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#### Abstract

RNA decay in eukaryotes is mainly carried out by exoribonucleases and endoribonucleases, but the latter have been underappreciated. In metazoan nonsensemediated mRNA decay (NMD), the endoribonuclease SMG6 cleaves certain mRNAs, leaving the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ fragments to be degraded by the exosome and XRN1, respectively. Though SMG6 orthologs are absent in plants, recent studies in Arabidopsis thaliana indicate that some NMD transcripts are cleaved by an unknown endoribonuclease, and their 3' fragments over-accumulate in the absence of XRN4 (the plant cytosolic homolog of XRN1). Metazoan MARF1 is an endoribonuclease in humans and mice that cleaves targeted mRNAs via its catalytic NYN domain. Arabidopsis has several different NYN domain-containing proteins that we predicted to be homologs of metazoan MARF1. Importantly, one of these proteins (AtMFL1) localizes to P-bodies and has an RNA-dependent association with critical NMD factor AtUPF1. I therefore hypothesized that MARF1-like (MFL) endoribonucleases play a role in post-transcriptional control of gene expression in plants.

The major goal of this project was to study the role of selected MFL endoribonucleases in Arabidopsis, particularly AtMFL1. Here I report the organspecific expression of the AtMFL genes-AtMFL1 and AtMFL2 are ubiquitously expressed in all major organs, while $A t M F L 3$ is minimally expressed in certain organs. I also report the subcellular localization of the AtMFL proteins- AtMFL1 localizes to cytosolic foci, AtMFL2 localizes to the cytosol and cytoskeleton, and AtMFL3 localizes to the nuclear membrane and cytosolic aggregates. Additionally, I report that AtMFL1-like proteins with catalytically active NYN domains are evolutionarily


conserved across many plant species. Finally, I provide evidence for the role of AtMFL1 as a cytosolic endoribonuclease that is involved in mRNA degradation.

## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

## Canonical eukaryotic mRNA decay

Cytosolic messenger RNA (mRNA) decay is important for the posttranscriptional modulation of gene expression and for the degradation of unwanted or aberrant mRNA molecules. Eukaryotic mRNAs have a 5' 7-methylguanosine cap and a $3^{\prime}$ poly(A) tail that protect them from premature degradation by nuclear and cytosolic exonucleases. Most eukaryotic mRNA degradation is initiated by the shortening of the poly(A) tail by the CCR4-CAF1-NOT1 deadenylase complex or by the poly(A) ribonuclease PARN (Garneau et al., 2007; Nagarajan et al., 2013). Deadenylated RNA can subsequently be degraded in the 3 ' to $5^{\prime}$ direction by either the multi-subunit exosome complex or the exoribonuclease DIS3L2 (SOV in plants); alternatively, it can be degraded in the 5' to 3' direction by the exoribonuclease XRN1 (XRN4 in plants) after the $5^{\prime}$ cap is removed by the DCP1-DCP2-VCS decapping complex (Zhang, Murphy, and Sieburth, 2010; Lubas et al., 2013; Malecki et al., 2017; Nagarajan et al., 2013). Some eukaryotic mRNA degradation is initiated by endoribonucleolytic cleavage or by decapping, but either way the major cytosolic exoribonucleases further degrade the mRNA molecules as previously described (Badis et al., 2004; Conti and Izaurralde, 2005).

## The many roles of endoribonucleases

For many years, research was primarily focused on the role of exoribonucleases in RNA processing and decay, but recent discoveries have shown that endoribonucleases play an important role in these processes as well. Notably, endoribonucleases are involved in various RNA maturation pathways, gene silencing
by RNA interference, and several different RNA surveillance mechanisms. In the nucleus of eukaryotic cells, CPSF-73 is an endoribonuclease that cleaves the 3 ' end of mRNA, providing polyA polymerase with a substrate to add the 200-300-ntlong poly(A) tail required for translation and overall transcript stability (Ryan, Calvo, and Manley, 2004; Mandel et al., 2006). In the cytosol of eukaryotic cells, Nob1 is an endoribonuclease that cleaves 20S pre-ribosomal (r)-RNA near its $3^{\prime}$ end, which leads to the formation of mature 18S rRNA (Lamanna and Karbstein, 2009; Pertschy et al., 2009). Also in the cytosol, Dicer and Argonaute are endoribonucleases involved in RNA interference (RNAi), a post-transcriptional mechanism of gene silencing in eukaryotes. Dicer, a member of the RNase III family of endoribonucleases, cleaves double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) substrates to produce 21-25-nt-long short interfering RNA (siRNA) molecules (Hammond et al., 2000; Zamore et al., 2000; Bernstein et al., 2001). The siRNA molecules are further processed into two strands - the guide strand and the passenger strand. The guide strand incorporates into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) and base pairs with a complementary sequence on an mRNA transcript, targeting it for cleavage by the PIWI domain-containing endoribonuclease Argonaute (Bohmert et al., 1998; Martinez et al., 2002; Song et al., 2004). In Arabidopsis, the RNS endoribonucleases are essential for the production of both long and short tRNA fragments (tRFs), which go on to play a role in various biological processes (Megel et al., 2018). Also in Arabidopsis, RNase E cleaves RNA
similarly to the E. coli enzyme and is predicted to be involved in polyadenylationstimulated RNA degradation in chloroplasts (Schein et al., 2008). Endoribonucleases have also been shown or predicted to be involved in several different eukaryotic mRNA surveillance pathways, such as nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD), nonstop decay (NSD), and no-go decay (NGD) (Welch and Jacobson, 1999; Doma and Parker, 2007; Shoemaker and Green, 2012; Popp and Maquat, 2014). Ultimately, plants rely on these RNA decay pathways as well as the canonical RNA turnover mechanisms to respond to changes in the cell quickly and efficiently. The endoribonucleases that have been characterized thus far are essential for many different biological processes; however, there are many other many putative endoribonucleases whose roles have yet to be elucidated. In particular, not much is known about plant-specific endoribonucleases that are involved in mRNA turnover.

## Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD)

Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) is a eukaryotic translationdependent mRNA surveillance mechanism that targets certain transcripts for rapid degradation. While NMD typically targets transcripts that contain premature termination codons (PTCs) that are $\geq 50-55$ nucleotides upstream of the exon-junction complex (EJC), NMD can also target normal transcripts with other features such as introns downstream of stop codons, long 3' untranslated regions (UTRs), or upstream ORFs (uORFs) (Popp and Maquat, 2014; He and Jacobson, 2015). Disruption of NMD leads to altered levels of NMD targets in certain disease states, making it crucial to understand this pathway in a variety of eukaryotic organisms (Karam et al., 2013). While there are many proteins involved in the NMD pathway, the most important NMD factor is UPSTREAM FRAMESHIFT 1 (UPF1), an RNA helicase that binds to
targeted transcripts and initiates degradation. It is important to note that without UPF1, NMD fails to occur.

The NMD pathway is highly conserved across all eukaryotes and has been most extensively studied in mammalian cells. In normal mammalian translation termination, close proximity of the termination codon (TC) to the polyA tail allows for interaction of eukaryotic release factor 3 (eRF3) with the eIF4G-PABPC1 complex, which promotes efficient translation termination and ribosome recycling. In aberrant mammalian translation termination, significant distance between the TC and the polyA tail prevents interaction of eRF3 with the eIF4G-PABPC1 complex, resulting in the formation of the SURF complex which consists of eRF1-eRF3, UPF1, and SMG1 (Kashima et al., 2006). Interaction between the SURF complex, UPF2, and UPF3 causes the activation of SMG1, which phosphorylates UPF1 (Kashima et al., 2006). Phosphorylated UPF1 suppresses translation and recruits the endoribonuclease SMG6, which cleaves certain NMD transcripts in a sequence-specific manner via its catalytic PilT N-terminal (PIN) domain (Glavan et al., 2006; Schmidt et al., 2015; LykkeAndersen et al., 2014). The $3^{\prime}$ products that result from this cleavage are degraded by XRN1 (Fig. 1), and the 5' products that result from this cleavage are presumably degraded by the cytosolic exosome complex (Gatfield \& Izaurralde, 2004; Huntzinger et al., 2008). Phosphorylated UPF1 also recruits SMG5, which binds to either SMG7 or PNRC2 and results in decapping followed by 5'-to-3' degradation and/or deadenylation followed by 3 '-to-5' degradation. While plants have orthologs of many NMD components (including UPF1), they lack an ortholog of SMG6 (Shaul, 2015).

There are very few PIN domain-containing proteins in Arabidopsis, and most of them are orthologs of endoribonucleases that are known to be involved in rRNA
maturation and/or processing. However, recent RNA degradome studies in Arabidopsis thaliana indicate that some NMD transcripts, such as those with conserved peptide uORFs (CPuORFs) and those elevated in mutants of conserved NMD factors (upf1 and upf3), are cleaved by an unknown endoribonuclease, and the 3' fragments that result from this cleavage are degraded by XRN4 (Nagarajan et al., 2019) (Fig. 2). It has been proposed that plant NMD is not initiated by an endonucleolytic cleavage because the 3 ' fragments of PTC-containing reporter transcripts appeared unchanged in an XRN4-silenced line of Nicotiana benthamiana (Mérai et al., 2013). However, because NMD can target transcripts with a range of features, and 3' fragments of endogenous NMD targets have been shown to overaccumulate in Arabidopsis lacking XRN4, the search for an endogenous NMD endoribonuclease is justified (Nagarajan et al., 2019).

## Metazoans



Figure 1 Simplified pathway for certain NMD transcripts in metazoans Phosphorylated UPF1 suppresses translation and recruits the endoribonuclease SMG6 (scissors), which cleaves certain NMD transcripts in a sequence-specific manner via its catalytic PilT N-terminal (PIN) domain. The 3' products that result from this cleavage are degraded by XRN1 in the $5^{\prime}$ to 3 ' direction.

(cytosolic homolog of XRN1)

Figure 2 Proposed simplified pathway for certain NMD transcripts in plants Phosphorylated UPF1 suppresses translation and recruits an unknown endoribonuclease (scissors), which cleaves certain NMD transcripts in a similar manner as metazoan SMG6. The 3' products that result from this cleavage are degraded by XRN4 (the plant cytosolic homolog of XRN1) in the 5' to 3' direction.

As previously mentioned, the RNA helicase UPF1 is a key NMD factor that binds to targeted transcripts and initiates degradation. Recent studies by Chicois et al. (2018) have identified a large set of proteins that co-purify with UPF1 in Arabidopsis, either in an RNA-dependent or RNA-independent manner. Notably, the study identified a putative endonuclease (encoded by $A T 2 G 15560$ ) as one of the RNAdependent partners of UPF1 (Chicois et al., 2018). The putative endonuclease colocalizes with UPF1 in P-bodies, which are cytosolic foci that accumulate proteins involved in mRNA decay (Aizer et al., 2014; Chicois et al., 2018). While the role of UPF1 in NMD has been very well characterized, UPF1 is also required for a variety of other mRNA decay pathways (reviewed in Kim and Maquat, 2019). One example is
the structure-mediated RNA decay (SRD) pathway that involves the association of UPF1 and G3BP1 with highly structured 3' UTRs (Fischer et al., 2020). Accordingly, it is possible that the putative endonuclease that associates with UPF1 could endonucleolytically cleave NMD targets or other UPF1-associated mRNAs in Arabidopsis.

