Working Paper

68

SOME MAJOR THEMES IN THE EMPIRICAL

DISASTER EVACUATION LITERATURE

Benigno E. Aguirre Robert G. Swisher

Department of Sociology Disaster Research Center The Ohio State University

The research for this paper was supported in part by Contract Number DAHC20-72-C-0301 from the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency.

The authors' names are listed alphabetically since both made equal contributions to this work.

5/77

1

01/25

Some Major Themes in the Empirical Disaster Evacuation Literature

As part of the general emphasis now well under way toward codifying the disaster research literature (for a review of this trend see Quarantelli and Dynes, 1977) this paper reviews the literature on evacuations in disasters. The aim is to describe what is known about the responses of individuals and families to warning and evacuation, as well as the processes of local and national coordination. The predominant meanings attached to evacuation and evacuees in the social science literature are noticed, and needed revision of these views are suggested. The paper is divided into three parts, in which the method, results, and recommendations are presented.

Method

Two criteria, substantive and temporal, are used to classify the specific works surveyed. The first, related to the focus of the research, distinguishes among individual, family, organization, community, society and international types of analyses (Mileti et al., 1975). The latter, related to the time when evacuation was studied, identifies three settings for research: conditions, characteristics, and consequences. Condition studies stress the general historical circumstances and the more immediate and relevant socio-cultural setting as these affect evacuations. Characteristics, focusing on the time when evacuations take place, refers to the "actual or specific observable features of who did what?" (Dynes and Quarantelli, 1975: 11). How did evacuation take place? Who did evacuate? Consequences focus on the effect of population mobility for the sending and receiving communities as well as for the evacues themselves.

The two axes of differentiation were used as heuristic devices to select and order specific studies. Neither of them however, generate totally exclusive categories, and, if only for this reason, the matrix cannot withstand a rigorous examination. It is, nevertheless, sufficiently precise for the present purpose: to divide the literature into broad classes and to document the relative emphases in it.

DRC's annotated <u>Inventory of Disaster Research</u>, covering scores of published and unpublished research reports written before 1976, was used to survey the literature, and the results were crosschecked with other recent bibliographical references. Probably very few evacuation reports were missed. No strict criteria of exclusion were used, since the objective was to survey all works which, however briefly, had dealt with evacuation in some explicit fashion. Agency plans and technical and engineering reports were excluded.

<u>Results</u>

In terms of overall emphasis, studies of evacuation are sorely lacking at the international, community and society levels, while the area of characteristics has received the lion's share of the research.

Mileti and Beck (1973) describe four processes important in explaining the evacuation of individuals: communication mode, content, perceived certainty, and confirmation. Attempts at confirmation appear to play a crucial role in producing evacuation (Moore, 1963: 37; Blum and Klass, 1956: 33). Warnings are more likely to be believed if they are individualized and made personal; those made by mass communication systems are less effective. While a number of characteristics of persons such as age, sex, race, education, and income mediate the effect of warning on evacuation, the literature suggests that the one characteristic most likely to lead to evacuation is previous disaster experience (Moore, 1963). Various studies of disasters in the southern coast of the United States support this. Class status may be inversely related to propensity to evacuate (Rohrer, 1954). Presumably, the decision to evacuate is often marked by role conflict (Logan, 1953), when individual responsibilities to organizational

roles relevant to the disaster response conflict with family role obligations or with desire for self-preservation. However, an unpublished study by Dynes and Quarantelli suggests role conflict may be still another disaster myth.

So far the physical aspect of evacuation has dominated discussion of characteristics. How and where the evacuees go are the main questions. In the United States evacuation is typically done by private means of transportation (Moore, 1964). The time and distance involved in evacuation (Moore, 1963; Ikle, Quarantelli, Rayner, Withey, 1957) and the physical and social conditions encountered during evacuation (Moore, 1964) have been noted, although much more attention has been focused on the sheltering of evacuees. In line with findings of studies of American extended family relations during other types of emergencies, the literature shows that individuals are more likely to seek shelter in privately-owned homes of relatives and friends than in hotels, motels, and public shelters. This is generally true for all social classes, although, proportionally, lower class persons utilize public shelters more and upper class persons less.

