

THE REVIEW

Z 93

The Undergraduate Weekly of the University of Delaware

VOL. 19, No. 21

NEWARK, DELAWARE, FRIDAY, MARCH 21, 1941

PRICE TEN CENTS

Council Revokes Previous Action, Polls To Be Open College Hour Only

PETITIONS...

After a scrupulous and unbiased consideration of the petition submitted to the Student Council on Monday night, the Judiciary Board—composed of five members, three fraternity and two non-fraternity—decided that the document was not properly drawn-up. Undaunted by the adverse ruling of that august body, proponents of the petition have drawn-up two petitions which overcome the legal technicality claimed by the Judiciary Board in arriving at its candid decision. The petitions clearly reiterating the two demands made in the first document follow:

To the Student Council of the Student Government Association of Delaware College:

We, the undersigned members of the Student Government Association of Delaware College, constituting over twenty-five per cent (25%) of the total members of the said Association, do hereby petition the Student Council to submit to the members of the Association for their approval or disapproval by vote on Tuesday, April 29, 1941, from nine o'clock in the morning until half past four o'clock in the afternoon, the following amendment to the Constitution of the Student Government Association:

I.....of the following (fill in "approve" or "disapprove")

ing amendment to Article IV, Section I, paragraphs (a) and (b):

"a: Four representatives from the two upper classes and three representatives from the Sophomore class, all of whom shall have the power of voting, and two representatives from the incoming Freshman class who shall not have the power of voting."

"b: Each of the four classes shall elect its own representatives, the manner and time of electing the representatives of the Freshman class to be determined by the said Student Council so as to enable these representatives to take office not later than four weeks after the day of Convocation Exercises."

To the Student Council of the Student Government Association of Delaware College:

We, the undersigned members of the Student Government Association of Delaware College, constituting over twenty-five per cent (25%) of the total members of the said Association, do hereby petition the Student Council to submit to the members of the Association for their approval or disapproval by vote on Tuesday, April 29, 1941, from nine o'clock in the morning until half past four o'clock in the afternoon, the following amendment to the Constitution of the Student Government Association:

I.....of the following (fill in "approve" or "disapprove")

ing amendment to Article VI, Section I, paragraph "a," fourth sentence:

"Petitions completely filled out must be in the hands of the Student Council for approval and certification seven (7) days before the day of election."

I.....of the following (fill in "approve" or "disapprove")

ing amendment to Article VI, Section III:

"Elections shall be held on the Tuesday following the last Monday in April at a place in University Hall, designated by the Student Council, from nine o'clock in the morning until half past four o'clock in the afternoon."

No Reason Given For Forcing 599 Students To Vote In Fifty Minutes

Social Calendar

- 21 Friday: Mathematics Club Meeting, Sussex Common Room, 4:10 p.m.
- Delaware College Jr. Prom, Gold Ballroom, Hotel du Pont, 9:00-2:00
- 24 Monday: Lecture on Drama Dr. Alvin Kronacher, U. H. Auditorium, Room 220, 8:15 p.m.
- 25 Tuesday: Y.W.C.A. Discussion Meeting
- 26 Wednesday: Forum Cabinet Meeting, Browning Room, 4:15 p.m.
- 27 Thursday: Firmin Swinnen Concert, Mitchell Hall, 8:15 p.m.
- 28 Friday: Kappa Alpha Formal, Old College, 9:00-1:00
- 29 Saturday: Tau Beta Pi Spring Initiation, Small Dining Room, Old College
- Engineers Dance, Old College, 8:00-12:00 p.m.

Solid Fraternity Bloc Results In 10-5 Vote Limiting Time For Elections, 'Technicality' Eliminates Petition, Constitution Makes No Provisions For Initiative Proceedings

By MICHAEL A. POPPITI,

Co-Editor-in-chief

With the swiftness of the blitzkrieg and with the same cut and dried methods of Hitlerism, the Student Council of Delaware College threw every sense of justice and fairness to the winds outside Old College on Monday night, when that fraternity-controlled body passed a resolution by the "regular" 10-5 vote which limits class elections this year to the College Hour period on the Tuesday after the first Monday in April—April 8.

