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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2002, the U.S. Department of Education awarded a five-year State Improvement Grant (SIG) to the Delaware Department of Education. This report details the reading assessment results from the Delaware Student Testing Program (DSTP) for the 2006 spring administration and when appropriate, compares the performance of students from the spring 2003, 2004, and 2005 administrations. Through this initiative, students with disabilities will receive instruction aimed to improve literacy and reading skills in an inclusive educational environment through the use of trained teachers and the implementation of scientifically-based research regarding the teaching of early literacy and reading skills.

The primary focus of this five-year evaluation is to provide information regarding how well the project has met its primary goals:
$\checkmark$ Improved literacy and reading skills for children with disabilities, and
$\checkmark$ All students with mild and moderate disabilities will gain access to and progress in the general curriculum

The evaluation of the Delaware State Improvement Grant project will proceed along two lines of activity: 1) formative evaluation to provide relevant information to the project staff; and 2) outcome studies to address the impact of the initiative on students and schools as it relates to teaching and learning. Data to measure the progress towards project goals will be collected using a variety of methods including surveys, achievement tests, and classroom observations. ${ }^{1}$ Selected evaluation findings from spring 2006 reading achievement results are highlighted below.

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

In 2006, the mean reading scores of special education students in grades 5 through 10 were significantly higher than in 2003. The mean reading scores of students in grades 9 and 10 were higher than in the previous three years.

The 2006 mean reading scores of African American students were significantly higher than 2003 for grades 5 through 10. Mean 2006 scores for grade 5 and 9 were the highest scores achieved since 2003.

Low income student mean scores for 2006, in grades 3 and 5-10 were significantly higher than 2003. In 2006, students in grades 5, 9, and 10 achieved their highest mean scores since 2003.

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Since 2003, the number of Free/reduced price lunch status students has increased by about 18\%.
Since 2003, the number of students classified as English Language Learners almost doubled.
The percentage of students in kindergarten through eighth grade who were retained in grade has consistently decreased from 2004 to 2006. In 2004, $7 \%$ of the students were retained in grade compared to $5 \%$ in 2006.
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## INTRODUCTION

In the summer of 2003, the University of Delaware Education Research \& Development Center accepted a contract to conduct a statewide evaluation of the recently awarded State Improvement Grant. This project and its attendant evaluation are funded through the U.S. Department of Education's State Improvement Grant program. The Delaware State Improvement Grant project targets children with disabilities attending preschool programs as well as students with disabilities in elementary, middle, and high schools throughout the state. The purpose of the evaluation is to provide relevant information for both project improvement and accountability purposes regarding its impact on 1) student learning, and 2) teachers' and parents' perceptions and behaviors regarding literacy education for student with disabilities.

In the State Improvement Grant application submitted through the Delaware Department of Education in 2002, the goals of the project included the following:

- To improve literacy and reading skills for children with disabilities in three age groups; preschool, kindergarten through $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade, and grades 4 through 12;
- To increase access to and progress in the general education curriculum for students with mild or moderate disabilities.

Therefore, the primary focus of this evaluation is to provide information regarding how well the Delaware State Improvement Grant project has met these two goals.

The evaluation of the Delaware State Improvement Grant will proceed along two lines of activity:

1) formative evaluation to provide relevant information to the project directors; and
2) outcome studies to assess the impact of the initiative on students and schools as it relates to teaching and learning.

In the fall of 2003, fifteen public elementary schools serving students in grades kindergarten through third grade were participating in the Delaware State Improvement Grant projects. These focus schools were located in eight school districts. In 2005, one school was no longer participating as a SIG school. Additionally, individual teachers from preschool programs through twelfth grade from across the state participated in professional development training sessions.

## METHODOLOGY

## Introduction

The evaluation of the State Improvement Grant began during the 2003-2004 school year with the collection of student assessment data. Data from the spring 2003 administration of the reading portion of the DSTP served as the baseline. For students in grades two and three, only students with disabilities from the fourteen selected schools are included. The scores of kindergarten and grade one special education students could not be included in the analyses as state testing assessments are no longer administered within these grades. Students with missing data were excluded from the analyses. Because specific teachers, not schools, serving students in grades four through ten were the recipients of professional development services and training as part of the projects, data from all Delaware students with disabilities in these grades are included in this report.

Beginning in second grade, students participate annually in the Delaware Student Testing Program; they receive both a reading scale score and a corresponding reading performance level as part of the test results. Consequently, for students in second through tenth grade, mean reading scale scores and corresponding standard deviations are reported for each grade level. In addition, results for all grade levels are disaggregated by gender, race, income status, and English language learner status. However, when the number of students in a given group is less than fifteen, results are not presented in this report. ${ }^{2}$

Results from this component of the evaluation are discussed in the following section. The student achievement portion of the Delaware State Improvement Grant project evaluation plan is included in Appendix B.

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

Student achievement data from spring 2006 are summarized and reported with student achievement data from the baseline year of spring 2003, second year results from spring 2004, and third year results from spring 2005 for comparative purposes.
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## RESULTS PART A: SECOND AND THIRD GRADE

State reading achievement test scores were analyzed for all students receiving special education services in the fourteen targeted schools. Students with missing data were excluded from the analyses. The following section provides mean scale scores, standard deviations, and sample sizes for students from the spring 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 administrations of the reading portion of the Delaware Student Testing Program (DSTP). Scale scores are raw scores that have been converted to make scores in a given content area comparable when using different test forms as is done in the administration of the DSTP.