Evacuations in general, as is true of writings on migration, have been perceived as a source of social and psychic disorganization for evacuees. The psychological impact of leaving under force and suddenly, and the often difficult period of accommodation of evacuees to their hosts have been studied (Holland, 1955; Centre d'Etudes Psychosociologiques, 1966, Crawford and Moore, 1957). In general, though, studies of consequences of evacuation at the individual level are rare.

Similar to individual level studies, at the family level study of conditions have centered on the response of families to warning. Drabek has shown the initial disbelief to warnings and the attempt of families

	Conditions	Characteristics	Consequences
Individual	12	16	5
Small Group	5	7	4
Complex Organization	4	14	3
Community	3	4	5
Society	1	6	9
International	0	0	1

TIME FOCUS

Table 1Evacuation Material: Temporal and Substantive
Dimensions. See the Bibliography for the works
surveyed. Coe sheets are available from the
authors upon request.

L

Substantive Focus

to confirm and evaluate them through consultation with friends, kin, neighbors and authorities, as well as through personal observations of the disaster agent (Drabek, 1969). There is agreement in the literature that the decision to evacuate occurs in families and is usually made by family units. Wartime evacuations of children, when adults remained in threatened areas for defense and production, have been judged inappropriate and the cause of widespread unhappiness (Bernett and Ikle, Fogelman and Parenton, 1959). The importance of the family in evacuation has been shown in the Philippines (Carroll and Parco, 1966) as well as in other studies. Most evacuees go to the home of relatives and friends (Haas et al., 1976), and most assistance is family-centered (Fogelman and Parenton, 1959: 134; Kunreuther and Fiore, 1966). Those in public shelters stay close to their neighbors (Bates et al., 1963; Moore et al., 1963).

The consequences of evacuation in terms of family behavior in emergency quarters (Mileti et al., 1975) has received study. Loss of privacy and different life styles cause stress among families in public and private shelters. Females may have a more difficult time adjusting than males (Bernert and Ikle, ; Stoddard, 1961).

As a result of the prevailing complex organizational focus of Disaster Research Center (DRC) research, the activity of organizations in disasters has received some study. With one exception (Fitzpatrick and Waxman, 1972), however, evacuation has never been a primary DRC research interest. The need for a central coordinating unit to direct organizational evacuation and warning efforts initially noted by Albert and Segaloff (1962: 23), and for interorganizational evacuation planning, are now important foci of research. Focusing on characteristics, Kueneman (1973: 11; see also Hannigan and Kueneman, 1974) studied the work of emergency operation centers (EOC) in Canada. EOCs work best once organizational responsibilities are

assigned in evacuation. Kennedy (1967), studying an effective evacuation of New Yorkers under imminent danger, develops the idea that organizations' public identities differ in their effectiveness in convincing threatened populations of the immediacy and credibility of warnings and of the need for evacuation. Moreover, the speed of onset of a disaster may have implications for the effectiveness of organizational responses. Fitzpatrick and Waxman (1972) document an effective evacuation under conditions of slow onset. So far, however, the relation between organizational effectiveness and speed of onset, whether curvilinear (either very rapid or very slow onsets increase the effectiveness of organizational evacuation efforts) or of some other form, is not established.

The literature dealing with the consequences of evacuation for organizations has been dominated til now by the problem of sheltering and providing for the evacueed population (Balloch, 1953; Yutzy, 1964; Forrest, 1970; Ponting, 1970).