## Meiosis regulator and mRNA stability factor 1 (MARF1)

The protein shown to associate with UPF1, encoded by AT2G15560, is an Nedd4-BP1 Bacterial YacP Nuclease (NYN) domain-containing protein. The NYN domain typically consists of four conserved aspartic acid residues that chelate a single divalent cation $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right)$ in a similar way as the PIN domain. These acidic residues are critical for catalysis, as they likely activate water for a nucleophilic attack on the phosphodiester bond of single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) (Anantharaman and Aravind, 2006). The most well-characterized NYN domain-containing protein is meiosis arrest female 1 (MARF1), also called meiosis regulator and mRNA stability factor 1. MARF1 is a bona fide endoribonuclease in humans and mice that is required for the cleavage and subsequent silencing of certain mRNAs (Nishimura et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018). MARF1 has two isoforms, an oocyte form and a somatic form. The two splice variants have nearly the same sequence, with the only difference being that the somatic isoform has an additional 537-bp at the 3' end of exon 3. The domain architectures of human and mouse MARF1 indicate that they are nearly identical, consisting of an N-terminal NYN domain, two RNA recognition motifs, and a Cterminal tandem repeat of LOTUS/OST-HTH domains. The oocyte form of MARF1 is highly expressed in mouse oocytes and regulates oogenesis by silencing the expression of retrotransposons Line1 and Iap as well as silencing the expression of Ppp2cb,
which encodes the catalytic beta subunit of the major cellular phosphatase PP2A (Su et al., 2012). Mutation of the oocyte form of MARF1 causes female-only infertility in mice due to immature germinal vesicle (GV)-stage oocytes being arrested at prophase I (Su et al., 2012). The somatic form of MARF1 promotes neuronal differentiation and cortical neurogenesis both in vivo and in vitro (Kanemitsu et al., 2017). MARF1 physically interacts with the DCP1:DCP2 mRNA decapping complex via a specific motif within its C-terminal end and preferentially binds to the 3 ' UTRs of its target mRNAs via its C-terminal LOTUS/OST-HTH domains (Nishimura et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018; Brothers, 2020). Additionally, MARF1 cleaves target mRNAs via its catalytic NYN domain (Nishimura et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018).

## MARF1 homologs in Arabidopsis thaliana

To determine the relatedness between the protein encoded by AT2G15560, other Arabidopsis MARF1-like proteins, and metazoan MARF1, a Domain Enhanced Lookup Time Accelerated (DELTA) BLAST search of human MARF1 against all Arabidopsis proteins was performed. The search identified 31 Arabidopsis proteins related to metazoan MARF1, but only those described as "putative endonuclease or glycosyl hydrolase" were selected for subsequent analyses. A multiple sequence alignment of the remaining 23 Arabidopsis proteins as well as human MARF1 (HsMARF1) and mouse MARF1 (MmMARF1) was generated using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default settings) (Madeira et al., 2019). Finally, a bootstrap consensus tree was generated from this multiple sequence alignment using the maximum likelihood algorithm within MEGA (default settings) (Kumar et al., 2018) (Fig. 3). Because the first few nodes of this tree have low bootstrap values, there is not much confidence in the initial taxon bipartitions. That being said, the overall
results of this tree verified that the Arabidopsis protein with an accession number of NP_001323906.1 (encoded by AT2G15560) is the most evolutionarily related to metazoan MARF1. Due to its similarity to MARF1, the protein encoded by AT2G15560 will be referred to as Arabidopsis thaliana MARF-like 1 or AtMFL1 going forward. Gobert et al. (2019) also referred to this protein as the "plant MARF1 enzyme."

Not much is known about NYN domain-containing proteins in Arabidopsis, yet related Arabidopsis proteins may be performing similar functions as AtMFL1 or otherwise be involved in mRNA decay. Therefore, several more AtMFL proteins were chosen for further study within the scope of this project. To this end, a DELTABLAST search of AtMFL1 against all Arabidopsis proteins was performed. Only those proteins containing an NYN domain with an alignment score of 80-200 were selected for subsequent analyses. A multiple sequence alignment of the remaining Arabidopsis proteins as well as HsMARF1 was generated using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default settings) (Madeira et al., 2019). Finally, a bootstrap consensus tree was generated from this multiple sequence alignment using the maximum likelihood algorithm within MEGA (default settings) (Kumar et al., 2018) (Fig. 4). Two more AtMFL proteins, AtMFL2 (encoded by AT3G62200) and AtMFL3 (encoded by AT3G62210), were identified based on their RNA expressivity and putative localization according to the ePlant Browser (Fig. 4), with the rationale being that any proteins playing a role in mRNA decay should have detectable expression levels and localize to the cytosol. To determine whether the candidate AtMFL proteins likely exhibit endoribonucleolytic function, a multiple sequence alignment of the NYN domains of all three AtMFL proteins, HsMARF1, and

MmMARF1 was generated using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (Madeira et al., 2019). Based on the results of Yao et al. (2018), in which four aspartic acid residues within the NYN domain of MmMARF1 were shown to be required for catalytic activity, all three AtMFL proteins contain the conserved aspartic acid residues required for ssRNA cleavage (Fig. 5).


Figure 3 Bootstrap consensus tree showing relatedness between Arabidopsis MARF1-like proteins and metazoan MARF1
Full-length amino acid sequences were aligned using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default parameters) (Madeira et al., 2019) and bootstrap consensus tree was generated using maximum likelihood algorithm within MEGA (Kumar et al., 2018). Bootstrap values shown are from 1000 iterations. Hs, human; Mm, mouse; NP accession number, Arabidopsis.


Figure 4 Bootstrap consensus tree showing relatedness of relevant MARF1like proteins and HsMARF1
Full-length amino acid sequences were aligned using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default parameters) (Madeira et al., 2019) and bootstrap consensus tree was generated using maximum likelihood algorithm within MEGA (Kumar et al., 2018). Bootstrap values shown are from 1000 iterations. Hs, human; At and NP accession number, Arabidopsis. TPM = transcripts per million. P. cyt. loc. = putative cytosolic localization.
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Figure 5 Multiple sequence alignment showing conservation of catalytic residues in AtMFL proteins and metazoan MARF1
Amino acid sequence of the NYN domains of AtMFL proteins and metazoan MARF1 were aligned using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default parameters) (Madeira et al., 2019). Conserved amino acid residues are highlighted in red. Residues required for ssRNase activity as shown by Yao et al. (2018) are indicated by yellow triangles. Hs, human; Mm, mouse; At, Arabidopsis. ! is either I or V ; \$ is either L or M ; \% is either F or Y ; \# is either $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{D}$, or Z .

## Global hypothesis, experimental aims, and research goals

Considering the compelling evidence presented here, I hypothesize that MARF1-like (MFL) endoribonucleases play a role in post-transcriptional control of gene expression in plants. Specifically, I predict that I will identify a subset of transcripts that are cleaved by AtMFL1 in vivo. To test this hypothesis, I will accomplish the following experimental aims:

1. Determine the characteristics of the candidate MFL genes in Arabidopsis thaliana
1.1 Verify that the $M F L$ genes are reliably expressed in all major organs as indicated by the ePlant Browser
1.2 Determine the subcellular localization of the MFL proteins using transient and/or stable transformations of reporter::MFL fusion constructs
1.3 Determine whether MFL1-like proteins and their NYN domains are evolutionarily conserved across plant species
2. Evaluate the impact of MFL1 on global RNA abundance in Arabidopsis thaliana
2.1 Enable the functional characterization of MFL1 by isolating knockout mutants
2.2 Identify differentially accumulating transcripts in $m f l l$ mutants by conducting transcriptomic analyses using RNA-seq
2.3 Independently validate selected in vivo substrates of the putative MFL1 endoribonuclease identified by RNA degradome studies of mfllxrn4 double mutants
2.4 Verify the functional dependence of MFL1 on a catalytic residue within its NYN domain by performing genetic complementation

## Chapter 2

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) ecotype Col-0 (WT), xrn4 (xrn4-5, Souret et al., 2004) and mfll (SALK_132521) in the Col-0 background were used for these studies. Seeds were surface-sterilized with $70 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ethanol followed by $3.25 \%$ sodium hypochlorite. After two days of stratification in the dark at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the surfacesterilized seeds were plated on germination media containing 1X Murashige and Skoog (MS) salts, 1X B5 Vitamin mix, $1.0 \%$ (w/v) sucrose, $0.5 \%$ (w/v) 2-(N-morpholino)-ethanesulfonic acid (MES) and $1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Phyto agar, adjusted to pH 5.7 (Nagarajan et al., 2019). Seedlings germinated and grew on media for 14 days under long-day conditions of $16-\mathrm{h}$ light/8-h dark at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For RNA extractions, 2-week-old seedlings were harvested directly from the germination media or rosette leaves were harvested from 6-8-week-old plants that had been transferred to soil and grown for an additional 4 weeks in a chamber under the same long-day conditions as described above. For plant transformation, 10-week-old plants were infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumifaciens (GV3101) containing the respective binary vectors using the floral dip method a described in Bent (2006). T1 seeds were selected on germination/selection media containing 0.5X MS salts, $1.0 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ sucrose, $0.5 \%$ (w/v) MES and $0.7 \%$ (w/v) Phyto agar adjusted to pH 5.7 and supplemented with 20 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ hygromycin and $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ cefotaxime (Nagarajan et al., 2019). The seedlings were germinated under constant light for 7 hours before dark treatment for two days. Then seedlings were grown for 12 more days under long-day conditions of 16-h light $/ 8$-h dark at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before transferring to soil. Seeds harvested from mature T1
plants were surface-sterilized, as previously described. After two days of stratification in the dark at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the surface-sterilized T 2 seeds were plated on the same germination/selection media as previously described with the following modifications: $1.0 \%$ (w/v) Phyto agar and no cefotaxime. T2 seedlings were germinated as previously described and grew vertically for a total of 7-10 days before confocal imaging. Crosses to generate double homozygous mutants were performed as described in Weigel (2002). Briefly, pollen from a homozygous male parent was transferred to the emasculated pistil of a homozygous female parent. Heterozygous plants from the F1 seeds obtained from the cross were identified via genotyping PCR using the following primers: mfll T-DNA insertion: 6961/1557; AtMFLl WT gene: 6960/6961; xrn4 TDNA insertion: 5100/1521; AtXRN4 WT gene: 5100/5186. Heterozygous plants were allowed to self-fertilize, and homozygous plants in the F2 generation were identified via genotyping PCR as previously described. All primers used for genotyping are listed in Table 1.

## Plasmid construction

Sequence information about the $A t M F L$ genes (Appendix A) was obtained from The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR). All PCR reactions were carried out using Phusion Hot Start Flex DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). All sub-cloning vectors and final vectors were transformed into XL-1 Blue supercompetent cells or $\mathrm{DH} 5 \alpha$ chemically competent cells. All DNA fragments were gel eluted using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). All plasmids were extracted using the NuceloSpin Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany).

