & 94.325 \\
\hline Total & & 11654048 & 511299 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-40, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 8.669 & 1179231 & 94071 & 50.182 & 52.444 \\
\hline 2 & 9.837 & 1170671 & 85304 & 49.818 & 47.556 \\
\hline Total & & 2349903 & 179375 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-40, \(91 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 8.669 & 674026 & 55319 & 4.357 & 5.050 \\
\hline 2 & 9.767 & 14797410 & 1040042 & 95.643 & 94.950 \\
\hline Total & & 15471436 & 1095361 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-41, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 42.910 & 4486510 & 69917 & 49.505 & 51.658 \\
\hline 2 & 46.447 & 4576267 & 65429 & 50.495 & 48.342 \\
\hline Total & & 9062777 & 135346 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-41, \(88 \%\) ee

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 40.849 & 26586715 & 360699 & 94.130 & 93.030 \\
\hline 2 & 45.271 & 1658039 & 27024 & 5.870 & 6.970 \\
\hline Total & & 28244754 & 387724 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-42, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & Height & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 22.952 & 2079164 & 20953 & 49.931 & 66.691 \\
\hline 2 & 38.406 & 2084897 & 10465 & 50.069 & 33.309 \\
\hline Total & & 4164061 & 31418 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-42, 88\% ee


Detector A Ch1 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 22.558 & 809116 & 9988 & 6.153 & 14.383 \\
\hline 2 & 33.199 & 12341591 & 59457 & 93.847 & 85.617 \\
\hline Total & & 13150707 & 69445 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-43, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 25.547 & 4895877 & 59690 & 49.982 & 52.776 \\
\hline 2 & 29.011 & 4899446 & 53411 & 50.018 & 47.224 \\
\hline Total & & 9795323 & 113101 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-43, 89\% ee


Detector A Ch2 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & Area \% & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 25.166 & 2094816 & 40632 & 5.535 & 6.301 \\
\hline 2 & 28.118 & 35754422 & 604196 & 94.465 & 93.699 \\
\hline Total & & 37849238 & 644828 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-44, racemic


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{l|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 19.632 & 49359832 & 1924806 & 49.693 & 52.126 \\
\hline 2 & 21.158 & 49970465 & 1767807 & 50.307 & 47.874 \\
\hline Total & & 99330297 & 3692613 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-44, 86\% ee


IVaniaviv
Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 20.608 & 2633810 & 113975 & 7.253 & 8.838 \\
\hline 2 & 22.224 & 33679787 & 1175606 & 92.747 & 91.162 \\
\hline Total & & 36313597 & 1289581 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 36.194 & 854860 & 12706 & 50.869 & 54.331 \\
\hline 2 & 39.724 & 825650 & 10680 & 49.131 & 45.669 \\
\hline Total & & 1680510 & 23386 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-45, 82\% ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 35.598 & 2481988 & 43774 & 8.802 & 12.360 \\
\hline 2 & 38.043 & 25716832 & 310393 & 91.198 & 87.640 \\
\hline Total & & 28198820 & 354167 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-46, racemic


Detector A Ch1 254 nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 11.747 & 3371342 & 212472 & 97.531 & 97.910 \\
\hline 2 & 15.313 & 85339 & 4536 & 2.469 & 2.090 \\
\hline Total & & 3456681 & 217008 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-46, \(95 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 11.747 & 3371342 & 212472 & 97.531 & 97.910 \\
\hline 2 & 15.313 & 85339 & 4536 & 2.469 & 2.090 \\
\hline Total & & 3456681 & 217008 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-47, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 34.076 & 44757399 & 669031 & 49.909 & 55.164 \\
\hline 2 & 37.265 & 44920381 & 543783 & 50.091 & 44.836 \\
\hline Total & & 89677780 & 1212814 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-47, \(93 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 34.075 & 1228232 & 21966 & 3.288 & 4.591 \\
\hline 2 & 36.594 & 36124070 & 456463 & 96.712 & 95.409 \\
\hline Total & & 37352302 & 478429 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-48, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 22.780 & 8997207 & 198863 & 49.799 & 56.034 \\
\hline 2 & 32.820 & 9069729 & 156033 & 50.201 & 43.966 \\
\hline Total & & 18066936 & 354896 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-48, \(93 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch1 220nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 23.163 & 381764 & 7705 & 3.753 & 4.316 \\
\hline 2 & 32.692 & 9789515 & 170823 & 96.247 & 95.684 \\
\hline Total & & 10171279 & 178528 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-49, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 7.165 & 2737041 & 219557 & 50.187 & 54.963 \\
\hline 2 & 8.295 & 2716668 & 179908 & 49.813 & 45.037 \\
\hline Total & & 5453709 & 399465 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-49, \(93 \%\) ee

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 6.904 & 334768 & 30827 & 3.640 & 4.573 \\
\hline 2 & 7.864 & 8861804 & 643275 & 96.360 & 95.427 \\
\hline Total & & 9196573 & 674102 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-50, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 50.646 & 778238 & 10308 & 50.750 & 56.534 \\
\hline 2 & 53.851 & 755237 & 7925 & 49.250 & 43.466 \\
\hline Total & & 1533475 & 18233 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-50, 68\% ee