Whether or not to order an evacuation and which agency should make the decision constitutes the focus on condition at the community level. Problems of lines of authority, risks involved in the evacuation decision, and responsibility, should there be an evacuation which was not needed, are all included at this level. Moreover, there is the problem of deciding what areas in the community should be evacuated (Moore et al., 1964: 87-91). Problems of coordination of activities and resources is usually tapped in the study of characteristics. Wallace (1959: 78-81) discusses the work of volunteers in aiding the established agencies with carrying out evacuation-related tasks. The importance of volunteers in distributing evacuees in shelters has been noted (Moore, 1964: 91). Moore's account is also instructive, in that it shows that, although the community governmental structure is of primary importance in the coordination process, informal voluntary structures

emerge which have significant impact. In terms of consequences, the literature does not often distinguish between evacuation and disaster effects. In general, evacuations stimulate community governments to create or improve disaster planning as well as some sort of interorganizational coordination unit (Moore, 1964: 99-102; Wallace, 1956: 106).

Societal level studies either deal with actual disaster cases or are efforts to plan for possible massive transfers of populations under nuclear attack, or war. Despite the obvious differences in real and imagined accounts, these two types share in common the view of evacuation as a massive national or regional effort, involving society-wide systems e.g., transportation, food production and distribution, medical services, communication. These systems and their interrelationships shape the type of evacuation that can take place. Evacuations are seen as the relocation of persons from high risk areas to host areas for time periods exceeding one week. Billheimer et al., (1976) argue that in the United States at least 80% of all evacuees will use private means of transportation; this has also been suggested by Hans and Sell (1974). Haas (1976) documents the extensive use of private transportation in Darwin, Australia, even as the national government provided air transportation for evacuees. Billheimer et al., suggest that in the Eastern cities of the United States local bus fleets will have to be supplemented by intercity buses and rail passenger services. Under this type of national emergency, transportation demands will greatly exceed the capacity of local authorities; coordination and support will be needed from state and federal agencies. Christiansen and Warner (1974) suggest that the sharing of private residences will be a major source of housing during the emergency period. Information will also need some sort of central coordination point, to avoid what Parr et al., (1975) saw as a major problem-the inaccurate reporting and transmission of news.

The discussion of consequences at this level of analysis revolves around the hypothesized adaptiveness of various delivery systems (food, medical, communication, transportation, economic) to changed conditions and the integration of the evacuees into the social fabric of their host communities. The longer period of sheltering associated with these types of evacuation are seen as heightening these problems. Some form of economic control will be needed during and following the evacuation (Billheimer et al., 1976). Haas et al., (1976) and Ikle and Kincaid (1956) discuss the need for a force of workers to remain in the threatened or impacted areas to continue coordination activities.

Gay and Chenault (1974) point out that the reception and care of evacuees in the host communities is fundamentally a problem of maintaining and creating organized patterns of behavior, which would call for the expansion of existing organizational capacities either through the assumption by existing organizations of new organizational responsibilities or the creation of new organizations. This includes not only sheltering but also the provision of employment and human services. For example, following the Darwin evacuation the Australian government provided governmental employment to the evacuees while in the host community (Haas et al., 1976). In the Darwin case evacuation involved the breaking or "stretching" of legal restrictions and lines of authority, and although this made the provision of services more efficient, it eventually resulted in problems of funding reimbursements during the post-evacuation period.

We could find only one international study of evacuation. Clifford (1955) deals with the subject in some detail in his use of the Parsonian social action schema to assess the effectiveness of complex bureaucracies in border Mexican and American communities faced with disaster-generated

tasks. A wide-ranging study for our purposes it focuses primarily on the characteristics of these complex organizations and on the consequences of their action for evacuation. The inability to evacuate Piedras Negras effectively is explained by the social action orientation of local officials to outside Mexican leaders and institutions--their particularism, ascription, legalism, et cetera--and by the familistic orientation of the Mexicans. Useful as Clifford's study is, the valid criticisms of Parson's works which have appeared in the intervening years counsel against accepting Clifford's interpretations at face value.

Conclusion

For days highways had been rivers of humanity flowing steadily northward and westward away from the lethal powers...they had filled the small towns and the large cities as they advanced in the manner that a flooding stream fills ditches and ponds along its course...Now the killer...had passed...and the tide of humanity turned back toward the coast, and home (Moore et al., 1964: 69).