## Localization / complementation constructs

The full-length coding region of AtMFL1 was PCR amplified from WT cDNA using the primer pair 7033/7034. The purified PCR product was treated with GoTaq DNA polymerase to generate A overhangs and subcloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Durham, NC, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the AtMFLI ORF was released from the entry clone (p2619) by restriction digest using BsrGI and SpeI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and ligated to the $35 S:: C F P$ vector (p2622, built by Vinay Nagarajan) that had been cut with the same enzymes to generate the final vector 35S::CFP::AtMFL1 (p2624, built by Anna DiBattista and Vinay Nagarajan). The full-length coding region of $C F P$ was PCR amplified from p2622 using the primer pair 7130/7131; the full-length coding region of AtMFL2 was PCR amplified from WT cDNA using the primer pair 7132/7133; the purified PCR products with overlapping regions were fused together using the Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions with the following modifications: the reaction was incubated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The purified PCR product was subcloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Durham, NC, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the $C F P:: A t M F L 2$ gene fusion was released from the entry clone (p2640) by restriction digest using AscI and PacI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and ligated to the $35 S:: C F P$ vector (p2622) that had been cut with the same enzymes to generate the final vector 35S::CFP::AtMFL2 (p2649). The full-length genomic region of AtMFL3 was PCR amplified from WT genomic DNA using the primer pair 7128/7129 and the purified PCR product was subcloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Durham, NC, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the AtMFL3 genomic region was released from the entry clone (p2639) by restriction digest using

BsrGI and SpeI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and ligated to the $35 S:$ :CFP vector (p2622) that had been cut with the same enzymes to generate the final vector $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 3$ (p2642). All final vectors were verified by Sanger sequencing and transformed into Agrobacterium tumifaciens (GV3101) electrocompetent cells according to Weigel (2006) using the following settings: voltage: 2000 V ; duration: 0.6 ms ; number of pulses: 1 ; electrode gap: 1.0 mm . All primers used for cloning are listed in Table 1.

## AtMFL1 point mutation construct

The 5' end of the coding region of AtMFL1 was amplified from p2624 using the primer pair 7209/7212; the 3' end of the coding region of AtMFL1 was PCR amplified from p2624 using the primer pair 7213/7214. The purified PCR products with overlapping regions were fused together using the Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) as previously described. The purified PCR product was subcloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Durham, NC, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the mutant AtMFL1 coding region was released from the entry clone (p2673) by restriction digest using PspOMI and SpeI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and ligated to $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 1$ vector (p2624) that had been cut with the same enzymes to release WT AtMFL1. The final mutant construct (p2676) was verified by Sanger sequencing and transformed into Agrobacterium tumifaciens electrocompetent cells as previously described. All primers used for cloning are listed in Table 1.

## Transient expression and subcellular localization

Fluorescent fusion proteins were transiently expressed in fully expanded Nicotiana benthamiana leaves as described in Caplan et al. (2015) or stably expressed in Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 via the floral dip method as previously described. Subcellular localizations were imaged in $N$. benthamiana leaves 3 days after infiltration or in root epidermal cells of 7-day-old Arabidopsis using a LSM880 confocal microscope (Zeiss) with a 40X objective using an excitation wavelength of 458 nm . All images were processed using ImageJ.

## RNA analysis

## Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from 2-weed-old seedlings or 6-week-old plants using TRI reagent (Molecular Resource Center, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with the addition of an acid phenol/chloroform clean up step at the end. The quality of the RNA was assessed using agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop quantification. Total RNA ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was DNase-treated prior to oligo(dT) priming and was reverse transcribed using SuperScript II (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Reverse transcription ( $R T$ )-PCR
RT-PCR (30 cycles) was performed on Applied Biosystems MiniAmp Thermal Cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using the GoTaq Master Mix (Promega, Durham, NC, USA) and the following primers ( $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, final concentration): AtMFL1-7060/7061, AtMFL2-7112/7113, AtMFL3- 7114/7115. Transcript levels were normalized to those of AtACTIN2 (AT3G18780) using the
primer pair 7027/7028. All RT-PCR experiments were validated using three or more biological replicates as per the corresponding figure legends. All primers used for RTPCR are listed in Table 1.

## Quantitative (q)-PCR

qPCR reactions contained cDNA diluted 1:10, 2X Premix Ex Taq SYBR Green (Clontech Inc.) and the following primers ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, final concentration): AtMFL1-7060/6959, AtMFL2-7278/7151, AtMFL3- 7114/7279, AtHSPRO27274/7276, AtOXS3-7280/7282. Relative levels were computed by the $2^{-\Delta \triangle C t}$ method of quantification (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) and normalized to either AtUBC (AT5G25760) using the primer pair 5095/5096 or AtACTIN2 using the primer pair 5329/5330. All qPCR experiments were performed with three technical replicates. The qPCR experiments to validate the RNA-seq data were performed using two biological replicates as per the corresponding figure legends. All primers used for qPCR are listed in Table 1.

## Northern blots

Total RNA ( $15-20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was resolved on a MOPS-formaldehyde denaturing gels solidified with $1.5 \%$ or $1.8 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ agarose and blotted onto Hybond $\mathrm{N}+$ membranes. Probes were amplified from WT cDNA via PCR using the following primer pairs: AT2G43020-7284/7285; AT4G00780-7300/7301; AT5G11580-7304/7305. The purified PCR products were radiolabeled using the Thermo Scientific DecaLabel DNA Labeling Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Hybridization occurred by incubating the membranes in PerfectHyb Plus Hybridization Buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) with the 32P-dCTP labeled DNA probes at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. All
membranes were washed with $2 \times \mathrm{SSC}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{SDS}$ for 10 min at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by one or two washes of $0.2 \times \mathrm{SSC}, 0.1 \times \mathrm{SDS}$ for 10 min at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Signal intensities were analyzed using the Typhoon system (GE Health Sciences). Membranes were stripped at least twice in boiling 0.1\% SDS for 20 min between hybridizations as described in Nagarajan et al. (2019). All northern blot results presented are representative of two biological experiments. All primers used for making probes are listed in Table 1. All images were processed using ImageJ.

## $5^{\prime}$ RACE

A modified RNA ligase-mediated (RLM) 5'RACE was performed using the FirstChoice RLM-RACE kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described in Nagarajan et al (2019). Briefly, DNase-treated total RNA ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was ligated to a $5^{\prime}$ RNA adapter (GUUCAGAGUUCUACAGUCCGAC) and reverse transcribed with oligo(dT). After an initial round of PCR amplification ( 35 cycles) of the cDNA using primers 4402/7285, a second round of PCR amplification (30 cycles) was performed on the resulting dsDNA with internal primers 4404/7289. All primers used for 5' RACE are listed in Table 1.

## RNA-seq library construction

PolyA+ RNA was fractionated from seedling total RNA ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) using a standard RNA magnetic bead-based oligo(dT) purification. RNA-seq libraries were generated using the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs) following the manufacturer's instructions. Size distribution and concentration of the libraries were estimated using the Fragment Analyzer Automated CE System (Agilent

Technologies, Inc.). Libraries were multiplexed and sequenced as 75 nt single-end reads on Illumina Next-Seq 550.

## Computational approaches

Phylogenetic analysis of MFL1-like proteins in plants
A list of plant species spanning a large evolutionary time scale was generated with the help of Renate Wuersig (Ph.D., Adjunct Assistant Professor, University of Delaware). A DELTA BLAST search was performed of AtMFL1 against all proteins in each of the following plants species: Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, Physcomitrella paten, Amborella trichopoda, Cinnamomum micranthum, Nymphaea colorata, Brachypodium distachyon, Oryza sativa, Zea mays, Ananas comosus, Musa acuminata, Capsella rubella, Medicago truncatula, Cannabis sativa, Solanum lycopersicum, Solanum tuberosum, Gossypium raimondii, Vitis vinifera, Phaseolus vulgaris, Glycine max, and Arabidopsis thaliana. The domain architecture of the most related protein in each species was determined using the SMART algorithm (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/). A multiple sequence alignment of the NYN domains of all the AtMFL1-like proteins (as well as all the AtMFL proteins and HsMARF1 and MmMARF1) was performed using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default parameters) (Madeira et al., 2019). The residues required for ssRNase activity as determined by Yao et al. (2018) were subsequently annotated within this alignment.
$R N A$-seq analysis
RNA-seq reads were checked for quality using FastQC v0.11.9
(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The reads were mapped
to the Arabidopsis TAIR10 reference genome using TOPHAT v2.1.1 (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat) with following parameters: library type, frfirststrand; transcript features and junction coordinates from the TAIR10 GTF. Finally, transcript abundance levels were compared to determine differential expression using Cufflinks/CuffDiff v2.2.1 (https://github.com/cole-trapnelllab/cufflinks/). The criteria for differentially accumulating nuclear-encoded proteincoding transcripts and non-coding RNA were as follows: RPKM $\geq 2$ in mutant or WT; fold change $: \geq 1.5$ in either direction ( $\log _{2} \mathrm{FC} \geq 0.58$ ); and False Discovery Rate (FDR)-adjusted $P \leq 0.05$. Three replicates of each library were used in the overall analysis. The DAVID program (https://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) was used to identify enriched gene ontology (GO) categories upregulated in the $m f l l$ mutants compared to Col- 0 . The results were filtered to include only those categories with a BenjaminiHochberg adjusted p-value of $\leq 0.05$.

## Table 1 Primers utilized

| Primer Name | Sequence (5' to 3') | Product <br> Size (nt) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Genotyping <br> primers |  | 1136 |  |  |
| 6960 | GCAAGGAGCTTACATTGCTTG |  |  |  |
| 6961 | GCGACTATCGTTCTCGTATCG |  |  |  |
| 5100 | GGAAATGGCTTTATATCTACTGACG |  |  |  |
| 5186 | AGTTGATGACTGATCCCTCATCC | 1492 |  |  |
| 1557 | TGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCG |  |  |  |
| 1521 | TAGCATCTGAATTTCATAACCAATCTCGATACAC | 768 |  |  |
| Cloning <br> primers |  |  |  |  |
| 7033 | GCTGTACAAGgggcccATGATACAAAACGCTATGTC |  |  |  |
| 7034 | actagtTTAAACCGGGCTGATGAGTTTC |  |  |  |
| 7130 | tggcgcgccATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCT |  |  |  |