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 53.611 & 3691612 & 48030 & 15.874 & 20.526 \\
\hline 2 & 56.760 & 19564760 & 185965 & 84.126 & 79.474 \\
\hline Total & & 23256371 & 233994 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-51, racemic

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 22.272 & 3914722 & 71720 & 50.896 & 63.679 \\
\hline 2 & 26.020 & 3776910 & 40907 & 49.104 & 36.321 \\
\hline Total & & 7691632 & 112627 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-51, 89\% ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 22.566 & 854102 & 15960 & 5.711 & 10.027 \\
\hline 2 & 26.114 & 14100842 & 143218 & 94.289 & 89.973 \\
\hline Total & & 14954944 & 159179 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-52, racemic

\begin{tabular}{r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{l|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 24.238 & 12493360 & 238716 & 50.011 & 58.363 \\
\hline 2 & 28.959 & 12487747 & 170307 & 49.989 & 41.637 \\
\hline Total & & 24981107 & 409023 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-52, \(91 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 19.989 & 1024179 & 30881 & 4.480 & 7.298 \\
\hline 2 & 23.376 & 21834510 & 392246 & 95.520 & 92.702 \\
\hline Total & & 22858689 & 423127 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-53, racemic
mAU


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & Area \% & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 10.985 & 4036278 & 250999 & 24.036 & 28.679 \\
\hline 2 & 12.462 & 4369575 & 245807 & 26.021 & 28.086 \\
\hline 3 & 15.590 & 4346749 & 208055 & 25.885 & 23.772 \\
\hline 4 & 17.687 & 4040175 & 170338 & 24.059 & 19.463 \\
\hline Total & & 16792778 & 875200 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-53, 81\% ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 11.432 & 427501 & 26041 & 4.209 & 5.319 \\
\hline 2 & 12.961 & 4491964 & 242351 & 44.225 & 49.498 \\
\hline 3 & 16.347 & 421556 & 21115 & 4.150 & 4.312 \\
\hline 4 & 18.458 & 4816061 & 200108 & 47.416 & 40.871 \\
\hline Total & & 10157082 & 489614 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Compound 4-54, racemic}

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 42.852 & 1890935 & 20471 & 50.054 & 53.872 \\
\hline 2 & 47.008 & 1886834 & 17528 & 49.946 & 46.128 \\
\hline Total & & 3777769 & 37999 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-54, 89\% ee

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 43.277 & 1878169 & 23616 & 5.540 & 8.548 \\
\hline 2 & 46.402 & 32025864 & 252676 & 94.460 & 91.452 \\
\hline Total & & 33904033 & 276292 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compund 4-56, racemic


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 21.986 & 1152996 & 23791 & 49.956 & 55.674 \\
\hline 2 & 25.263 & 1155025 & 18942 & 50.044 & 44.326 \\
\hline Total & & 2308021 & 42733 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compund 4-56, 84\% ee


Compund 4-58, racemic


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 21.986 & 1152996 & 23791 & 49.956 & 55.674 \\
\hline 2 & 25.263 & 1155025 & 18942 & 50.044 & 44.326 \\
\hline Total & & 2308021 & 42733 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-58, \(93 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch1 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 23.157 & 731843 & 16501 & 3.689 & 5.915 \\
\hline 2 & 26.312 & 19105750 & 262455 & 96.311 & 94.085 \\
\hline Total & & 19837593 & 278956 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29d, racemic


Compound 4-29d, >99\% ee


Compound 4-29e, racemic


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 15.034 & 1143200 & 43216 & 50.678 & 54.626 \\
\hline 2 & 17.038 & 1112611 & 35897 & 49.322 & 45.374 \\
\hline Total & & 2255811 & 79112 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29e, 97\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 14.469 & 8246809 & 311990 & 98.462 & 98.479 \\
\hline 2 & 16.639 & 128838 & 4819 & 1.538 & 1.521 \\
\hline Total & & 8375647 & 316809 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29f, racemic


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & Area \% & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 7.717 & 2393776 & 207135 & 50.452 & 57.192 \\
\hline 2 & 10.729 & 2350902 & 155037 & 49.548 & 42.808 \\
\hline Total & & 4744678 & 362173 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29f, 96\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 7.819 & 132363 & 12136 & 1.878 & 2.672 \\
\hline 2 & 10.903 & 6914746 & 442105 & 98.122 & 97.328 \\
\hline Total & & 7047109 & 454242 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound \(4-29 \mathrm{~g}\), racemic

Detector A Ch2 220nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{l|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 11.537 & 21254878 & 1133589 & 55.370 & 52.593 \\
\hline 2 & 13.063 & 17132145 & 1021809 & 44.630 & 47.407 \\
\hline Total & & 38387023 & 2155398 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29g, 98\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 11.470 & 47294336 & 2372929 & 99.190 & 98.792 \\
\hline 2 & 12.988 & 386123 & 29005 & 0.810 & 1.208 \\
\hline Total & & 47680459 & 2401934 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29j, racemic