The resolution introduced by Harry Beik, junior representative from Sigma Phi Epsilon Fraternity, was duly seconded and before anyone of the councilmen or students present knew what it was about, the motion of the Sigma Phi Epsilon delegate was passed by a solid vote of the fraternity bloc.

No Reasons

While no reasons for their action could be gathered by THE REVIEW in view of the fact that no debate or discussion of any kind was invited on the Bike motion, it is believed that their action was nothing more than one which has been seen time and time again in Europe during the last year—the ruling was obviously adopted to protect a chosen minority. The week before the same Council had passed what they termed a "magnanimous provision for class election," which would have kept the polls open from the hours of 9:30 A.M. to 1:30 P.M., but which no longer obtains in view of their recent move.

As things now stand, 599 students will have but 50 minutes in which to cast their vote, or according to THE REVIEW statistician, 12 men will have to cast a vote every sixty seconds. Each potential voter will have five seconds in which to identify himself before the electing officials and to determine whom he is going to vote for, and to cast his vote.

The Student Council had another excellent opportunity to show itself as a democratic organ of student government by its reception of the petition for initiative proceedings which appeared in THE REVIEW last week.

After listening to the reading of the petition in a manner befitting children—munching apples, mumbling to themselves, playing with balloons, etc.—not student legislators, the members of that body of the Student Government Association which has been posing as a truly representative and democratic organization, through their egregious and distinguished presiding officer's excellent questioning of the proponents of the initiative petition decided that it was not a valid document because of a technicality—this, notwithstanding the fact that there is no provision in the Constitution which stipulates the procedure to be followed in instituting initiative proceedings.

(Continued on page 2)

The Man Who Was Robbed

In the other columns of this week's Review, the editors have attempted to present a situation existing on the campus by acting as 'reflectors' of current student opinion. In this little column we would like to recount to you a story very close to us... "The Man Who Was Robbed..."

And our tale, portraying evidence of really and truly rotten politics—and we say 'rotten' because unfortunately no other word will convey to you the baseness and injustice of the act—concerns our managing editor; or perhaps we should say, one of the new Co-Editors-in-Chief. Without beating around the proverbial bush we pass on to the facts.

It is well for you to know that strange as it may seem, we had very little to say in the choice of our successors. For as the Constitution of THE REVIEW—a very thoughtful document prepared by the fraternity-controlled council—provides, in regard to the election of the new Editor-in-Chief and Business Manager of our publication, that the outgoing editors, the outgoing business manager, and the President of the Student Council shall each have a vote in the selection of the new officers of THE REVIEW.

How does all this concern us? The answer should make all fair-minded individuals shudder.

It mattered little to the Business Manager and President of the Student Council that there was one man on the editorial staff of our paper who had worked faithfully and diligently for three years and who possessed all the requisites for editorship when the time came to cast a vote. What loomed large in their small minds was the idea that if said individual would succeed to the headship of this paper, THE REVIEW would be an independent organ of student opinion. That would definitely prove to their disadvantage—or so they reckoned. The fact remains that they let their littleness prevail over any sense of fairness and justice which they might have had or developed in four years here at the university. It is certainly no feather in our cap that here at Delaware we cannot let our conscience be our guide; instead that we must be dictated to by a small group who insist that they are protecting their vested interests.

As the election came out, our successors are Tom Minkus, junior, and Tom Ashton, sophomore—the latter being what we might term the "fraternities' safety valve." This to us has not only violated the time-aged principle of giving to each man his just due, but has also violated the American principle of a free press, a much-needed essential in these days, by a clear attempt to throttle publication of information such as is being given to you in this edition of THE REVIEW.

Our managing editor takes his place with the well-known 'forgotten man' of depression days as a victim of high-pressure politics... "The Man Who Was Robbed."