| 7131 | CTCGCCGAGTTCGTCGACATcttgtacagctcgtccatgccg |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7132 | gcatggacgagctgtacaagATGTCGACGAACTCGGCGAGT | 2052 |
| 7133 | ccttaattaaTCAGGCAACGGTTTGGATACCTGG |  |
| 7128 | ggtgtacaagATGAATACGGCGGGGAAAGAAGATTTG | 1222 |
| 7129 | ggactagtTTAATTTGAGTTCACAGTTGCAAGTATCA |  |
| 7209 | TTGgggcccATGATACAAAACGCTATGTC | 498 |
| 7212 | CAATATATGAAGCGCAGGCGCGAAATCAACAgCACCCGATAC |  |
| 7213 | CCGCCTGCGACTATCGTTCTCGTATCGGGTGcTGTTGATTTCGC | 1065 |
| 7214 | GGGAGGCCTGGATCGactagtTTAAACCGGG |  |
| PCR primers |  |  |
| 7060 | ACCGAACATTATCTCTCCTTCTTC | 406 |
| 7061 | AAACGCACCGTCTGATCTTAT |  |
| 7112 | GAAGAAGATGTAGTAGCAGCCATAA | 519 |
| 7113 | GCTTGAAGGTTCAGAATAAGACATAC |  |
| 7114 | GTCTGAGACGGTACAATATTCTCTT | 534 |
| 7115 | TGAGTTGATTAAGTTCTCCGTAGTC |  |
| 7027 | TGCCAATCTACGAGGGTTTC | 446 |
| 7028 | GTCAGCGATACCTGAGAACATAG |  |
| qPCR <br> primers |  |  |
| 7060 | ACCGAACATTATCTCTCCTTCTTC | 95 |
| 6959 | AGACTCCTCCATTGGTAGTTACC |  |
| 7278 | CCATCTGAGTATGTTCAAGGCC | 95 |
| 7151 | GAGATATTTGGCTCGGTAGGC |  |
| 7114 | GTCTGAGACGGTACAATATTCTCTT | 102 |
| 7279 | CGGCTAATAGACTTGTCCAAAGC |  |
| 7274 | GATAACGAGATGAGTCGGTGTAAG | 103 |
| 7276 | TCTCGTTTGGTCGGCAAAT |  |
| 7280 | GATCCAACAAGGATCCAAGAAGA | 102 |
| 7282 | GGAAGAAGATAATGAACAAGATGATGAG |  |
| 5329 | CAGGTATCGCTGACCGTATGAG | 146 |
| 5330 | CATCTGCTGGAATGTGCTGAGG |  |
| Northern probes |  |  |
| 7284 | GGAGTGAAGGTAACGACAGAAA | 600 |
| 7285 | CAGAACTCGCATCCTACAATCT |  |
| 7300 | TGTTGGAGAATGTACCTACTGAGACTTGGA | 150 |
| 7301 | AACTAATTGCAAGTCTGACTTTGATGAC |  |
| 7304 | GGATTAGGATTATGCCCCGATGTCAA | 490 |


| 7305 | ATCAGCTGACTCTTATCAGCACTCTCCA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 <br> 5 <br> primers |  | 706 |
| 4402 | GTTCAGAGTTCTACAGTCCGAC |  |
| 7285 | CAGAACTCGCATCCTACAATCT | 251 |
| 4404 | AATGATACGGCGACCACCGACAGGTTCAGAGTTCTACAGTCCGA |  |
| 7289 | CCTAGGTCGTTGATTGCTTCT |  |

## Chapter 3

## RESULTS

## Organ-specific expression of the $\boldsymbol{A t M F L}$ genes

To determine whether the $A t M F L$ genes are reliably expressed, I looked at their relative expression levels in different above ground organs of Arabidopsis. We postulated that if the AtMFL endoribonucleases are involved in global gene regulation in plants, then their expression levels should be detectable in all major organs. Total RNA was extracted from rosette leaves, cauline leaves, flowers, stems, and seedlings of Col-0 (WT) plants, and RT-PCR was performed. The results indicate that AtMFL1 and $A t M F L 2$ are reliably expressed in the Arabidopsis organs examined, but AtMFL3 is not (Fig. 6). In particular, AtMFL3 seems to be minimally expressed in the flowers, stems, and seedlings. For this reason, I decided that I would not pursue this gene in downstream transcriptome-wide analyses. Further literature search confirmed that mutations resulting in a full knockout of $\operatorname{AtMFL3}$ are embryonic lethal, and therefore AtMFL3 has also been termed EMBRYO SAC DEVELOPMENT ARREST 32 (EDA32) (Pagnussat et al., 2004).


Figure 6 AtMFL1, AtMFL2, and AtMFL3 expression in various Col-0 organs RT-PCR analysis of AtMFL1 (top), AtMFL2 (second from top), and AtMFL3 (second from bottom) using pooled RNA isolated from various organs of WT individuals (Col0 ). AtACTIN2 (bottom) is a loading control and shows uniformity of cDNA synthesis Results are representative of three biological replicates.

## Subcellular localization of the AtMFL proteins

The majority of mRNA decay, specifically NMD, occurs in the cytosol of the cell. It is therefore reasonable to assume that if the AtMFL proteins are playing a role mRNA decay, then they should localize to the cytosol along with AtXRN4, which would degrade the 3 ' fragments resulting from AtMFL cleavage. AtMFL1 has been previously shown to localize to the cytosol (Chicois et al., 2018). However, the subcellular location of AtMFL2 and AtMFL3 are unknown. To determine the sites of AtMFL action, the full-length coding regions of AtMFL1 and AtMFL2 and the genomic region of AtMFL3 were fused in-frame at the C-terminal end of cyan
fluorescent protein (CFP) under the control of the constitutive 35S promoter from cauliflower mosaic virus. The coding region of AtMFL3 was not used to generate the $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 3$ construct because when sub-cloned and transformed into chemically competent E. coli, the bacterial cells did not grow. Because of this, I hypothesized that $A t$ MFL3 could be cytotoxic to bacteria. Therefore, the genomic region of AtMFL3 was used to generate the $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 3$ construct because the presence of its single intron would hinder bacterial transcription and therefore prevent translation of a potentially cytotoxic protein. Each of the $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L$ constructs as well as the $35 S:: C F P$ control were transformed into Agrobacterium tumifaciens and then transiently transformed into Nicotiana benthamiana (tobacco) leaves and stably transformed into Arabidopsis. Several T1 plants that arose from the stable transformation were screened for relative expression of the AtMFL genes via qPCR (Fig. 7). The results indicated that all of the T1 plants were expressing the respective AtMFL genes and therefore the CFP::AtMFL fusion proteins at higher levels than the control (CFP\#1). Several T1 plants with varying CFP::AtMFL expression were chosen for T2 imaging so that the localization result could be shown in multiple independent lines (Fig. 7). As expected, CFP was uniformly expressed in the cytosol and nucleus of tobacco leaf cells (Fig. 8A, 9A, and 10A) as well as Arabidopsis root cells (Fig. 8B and 10B).


Figure 7 Elevated mRNA levels of $\boldsymbol{A t M F L}$ genes in plants expressing CFP: :AtMFL fusion constructs qPCR shows relative levels of AtMFL1, AtMFL2, and AtMFL3 in the rosette leaves of 6-week-old plants expressing the respective $C F P:: A t M F L$ fusion constructs. Levels were normalized to $A t U B C$ and bars are mean values + SD from three technical replicates. WT (CFP\#1) expression levels are set to 1 . Red stars indicate T1 plants whose progeny were used for T2 imaging.

Arabidopsis roots showed that AtMFL1 localizes to the cytosol, particularly to distinct foci (Fig. 8), thus confirming the result of Chicois et al. (2018).


Figure 8 AtMFL1 localizes to distinct foci in the cytosol
(A) Tobacco leaf cells transiently expressing CFP. (B) Arabidopsis root cells stably expressing CFP (top; fluorescence; bottom; fluorescence and DIC). (C) Tobacco leaf cells transiently expressing CFP::AtMFL1. (D) Arabidopsis root cells stably expressing CFP::AtMFL1 (top; fluorescence; bottom; fluorescence and DIC). The orange arrows point to CFP::AtMFL1 in cytosolic foci. Expression was imaged on an LSM880 multiphoton confocal microscope. Images show a single slice from a z-stack maximum intensity projection. Scale bars represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Red autofluorescence is from intact chloroplasts. Images in B and D are representative of two independent lines (indicated in Fig. 7). Imaging collaborators: Kody Seward, Tim Chaya, and Jeffrey Caplan.

Analysis of transiently transformed tobacco leaves suggested that AtMFL2 localizes to the cytosol and cytoskeleton (Fig. 9). In particular, AtMFL2 seems to form protein complexes that move along the cytoskeletal filaments. However, I was unable to validate this result in stably transformed Arabidopsis because CFP fluorescence was not detectable in the progeny from any of the chosen T1 lines (Fig. 7) even though they were strongly expressing CFP::AtMFL2. Lack of AtMFL2 expression could be due to post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS), which is a common mechanism of gene regulation in plants. To address this hypothesis, Arabidopsis $r d r 6$ mutants will be stably transformed with the $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 2$ construct, and their progeny will be imaged as previously described. AtRDR6 is an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase that plays a critical role in generating a dsRNA template for the production of siRNAs that target sense transgenes and other unwanted RNAs (Luo and Chen, 2007). The lack of AtRDR6 would greatly diminish PTGS, so CFP fluorescence may be detectable in $r d r 6$ mutants expressing the $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 2$ construct.


Figure 9 AtMFL2 localizes to the cytosol and cytoskeleton
(A) Tobacco leaf cells transiently expressing CFP. (B-D) Tobacco leaf cells transiently expressing CFP::AtMFL2. The orange arrows point to CFP: AtMFL2 in cytosolic foci and on the cytoskeleton. Microscopy was performed as in Figure 8. Scale bars represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Red autofluorescence is from intact chloroplasts. Imaging collaborators: Kody Seward, Tim Chaya, and Jeffrey Caplan.

Analysis of transiently transformed tobacco leaves and stably transformed Arabidopsis roots suggested that AtMFL3 localizes to the nuclear membrane and forms aggregates within the cytosol (Fig. 10). AtMFL3 has a transmembrane helical
region at its C-terminal end, which explains its apparent nuclear membrane localization. Interestingly, AtMFL3 does not seem to localize around the entire nuclear membrane, which could be due to physical barriers such as the nucleolus or the endoplasmic reticulum. This could be tested by colocalizing AtMFL3 with a particular marker gene and/or using specific stains for these structures. Considering that AtMFL3 is lowly expressed in Arabidopsis and appears to by cytotoxic in bacteria, overexpression of this protein in plants might cause the cells to form aggregates of AtMFL3 for rapid degradation.


Figure 10 AtMFL3 localizes to the nuclear membrane and forms aggregates in the cytosol
(A) Tobacco leaf cells transiently expressing CFP. (B) Arabidopsis root cells stably expressing CFP (left; fluorescence; right; fluorescence and DIC). (C) Tobacco leaf cells transiently expressing CFP::AtMFL3. (D) Arabidopsis root cells stably expressing CFP::AtMFL3 (left; fluorescence; right; fluorescence and DIC). The
orange arrows point to CFP::AtMFL3 on the nuclear membrane and within cytosolic aggregates. Microscopy was performed as in Figure 8. Scale bars represent $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Red autofluorescence is from intact chloroplasts. Images in B and D are representative of two independent lines (indicated in Fig. 7). Imaging collaborators: Kody Seward, Tim Chaya, and Jeffrey Caplan.