Table 1: Mean Reading Achievement by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Second Grade Cohorts

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English Language Learner Status |  |
|  | SIG schools |  | Females | Males | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | Not Low Income | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale <br> Score | 2003 | 376.29 | 378.86 | 375.16 | 376.96 | 375.30 | - | 376.38 |
|  | 2004 | 383.85 | 383.47 | 384.02 | 379.31 | 392.30 | - | 384.31 |
|  | 2005 | 371.72 | 368.15 | 373.34 | 367.03 | 384.31 | - | 371.75 |
|  | 2006 | 376.23 | 377.24 | 375.50 | 371.92 | 387.90 | - | 376.99 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 37.93 | 41.82 | 36.22 | 37.33 | 39.09 | - | 38.12 |
|  | 2004 | 38.43 | 39.21 | 38.29 | 36.08 | 41.52 | - | 38.64 |
|  | 2005 | 37.31 | 38.74 | 36.69 | 35.16 | 40.30 | - | 37.58 |
|  | 2006 | 45.33 | 48.17 | 43.35 | 43.36 | 48.78 | - | 45.26 |
| N | 2003 | 160 | 49 | 111 | 96 | 64 | 3 | 157 |
|  | 2004 | 143 | 43 | 100 | 93 | 50 | 4 | 139 |
|  | 2005 | 166 | 52 | 114 | 121 | 45 | 3 | 163 |
|  | 2006 | 215 | 90 | 125 | 157 | 58 | 9 | 206 |

Table 2: Mean Reading Achievement by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 Second Grade Cohorts

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  | SIG Schools |  | American <br> Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 376.29 | - | 376.32 | - | - | 378.27 |
|  | 2004 | 383.85 | - | 377.49 | - | - | 389.87 |
|  | 2005 | 371.72 | - | 363.26 | - | - | 385.64 |
|  | 2006 | 376.23 | - | 368.48 | - | 364.07 | 388.4 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 37.93 | - | 30.71 | - | - | 41.48 |
|  | 2004 | 38.43 | - | 38.34 | - | - | 38.62 |
|  | 2005 | 37.31 | - | 35.25 | - | - | 38.78 |
|  | 2006 | 45.33 | - | 44.82 | - | 40.31 | 44.43 |
| N | 2003 | 160 | 1 | 66 | - | 11 | 82 |
|  | 2004 | 143 | 0 | 59 | 1 | 7 | 76 |
|  | 2005 | 166 | 1 | 94 | 0 | 13 | 58 |
|  | 2006 | 215 | 0 | 115 | 1 | 15 | 84 |

Table 3: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Third Grade Cohorts

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English <br> Language <br> Learner Status |  |
|  | SIG schools |  | Females | Males | Low Income | Not <br> Low <br> Income | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 407.59 | 403.64 | 409.54 | 403.32 | 416.06 | - | 407.82 |
|  | 2004 | 422.76 | 430.47 | 419.17 | 421.97 | 423.97 | - | 423.06 |
|  | 2005 | 413.64 | 406.62 | 417.35 | 415.15 | 410.60 | - | 414.08 |
|  | 2006 | 416.26 | 419.34 | 415.04 | 414.80 | 422.10 | - | 416.08 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 34.77 | 31.79 | 36.10 | 35.04 | 32.86 | - | 34.79 |
|  | 2004 | 34.69 | 29.24 | 36.51 | 35.36 | 33.83 | - | 34.53 |
|  | 2005 | 34.53 | 32.31 | 35.24 | 33.08 | 37.41 | - | 34.64 |
|  | 2006 | 32.29 | 31.03 | 32.80 | 30.62 | 38.07 | - | 32.66 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 2003 | 200 | 66 | 134 | 133 | 67 | 2 | 198 |
|  | 2004 | 189 | 60 | 129 | 115 | 74 | 1 | 188 |
|  | 2005 | 159 | 55 | 104 | 106 | 53 | 5 | 154 |
|  | 2006 | 198 | 56 | 142 | 158 | 40 | 13 | 185 |

Table 4: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 Third Grade Cohorts

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  | Selected Schools |  | American <br> Indian | African <br> American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale <br> Score | 2003 | 407.59 | - | 402.10 | - | - | 413.92 |
|  | 2004 | 422.76 | - | 412.84 | - | 412.25 | 434.25 |
|  | 2005 | 413.64 | - | 405.21 | - | - | 422.67 |
|  | 2006 | 416.26 | - | 407.46 | - | 418.32 | 428.89 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 34.77 | - | 36.28 | - | - | 31.75 |
|  | 2004 | 34.69 | - | 33.57 | - | 33.40 | 32.72 |
|  | 2005 | 34.53 | - | 33.71 | - | - | 31.77 |
|  | 2006 | 32.29 | - | 32.38 | - | 27.86 | 28.00 |
| N | 2003 | 200 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 11 | 92 |
|  | 2004 | 189 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 16 | 88 |
|  | 2005 | 159 | 1 | 80 | 1 | 8 | 69 |
|  | 2006 | 198 | 1 | 103 | 0 | 19 | 75 |

## RESULTS PART B: FOURTH - TENTH GRADE

State reading achievement tests were analyzed for all students receiving special education services in Delaware. Students with missing or invalid data were excluded from the analyses. The following section provides mean scale scores, standard deviations, and sample sizes for students on the spring 2003 2004, 2005, and 2006 administrations of the reading portion of the Delaware Student Testing Program (DSTP). Scale scores are raw scores that have been converted to make scores in a given content area comparable when using different forms as is done in the administration of the DSTP.

Table 6: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Fourth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | EnglishLanguageLearner Status |  |
|  |  |  | Females | Males | Low Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 440.81 | 443.28 | 439.56 | 430.77 | 451.59 | 435.24 | 440.90 |
|  | 2004 | 444.27 | 444.30 | 444.25 | 436.84 | 451.66 | 429.29 | 444.48 |
|  | 2005 | 443.60 | 442.84 | 444.01 | 435.98 | 450.68 | 425.83 | 444.11 |
|  | 2006 | 440.30 | 438.30 | 441.39 | 435.17 | 447.04 | 430.03 | 440.70 |
| Standard Deviation | 2003 | 39.87 | 40.22 | 39.67 | 35.94 | 41.08 | 44.73 | 39.81 |
|  | 2004 | 36.82 | 35.94 | 37.33 | 35.11 | 37.02 | 36.10 | 36.80 |
|  | 2005 | 34.85 | 35.64 | 34.43 | 33.21 | 34.87 | 38.98 | 34.60 |
|  | 2006 | 34.85 | 34.38 | 35.07 | 33.97 | 34.86 | 34.71 | 34.80 |
| N | 2003 | 1084 | 364 | 720 | 561 | 523 | 17 | 1067 |
|  | 2004 | 1209 | 435 | 774 | 603 | 606 | 17 | 1192 |
|  | 2005 | 1272 | 450 | 822 | 613 | 659 | 36 | 1236 |
|  | 2006 | 1398 | 493 | 905 | 794 | 604 | 53 | 1345 |