THE REVIEW

The Official Student Newspaper of the Univ. of Delaware

Founded 1882. Published every Friday during the college year.

Subscription \$2.00 per year, anywhere in the United States. Single copy, ten cents.

Entered at the Newark, Delaware, Post Office as second class matter.

REPRESENTED FOR NATIONAL ADVERTISING BY
National Advertising Service, Inc.
College Publishers Representative
420 MADISON AVE. NEW YORK, N. Y.
CHICAGO - BOSTON - LOS ANGELES - SAN FRANCISCO

Member
Associated Collegiate Press
Distributor of
Collegiate Digest

CO-EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Michael A. Popplitt and Januar D. Bove

MANAGING EDITORTom Minkus
ASSOCIATE EDITORDave Snellenburg
CO-SPORTS EDITORS...John Ballard, Fred Mitchell
NEWS EDITORBob Hanley
ASST. NEWS EDITORTom Ashton
FEATURE EDITORHal Arnoff

Sports Staff: Tom Skripps, '43; Bob Slemen, '43; Byron Samonisky, '43; Perry Burkett, '43.

Headliners: Jack Rinehart, '41; James Quinn, '44.
Reporters: Clarence Brown, '41; William Pritchett, '41; Ned Cooch, '41; Bill Richardson, '41; Warren Grier, '42; Carl Allen, '43; Richard Tybout, '43; Dick Aydelotte, '44; Norman Bunin, '44; George Grier, '44; Joe Schultz, '44; Jake Stair, '44; Heinz Otto, '44.

BUSINESS MANAGER

Leon Lotstein

Asst. Business Mgrs....John Curtis, Leonard Lipstein
Circulation ManagerLewis Carmean
Local Advertising ManagerNorman Schutzman
National Advertising Manager.....Martin R. Tannen
Business Staff: Edward Legates, Howard English, Melvin Koster, Reese Dukes, Noah Cain, Bill Walker, Bruce Frazier, Bob Scabinger.

FRIDAY, MARCH 21, 1941

Sign!!!

Last week we printed a petition in our editorial column with the prefixed statement to the effect that we were presenting it for what it was worth . . . and that we would give our support to either one side or the other only after thoroughly airing the subject. That is precisely what we have been doing all week. Our decision follows:—

After having seen the Student Council in action on Monday night, we are convinced that there must be a change in student government on this campus. Their narrow-minded action limiting class elections to 50 minutes showed us very clearly what can happen when a group, a minority group at that, with an unfair amount of power in their hands misuse this power.

To us the motive for restricting voting to such a brief period of time is obvious. A democratic election in which the polls would be open the whole of a school day might mean that many students without vested interests would have an opportunity to exercise their right to vote.

The hue and cry of the fraternity-controlled council has been that there is too much electioneering, and the like, when the polls are open all day. This is nothing more than a clothing of their fears in something which they like to make appear odious. What they are saying in plain words is that the fraternity machine has all the right in the world to subtly inform members whom they shall support, but that on the other hand independents are resorting to dirty politics when they try to solicit votes from their classmates. Obviously, if we are to have a student government along democratic lines, we cannot subscribe to this point of view.

For too long now our campus has been split up

into factions which instead of fostering school spirit, make for a bitter "class rivalry"—fraternity versus non-fraternity. And the main issue of the fraternity group is that commuters should have very little if any part in the government. To this contention we must again repeat our earlier inability to agree. There is no reason in the world why the commuter cannot be part and parcel of this campus community. True, we cannot hope to have them participate 100 per cent in every activity in the same manner as resident students, but the least we can do is to permit them to take part by giving them an adequate time in which to cast a ballot for their choices to lead the government.

As we see it after careful deliberation and after having weighed opinion pro and con a reorganization of student government, the two petitions which are being circulated among the student body will insure a really representative student council and also fair elections without placing the balance of power in the hands of any one group to be wielded in a manner which constantly injures those lacking power.