## AtMFL1-like proteins are conserved across many plant species

Because MARF1-like proteins have not been extensively studied in plants, I wanted to determine the conservation of AtMFL1-like proteins across different plant species. For this purpose, a DELTA BLAST search was performed to find AtMFL1like proteins in various plant species spanning a large evolutionary time scale. According to the NCBI database, the following plant species encode an AtMFL1 ortholog: C. reinhardtii (algae), P. patens (moss); A. trichopoda, C. micranthum, $N$. colorata (early diverging angiosperms); B. distachyon, O. sativa, Z. mays, A. comosus, M. acuminata (monocots); C. rubella, M. truncatula, C. sativa, S. lycopersicum, S. tuberosum, G. raimondii, V. vinifera, P. vulgaris, G. max, and A. thaliana (eudicots). On an evolutionary timescale, green algae diverged about one billion years ago, mosses diverged about 600 million years ago, and all flowering plants diverged about 125 million years ago. The domain architectures of the AtMFL1-like proteins were determined using the SMART algorithm. Based on the results, all of the AtMFL1-like proteins examined have an N-terminal NYN domain and two C-terminal LOTUS/OST-HTH domains, with the exception of C. reinhardtii MFL1 (ChrMFL1) which does not contain any LOTUS domains (Appendix B). To determine whether these proteins likely exhibit endoribonucleolytic function, their NYN domains were aligned using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default parameters) (Madeira et al., 2019). Based on the results, all of the AtMFL1-like proteins examined contain the four conserved aspartic acid residues shown in Yao et al. (2018) to be
required for nuclease activity, with the exception of ChrMFL1 which has an alanine residue at the second site (Fig. 11). Since C. reinhardtii was the most divergent plant species examined, it seems that ChrMFL1 lost its catalytic activity while the other plant species did not.

According to the NCBI database, several different plant species across the previously mentioned lineages do not seem to encode an AtMFL1 ortholog. Additionally, several gymnosperms, cycads, and ferns were examined, but none of them seem to encode an an ortholog of AtMFL1 either. This could be due to limitations of the method used and/or gaps or errors in the available genome sequences of these plants. Overall, this analysis shows that AtMFL-like proteins are wellconserved across a range of plant lineages, though they may not be present in all plant species. Importantly, it seems that these proteins have retained catalytically active NYN domains over the course of millions of years of evolution.


Figure 11 Multiple sequence alignment showing conservation of catalytic residues in various plant MFL1-like proteins, AtMFL proteins, and metazoan MARF1
Amino acid sequence of the NYN domains of MFL1-like proteins, AtMFL proteins, and metazoan MARF1 were aligned using the BLOSUM62 algorithm within MUSCLE (default parameters) (Madeira et al., 2019). Conserved amino acid residues are highlighted in red. Residues required for ssRNase activity as shown by Yao et al. (2018) are indicated by yellow triangles. Algae (Chr, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii),

Moss (Pp, Physcomitrella patens), Early diverging angiosperms (Amt, Amborella trichopoda; Cm, Cinnamomum micranthum; Nc, Nymphaea colorata), Monocots (Bd, Brachypodium distachyon; Os, Oryza sativa; Zm, Zea mays; Ac, Ananas comosus; Ma, Musa acuminate), Eudicots (Cr, Capsella rubella; Mt, Medicago truncatula; Cs, Cannabis sativa; Sl, Solanum lycopersicum; St, Solanum tuberosum; Gr, Gossypium raimondii; Vv, Vitis vinifera; Pv, Phaseolus vulgaris; Gm, Glycine max; At, Arabidopsis thaliana); Hs, human; Mm, mouse. ! is either I or V ; \$ is either L or M ; \% is either F or Y; \# is either N, D,Q, E, D, or Z.

## mfl1 and mfl1xrn4 are RNA null

Based on the results of Chicois et al. (2018), Nagarajan et al. (2019), and data presented here, I narrowed the scope of my project to focus on AtMFL1. In WT cells, we hypothesize that AtMFL1 cleaves certain mRNA transcripts, and the 3 ' fragments resulting from this cleavage are degraded by AtXRN4. It has been previously shown that many different 3' fragments accumulate in an xrn4 mutant (Nagarajan et al., 2019). Therefore, to identify putative targets of AtMFL1, we needed to be able to compare the 3 ' fragments that accumulate in cells lacking AtXRN4 to the 3' fragments that accumulate in cells lacking both AtMFL1 and AtXRN4 (Fig. 12). Any 3' fragments present in xrn4 mutants and absent in mfl1xrn4 double mutants are presumed to be AtMFL1-dependent. To this end, mfll homozygous mutants were crossed to xrn4 homozygous mutants to generate mfl1xrn4 double homozygous mutants. The mfll mutants have a T-DNA insertion in exon 1 (position 6788913 of chromosome 2) which should disrupt the expression of AtMFL1. The xrn4 mutants have a T-DNA insertion in exon 18 which has already been shown to disrupt the expression of AtXRN4 (Souret et al., 2004). To verify that the mutant lines no longer express the respective genes, total RNA was extracted from rosette leaves of Col-0, mfll, xrn4, and mfllxrn4, and RT-PCR was performed. The results indicate that $m f l 1, x r n 4$, and mfllxrn4 are RNA null and can therefore be used for downstream analyses (Fig. 13).


Figure 12 Strategy for identifying targets of AtMFL1
In WT cells, AtMFL1 cleaves certain transcripts and AtXRN4 degrades the 3' fragments produced by this cleavage. In cells lacking AtXRN4, 3' fragments from many transcripts over-accumulate. In cells lacking AtMFL1, certain transcripts are no longer cleaved, but the 3 ' fragments that result from this cleavage cannot be identified because AtXRN4 is still present. In cells lacking both AtMFL1 and AtXRN4, certain transcripts are no longer cleaved and the 3 ' fragments that result from this cleavage can be identified because AtXRN4 is absent. The 3' fragments that are present in xrn4 and absent in mfllxrn4 are predicted to be targets of AtMFL1.


Figure $13 \mathrm{mfll}, x r n 4$, and mfl1xrn4 are RNA null
RT-PCR analysis of AtXRN4 (top) and AtMFL1 (middle) using pooled RNA isolated from WT individuals (Col-0), mfll homozygous mutants, xrn4 homozygous mutants, and mfllxrn4 double homozygous mutants. AtACTIN2 (bottom) is a loading control and shows uniformity of cDNA synthesis. Results are representative of three biological replicates.

## Certain transcripts are differentially expressed in mfll mutants

To better understand the impact of AtMFL1 on gene expression in seedlings, transcript abundance was investigated on a global scale. Strand-specific RNA-seq libraries were made from polyA+ (polyadenylated) RNA fractionated from the total RNA of Col-0 (WT), $m f l 1, x r n 4$, and $m f l 1 x r n 4$ seedlings. Though the results of this analysis cannot confirm AtMFL1 substrates directly, any transcripts found to be overaccumulating in mfllxrn4 mutants compared to xrn4 mutants could be investigated as putative targets of AtMFL1. Additionally, any transcripts found to be significantly differentially expressed in $m f l l$ mutants compared to WT plants would indicate that AtMFL1 plays a role in gene expression. The RNA-seq data were analyzed using the Tuxedo suite (Trapnell et al., 2012), and the alignment statistics indicated that the libraries were of high quality due to their high depth of coverage and high percentage of genome-matched reads (Table 2).

## Table 2 Alignment statistics of RNA-seq libraries made from Arabidopsis seedlings

| Library <br> Name | Sample | RNA | Replicate | Length <br> $(n t)$ | Total <br> Reads | Genome- <br> matched <br> reads | Percent <br> Genome match |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATH1046 | Col-0 | PolyA+ | 1 | 80 | 41513265 | 39541854 | $95.30 \%$ |
| ATH1047 | $m f l 1$ | PolyA+ | 1 | 80 | 44749000 | 42693119 | $95.40 \%$ |
| ATH1048 | xrn4 | PolyA+ | 1 | 80 | 39186115 | 37134824 | $94.80 \%$ |
| ATH1049 | mfl1xrn4 | PolyA+ | 1 | 80 | 41876730 | 39853410 | $95.20 \%$ |
| ATH1050 | Col-0 | PolyA+ | 2 | 80 | 46397807 | 44223338 | $95.30 \%$ |
| ATH1051 | $m f l 1$ | PolyA+ | 2 | 80 | 44733523 | 42498788 | $95.00 \%$ |
| ATH1052 | xrn4 | PolyA+ | 2 | 80 | 50500043 | 48042392 | $95.10 \%$ |
| ATH1053 | mfl1xrn4 | PolyA+ | 2 | 80 | 45254280 | 43038091 | $95.10 \%$ |
| ATH1054 | Col-0 | PolyA+ | 3 | 80 | 42072897 | 40121339 | $95.40 \%$ |
| ATH1055 | $m f l 1$ | PolyA+ | 3 | 80 | 38719256 | 36987712 | $95.50 \%$ |
| ATH1056 | xrn4 | PolyA+ | 3 | 80 | 65419868 | 62066672 | $94.90 \%$ |
| ATH1057 | $m f l 1 x r n 4$ | PolyA+ | 3 | 80 | 46505424 | 44348533 | $95.40 \%$ |

Differentially accumulating transcripts in mfll compared to the WT and in mfllxrn4 compared to xrn4 were identified. Because very few transcripts deviated from WT expression levels, the $\log _{2}$ FC threshold was lowered to 0.6 ( 1.5 fold change) to account for more modest changes. In $m f l l, 13$ transcripts were significantly upregulated ( $\log _{2} \mathrm{FC} \geq 0.6$ ) compared to the WT , and 22 transcripts were significantly downregulated $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{FC} \leq-0.6\right)$ compared to the WT (Fig. 14). In mfllxrn4, 43 transcripts were significantly upregulated compared to $x r n 4$, and 22 transcripts were significantly downregulated compared to xrn4 (Fig. 14). To control for differentially expressed transcripts that were coming through the pipeline as an artifact of the xrn 4 mutation, I also compared the levels of these transcripts to the WT. As a result, in mfl1xrn4, 30 transcripts were significantly upregulated compared to WT and $x r n 4$, and 3 transcripts were significantly downregulated compared to WT and xrn4 (Fig. 14). There were 10 transcripts significantly upregulated in both mfll and mfl1xrn4 compared to WT and xrn4, respectively (Table 3). Overall, these results indicate that
the loss of both AtMFL1 and AtXRN4 has a greater impact on the number of upregulated genes than the loss of AtMFL1 alone. That being said, the few differentially expressed genes that came through the pipeline did not deviate greatly from WT, indicating that the loss of AtMFL1 has a marginal effect on global transcript abundance.


Figure 14 Impacts of $m f l 1$ mutation on gene expression
Total number of differentially expressed genes in mfll compared to Col-0, mfllxrn4 compared to $x r n 4$, and $m f l 1 x r n 4$ compared to both Col-0 and $x r n 4$. Yellow bars represent upregulated genes; purple bars represent downregulated genes.

Table 3 Genes significantly upregulated in $m f l 1$ and $m f l 1 x r n 4$ compared to controls

| Gene ID | Gene Name | Transcript | Type | Annotation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AT1G72920 | AT1G72920 | AT1G72920.1 | mRNA | Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR) <br> domain family protein |
| AT2G40000 | HSPRO2 | AT2G40000.1 | mRNA | ortholog of sugar beet HS1 PRO-1 2 |
| AT3G55980 | SZF1 | AT3G55980.2 | mRNA | salt-inducible zinc finger 1 |
| AT5G56550 | OXS3 | AT5G56550.1 | mRNA | oxidative stress 3 |
| AT5G61600 | ERF104 | AT5G61600.1 | mRNA | ethylene response factor 104 |
| AT1G72910 | AT1G72910 | AT1G72910.1 | mRNA | Toll-Interleukin-Resistance (TIR) <br> domain-containing protein |
| AT4G17490 | ATERF6 | AT4G17490.1 | mRNA | ethylene responsive element binding <br> factor 6 |
| AT4G11280 | ACS6 | AT4G11280.1 | mRNA | 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid <br> (acc) synthase 6 |
| AT4G27280 | AT4G27280 | AT4G27280.1 | mRNA | Calcium-binding EF-hand family <br> protein |
| AT1G74930 | ORA47 | AT1G74930.1 | mRNA | Integrase-type DNA-binding <br> superfamily protein |

Gene ontology (GO) analysis was used to identify enriched biological processes that were upregulated in mfll compared to WT (Fig. 15) and in mfllxrn4 compared to WT and xrn4 (Fig. 16). Genes associated with vasculature development, ethylene-activated signaling pathway, and response to wounding were upregulated among both $m f l l$ mutants compared to their respective controls. Additionally, various pathways involving regulation of transcription were upregulated in mfllxrn4.