The popular image of evacuation as a mass of people in flight, while undoubtedly evocative, has added little to our understanding of evacuation and it has failed to identify worthwhile research questions. It is complemented by the view of the evacuee as a temporary and involuntary migrant involved in short distance, non-recurrent, non-instrumental, circular round trip movement (Cohen, 1974; Ikle and Kincaid, 1956; Haas, Cochrane, Eddy, 1976; Fogelman and Parenton, 1959; Moore et al., 1963, 1964; Hans and Sell, 1974; Ikle et al., 1957). Indeed, the strongest tie of evacuation research with the general field of migration has centered on the distinction between voluntary and involuntary movement. Thus, in conceptualizations of evacuation, the term "refugee" is often used as a synonym for "evacuee".

Future development of the field of evacuation will be marked by a reexamination of the present day predominant views of evacuation and evacuees, and of the relation of the field with the study of migration and population

mobility in general. It has proven very difficult to maintain the established view of evacuees. This is so since the items going into the definition shift empirically. Thus, Ikle and Kincaid's strategic evacuation -- "the semipermanent removal of large numbers of urban dwellers to safe areas" in national emergencies, involve some sort of semi-migratory movement. Moreover, the distinction between voluntary and involuntary migration has not had much pay off. Presumably, the most important effect of population movement under force is that there is little selectivity of migrants at point of origin. So far, however, despite a great deal of work it has proved difficult to find examples of forced migration. International refugee movements are a mixture of movement types, and the researchers cannot evaluate the veracity of the respondents' answers as to their motives for moving. It has proven impossible to find other similar migratory streams for purpose of comparison. Perhaps these problems could be alleviated if migration and evacuation and other fields such as tourism and population relocation caused by public projects could be conceived as one substantive field and if analytical rather than common sense differences among subfields could be identified.

A closer integration of evacuation and migration studies is needed, so that the former would gain the technical and mathematical tools of demography and would lose its present insularity in contact with efforts at theory construction by geographers, sociologists and demographers.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Albert, 1962	Michael and Louis Segaloff Task Silence: The Post-Midnight Alarm and Evacuation of Four Communities Affected by an Ammonia Gas Release. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: University of Pennsylvania, Institute for Cooperative Research.
Balloch, 1953	John C. Military Operations in the NetherlandsEast Anglia Flood Disasters. Chevy Chase, Maryland: Operations Research Office, The Johns Hopkins University.
Bates, 1963	F. L., C. W. Fogleman, V. J. Parenton, R. H. Pittman, and G. S. Tracy "The Social and Psychological Consequences of a Natural DisasterA Longitudinal Study of Hurricane Audrey." National Research Council Disaster Study #18. Washington, D. C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.
Bernert, circa 1955	Eleanor and Fred C. Ikle Evacuation and the Cohesion of Urban Groups. Human Resources Research Institute, Air University, Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, Alabama.
Blum, 1956	Richard and Bertrand Klass A Study of Public Response to Disaster Warnings. Menlo Park, California: Stanford Research Institute.
Bourque, 1973	Linda Brookover, Leo G. Reeder, Andrew Cherlin, Bertram H. Raven, and D. Michael Walton The Unpredictable Disaster is a Metropolis: Public Response to the Los Angeles Earthquake of February, 1971. Los Angeles, California: Survey Research Center, UCLA.
Carroll, 1966	John J. and Salvador Parco "Social Organization in a Crisis Situation: The Taal Disaster." Phillipine Sociological Society.
CEPSP 1966	The Disaster of Feyzin. Paris, France: Centre d'Etudes Psychosociologiques des Sinistres et de leur Prevention.
Clifford, 1955	R. A. "The Rio Grande Flood: A Comparative Study of Border Communities in Disaster." Disaster Study #7. Washington, D. C.: National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council.