If we are to destroy those forces which preclude the development of a real Delaware spirit, free from group strife, only one course of action is open to you and that is to affix your signature to both petitions!

Reckoning Necessary...

During the past year THE REVIEW has remained impartial in regards to campus politics. We have overlooked a number of scandalous political dealings by the fraternity machine in an effort to preserve harmony in the student body.

However, we feel that, at this time, certain base, grossly undemocratic political actions by the fraternities on this campus are operating to the detriment of an overwhelming majority of our student body, and that these Hitleristic methods should be brought to the attention of the student body in an effort to eliminate them.

At its meeting on Monday night the fraternity-controlled Student Council showed its true colors by passing a motion which will keep the polls at class elections open for only one hour despite the fact that the previous week it voted to keep the polls open for four hours.

At any democratic election opportunity is given to all students who are qualified voters to exercise this prerogative. Obviously the Student Council is not aware of that custom . . . When the polls were kept open for the first time in the history of this institution for an adequate period of time, the vote cast doubled that of any previous election on the campus. In this connection it is to be noted that only one fraternity man was elected to class office. Therefore, fearing lest that majority again express its opinion, the fraternities have decided to take precautions in that direction by making it impossible for everyone to vote.

We feel that the fraternities on this campus in attempting to "railroad" their men into office by preventing a majority of the student body from voting are being Hitleristic. We believe that the fraternities are showing a spirit which is a disgrace to the university, for their recent actions are tyrannical, dictatorial, and undemocratic. Furthermore, we cannot understand how any honorable person would want to hold office obtained by such disdainful methods.

However, the fraternities are underestimating the power of this majority when they try continually to run roughshod over its wishes.

As members of this majority whose rights are being trampled by a group of fraternity men, it is imperative that you sign and vote for the petitions now circulating around the campus. Power politics dictated by a small minority no longer has a place on our campus. In helping to enact these petitions into law, you will be doing your part to break-up the omnipotent fraternity combine.

Democracy On Trial

Today the body politic of the University of Delaware is faced with a problem that has long impeded the progress of liberal, democratic government both in this country and in troubled, strife-ridden Europe. Since the early Greek philosophers first raised the standards of the rights of man, thinkers the world over, dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal and endowed with certain inherent and inalienable rights, have been opposed by the intervention of certain reactionary, self-interested elements who subvert the energies of the disenfranchised masses to their own personal ends. Since the dim dawn of time men have been exploited to the advantage of a chosen few. The history of early mankind is a history of despotism, calculating and ruthless. Ignorance was the fetter that chained man in intellectual bondage. Protest was incoherent and disorganized. Ignorance and indifference were the foundations upon which despotic government was predicated.

But education sounded the death knell of tyranny. Man learned to ask why. And no satisfactory answers were forthcoming. Glib references to custom and precedence were no longer enough to explain injustice and inequality. And so dawned an era of liberality in which thinkers fearlessly asked why and, when no answers were forthcoming, they undertook to find out why.

Out of these restless queries of men dissatisfied with current unjust institutions evolved a new theory, a new way of life, the democratic theory, predicated on the proposition that all men are created equal and endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights. In these immortal phrases from the Constitution of the United States are summed up the aspirations of liberal thinking men since time began, the men who asked themselves why. Why inequality, why injustice, and why tyranny?

And as our fore-fathers, we too, must ask ourselves why, when injustice rears its Medusa head of ignorance, indifference, and self-interest, if we are to prove ourselves worthy of our priceless heritage, if we are to vindicate the unstinting efforts of those who forged our birthright, of those who asked themselves why.

Campus politics at the University of Delaware existed, and still exist on a plane that makes the Tammany Hall of a half-century ago look like a Sunday School in comparison. European power-politicians could well take a lesson in the ruthless betrayal of the individual from the Fraternity Machine that dominates the political activity of this campus.