Table 7: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Fourth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | American Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 440.81 | - | 427.10 | - | 434.04 | 451.46 |
|  | 2004 | 444.27 | - | 430.86 | - | 437.30 | 453.84 |
|  | 2005 | 443.60 | - | 431.61 | 426.15 | 437.47 | 452.58 |
|  | 2006 | 440.30 | - | 430.14 | - | 436.65 | 447.94 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 39.87 | - | 35.43 | - | 37.52 | 39.97 |
|  | 2004 | 36.82 | - | 34.50 | - | 33.81 | 35.72 |
|  | 2005 | 34.85 | - | 31.83 | 46.59 | 35.00 | 33.57 |
|  | 2006 | 34.85 | - | 32.76 | - | 33.93 | 34.31 |
| N | 2003 | 1084 | 4 | 407 | 6 | 84 | 583 |
|  | 2004 | 1209 | 6 | 424 | 6 | 110 | 663 |
|  | 2005 | 1272 | 3 | 440 | 20 | 104 | 705 |
|  | 2006 | 1398 | 3 | 519 | 13 | 118 | 745 |

Table 8: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Fifth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English Language Learner Status |  |
|  |  |  | Females | Males | Low Income | Not Low Income | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 442.53 | 442.17 | 442.71 | 434.26 | 453.57 | 429.67 | 442.76 |
|  | 2004 | 455.39 | 455.82 | 455.17 | 447.47 | 464.73 | 443.86 | 455.64 |
|  | 2005 | 452.87 | 453.33 | 452.63 | 447.06 | 459.98 | 440.06 | 453.20 |
|  | 2006 | 455.43 | 456.77 | 454.70 | 449.78 | 463.11 | 443.43 | 455.83 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 39.13 | 38.44 | 39.49 | 36.11 | 40.30 | 29.02 | 39.26 |
|  | 2004 | 35.75 | 35.90 | 35.69 | 34.92 | 34.46 | 35.01 | 35.74 |
|  | 2005 | 35.13 | 33.36 | 36.04 | 33.55 | 35.73 | 38.26 | 35.00 |
|  | 2006 | 34.30 | 33.75 | 34.59 | 32.87 | 34.73 | 32.16 | 34.30 |
| N | 2003 | 1394 | 466 | 928 | 797 | 597 | 24 | 1370 |
|  | 2004 | 1373 | 460 | 913 | 743 | 630 | 29 | 1344 |
|  | 2005 | 1398 | 483 | 915 | 769 | 629 | 35 | 1363 |
|  | 2006 | 1429 | 504 | 925 | 823 | 606 | 46 | 1383 |

Table 9: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Fifth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | American Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 442.53 | - | 429.47 | - | 431.11 | 455.01 |
|  | 2004 | 455.39 | - | 445.33 | - | 448.66 | 464.72 |
|  | 2005 | 452.87 | - | 442.57 | - | 447.61 | 462.29 |
|  | 2006 | 455.43 | - | 447.74 | 451.94 | 451.50 | 462.43 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 39.13 | - | 33.48 | - | 27.20 | 40.62 |
|  | 2004 | 35.75 | - | 34.02 | - | 33.21 | 35.09 |
|  | 2005 | 35.13 | - | 33.10 | - | 33.16 | 34.52 |
|  | 2006 | 34.30 | - | 32.87 | 45.50 | 31.72 | 34.24 |
| N | 2003 | 1394 | 1 | 578 | 9 | 115 | 691 |
|  | 2004 | 1373 | 5 | 563 | 11 | 117 | 677 |
|  | 2005 | 1398 | 5 | 563 | 8 | 133 | 689 |
|  | 2006 | 1429 | 6 | 566 | 18 | 130 | 709 |

Table 10: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Sixth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English <br> Language Learner Status |  |
|  |  |  | Females | Males | Low Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 437.81 | 437.90 | 436.60 | 430.67 | 445.67 | - | 437.15 |
|  | 2004 | 444.10 | 447.31 | 442.31 | 437.26 | 451.59 | - | 444.20 |
|  | 2005 | 450.54 | 453.56 | 449.02 | 444.83 | 456.56 | 423.89 | 450.99 |
|  | 2006 | 448.14 | 451.43 | 446.41 | 442.93 | 445.50 | 441.76 | 448.31 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 40.81 | 38.41 | 41.97 | 38.39 | 42.38 | - | 40.85 |
|  | 2004 | 42.39 | 41.70 | 42.72 | 41.04 | 42.67 | - | 42.52 |
|  | 2005 | 36.29 | 34.43 | 37.11 | 34.51 | 37.17 | 32.42 | 36.19 |
|  | 2006 | 32.89 | 30.14 | 34.15 | 32.06 | 32.68 | 30.35 | 32.95 |
| N | 2003 | 926 | 305 | 621 | 517 | 409 | 13 | 913 |
|  | 2004 | 1051 | 373 | 677 | 550 | 500 | 14 | 1036 |
|  | 2005 | 1067 | 356 | 711 | 548 | 519 | 18 | 1049 |
|  | 2006 | 1314 | 455 | 859 | 769 | 545 | 33 | 1281 |

Table 11: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 Sixth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | American Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale <br> Score | 2003 | 437.81 | - | 422.13 | - | 436.36 | 449.52 |
|  | 2004 | 444.10 | - | 429.74 | - | 429.95 | 457.61 |
|  | 2005 | 450.54 | - | 439.74 | - | 442.58 | 459.99 |
|  | 2006 | 448.14 | - | 439.29 | - | 442.87 | 456.68 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 40.81 | - | 35.70 | - | 36.31 | 41.23 |
|  | 2004 | 42.39 | - | 39.21 | - | 35.22 | 41.49 |
|  | 2005 | 36.29 | - | 35.62 | - | 36.37 | 34.13 |
|  | 2006 | 32.89 | - | 31.39 | - | 31.05 | 32.43 |
| N | 2003 | 926 | 4 | 394 | 2 | 67 | 459 |
|  | 2004 | 1051 | 1 | 429 | 8 | 79 | 533 |
|  | 2005 | 1067 | 3 | 419 | 7 | 89 | 549 |
|  | 2006 | 1314 | 3 | 531 | 8 | 134 | 638 |