Figure 15 Enriched GO categories of genes upregulated in mfll compared to Col-0
Categories were identified using the DAVID program (Huang et al., 2009) and had an adjusted p-value of $\leq 0.05$ determined by the Benjamini-Hochberg test.


Figure 16 Enriched GO categories of genes upregulated in mfl1xrn4 compared to Col-0 and xrn4
Categories were identified using the DAVID program (Huang et al., 2009) and had an adjusted p-value of $\leq 0.05$ determined by the Benjamini-Hochberg test.

To confirm the differential expression of biologically interesting target genes in $m f l l$ and mfllxrn4 identified from the RNA-seq data, relative expression levels were measured using qPCR. AtHSPRO2 and AtOXS3 were chosen due to their involvement in pathogen response and oxidative stress, respectively. The expression levels of these genes were reported to be significantly elevated in both $m f l l$ and mfll $1 x r n 4$ compared to $\mathrm{Col}-0$ and $\operatorname{xrn} 4$ ( $\log _{2} \mathrm{FC} \geq 0.6$ ). qPCR data showed that AtHSPRO2 and AtOXS3 seemed to be slightly more abundant in mfll and mfllxrn4
than in the controls, though this trend was much clearer in mfllxrn4 (Fig. 17). This could be due to the fact that the absence of two ribonucleases has an additive effect.


Figure 17 Elevated mRNA levels of selected genes in mfl1xrn4
qPCR shows relative levels of $A t H S P R O 2$ and $A t O X S 3$ in 2-week-old seedlings. Levels were normalized to AtACTIN2 and bars are mean values + SD from two biological replicates. Col-0 expression levels are set to 1 .

## Certain 3' fragments appear to be AtMFL1-dependent

Parallel Analysis of RNA Ends (PARE) captures mRNA decay intermediates that have a 5 ' monophosphate. PARE has been used to successfully identify and map cleavage sites of known endoribonucleases (Schmidt et al., 2015; Hurtig et al., 2021). To identify AtMFL1-dependent 3' fragments, PARE libraries were generated from
$\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{A})^{+}$RNA isolated from rosette leaves of Col-0, xrn4 and mfl1xrn4. The raw data were filtered through a stringent computational pipeline to identify the best candidates for validation (Fig. 18). We postulated that abundant 3' fragments present in xrn4 should disappear or be drastically reduced in mfllxrn4, when the endoribonuclease generating the fragments is absent. From two biological replicates, over 2,325 sites that over-accumulate $\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{FC} \geq 2\right)$ in $x r n 4$ compared to Col- 0 were identified. Then, the peaks were filtered for those that showed a $\log _{2} \mathrm{FC} \geq 3$ overaccumulation in xrn 4 compared to mfllxrn4. Transcripts with peak positions coincident with the annotated TSS or experimentally verified cap sites (Nagarajan et al., 2019) were removed from the analysis so that they would not be mistaken as cleavage sites. The pipeline identified 39 putative targets of AtMFL1. Interestingly, 17 of these targets are elevated in at least one NMD mutant (either upfl, upf3, or smg7) based on previously published RNA-seq data (Raxwal et al., 2020; Gloggnitzer et al., 2014). This indicates that AtMFL1 may be involved in the turnover of a subset of NMD targets as well as a subset of non-NMD targets, but further studies must be done to verify this.


Figure 18 Computational pipeline used for PARE analysis
The final outputs of the pipeline highlighted in dark gray are transcripts classified as putative AtMFL1 targets. The data were filtered using criteria as shown. PARE library construction and computational analysis were carried out by Vinay Nagarajan.

Decay plots (D-plots) are used to visualize PARE results of individual transcripts. Plots of AtMFL1-dependent 3' fragments are presented for two transcripts, AtPAO2 and AT5G1 1580 (Fig. 19). In these examples, the peaks (indicated by the red arrows) in $x r n 4$ are strongly reduced in mfllxrn4. To validate the PARE results, total RNA was extracted from Col-0, xrn4, mfll, and mfllxrn4 seedlings, and Northern blot analysis was performed. The $3^{\prime}$ fragments of AtPAO2 and AT5G11580 mRNAs were chosen for validation purposes because they were highly abundant in xrn4 and their
large size made them easily detectable on a Northern blot. The 3' fragment of AtPAO2 mRNA had already been identified by PARE analysis in xrn4 seedlings (Nagarajan et al., 2019), but had not yet been validated. For both transcripts, a probe downstream of the cleavage site detected a 3' RNA fragment approximately 1 kb in size as expected (Fig. 20). Overall, these results validate the efficacy of the computational pipeline used for PARE analysis and indicate that AtPAO2 and AT5G11580 mRNAs are targets of AtMFL1. For these examples and the majority of the 39 targets identified by PARE, the AtMFL1 cleavage site is within the CDS or the 3' UTR of the transcripts. AtPAO2 mRNA has an upstream open reading frame (uORF), which is a characteristic NMD trigger in plants. Additionally, $A t P A O 2$ is upregulated in a upfl-5 mutant compared to WT, which is further evidence that it is an NMD target (Degtiar et al., 2015). While AT5G11580 mRNA does not have any NMD features, it is upregulated in a upfl-5 mutant compared to WT and in a upf1-5pad4 double mutant compared to pad4. (Degtiar et al., 2015; Raxwal et al., 2020). As previously mentioned, AtUPF1 has been implicated in a variety of mRNA decay pathways, not just NMD. Therefore, AT5G11580 mRNA may be targeted for degradation by an alternate AtUPF1dependent decay pathway.


Figure 19 AtMFL1-dependent 3' fragments over-accumulate in an xrn4 mutant (A) D-plots showing prominent 3 ' fragment of $A t P A O 2$ RNA in WT, $x r n 4$, and mfl1xxn4 polyA+ PARE. (B) D-plots showing prominent 3' fragment of AT5G11580 RNA in WT, xrn4, and mfllxrn4 polyA+ PARE. Red arrow, MaxSeq in xrn4 and its corresponding position (1144 and 1120, respectively) in WT and mfl1xrn4. D-plots are representative of two biological replicates.


Figure 20 RNA blots detect 3' RNA fragments produced by AtMFL1 (A) RNA levels of full-length (FL) and 3' fragment (3') of AtPAO2 RNA in WT, mfll, xrn4, and mfllxrn4 seedlings. *, unknown product. (B) RNA levels of full-length (FL) and 3' fragment (3') of AT5G11580 RNA in WT, mfll, xrn4, and mfllxrn4 seedlings. Top and middle, Northern blots. Bottom, ethidium bromide stained gel. Blots are representative of two biological replicates.

## D153 may be required for AtMFL1 nuclease activity

To ensure that the $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 1$ localization construct produces a functional protein in vivo, the construct was transformed into mfllxrn4 double mutants. Total RNA was extracted from rosette leaves of Col-0, xrn4, and pooled T1 plants and used for Northern blot analysis. The same probe as in Figure 20 detected the approximately 1 kb RNA fragment of $\operatorname{AtPAO} 2$ in mfllxrn4 stably expressing CFP::AtMFL1 but not in mfl1xrn4 stably expressing CFP alone (Fig. 21). These results indicate that the CFP::AtMFL1 fusion protein is catalytically active in vivo.


Figure 21 CFP: AtMFL1 fusion protein rescues the presence of the $A t P A O 2$ 3' fragment
RNA levels of full-length (FL) and 3' fragment (3') of AtPAO2 RNA in WT, xrn4, and pooled T1 rosette leaves from the transformation of mfllxrn4 with either the $35 S:: C F P$ empty vector or $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 1$ are shown. Top and middle, Northern blots. Bottom, ethidium bromide stained gel. ${ }^{*}$, unknown product.

To verify that AtMFL1 cleavage activity is dependent on the aspartic acid residues within its catalytic pocket, the fourth aspartic acid residue (D153) was mutated to alanine in the $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 1$ fusion construct, and the mutant construct was introduced into mfllxrn4 double mutants. Total RNA was extracted from Col-0, xrn4, and pooled T1 seedlings and used for 5' RACE analysis. Based on the results of the second PCR reaction, part of the major 3' fragment of $A t P A O 2$ is detectable in mfl1xrn4 stably expressing CFP::AtMFL1 but not in mfllxrn4 stably expressing CFP alone or in mfllxrn4 stably expressing CFP::mAtMFL1 (D153A)
(Fig. 22). These results indicate that D153 may be required for AtMFL1 nuclease activity, which would be consistent with the catalytic activity of metazoan MARF1.

Future experiments are planned to further validate the requirement of D153 on AtMFL1 cleavage activity in stable T2 lines.


Figure 22 AtMFL1 cleavage activity may require D153
5' RACE PCR-amplified 3' fragment of AtPAO2 (251 nt) in pooled T1 seedlings from the transformation of mfllxrn4 $(d b l)$ with either the $35 S:: C F P$ empty vector, $35 S:: C F P:: A t M F L 1$, or $35 S:: C F P:: m A t M F L 1$ (D153A). AteRF1-1 is a ligation control and shows uniformity of cDNA synthesis.

## Chapter 4

## DISCUSSION

Endoribonucleases play a major role in initiating mRNA decay; however, there is limited information about these proteins, particularly in plants. I decided to investigate three NYN domain-containing endoribonucleases in Arabidopsis that we predicted to be homologs of metazoan MARF1. One of these proteins, AtMFL1, had been previously shown to associate with conserved NMD factor AtUPF1, though nothing was known about its function (Chicois et al., 2018). The other two proteins, AtMFL2 and AtMFL3, had not yet been characterized, other than the observation that AtMFL3 is required for early embryonic development (Pagnussat et al., 2005). Here I report the organ-specific expression of AtMFL genes, the subcellular localization of CFP::AtMFL1, CFP::AtMFL2, and CFP::AtMFL3, and the evolutionary conservation of AtMFL1-like proteins in plants. I also report the gene categories and processes impacted by AtMFL1 and provide evidence for its role as a cytosolic endoribonuclease in Arabidopsis.

## Characterization of plant MFL genes

AtMFL1 is ubiquitously expressed in all major Arabidopsis organs examined (Fig. 6), indicating that it functions from the seedling to the flower stage of plant life. Transient transformation of $N$. benthamiana showed that AtMFL1 localizes to distinct foci, or processing (P)-bodies, within the cytosol (Chicois et al., 2018), and stable transformation of Arabidopsis further validated this result (Fig. 8). P-bodies are cytosolic aggregates that store translationally repressed mRNAs and various mRNA decay factors such as AtDCP1, AtDCP2, and AtXRN4 (Weber et al., 2008). Because AtMFL1 and AtXRN4 both localize to P-bodies, it is reasonable to assume that

AtXRN4 degrades the 3 ' fragments produced by AtMFL1 cleavage. Indeed, this assumption is supported by the PARE data and Northern blot analysis presented here (Figs. 19 and 20).