The infamous smoke-filled rooms from which national politics were directed a few decades ago were sunny Elysian Fields compared with the secret sessions where the Fraternity Moguls sit in to select their office-holding puppets and manipulate the strings that send them into their farcical routines.

The University of Delaware has not known a truly open election since the formation of its unjust, outmoded constitution. Government on the campus is predicated on articles promulgated to redound to the specific advantage of a selfish few. The Constitution of the University of Delaware is an anachronism in this allegedly enlightened era of social and political theories. It is the type of partial, discriminatory document that our Revolutionary forefathers sought to destroy.

Every election on this campus is a foregone conclusion. It is not a question of which candidate will be elected, but one of which Fraternity will be from. Independent elements have long been resigned to this gross injustice. They have taken Fraternity suzerainty for granted just as divine right of kings was once accepted and as Fascism is now accepted in unhappy Europe.

There is indeed truth to the adage of justice among thieves. The Fraternities believe in rotation of office, that is a rotation of Fraternity candidates. That august and scrupulous body, the inter-fraternity council sits in session each year to decide upon the men it will elect to office. The political plums are well apportioned. Each Fraternity takes its turn and is rewarded with an office for its service to the machine. The system combines all the features of the now defunct spoils system. The offices of the President of the student Council and President of the Inter-Fraternity Council are not electoral positions; they do not represent the consensus of popular will. They do not represent the choice of the student body. They are mere political appointments. They no more represent executors of sovereign will than does a dog-catcher appointed by a political boss rewarding service to the machine.

And so it is that we must ask ourselves why. The body-politic seeking reform today does not erect barricades in the streets nor throw paving stones at the gendarmerie. But it does assert itself according to democratic procedure and it can remedy defects in the system it seeks to modify. We are guaranteed the right to ask why and it is our bounden duty to exercise this prerogative. Ours must not be a mere passive support of democratic principles. It must be an active, militant, constructive interest.

The world today is putting to test the worth of the theories to which we as Americans subscribe. Democracy is accused of lethargy and impotence. It is for us in so far as it lies within our power to demonstrate the fallacy of this allegation. And in our limited sphere it does lie within our power to prove that the democratic theory of government is practicable, that Montesquieu, Voltaire, Jefferson and the legion of other high-priests of democracy were not living in ivory towers, obscured from reality by rosy clouds. But that they evolved a way of life that is truly the hope and salvation of mankind.

Radio Guild Stars

Faculty Discussion

"University on the Air" this week featured a stimulating round-table discussion by several members of the faculty on the timely subject, "The role of the United States as a possible belligerent."

Participating in the discussion were Dr. H. E. Newman of the economics department, Dr. W. G. Fletcher of the ancient language department, Mr. N. D. Holbrook of the modern language department, and Mr. P. Dolan of the history department.

This coming Tuesday night at 8 o'clock, "University on the Air" will feature another of the transcribed concerts which have proved so successful. The music will be that of Rossario Bordon and Thomas L. Thomas. As usual, the transcriptions will be interspersed with program notes given by student announcers.

At a recent meeting, the Radio Guild, formerly known as the Radio Club, permanently adopted its new name. A point system was established so that persons taking part in Guild activities will receive credits toward membership in the various campus honor societies. A committee was appointed to draw up a constitution to submit to the Student Council so that the Radio Guild may take its place as a major campus activity.

"University on the Air" is broadcast every Tuesday night at 8 p. m. over station WILM.

Fraternity Despotism
And Its Spoils System

On this campus, class and student council offices are merely political pawns, moved about and distributed as the omnipotent Interfraternity Council sees fit.

The five fraternity presidents list men in each class from their respective houses who have helped elect them to their presidencies in return for promises of class or council offices.

When these names are submitted to the Interfraternity Council, the offices are divided amongst the listed henchmen of the various commanders. The division of patronage is very systematic and operates on a rotation basis established years ago.

Every four or five years each fraternity has the president of each class. For example, one year a fraternity has president of the senior class, the junior vice-president, and the sophomore secretary. The next year it will have no office in the senior class, the junior class president, and the sophomore vice-president. The fact that there are five fraternities and available offices in only three classes is a complicating factor, but a system has been devised so that the spoils are shared equally.