Table 12: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Seventh Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English Language Learner Status |  |
|  |  |  | Females | Males | Low <br> Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale <br> Score | 2003 | 448.85 | 447.93 | 449.34 | 441.74 | 458.60 | 426.44 | 449.11 |
|  | 2004 | 454.16 | 453.64 | 454.45 | 448.45 | 460.61 | - | 454.16 |
|  | 2005 | 458.91 | 461.11 | 457.77 | 453.54 | 465.27 | 447.36 | 459.16 |
|  | 2006 | 457.15 | 461.89 | 455.01 | 451.28 | 465.02 | 435.50 | 457.76 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 35.93 | 34.66 | 36.61 | 32.65 | 37.92 | 27.27 | 35.95 |
|  | 2004 | 36.57 | 33.99 | 37.84 | 34.44 | 37.86 | - | 36.61 |
|  | 2005 | 32.73 | 32.01 | 33.06 | 31.06 | 33.53 | 31.18 | 32.73 |
|  | 2006 | 33.45 | 31.87 | 33.95 | 32.26 | 33.44 | 24.12 | 33.48 |
| N | 2003 | 1362 | 478 | 884 | 788 | 574 | 16 | 1346 |
|  | 2004 | 1290 | 432 | 856 | 681 | 607 | 7 | 1281 |
|  | 2005 | 1335 | 457 | 878 | 724 | 611 | 28 | 1307 |
|  | 2006 | 1320 | 411 | 909 | 756 | 564 | 36 | 1284 |

Table 13: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Seventh Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | American Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 448.85 | - | 437.09 | - | 439.49 | 460.46 |
|  | 2004 | 454.16 | - | 442.86 | - | 448.08 | 465.27 |
|  | 2005 | 458.91 | - | 449.99 | - | 451.12 | 468.56 |
|  | 2006 | 457.15 | - | 448.27 | - | 447.51 | 466.59 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 35.93 | - | 31.71 | - | 34.88 | 36.04 |
|  | 2004 | 36.57 | - | 31.26 | - | 35.42 | 38.01 |
|  | 2005 | 32.73 | - | 29.52 | - | 30.58 | 33.23 |
|  | 2006 | 33.45 | - | 30.02 | - | 31.86 | 34.13 |
| N | 2003 | 1362 | 6 | 590 | 3 | 96 | 667 |
|  | 2004 | 1290 | 5 | 564 | 5 | 99 | 615 |
|  | 2005 | 1335 | 1 | 587 | 7 | 115 | 625 |
|  | 2006 | 1320 | 7 | 570 | 11 | 111 | 621 |

Table 14: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 Eighth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English <br> Language Learner Status |  |
|  |  |  | Females | Males | Low Income |  | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale <br> Score | 2003 | 475.67 | 479.73 | 473.5 | 471.40 | 480.85 | 469.50 | 475.76 |
|  | 2004 | 479.47 | 482.00 | 478.10 | 472.42 | 487.54 | 463.48 | 479.72 |
|  | 2005 | 484.38 | 486.14 | 483.42 | 479.30 | 489.82 | 463.80 | 484.76 |
|  | 2006 | 481.79 | 485.70 | 479.77 | 476.38 | 489.23 | 466.28 | 482.16 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 35.13 | 34.72 | 35.18 | 34.17 | 35.61 | 24.75 | 35.26 |
|  | 2004 | 35.40 | 34.05 | 36.06 | 33.16 | 36.17 | 31.99 | 35.40 |
|  | 2005 | 34.03 | 32.17 | 34.98 | 31.90 | 35.40 | 27.22 | 34.03 |
|  | 2006 | 36.23 | 35.67 | 36.38 | 34.79 | 36.89 | 30.97 | 36.28 |
| N | 2003 | 1524 | 526 | 998 | 836 | 688 | 22 | 1502 |
|  | 2004 | 1478 | 519 | 959 | 789 | 689 | 23 | 1455 |
|  | 2005 | 1376 | 485 | 891 | 712 | 664 | 25 | 1351 |
|  | 2006 | 1370 | 466 | 904 | 793 | 577 | 32 | 1338 |

Table 15: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Eighth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | American Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 475.67 | - | 466.82 | - | 470.41 | 483.63 |
|  | 2004 | 479.47 | - | 469.93 | - | 468.29 | 489.50 |
|  | 2005 | 484.38 | - | 474.76 | - | 482.61 | 492.99 |
|  | 2006 | 481.79 | - | 471.76 | - | 477.96 | 492.37 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 35.13 | - | 33.64 | - | 31.91 | 34.85 |
|  | 2004 | 35.40 | - | 33.19 | - | 34.22 | 34.74 |
|  | 2005 | 34.03 | - | 32.20 | - | 32.67 | 33.51 |
|  | 2006 | 36.23 | - | 33.19 | - | 34.40 | 36.58 |
| N | 2003 | 1524 | 4 | 646 | 3 | 101 | 770 |
|  | 2004 | 1478 | 4 | 632 | 5 | 110 | 727 |
|  | 2005 | 1376 | 9 | 594 | 6 | 114 | 653 |
|  | 2006 | 1370 | 1 | 619 | 7 | 114 | 629 |

Table 16: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Ninth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English Language Learner Status |  |
|  |  |  | Females | Males | Low Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale <br> Score | 2003 | 461.44 | 460.60 | 461.84 | 451.79 | 471.25 | - | 461.81 |
|  | 2004 | 468.58 | 473.76 | 466.00 | 461.21 | 475.88 | - | 468.71 |
|  | 2005 | 468.65 | 468.74 | 468.60 | 460.72 | 476.74 | 443.29 | 469.13 |
|  | 2006 | 471.53 | 476.03 | 469.09 | 465.20 | 478.56 | 461.30 | 471.73 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 39.33 | 36.88 | 40.47 | 35.88 | 40.27 | - | 39.16 |
|  | 2004 | 37.94 | 36.94 | 38.14 | 36.67 | 37.81 | - | 37.91 |
|  | 2005 | 39.10 | 38.82 | 39.25 | 37.40 | 39.17 | 28.53 | 39.12 |
|  | 2006 | 37.71 | 34.43 | 39.17 | 36.32 | 38.00 | 31.36 | 37.80 |
| N | 2003 | 1065 | 344 | 721 | 544 | 521 | 7 | 1058 |
|  | 2004 | 1294 | 435 | 855 | 639 | 651 | 4 | 1286 |
|  | 2005 | 1289 | 420 | 869 | 651 | 638 | 24 | 1265 |
|  | 2006 | 1415 | 497 | 918 | 745 | 670 | 27 | 1388 |