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 46.258 & 10788735 & 128556 & 50.175 & 56.243 \\
\hline 2 & 50.795 & 10713561 & 100017 & 49.825 & 43.757 \\
\hline Total & & 21502296 & 228573 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29j, 93\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 50.128 & 503325 & 7702 & 3.352 & 5.705 \\
\hline 2 & 53.175 & 14514183 & 127308 & 96.648 & 94.295 \\
\hline Total & & 15017508 & 135010 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{l|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \(\%\) \\
\hline 1 & 29.525 & 16089450 & 463563 & 49.894 & 53.726 \\
\hline 2 & 34.059 & 16158044 & 399258 & 50.106 & 46.274 \\
\hline Total & & 32247494 & 862821 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29aa, 98\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 29.567 & 199914 & 7224 & 0.537 & 0.804 \\
\hline 2 & 33.624 & 37056909 & 891302 & 99.463 & 99.196 \\
\hline Total & & 37256823 & 898525 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29bb, racemic
mAU


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Ret. Time } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 20.020 & 1840078 & 79830 & 51.055 & 57.615 \\
\hline 2 & 26.962 & 1764052 & 58727 & 48.945 & 42.385 \\
\hline Total & & 3604130 & 138556 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29bb, 94\% ee
mAU


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 19.538 & 394913 & 20531 & 2.935 & 4.436 \\
\hline 2 & 26.125 & 13060249 & 442299 & 97.065 & 95.564 \\
\hline Total & & 13455162 & 462830 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29ee, racemic


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \(\%\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 10.362 & 938626 & 69546 & 50.404 & 56.893 \\
\hline 2 & 13.609 & 923586 & 52693 & 49.596 & 43.107 \\
\hline Total & & 1862212 & 122239 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29ee, \(96 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline Peak\# & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 9.918 & 2479272 & 195920 & 98.133 & 98.519 \\
\hline 2 & 12.858 & 47159 & 2945 & 1.867 & 1.481 \\
\hline Total & & 2526432 & 198865 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29ff, racemic


Detector A Ch2 254nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 48.539 & 430843 & 3296 & 50.617 & 49.593 \\
\hline 2 & 55.482 & 420331 & 3350 & 49.383 & 50.407 \\
\hline Total & & 851175 & 6646 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29ff, 97\% ee
mV

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \(\%\) \\
\hline 1 & 51.382 & 865648 & 7381 & 98.645 & 98.613 \\
\hline 2 & 59.707 & 11891 & 104 & 1.355 & 1.387 \\
\hline Total & & 877540 & 7485 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound \(4-29 \mathrm{gg}\), racemic
mAU


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 47.761 & 2160552 & 37222 & 50.410 & 52.835 \\
\hline 2 & 51.522 & 2125394 & 33227 & 49.590 & 47.165 \\
\hline Total & & 4285946 & 70449 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29gg, 99\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 48.439 & 20571 & 414 & 0.163 & 0.206 \\
\hline 2 & 52.021 & 12622370 & 200325 & 99.837 & 99.794 \\
\hline Total & & 12642941 & 200738 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29hh, racemic
mAU


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 17.870 & 2158660 & 112969 & 49.053 & 52.602 \\
\hline 2 & 20.371 & 2241986 & 101793 & 50.947 & 47.398 \\
\hline Total & & 4400647 & 214762 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29hh, \(98 \%\) ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 16.854 & 38294 & 2073 & 0.819 & 0.931 \\
\hline 2 & 19.099 & 4639023 & 220595 & 99.181 & 99.069 \\
\hline Total & & 4677317 & 222667 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29ii, racemic


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 33.174 & 5158905 & 133379 & 50.198 & 58.649 \\
\hline 2 & 47.008 & 5118196 & 94041 & 49.802 & 41.351 \\
\hline Total & & 10277101 & 227420 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29ii, 97\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \% } \\
\hline 1 & 32.689 & 225704 & 5805 & 1.545 & 2.186 \\
\hline 2 & 46.147 & 14384665 & 259750 & 98.455 & 97.814 \\
\hline Total & & 14610369 & 265555 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound \(4-29 \mathrm{jj}\), racemic
mAU


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height \(\%\)} \\
\hline 1 & 18.523 & 2519318 & 129696 & 50.030 & 53.995 \\
\hline 2 & 21.601 & 2516337 & 110503 & 49.970 & 46.005 \\
\hline Total & & 5035655 & 240199 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Compound 4-29jj, 98\% ee


Detector A Ch2 210nm
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Peak\# } & Ret. Time & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Height } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Area \% } & Height \% \\
\hline 1 & 19.407 & 51562 & 2794 & 0.706 & 0.935 \\
\hline 2 & 22.748 & 7252745 & 296151 & 99.294 & 99.065 \\
\hline Total & & 7304307 & 298945 & 100.000 & 100.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: ammonium triflate ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Boronic acid ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$, dioxane $(0.33 \mathrm{M}), 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$. Isolated yields are an average of duplicate experiments ( $\pm 5 \%$ ).

[^1]:    1.01

[^2]:    170 $\begin{array}{llllll}160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110\end{array}$
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