Every four years the fraternities elect a freshman to the Athletic Council, and the same person is usually reappointed for his four college years. Thus, the four fraternities S. N., K. A., T. C., and S. P. E. monopolize these positions.

As to the Student Council, accord-

ing to the Interfraternity Council spoils systems, the four fraternities monopolize the office of president also. The other five offices rotate amongst the five fraternities. To illustrate—in 1937, Clark Latin of S. N. was elected president; in 1938, Thomas Ryan of T. C.; in 1939, John Schwind of K. A.; in 1940, Baynard Roe of S. P. E.; and scheduled for 1941, back to S. N. under Warren Grier. When Roe resigned this year, in order not to cause interference with the rotation system, Noah Schutzman of the Sigma Tau Phi was elected.

Schutzman's election was very interesting. The Council was to have the election after the annual Thanksgiving banquet. However, on the banquet program, printed a week previously, Schutzman was listed as Council president. After the banquet a Council meeting was held and Schutzman was elected president.

Moreover, in order to appease S. P. E. for the loss of the presidency in the middle of the year, the new S. P. E., who had never attended a Council meeting and who knew nothing about its functioning, was "railroaded" into the vice-presidency. If for some reason the president could not exercise his powers, they would be left to a completely unqualified substitute.

The operation and influence of the fraternity machine in honor society, varsity team captains, and dramatics clubs elections are less effective but usually powerful enough to secure the desired objectives.

Because the Sigma Tau Phi fraternity is usually on the wrong end of the class and council offices deal, they are kept satisfied by retaining in their organization the Editor and Business Manager of the "Blue Hen", and the Business Manager of the "REVIEW".

This discussion outlines briefly the fraternity spoils system which has existed on this campus for years, and which has been maintained by the fraternity-held Student Council and directed by the Interfraternity Council.

The purpose of the petition now being circulated is the eradication of the contemptible, despotic fraternity spoils system. If before making a decision as to the worth of these petitions, the reader wishes to verify the data contained in this article as to learn further details, they may do by contacting any member of the Interfraternity Council of the past four years.

Next Kronacher
Talk Scheduled
Monday Evening

Dr. Alvin Kronacher, prominent European theatre director and resident instructor in dramatics at the University of Delaware, will give his second public lecture on Monday evening, March 24, at 8:15. The second in a series of four lectures on the European Theatre, Dr. Kronacher's talk will develop further a discussion of the growth and influence of drama on the continent. The lecture, to which the public is invited free of charge, will be held in the Auditorium (Room 226) of University Hall.

The public talks by Dr. Kronacher are of special interest because the speaker has played an important role in the development of the European theatre during the last two decades. He has served as director of two of the most important municipal theatres in Europe, was an associate of Max Reinhardt, and recently has directed plays in Vienna, Prague, Paris, and Switzerland.

The remaining lectures in this series will be given on April 26 and May 9.

COUNCIL

(Continued from page 1)

Decision

Supporters of the petition contended that their document was drawn-up and submitted in the same manner as in those states of our union whose constitutions allow for initiative, referendum, and recall. But with the weight of authority resting with the side which claims that they will not be able to protect their vested interests here on the campus if a proposal such as that submitted were allowed to go through, the best result which the drafters and proponents of the petition could hope for was a favorable opinion from the council Judiciary Board to whom the matter was referred. This board

consisting of three fraternity and two non-fraternity representatives decides according to the Constitution.