Table 17: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 Ninth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | American Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 461.44 | - | 450.23 | - | 442.68 | 475.07 |
|  | 2004 | 468.58 | - | 457.19 | - | 455.14 | 480.14 |
|  | 2005 | 468.65 | - | 456.51 | - | 461.46 | 480.43 |
|  | 2006 | 471.53 | - | 459.96 | - | 472.93 | 482.13 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 39.33 | - | 33.27 | - | 43.65 | 40.07 |
|  | 2004 | 37.94 | - | 35.25 | - | 40.45 | 36.37 |
|  | 2005 | 39.10 | - | 35.05 | - | 39.94 | 38.95 |
|  | 2006 | 37.71 | - | 34.08 | - | 35.79 | 38.15 |
| N | 2003 | 1065 | - | 514 | 6 | 59 | 485 |
|  | 2004 | 1294 | 4 | 580 | 0 | 65 | 641 |
|  | 2005 | 1289 | 2 | 568 | 3 | 78 | 638 |
|  | 2006 | 1415 | 7 | 640 | 7 | 113 | 648 |

Table 18: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Gender, Income Status, and
English Language Learner Status for 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006
Tenth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gender |  | Income Status |  | English Language Learner Status |  |
|  |  |  | Females | Males | Low <br> Income |  | ELL | Not ELL |
| Scale <br> Score | 2003 | 460.79 | 462.02 | 460.00 | 450.74 | 469.47 | - | 460.99 |
|  | 2004 | 464.15 | 463.84 | 464.30 | 456.47 | 469.38 | - | 464.15 |
|  | 2005 | 468.45 | 474.08 | 465.24 | 461.12 | 474.96 | - | 468.70 |
|  | 2006 | 470.90 | 473.66 | 469.47 | 464.94 | 476.22 | - | 471.15 |
| Standard <br> Deviation | 2003 | 35.98 | 32.36 | 38.13 | 32.68 | 36.47 | - | 36.02 |
|  | 2004 | 35.17 | 31.78 | 36.82 | 31.32 | 36.70 | - | 35.17 |
|  | 2005 | 34.01 | 35.27 | 32.88 | 30.76 | 35.44 | - | 33.95 |
|  | 2006 | 34.81 | 34.91 | 34.70 | 32.13 | 36.25 | - | 34.63 |
| N | 2003 | 930 | 363 | 567 | 431 | 499 | 9 | 921 |
|  | 2004 | 718 | 243 | 475 | 291 | 427 | 0 | 718 |
|  | 2005 | 836 | 304 | 532 | 393 | 443 | 13 | 823 |
|  | 2006 | 901 | 308 | 593 | 425 | 476 | 12 | 889 |

Table 19: Mean Reading Achievement Scores by Race for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 Tenth Grade Special Education Students

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | American Indian | African American | Asian | Hispanic | White |
| Scale Score | 2003 | 460.79 | - | 448.68 | - | 445.39 | 473.42 |
|  | 2004 | 464.15 | - | 451.85 | - | 449.43 | 474.97 |
|  | 2005 | 468.45 | - | 457.64 | - | 462.04 | 478.58 |
|  | 2006 | 470.90 | - | 458.14 | - | 463.96 | 480.93 |
| Standard Deviation | 2003 | 35.98 | - | 31.67 | - | 37.09 | 34.88 |
|  | 2004 | 35.17 | - | 30.63 | - | 33.08 | 35.20 |
|  | 2005 | 34.01 | - | 31.59 | - | 29.68 | 33.57 |
|  | 2006 | 34.81 | - | 30.50 | - | 40.25 | 34.01 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 2003 | 930 | 4 | 413 | 6 | 57 | 450 |
|  | 2004 | 718 | 0 | 309 | 5 | 28 | 376 |
|  | 2005 | 836 | 3 | 368 | 2 | 47 | 416 |
|  | 2006 | 901 | 2 | 358 | 3 | 52 | 486 |

## ANOVA RESULTS

To investigate if the 2006 reading scale scores of the students receiving special education services attending a SIG school in $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade significantly differed from the reading scale scores from 2003, 2004, and 2005, several analysis of variances (ANOVAS) were conducted. Additionally, ANOVAS were performed on the mean reading scale scores of all the students receiving special education services in $4^{\text {th }}$ through $10^{\text {th }}$ grade, comparing mean reading scale scores in 2006 with scores from 2003, 2004, and 2005. ANOVA results for grades 2 to 10 are expressed in table 20.

Supplementary ANOVAS were performed on the mean reading scores of the students receiving special education services in 2nd through $10^{\text {th }}$ grade by race for African-American and White students. Analyses for other races could not be conducted due to the small sample sizes. Further, the mean reading scores by low income students and by gender were also analyzed. An ANOVA for English language learners could not be conducted due to the small sample size. Results for grades 2 to 10 are expressed in tables 21 through 25.

Significant ANOVA results were analyzed for effect size using the $\omega$ statistic. If $\omega$ is greater than .50 , then there is a large effect and if below .50 , there is a small effect ${ }^{3}$. All of the effects were small.