* Cohen, Ε. 1974 "Who is a Tourist? : A Conceptual Clarification." The Sociological Review, Vol. 22: #4: 527-555. Crawford, Fred R. and Harry E. Moore 1957 "Relocation of Disaster-Displaced Families." Sociology & Social Research, Vol. 41: 264-269. Danzig. Elliot, Paul Thayer, and Lila Galanter 1958 "The Effects of a Threatening Rumor on a Disaster Stricken Community." National Research Council Disaster Study #10. Washington, D. C.: National Academy of Sciences. Thomas E. Drabek. 1969 "Social Processes in Disaster: Family Evacuation." Social Problems Vol. 16 (Winter): 336-349. Dynes, Russell R. and E. L. Quarantelli 1975 "The Role of Local Civil Defense in Disaster Planning." Research Report Series #16. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University. Fitzpatrick, J. J. and Terry J. Waxman 1972 "The March 1972 Louisville, Kentucky Chlorine Leak Threat and Evacuation." Working Paper #44. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University. Fogleman, Charles W. 1958 Family and Community in Disaster: A Socio-Psychological Study of the Effects of a Major Disaster Upon Individuals and Groups within the Impact Area. Ph.D. Dissertation. Baton Rouge, Louisiana: Louisiana State University. Fogleman, Charles W. and Vernon J. Parenton 1958 "Disaster and Aftermath: Selected Aspects of Individual and Group Behavior in Critical Situations." Social Forces, Vol. 38: #2, December, 1959. Forrest, T. R. 1970 "Hurricane Betsy." Working Paper #27. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University. Haas, J. Eugene, Harold C. Cochrane, Donald G. Eddy "The Consequences of Large-Scale Evacuation Following 1976 Disaster: The Darwin, Australia Cyclone Disaster of December 25, 1974." Working Paper #27. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University.

*This source is not included in the table.

Hannigan, 1974	John A. and Rodney Kueneman "The 1974 Southern Manitoba Spring Flood Response." Working Paper #61. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University.
Hans, 1974	Joseph M., Jr., and Thomas C. Sells Evacuation RisksAn Evaluation. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Radiation Programs, National Environmental Research Center, Las Vegas, Nevada. Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office.
Hudden, 1951	Bradford N. Observations In a Community During a Flood. Unpublished Report Prepared Under Navy Contract, Houston, Texas: The Rice Institute.
Ikle, 1953	Fred Charles Planning for Wartime Evacuation from American Cities. New York, New York: Columbia University, Bureau of Applied Social Research.
Ikle, 1956	Fred C. and Harry V. Kincaid "Social Aspects of Wartime Evacuation of American CitiesWith Particular Emphasis on Long-Term Housing and Reemployment." Washington, D. C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.
Ikle, 1957	Fred C., et al. "Withdrawal Behavior in Disasters: Escape, Flight, and Evacuation Movements." Committee on Disaster Studies, Division of Anthropology and Psychology. Washington, D. C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.
ISRN 1955	Studies in Holland Flood Disaster 1953. Volume #3. Institute for Social Research in the Netherlands.
Kennedy, 1967	Will C. "The Jamaica, Queens, New York Explosion and Fire." Research Note #13. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University.
Ketch, 1961	Clarence W. A Situational Analysis of the Effects of Drought as a Disaster on the Mobility of a Selected Rural-Farm Population. Ph.D. Dissertation. Baton Rouge, Louisiana: Louisiana State University.
Killian, 1954	Lewis N. Evacuation of Panama City Before Hurricane Florence. Committee on Disaster Studies. Tallahasse, Florida: Florida State University.