Like $A t M F L 1, A t M F L 2$ is ubiquitously expressed in all major Arabidopsis organs examined (Fig. 6), indicating that it also functions in all stages of plant life. Interestingly, AtMFL2 localizes to the cytosol and appears to reside and migrate along cytoskeletal filaments following transient expression (Fig. 9), though this result could not be validated by stable transformation of Arabidopsis. AtMFL2 has an OST-HTH associated (OHA) domain at C-terminal end. Typically, OHA and OST-HTH domains occur in the same protein, but in the case of AtMFL2 there is no OST-HTH domain present (Anantharaman and Aravind, 2006). This may be because AtMFL2 associates with an OST-HTH domain-containing protein to carry out its function. Coimmunoprecipitation followed by mass spectrometry analysis could determine the protein partners of AtMFL2. Previous studies have shown that P-bodies migrate along cytoskeletal filaments by direct binding of AtDCP1 to the tails of several different myosin XI family members (Steffens et al., 2014). Colocalization of CFP::AtMFL2 and RFP::DCP1 could determine if AtMFL2 localizes to P-bodies like AtMFL1.

Unlike AtMFL1 and AtMFL2, AtMFL3 is not ubiquitously expressed in the major Arabidopsis organs examined (Fig. 6). Based on RNA-seq profiling of the Arabidopsis developmental transcriptome, it appears that AtMFL3 is highly expressed in roots but not in any other major organs (Klepikova et al., 2016). This could be validated by performing RT-PCR using total RNA from root tissue. AtMFL3 is also called EMBRYO SAC DEVELOPMENT ARREST 32 (EDA32), since a Ds transposon insertion disrupting this gene results in unfused polar nuclei in female gametophytes
and a lack of endosperm formation (Pagnussat et al., 2005). Additionally, overexpression of this protein appears to be cytotoxic in bacteria. Taken together, these results indicate that the timing and abundance of $A t M F L 3$ expression is tightly regulated and critical for plant development. Based on its apparent nuclear membrane localization (Fig. 10), AtMFL3 might perform a function similar to that of SWT1, an endoribonuclease that associates with the nuclear pore complex and is functionally linked to perinuclear mRNP quality control (Skruzny, et al., 2009).

The presence of a catalytically active AtMFL1-like protein in the 20 plant species examined (Fig. 11) supports the hypothesis that AtMFL1-like proteins are evolutionarily conserved across plants and likely perform a critical function. Regarding the few flowering plants, gymnosperms, cycads, and ferns that lack an AtMFL1-like protein, it is possible that this protein was lost because a different protein acquired the ability to perform the same function. Alternatively, it is possible that these species do contain an AtMFL1-like protein, but I was unable to idenfitfy it in my analysis. Overall, the apparent lack of an AtMFL1-like protein does not appear to be specific to a particular plant lineage, and future studies could determine the evolutionary history if this protein in more detail. It is interesting to note that the SMART algorithm identified an NYN domain within ChrMFL1 even though it lacks one of the required catalytic residues. This discrepancy illustrates the fact that homology does not always confer function, so it is crucial to examine key functional residues when identifying homologs in different species. In general, the AtMFL1-like proteins examined are relatively uncharacterized. Further studies could validate the function of these proteins in different plant species, though I hypothesize that they are performing a function similar to that of AtMFL1.

## Insights from the impacts of AtMFL1 on gene expression

To determine the impact of AtMFL1 on global transcript abundance, RNA-seq analysis was performed. Overall, very few genes were differentially expressed in mfll compared to the WT (Fig. 14). I initially postulated that any transcripts found to be over-accumulating in mfllxrn4 compared to xrn4 may be putative targets of AtMFL1. However, of the few transcripts that came through the RNA-seq pipeline, none were related to the AtMFL1 targets identified by PARE. This could be because only a small percentage of full-length AtMFL1 targets are actually cleaved by the enzyme, as shown by Northern blot analysis (Fig. 20). Since the cleaved products are much less than $50 \%$ of the full-length products, these transcripts would not have met the cut-off for differential expression ( 1.5 fold). This discrepancy is also true for substrates of AtXRN4 (Nagarajan et al., 2019). For our purposes, the RNA-seq data were more important for determining the indirect effects of $m f l l$ mutations, which seem to be marginal. Slightly more genes were differentially expressed in mfl1xrn4 compared to the WT and $x r n 4$. This is most likely due to the fact that the loss of two genes involved in mRNA decay has a greater effect on gene expression than the loss of one alone. That being said, the loss of AtXRN4 has a much greater effect on gene expression than the loss of AtMFL1 (Nagarajan et al., 2019 and data presented here).

Many of the genes that were upregulated in mfllxrn4 are involved in various stress responses. HSPRO2, or ORTHOLOG OF SUGAR BEET HS1PRO-1, encodes a leucine rich repeat (LRR) protein that confers resistance to the nematode Heterodera schachtii in sugar beets (Cai et al., 1997). In Arabidopsis, HSPRO2 is a positive regulator of basal resistance to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato and appears to function downstream of salicylic acid (Murray et al., 2007). AT1G72910 and AT1G72920 encode toll-interleukin-resistance (TIR) domain-containing nucleotide-
binding site leucine-rich repeat (NLR) proteins known to be associated with pathogen response and disease resistance (McHale et al., 2006). Interestingly, the vast majority of NMD-targeted transcripts in Arabidopsis are associated with pathogen response, and elevation of some of these transcripts in NMD mutants has been shown to confer partial resistance to Pseudomonas syringae (Rayson et al., 2012). Recent studies suggest that AT1G72910 is turned over by NMD in a temperature-dependent manner and plays an important role in regulating plant immunity at lower temperatures (Nasim et al., 2020). Because AtHSPRO2, AT1G72910 and AT1G72920 are upregulated in mfl1xrn4, I hypothesize that mutants with defects in these genes are more resistant to Pseudomonas syringae and perhaps other bacterial pathogens. Additionally, the upregulation of these genes provides further evidence that AtMFL1 is involved in plant NMD.

OXS3, or OXIDATIVE STRESS 3, encodes a chromatin-associated factor that confers enhanced tolerance to a range of metals and oxidizing chemicals in Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Blanvillain et al., 2009). In Arabidopsis, OXS3 functions as a negative regulator of several abscisic acid (ABA) responsive genes, most notably that of $A B I 4$. Because $A t O X S 3$ is upregulated in mfl1xrn4, I hypothesize that these mutants are less sensitive to ABA and perhaps more tolerant to oxidative stress. Future studies could address how various stress responses are affected in mfllxrn4 and perhaps characterize an altered visible phenotype compared to WT or the single mutants.

As previously mentioned, PARE analysis by Vinay Nagarajan identified 39 putative targets of AtMFL1, 17 of which have been reported to be elevated in at least one NMD mutant (Raxwal et al., 2020; Gloggnitzer et al., 2014). The 3' fragments of

AtPAO2 (AT2G43020) and AT5G1 1580 were selected for validation via Northern blot based on their size and their abundance in xrn4 (Fig. 19 and 20). AtPAO2, or POLYAMINE OXIDASE 2, encodes an enzyme that is responsible for polyamine catabolism (Takahashi et al. 2010). Specifically, AtPAO2 is involved in excess spermidine catabolism during germination and early seedling development (Takahashi et al. 2019). It has been previously reported that the upstream open reading frame (uORF) within the 5' UTR of AtPAO2 is responsible for translational repression of the main ORF (Guerrero-González et al., 2014). I propose that AtMFL1 cleavage of the transcript is one mechanism by which translation of AtPAO2 is repressed. AT5G11580 encodes a previously uncharacterized regulator of chromosome condensation (RCC1) family protein. Unlike the mRNA of AtPAO2, the mRNA of AT5G11580 does not contain any NMD features; however, this transcript may still be turned over by NMD since it is upregulated in a upf1-5pad4 double mutant (Raxwal et al., 2020). Conversely, AT5G11580 mRNA may be targeted for degradation by an alternative AtUPF1-dependent mechanism, given that AtUPF1 is engaged in many functionally diverse mRNA decay pathways (reviewed in Kim and Maquat, 2019).

Based on its RNA-dependent association with AtUPF1 (Chicois et al., 2018), we hypothesized that AtMFL1 could be involved in plant NMD and potentially perform the same function as metazoan SMG6. Future experiments are planned to determine if AtMFL1 is involved in the NMD pathway. It is clear from our results that even if AtMFL1 cleaves some NMD transcripts, it does not cleave all of them. For example, Nagarajan et al (2019) showed that the NMD sensitive eRF1-1 mRNA is internally cleaved by an unknown endoribonuclease and that the $3^{\prime}$ fragment is detectable in plants lacking AtXRN4 but not in plants lacking AtUPF1. We have
already determined that eRF1-1 mRNA is not dependent on AtMFL1 for cleavage (Nagarajan, Bogdan, and Green, unpublished). It is likely that this transcript and others are cleaved by endoribonucleases that have yet to be characterized, such as the additional NYN domain-containing proteins in Arabidopsis. Previous studies suggest that two of these proteins, MNU1 and MNU2, are involved in the 5 ' processing of plant mitochondrial transcripts (Stoll and Binder, 2016). Future studies could elucidate the roles of these proteins as well as other putative endoribonucleases in Arabidopsis. Because AtMFL2 is closely related to AtMFL1, it could cleave its own set of transcripts or it could act in a redundant manner; however, the latter is less likely since we have identified targets of AtMFL1. Alternatively, the C-terminal OHA domain of AtMFL2 might associate with the C-terminal OST-HTH domains of AtMFL1, and the two proteins could work together to cleave a larger subset of targets. The previously mentioned co-IP experiments would be one way to test this. If AtMFL1 and AtMFL2 are in any way redundant, or if they work more efficiently in a complex, then future studies could investigate mfllmfl2xrn4 triple mutants using a similar strategy as was presented here.

## Chapter 5

## CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

## Conclusions

Canonical mRNA decay pathways as well as various mRNA surveillance pathways are required to maintain cellular homeostasis and remove unwanted or aberrant transcripts. Several different homologs of metazoan MARF1, an endoribonuclease that cleaves certain transcripts via its catalytic NYN domain, were identified in Arabidopsis. One of these proteins (AtMFL1) had previously been shown to localize to P-bodies and have an RNA-dependent association with UPF1, indicating that it may play a role in plant NMD. The goal of this project was to study the role of selected MARF1-like (MFL) endoribonucleases in Arabidopsis and hopefully uncover a novel mechanism of endoribonuclease-initiated RNA decay in plants.