[^2]Table 20: Reading Scale Score ANOVA Results for 2003, 2004, and 2005 by Grade

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | F (df) | $\underset{\text { value }^{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{p}}$ | Effect Size | Significant differences |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=376 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=384 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=372 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=376 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.64 \\ (3,369) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .049* | . 08 | $2004>2005$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=408 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=423 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=414 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=416 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.60 \\ (3,742) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 15 | $2004>2003$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=441 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=444 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}=444 \\ \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=440 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.77 \\ (3,2690) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .010* | . 04 | 2004>2006 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=443 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=455 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=453 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=455 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.35 \\ (3,3100) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 14 | 2004, 2005, 2006>2003 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=438 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=444 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=451 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=448 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.04 \\ (3,2297) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 13 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005>2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=449 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=454 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=459 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=457 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.70 \\ (3,2940) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 11 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005>2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=476 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=479 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=484 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=482 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.01 \\ (3,5774) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 09 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005>2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=461 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=469 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=469 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=472 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.49 \\ (3,5059) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 09 | 2004, 2005, 2006 > 2003 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=461 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=464 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}=468 \\ \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=471 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.77 \\ (3,3381) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 11 | $\begin{gathered} 2005,2006>2003 \\ 2006>2004 \end{gathered}$ |

All grades show some significant differences across 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006. The mean reading scale scores of students receiving special education services in fifth to tenth grade are significantly higher than 2003 scores. Students in ninth and tenth grade mean reading scale scores were higher than in the past three years. Only fourth grade shows a statistically significant decrease in their reading scale scores from 2004 to 2006.

[^3]Table 21: Reading Scale Score ANOVA Results for African-American Students Receiving Special Education Services

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | F df | $\underset{\text { value* }}{\mathrm{p}}$ | Effect Size | Significant differences |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=376 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=377 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=363 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=368 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.51 \\ (3,302) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 059 |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=402 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=413 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=405 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=407 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.58 \\ (3,361) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 194 |  |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=427 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=431 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=432 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=430 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.45 \\ (3,1786) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 228 |  |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=429 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=445 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=443 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=448 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.23 \\ (3,2266) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 21 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2005,2006>2003 \\ 2006>2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=422 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=430 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=440 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=439 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.81 \\ (3,946) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 19 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005,2006>2004 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=437 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=443 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=450 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=448 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.19 \\ (3,2307) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 16 | 2006, 2005 > $2004>2003$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=467 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=470 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=475 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=472 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.27 \\ (3,2487) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 08 | $2005,2006>2003$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=450 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=457 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=457 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=460 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.87 \\ (3,2299) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 09 | 2004, 2005, 2006 > 2003 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=449 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=452 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=458 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=458 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.29 \\ (3,1444) \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 12 | $\begin{gathered} 2005,2006>2003 \\ 2006>2004 \end{gathered}$ |

African-American students receiving special education services showed a significant increase in mean reading scale scores between 2003 and 2006 in fifth through tenth grades. There were no significant differences found for the mean reading scale scores for students in second, third and fourth grade.

[^4]Table 22: Reading Scale Score ANOVA Results for White Students Receiving Special Education Services

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | F df | $\underset{\text { value }^{*}}{\mathrm{p}}$ | Effect Size | Significant differences |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=378 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=390 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=386 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=388 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.27 \\ (3,296) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 285 | . |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=414 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=434 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=423 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=429 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.96 \\ (3,320) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 23 | 2004, 2006>2003 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=451 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=454 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=453 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=448 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.84 \\ (3,1458) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .009* | . 05 | $2004>2006$ |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=455 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=465 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=462 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=462 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.07 \\ (3,1530) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 09 | 2004, 2005, 2006>2003 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=450 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=458 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=460 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=457 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.42 \\ (3,1152) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 09 | 2004, 2005, 2006>2003 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=460 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=465 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=469 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=467 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.29 \\ (3,1398) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 08 | $2005,2006>2003$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=484 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=490 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=493 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=492 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.83 \\ (3,2775) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 10 | 2004, 2005, 2006 > 2003 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & M=475 \\ & S D=40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=480 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=480 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=482 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.33 \\ (3,2408) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .019* | . 05 | $2006>2003$ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=473 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=475 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=479 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=481 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.47 \\ (3,1724) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | .004* | . 08 | $2006>2003$ |

White students receiving special education services showed a significant increase in mean reading scale scores between 2003 and 2006 in grade 3 and grades 5 to 8 . Students in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade scored significantly lower reading scale scores in 2006 then their peers in 2004.

[^5]Table 23: Reading Scale Score ANOVA Results for Low Income Students Receiving Special Education Services

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | F df | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p} \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Effect Size | Significant differences |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=377 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=379 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=367 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=372 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=43 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.49 \\ (3,242) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 061 |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=403 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=422 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=415 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=415 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.75 \\ (3,508) \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 18 | 2004, 2005, 2006>2003 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=431 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=437 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=436 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=435 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.53 \\ (3,2567) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .014* | . 05 | 2004, 2005>2003 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=434 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=447 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=447 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=450 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.45 \\ (3,1731) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 17 | 2004, 2005, 2006>2003 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=431 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=437 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=445 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=443 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.68 \\ (3,1235) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 14 | 2005,2006 > 2004> 2003 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=442 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=448 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=454 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=451 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.41 \\ (3,1624) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 13 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005>2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=471 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=472 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=479 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=476 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.03 \\ (3,3126) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 09 | $\begin{gathered} 2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005>2004 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=452 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=461 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=461 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=465 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.44 \\ (3,2575) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | .000* | . 12 | 2004, 2005, 2006 > 2003 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=451 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=457 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=461 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=465 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.66 \\ (3,1536) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 17 | $\begin{gathered} 2005,2006>2003 \\ 2006>2004 \end{gathered}$ |

Low income students receiving special education services showed a significant increase in mean reading scale scores between 2003 and 2006 in grade 3 and grades 5 through 10. In addition, students in grades 5, 9 and 10 outperformed the prior three years of reading scale scores.