JACKSON'S
HARDWARE

Philco Radios
90 E. MAIN STREET

STATE THEATRE

Fri. & Sat.
"Tall, Dark and Handsome"
Mon. & Tues.
"Come Live With Me"
Wed. & Thurs.
"Maise Was A Lady"

RHODES'

Drugs
All College Supplies
Sundries
Text Books

DRUG

Candies
Soda Water
Pennants
Cigars
Cigarettes

STORE

E. J. HOLLINGSWORTH CO.

Old Company's Lehigh Coal

Lumber - Millwork - Building Supplies - Paints - Hardware - Fuel Oil
PHONE 507 NEWARK, DELAWARE



A good plan...
pause and

Turn to
Refreshment



A little minute is long enough for a big rest when you drink on ice-cold bottle of Coca-Cola. It brings a feeling of complete refreshment...completely satisfying. So when you pause throughout the day, make it the pause that refreshes with ice-cold Coca-Cola.



YOU TASTE ITS QUALITY

Bottled under authority of The Coca-Cola Company by
DELAWARE COCA-COLA BOTTLING CO.
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE



Final Exam for telephones

THIS electrical mouth at Bell Telephone Laboratories is putting a new telephone to one of its final tests. Over and over, it can repeat these odd sentences without the slightest variation. They contain all the fundamental sounds that contribute to the loudness of sound in our speech. This mouth can also make tests with simple tones of known pitch and intensity.

At the same time other telephones are being steamed, baked, frozen, lifted and dropped into their cradles by tireless machines. All this is part of a carefully planned curriculum that makes every piece of telephone equipment prove it is capable of giving you the best possible service—under any and all conditions.



Reading Room Only



By HAL ARNOFF

Item: Last week we introduced our "Deep Purple Laws" to Congress and the public-at-large. To date, the bill has been passed by 17 state legislatures, precipitated a Dies investigation, and won the Pulitzer Prize for 1941. The Pulitzer Committee took one open-mouthed gander at our sensational treatise, hailed it as the Twentieth Century Magna Charta, and wired William Saroyan to go back to basket weaving.

Today, fortified with above-mentioned prestige and prize money, R. R. O. embarks on a new venture to which the campus will undoubtedly accord unanimous support—morally, financially, and otherwise. That venture is the issuance of stock in a proposed super-ultra dormitory for men to be erected shortly on this campus—probably south of the Library. This venture is in no way intended to conflict with the present building plans of the administration. We believe that free competition is the touchstone of democracy, and that the University officials will encourage the demonstration of business enterprise on the part of us, the students.

The firm of Dugan, Delahanty, and McFink, world-famous architects, has already been contracted, and their initial blueprints show many revolutionary innovations and startling changes in the old-style dormitory. Like the National Art Gallery in Washington, the U. of D. *Campus Chateau* will be hewn out of Tennessee marble. As will the door mats. All suites will be decorated with delicate pastel shades to afford an atmosphere conducive to studying. The baths will be authentic replicas of Roman pools with inlaid tiles of cobalt. The Terrace Roof will be fashioned after Venetian gardens, gaily festooned with imported Geisha Girls.

House rules in the proposed Blue Hen Chalet will be few in number, drawn up exclusively for the convenience of the residents. Only those applicants will be admitted who have an S. Q. (Social Quotient) of 145, a solid background of moral delinquency, and at least five good phone numbers to add to the house roster. Stringently observed will be the nightly study hours, during which time all recreational activity is to cease. This study period will run from 7 p.m. to 7:15 p.m.

The curfew is to be 4:30 a.m., after which time an entrance will be sanctioned only upon presentation of an excuse from the Dean or any local magistrate. Incidentally, the *Chateau* will serve a special "wee-hour" breakfast between 2 and 4 a.m. which will consist of tomato juice, bicarbonate of soda, and a bromo. Marcel LaFleur, Park Avenue masseur, will also be on hand during these hours to freshen up students for first hour classes. A special by-law has been approved by our Board of Governors which will provide burial with full military honors for anyone who, during residence in the *Campus Chateau*, should die of acute alcoholism.

The recreational facilities, according to the carefully worked out plans of Dugan, Delahanty, and McFink, will be centered in the East Wing. These facilities include 9 bowling alleys, 16 billiards tables, and a gambling room replete with pinball machines, roulette wheels, and penny ante tables. Croupiers will be selected from students who wish to earn their way through school, and wages will be 10% of the take.