Klausner, 1956	S. Z., and H. V. Kincaid Social Problems of Sheltering Flood Evacuees. New York, New York: Columbia University Bureau of Applied Social Research.
Kueneman, 1973	R. "St. John River Flood Response StudyEMO National Digest Act." Emergency Planning Digest, November, 1973.
Kunreuther, 1966	Howard and Elissandra S. Fiore The Alaskan Earthquake: A Case Study in the Economics of Disaster. Washington, D. C.: Institute for Defense Analysis, Economic and Political Studies Division.
Kut a k, 1938	Robert I. "The Sociology of Crises: The Louisville Flood of 1937." Social Forces, Vol. #17: 66-72.
Logan, 1953	Leonard Report on England's 1953 Flood Disaster. Committee on Disaster Studies. Washington, D. C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.
Menninger, 1952	W. C. "Psychological Reactions in an Emergency." The American Journal of Psychiatry, Vol. #109: 128-130.
Mileti, 1975	Dennis S., and E. M. Beck Communication in Crisis: Explaining Evacuation Symbolically. Communication Research, Vol. #2. Sage Publications.
* Mileti, 1975	Dennis S., et al. Human Systems in Extreme Environments: A Sociological Perspective. University of Colorado, Institute of Behavioral Science.
Moore, 1963	Harry E., et. al. "Before the Wind: A Study of the Response to Hurricane Carla." Disaster Study #19. Washington, D. C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.
Moore, 1964	Harry E. with Frederick Bates, Jon Alston, Marie Fuller, Marvin Layman, Donald Mischer and Meda White And The Winds Blew. Austin, Texas: Moss Foundation for Mental Health, The University of Texas.
Oklahoma Research Institute 1952	The Kansas City Flood and Fire of 1951. Chevy Chase, Maryland: University of Oklahoma Research Institute.

*This source is not included in the table.

J. R. Ponting, "Community Coordination for Hurricane Camille." 1971 Preliminary Paper #47. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University. E. L. and Russell R. Dynes * Quarantelli, Response to Social Crisis and Disaster. Unpublished 1977 manuscript. Rayner, Jeannette 1953 Edgewood Gas Episode, Preliminary Narrative. College Park, Maryland: University of Maryland. Ravner. Jeannette "Hurricane Barbara: A Study of the Evacuation of 1953 Ocean City, Maryland, August, 1953." Unpublished pilot study for the Committee on Disaster Studies. Washington, D. C.: National Research Council. National Academy of Sciences. Rohrer, John 1954 Operation SCAT: A Drive Out Evacuation of a Part of Mobile, Alabama. New Orleans, Louisiana: Tulane University. Segaloff, Louis 1961 Task Sirocco: Community Reaction to an Accidental Chlorine Exposure. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Institute for Cooperative Research. Stoddard. Ellwyn Reed 1961 Catastrophe and Crisis in a Flooded Border Community: An Analytical Approach to Disaster Emergence. Ph. D. Dissertation. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Michigan State University. Taylor, James B., et. al. 1970 Tornado: A Community Responds to Disaster. Seattle, Washington: University of Washington Press. Treadwell, Matti 1961 Hurricane Carla. Denton, Texas: Office of Civil Defense, Region 5. A. F. C. Wallace. 1956 "Tornado in Worcester: An Exploratory Study of Individual and Community Behavior in an Extreme Situation." Disaster Study #3. Washington, D. C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.

*This source is not included in the table.

Warheit, 1970	George J. "The Fire Department in Disaster Operations." Working Paper. Columbus, Ohio: Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University.
Young, 1953	Michael "Kinship at CanveyNotes on the Evacuation of Flood Victims from Canvey Island, February, 1953. Unpublished Report to The Committee on Disaster Studies. Washington, D. C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.
Young, 1954	Michael "The Role of the Extended Family in a Disaster." Human Relations, Vol. 7: 383-391.
Yutzy, 1964	Daniel "Some Organizational and Community Activities After an Explosion at the Thompson Chemical Company, Attleboro, Massachusetts. Research Note #2. Columbus, Ohio: Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University.
Yutzy, 1964	Daniel "Authority, Jurisdiction and Technical Competence: Inter-organizational Relationships at Great Falls, Montana During the Flood of June 8-10, 1964." Research Report #10. Columbus, Ohio: The Disaster Research Center, The Ohio State University.

1

.