[^6]Table 24: Reading Scale Score ANOVA Results for Male Students Receiving Special Education Services

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | F df | $\underset{\text { value* }}{\mathrm{p}}$ | Effect Size | Significant differences |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=375 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=384 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=373 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=376 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.56 \\ (3,446) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 198 |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=410 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=419 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=417 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=415 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.84 \\ (3,505) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 139 |  |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=440 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=444 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=444 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=441 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | ** | ** |  |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=443 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=455 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=453 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=455 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.40 \\ (3,2041) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 13 | 2004, 2005, 2006>2003 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=437 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=42 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=442 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=449 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=446 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.32 \\ (3,1519) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 11 | $\begin{gathered} 2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005>2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=449 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=454 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=458 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=455 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.76 \\ (3,1951) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 08 | 2004, 2005, $2006>2003$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=474 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=478 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=483 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=480 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.54 \\ (3,3748) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 10 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2005,2006>2003 \\ 2005>2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=462 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=466 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=469 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=469 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.60 \\ (3,3359) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .001* | . 06 | $2005,2006>2003$ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=460 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M=464 \\ & S D=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=465 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=469 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.58 \\ (3,1184) \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 09 | $2006>2003$ |

Although male students receiving special education services had no significant increases in mean reading scale scores in grades 2 to 4, there was a significant increase between 2003 and 2006.
Grades 5 and 10 showed the highest reading scale scores achieved since 2003.

[^7]Table 25: Reading Scale Score ANOVA Results for Female Students Receiving Special Education Services

| Mean Reading Achievement Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | F df | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p} \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Effect Size | Significant differences |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=379 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=383 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=368 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=377 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=48 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.07 \\ (3,230) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 363 |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=404 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=430 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=407 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=419 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.57 \\ (3,233) \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 31 | $\begin{gathered} 2004,2006>2003 \\ 2004>2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=443 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=444 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=443 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=438 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.47 \\ (3,1613) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | . 060 |  |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=442 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=456 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=453 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=457 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.30 \\ (3,1054) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | .000* | . 16 | 2004, 2005, 2006>2003 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=438 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=447 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=454 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=451 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.75 \\ (3,773) \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 15 | 2004, 2005, 2006 > 2003 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=448 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=454 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=461 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=462 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.06 \\ (3,1774) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | .000* | . 17 | 2005, $2006>2004>2003$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=480 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=482 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=486 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=486 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.05 \\ (3,1992) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .007* | . 07 | 2005,2006 > 2003 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=461 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=474 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=469 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=476 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.57 \\ (3,1692) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 15 | 2004, 2005, $2006>2003$ |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=462 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=464 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=474 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=474 \\ & \mathrm{SD}=35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.23 \\ (3,1214) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | .000* | . 16 | 2005, 2006 > 2003, 2004 |

Female students receiving special education services showed a significant increase in mean reading scales scores between 2003 and 2006 in grades 3 and 5 to 10 .

[^8]
## RESULTS PART D: PERFORMANCE LEVELS

In prior years, state reading achievement performance levels were analyzed for all students in second and third grade receiving special education services who participated in the targeted schools and for all students in grades 4-10 receiving special education services in Delaware. This year, due to changes in the cut scores for 2006, performance levels were not analyzed.

APPENDIX A: DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

# DELAWARE STATE IMPROVEMENT GRANT 

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION OF STUDENTS RECEIVING SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES
IN GRADES KINDERGARTEN - 10 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$
FOR 2003, 2004, 2005, AND 2006
$\checkmark$ From 2003 to 2006, the number of students identified as English Language Learners receiving special education services has nearly doubled; an increase was noted in each grade level, K-10.
$\checkmark$ From 2003 to 2006, the number of students retained in grade has decreased in $K$ through grade 8.
$\checkmark$ Over the past four years, the percentage of students receiving special education services who were eligible for Free/reduced price lunch remained fairly constant: $2003=53 \%, 2004=48 \%, 2005=50 \%$, and $2006=55 \%$.

| Grade Levels Involved | Year | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students ${ }^{4}$ | 2003 | 940 | 1225 | 1365 | 1426 | 1402 | 1395 | 1259 | 1379 | 1539 | 1246 | 950 | 14126 |
|  | 2004 | 1130 | 1382 | 1372 | 1558 | 1562 | 1515 | 1486 | 1434 | 1633 | 1702 | 987 | 15761 |
|  | 2005 | 1165 | 1420 | 1468 | 1476 | 1558 | 1516 | 1434 | 1511 | 1547 | 1719 | 1105 | 15919 |
|  | 2006 | 1171 | 1396 | 1464 | 1579 | 1491 | 1536 | 1467 | 1459 | 1569 | 1651 | 1176 | 15959 |
| Number of Female Students | 2003 | 306 | 392 | 460 | 499 | 487 | 466 | 424 | 485 | 529 | 406 | 372 | 4826 |
|  | 2004 | 358 | 434 | 451 | 543 | 556 | 504 | 511 | 477 | 568 | 566 | 322 | 5290 |
|  | 2005 | 352 | 432 | 471 | 507 | 551 | 529 | 472 | 524 | 532 | 562 | 396 | 5328 |
|  | 2006 | 329 | 389 | 481 | 510 | 518 | 540 | 501 | 461 | 537 | 558 | 399 | 5223 |
| Number of Male Students | 2003 | 634 | 833 | 905 | 927 | 915 | 929 | 835 | 894 | 1010 | 840 | 578 | 9300 |
|  | 2004 | 772 | 948 | 921 | 1015 | 1006 | 1011 | 975 | 957 | 1065 | 1702 | 665 | 13471 |
|  | 2005 | 813 | 988 | 997 | 969 | 1007 | 987 | 962 | 987 | 1015 | 1157 | 709 | 10591 |
|  | 2006 | 842 | 1007 | 983 | 1069 | 973 | 996 | 966 | 998 | 1032 | 1093 | 777 | 10736 |