Girl friends of the residents will be allowed only on the first, second and third floors. Special season passes will be made available. Positively no one may gain admittance to the basement, which will be exclusively reserved for the honorary Saturday Night Opium Society.

Further information concerning *Campus Chateau, Inc.* may be secured by sending a stamped, self-addressed coed to this department.

Taxation Without Representation

There is a great deal to be said in favor of the petitions being circulated among the student body which the casual observer of campus government might easily overlook. One of these can be labeled "taxation without representation," and justly so. For just as our forefathers were paying to the government of George III back in Pre-Revolutionary War days taxes about the levying of which they had nothing to say, so also are 491 independent students on this campus having very little to say in what is to be done with the moneys which they are assessed.

Everyone is aware of the fact that he pays to the Student Council of Delaware College an activities fee of \$3.50 per semester. But does everyone know that this assessment goes to a body in which 108 active fraternity men have 10 representatives while the other 491 students have only 5 representatives? These are the facts; you can correct them:

	No.	Rep.
Members of Student Government Association	599	15
Total Non-fraternity Men	491	5
Total Fraternity Men as of end of first Semester:		
Sigma Nu	33	2
Sigma Phi Epsilon	38	2
Theta Chi	15	2
Kappa Alpha	14	2
Sigma Tau Phi	8	2

THE ORDER OF THE DAY IS Chesterfield MILDER

COOLER...BETTER-TASTING

Yes, the Fleet smokes a lot of Chesterfields...and so do millions of other smokers like yourself. You'll find that Chesterfields are MILD, the way you want a cigarette...not flat, not strong. They SMOKE COOLER...with a decidedly BETTER TASTE.

You can't buy a Better Cigarette



Signalman GRAY
U. S. S. BENSON
is host to
BRENDA JOYCE
Hollywood Favorite



DO YOU SMOKE THE CIGARETTE THAT *Satisfies*
...IT'S THE SMOKER'S CIGARETTE

Copyright 1941, LIGGETT & MYERS TOBACCO CO.

Sigma Tau Phi

When questioned the morning after several of the persons who attended the Sigma Tau Phi Formal last Friday night said,

"I feel lousy. I must have had a good time."

The smoothly-styled music of Larry Press and his orchestra provided the added touch to complete the picture of luxurious enjoyment. Another high point of the evening was the presentation of favors by the members of the fraternity to their dates. The favors were beautiful perfume holders in the form of hour-glasses. They contained two varieties of perfume and were emblazoned with the fraternity crest.

Leon Lotstein, vice-chancellor of the chapter, and Miss Sylvia Chester stood in the receiving line in place of Chancellor Willis Jacoby and Miss Marion Claire Jacoby, who had just recovered from a severe cold, felt unable to cope with the arduous of receiving line duty.

Deer Park Hotel
DINE
DRINK
DANCE
REASONABLE PRICES

A LETTER

March 19, 1941

To The Editors of the Review:
Gentlemen:

For the information of all those concerned: the project opposite Harter Hall is not only the erection of a new dormitory as is popularly supposed. True, it is a piece of construction, yet more is being built than meets the naked eye. Along with the building of the new dormitory is the building of a new order on the campus.

The non-fraternity group may not succeed in bringing about justice and equality this year. It is possible that our efforts may be of no avail because of the unfair conditions under which we must vote. Yet "the cat will mew and dog will have his day." We, of the non-fraternity group, will continue to mew, for we are confident that there will be a new system under which every student will be adequately represented, have a voice in his government, and be able to vote in the correct manner. Perhaps that may not come this year or even next year, but it is inevitable that such an unfair system will collapse.

That power shovel is not only digging the cellar and foundation of the new dormitory, IT IS DIGGING THE GRAVE OF THE INIQUITOUS FRATERNITY DOMINATION OF THE CAMPUS.

Signed,
A. J. MOCK