[^9]| Grade Levels Involved | YEAR | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Caucasian | 2003 | 573 | 733 | 787 | 772 | 729 | 691 | 605 | 673 | 775 | 590 | 460 |
| Students | 2004 | 637 | 776 | 781 | 844 | 813 | 749 | 731 | 686 | 809 | 832 | 487 |
|  | 2005 | 654 | 746 | 807 | 811 | 816 | 753 | 710 | 722 | 735 | 861 | 537 |
|  | 2006 | 671 | 781 | 759 | 815 | 790 | 766 | 722 | 694 | 733 | 752 | 6152 |


| Grade Levels Involved | Year | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students Receiving Title I Services (Reading, Math, or Both) | 2003 | 160 | 230 | 181 | 211 | 159 | 158 | 79 | 100 | 99 | 11 | 7 | 1395 |
|  | 2004 | 169 | 261 | 174 | 177 | 154 | 181 | 144 | 64 | 75 | 16 | 11 | 1426 |
|  | 2005 | 151 | 233 | 196 | 185 | 154 | 155 | 84 | 77 | 47 | 30 | 21 | 1333 |
|  | 2006 | 96 | 205 | 238 | 203 | 157 | 134 | 100 | 59 | 45 | 11 | 22 | 1270 |
| Number of Students identified as English Language Learners | 2003 | 38 | 43 | 39 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 16 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 273 |
|  | 2004 | 38 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 24 | 32 | 21 | 11 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 247 |
|  | 2005 | 59 | 71 | 68 | 57 | 44 | 37 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 13 | 469 |
|  | 2006 | 63 | 88 | 80 | 93 | 55 | 51 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 30 | 20 | 598 |
| Number of Students eligible for the Free/reduced Lunch Program | 2003 | 391 | 614 | 674 | 783 | 754 | 798 | 721 | 802 | 845 | 635 | 438 | 7455 |
|  | 2004 | 413 | 626 | 640 | 741 | 791 | 798 | 773 | 742 | 861 | 818 | 411 | 7614 |
|  | 2005 | 517 | 704 | 760 | 727 | 766 | 821 | 747 | 804 | 799 | 845 | 508 | 7998 |
|  | 2006 | 554 | 767 | 791 | 947 | 833 | 870 | 847 | 830 | 889 | 873 | 584 | 8785 |
| Number of students retained in grade | 2003 | (No | Data) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2004 | 125 | 208 | 90 | 75 | 58 | 57 | 47 | 76 | 212 | - | - | 901 |
|  | 2005 | 91 | 186 | 71 | 61 | 24 | 30 | 45 | 70 | 169 | - | - | 747 |
|  | 2006 | 112 | 183 | 69 | 46 | 22 | 30 | 27 | 44 | 122 | - | - | 655 |

DELAWARE STATE IMPROVEMENT GRANT RETENTION INFORMATION OF STUDENTS RECEIVING SERVICES

IN GRADES KINDERGARTEN - $\mathbf{8}^{\text {TH }}$
FOR 2004 AND 2005

|  | GRade Levels Involved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
| 2004 | 11.06 | 15.05 | 6.55 | 4.81 | 3.71 | 3.76 | 3.16 | 5.29 | 12.98 |  |
| 2005 | 7.81 | 13.10 | 4.84 | 4.13 | 1.54 | 1.98 | 3.14 | 4.63 | 10.92 |  |
| 2006 | 9.60 | 13.10 | 4.70 | 2.90 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 1.80 | 3.00 | 7.80 |  |

Percent of Students Retained in Grade

## APPENDIX B: EVALUATION PLAN

Evaluation Plan for State Improvement Grant (SIG)<br>Workscope for the<br>University of Delaware<br>Education Research and Development Center

This proposal is intended to specifically delineate the evaluation activities attendant to the federally-supported initiative, the State Improvement Grant of the State of Delaware. The evaluation has been conceptualized based on the state proposal and subsequent meetings with state SIG personnel.

## Outcome Evaluation Component of the State Improvement Grant (SIG)

The outcome evaluation is designed to examine the impact of the wide range of intervention activities proposed within the State Improvement Grant. It focuses primarily on the two major terminal goals that address achievement and inclusion of Delaware students with disabilities.

Goal 1: Improved literacy and reading skills for children with disabilities in three age groups: preschool, kindergarten through $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade, and grades 4 through 12. (Achievement)
Goal 2: All students with mild and moderate disabilities will gain access to and progress in the general curriculum. (Inclusion)
The SIG is designed to bring about changes at all levels of the educational system over time. Consequently, the outcome evaluation has been designed to examine impact at multiple levels over the five-year period of the grant. Intermediate goals of the SIG focus on changes at the following levels:

- The student level,
- The teacher/classroom level,
- The school level,
- The level of parents and families, and
- The system or state level.

| Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Focus | Objective | Measures | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03- \\ & 04 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 04-05 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 05- \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 06 \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ |
| k-3 <br> Students | The reading skills of $80 \%$ of the $\mathrm{k}-3$ students with disabilities will be enhanced within the lowest performing schools selected annually. | Analysis of DSTP (grades 2-3) <br> Analysis of DDOE database to determine \% of students retained in grade. | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4-12 \\ & \text { Students } \end{aligned}$ | The reading skills of $70 \%$ of the children with disabilities in grades 4-12 will be enhanced. | Analysis of DSTP (grades 4-10) <br> Analysis of DDOE database to determine $\%$ of students retained in grade. | * | * | * | * |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4-12 \\ & \text { Students } \end{aligned}$ | The reading skills of struggling readers in grades 4-12 will be enhanced. | Analysis of Diagnostic Assessments of Reading (DAR) on a random sample of struggling readers ${ }^{5}$ |  |  | - | - |

[^10]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This report provides a detailed accounting of assessment results for the State Improvement Grant project for 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006 student data. Researchers at the University of Delaware Education Research and Development Center (R\&D Center) are available to answer questions regarding analyses presented in this report or to assist in their interpretations. R\&D Center staff may be contacted via electronic mail at ud-rdc@udel.edu or by phone at (302) 831-4433.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is done to maintain the anonymity of the student participants.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Field, A. (2005). Discovering statistics using SPSS (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ ed.). London, UK: Sage.

[^3]:    * All p values with an asterisk indicate statistical significance.

[^4]:    * All p values with an asterisk indicate statistical significance.

[^5]:    * All p values with an asterisk indicate statistical significance.

[^6]:    * All p values with an asterisk indicate statistical significance.

[^7]:    * All p values with an asterisk indicate statistical significance.
    ** Due to contradictory results, these analyses are not interpretable.

[^8]:    * All p values with an asterisk indicate statistical significance.

[^9]:    ${ }^{4}$ Number of students within this demographic table differs from number of students referenced with valid DSTP reading scores due to missing data

[^10]:    ${ }^{5}$ Data will be collected by classroom teachers in the fall and spring of each year. Data will be analyzed at the state level and reported in a separate document. This data will be reported for formative purposes and will not be analyzed as part of the annual outcome evaluation report.