Overall, my research determined the evolutionary conservation of AtMFL1like proteins across plants, the organ-specific expression of AtMFL genes, and the subcellular localization of AtMFL proteins. My research also determined the impacts of AtMFL1 on global transcript abundance and provided evidence for its role as a cytosolic endoribonuclease that may or may not be involved in plant NMD. The RNAseq and PARE data generated over the course of this project will provide other scientists with the information necessary for future studies of AtMFL1. Additionally, the strategy used to determine targets of AtMFL1 can be used to determine the targets of known and unknown endoribonucleases in different cell types. Overall, this work improves our understanding of mRNA decay in plants and provides a foundation for future studies of endoribonucleases in Arabidopsis and other systems.

## Future Directions

Additional studies could be performed to validate my conclusions as well as expand on the results of this research. To determine the phylogeny of AtMFL1, evolutionary biologists could perform an in-depth analysis that includes many more plant species. As mentioned previously, AtMFL1-like proteins do not seem to be present in the few gymnosperms, cycads, and ferns that were examined. It would be interesting to determine the exact point of divergence in evolutionary history and perhaps explain why certain plants do not have an AtMFL1-like protein. The targets of AtMFL1 could be investigated in other plant species to determine if they are cleaved by another endoribonuclease. I hypothesize that ChrMFL1 does not have endoribonuclease activity because it is missing one of the four aspartic acid residues required for function. To test this, ChrMFL1 could be purified and incubated with radioactively labeled ssRNA in vitro as described for MmMARF1 by Yao et al. (2018).

My project was mostly focused on AtMFL1 due to its association with AtUPF1. As a result, I did not determine the impacts of AtMFL2 or AtMFL3 on global RNA abundance. The characteristics of these putative endoribonucleases make them interesting to investigate, even if they are not involved in plant NMD. I have already identified $m f l l 2$ homozygous mutants and generated $m f l 2 x r n 4$ double homozygous mutants. RNA-seq and PARE libraries could be made from the RNA of these mutants, similar to the strategy adopted for AtMFL1. If any of the targets identified by PARE can be experimentally validated, then the functional dependence of AtMFL2 on an aspartic acid residue within its NYN domain could be determined by performing genetic complementation. Further, if AtMFL2 targets overlap with those of AtMFL1, then the mfllmfl2xrn4 triple mutant could be investigated as
proposed in the Discussion. Because AtMFL3 is required for early embryonic development, $m f l 3$ homozygous mutants cannot be generated. Instead, expression of AtMFL3 could be conditionally knocked down by RNAi using the B-estradiol-induced XVE system described in Zuo et al. (2020). AtMFL3 could be characterized in the same manner as AtMFL1 and AtMFL2 by knocking down expression of AtMFL3 in an xrn4 mutant background.

To determine whether the AtMFL1 functions in the NMD pathway, AtMFL1 targets with NMD features could be analyzed by performing Northern blot analysis and/or PARE analysis in upflxrn4 and upf3xrn4 double mutants. Presence of the 3' fragment in both double mutants would indicate that AtMFL1 functions independently of AtUPF1 and is not involved in plant NMD. Absence of a 3' fragment in upflxrn4 alone would indicate that AtMFL1 is AtUPF1-dependent but not involved in plant NMD. Absence of the 3' fragment in both double mutants would indicate that AtMFL1 is AtUPF1-dependent and involved in plant NMD. Even if AtMFL1 is not involved in plant NMD, this work identified a new mechanism of mRNA decay in Arabidopsis involving the endoribonuclease activity of AtMFL1.
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## Appendix A

## ATMFL GENOME SEQUENCES

```
ATG = Translational Start/Stop atgc = UTR
ATGC = Exon atgc = Intron
atgc = Annotation on other strand
```


## AtMFL1 (AT2G15560)

aagaatttgtcaattccacctatgaaccttcggtcctcggatttcattagacgaaaagaagaagtttgatagaagtactgattta gtttggtttcgttccacgagactcaatccagccggtttagtttctggggaattcgtttccaccgactcatgtccettattcattct cgtcggtttatgtttataatatttgtgtgacattcaaaaaaggttttcagtaaaaaaaaaataaaaaatacgagcaagagtaaac aatatttgtctatttgactatataaaatgggaaaaatatcgtttaatatatgaatttaaaaaaaatagctatttaatatataaatttgt atataatcaattaatatatgtaaaaatcgttaattaattatttacacaaattaatcgttgaccaggttaaaattcacacgacgttatc tgacgttaacagattgataacaaccgttaactaatcttcattagttccaatacgatgtcatttgattatagcaaaaacgggaaa aatgtcatttaatattccaatttcaaattatatccatttaaccatcaacttcacatatgaccatttatacatgaaaaaacgctaac caacagtttaaaaaaaaagaatgtgttgaccaggccaaaaaaacatactgctatctaagcgtagatattcgaattttgtttggat ttggtttggatttattcagatttagataattcaggtaaagagtttattattcgttaaaattttatgactatttatttcggtttctgttagaat atgtatcatattttaatcgatttacataaaaaataaaataaaaaaattgtttaatattttttgatatctaagttatttagaaaaaaatgt cgtttaatatttctatttaaaatatatatatatatatatatatatatttatttatttatttaagtgtttagaatcaatatttcatcagattta gtaaaattgatatttcaaaagaatttctttaaaaaaaacatttctgcaaaaatctatttgccccaagcattacaatacgtaacag atcggattatccttttcacatatatgcaactattctctttcaaaaagatcaaaacatttattattggatattcttttggcaaaaaaaaa actagttaataccaccgactctctctttatatgctttctctggacaaacgcaaaaactttgtagaaccetaaaaattcccaaaatc cgtcggagaagaagatcgagaagaatcaacaactaatctgaagaattttccaaattccgtcttcgtatcgtctacgagatcctt atctctcccetgaatctggtaaaatttggtttcgaaatgacgaaatcaatagattctactttctcctacatcgcaatttctcgaactt cctttcataatcttcactgatctgtagctaattgtttatatgtgaatctctttgtttaatttccgtttccaggaacctttgATGATA CAAAACGCTATGTCAAGTTATTATAATCCATCAGCAGCAACAACTTTAGTC TCTATTGATTCCGATGAACAAAGAAGAATCAAATACCTAGCGGATTTAAA CATGATTGCAACACAAAGACATTCTTCTACAGATGGTCCTATGGCCATTCT CTGGGATATGGAGAATTGTCCCGTTCCTAGCGATGTACGTCCTGAAGATGT AGCTAGTAACATAAGAATGGCTATTCAGTTACATCCTGTGATATCCGGTCC CGTTGTTAACTTCTCGGCTTACGGGGATTTCAATGGTTTCCCTCGTCGGGTT CGAGAAGGTTGTCAAAGAACCGGTGTGAAGCTTATTGATGTACCAAATGG TAGGAAAGATGCGTCGGATAAAGCGATTTTGATTGATATGTTCTTGTTTGT GCTTGATAATAAGCCGCCTGCGACTATCGTTCTCGTATCGGGTGATGTTGA TTTCGCGCCTGCGCTTCATATATTGGGTCAGCGTGGGTATACTGTGATTCTT GTTATACCTTCTAGTGTGTATGTGAATTCAGCTTTGTCTAATGCTGGTAAGT TTGTTTGGGATTGGCATAGTATTGTTCACGGTGAAGGCTTTGTGCCGCGAT GTAAACCTCGTGTTGTTCCGTATCTTATGGGGTGTAATATTGGTGATAACA GTAACATGGATGGTTTGAATGAAGATGAAACTATTCTCTATAGAGGTAACT GTTATAGTAGTGATCCAAGAGAGTCTTCTTCCTTGATGGTTTCGCAGTTTC
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GTAATGAGTATAGTAGCGGTGTAATGTCGTGTTGGCCATCTAATTCAGGCG AGTCTATGGCATGTCCTCCTTCAGGTCACCTTGAGTCCACCATGTGGGTAG CGCCGGGAGATTTAAACGGTTTGAAGGGGCAGCTCGTGAAGCTGCTAGAG CTTTCAGGTGGATGTATTCCTCTTATGCGTGTTCCTTCTGAATACCAACGGA AATTCAGTAAACCGCTTTTTGTATCGGATTATGGGGTGGCCAAGCTTGTGG ATCTGTTCAAAAAGATGAGTGATGTGATTGTAGTTGATGGTAAAGGCAAC AAGAGATTTGTTTACCTGCGCAACTCGAAACCGAACATTATCTCTCCTTCT TCCCCTGTGGTTCTACTGAGAAGAGAGAGGAAAGGAAAAGAGCCTAACGG GGTAACTACCAATGGAGGAGTCTCCTCTGATGAAATGTCAGACACAGGCT CGGTTCAGAGCGAGAGGAACCTAGAAGAGTTCAAATTCGAGCTACAAGAC ATTTTGGTGAGCTATTGTTGTCAGGTACAGATGGATTGTTTCGAAGCGATA TACAAGCTAAGGTACAAAAGGCCATTGGCCTACACAAATATGGGCGTGAA TCACTTGGAGCAGCTCTTTGACAAGTTAAGGGATGTTGTTGCCATACATGA AGATCCTGCTACAGGGAGGAAACTCATCAGCCCGGTTTAAaggagcctaactcaga gtcatataagatcagacggtgcgtttgtgagctgtttataagcgttctctatcactggggacaagcaatgtaagctccttgccta agaagctctcagtattaatgtatatgctctctactctttccttgggacaaaatgttttcgcggaagctatgattctgcattgcaagc atttcacttctgttactttcgagcaattggaaaaaagtcagacggagcgttttatgagtcattgactgtatgtgttgttctcttgttgg gaataagccagtatcattgtatatgctctctactctttcattaggacaaaggagcctctttctttctttactcaatcgtttagaacgtt tgtaagagctcaatagcagctcactccetttgtacttttaaattcacgaatcaatataagttatatactcattgagtttctacaaac cttgtctttgtgtttggttcgtcttgttgtttctttatacattcagtctcaccaccaccaaaggagaaacattcatctctaccttcaaat gcttctgcaccaccettaaaccgttacttcacctctcatcttgagagctgtaaagatcatcttcatcggagtcaaagaaagtca aattcacgagtagaaggtatctttggtggttcggatccggttcaggtaagcctttgtcgtcgtcctcaatatggtttcaaatctta atttggtatatcttacaatcctcctccacttatgtagttgtccttctacttactcggacattatttgctttacttgattaatttaccttttc gataaaataggggettggaatttgtaaaaaaaataattggtagactttcttcatctttccgacacattagtagcaatagtgtcatat gccacatcagcataatacattt


## AtMFL2 (AT3G62200)

taaccatccatatattttcttgtaaatcctaattcatcatcgacaagtttagcacaagggaacttctttaagttttagctacaaaca ttcatattcgataatgccagaagtaatgtacattgtcactcaaagaacaagaagtgggtacattagtgttatgcgtattatataat actttaaacaacataatgccacaatcaatgattaaagtagaaaattttgctagaattatctcatttattaaaaaggacggctttcc gaaatattaatttaaccaactattaagatgtcgacaataatcatttgtttatcaacttgtttcttgtttcatactaccacgttaataa ataggcttagcttaggaaatttgaaaaacaaaattcaccatttcaaatatttatatgtaaaatttgaatcaaacaaaaagtatata gaaaaaacattgactactgtattagataaaaaaaaaaaagatttcaagggaagttaggacgtaaacgtcgtgacagatggaa aacagagagaagtttcttcgtgaaaaacaaaaacggtgagtcttttcgttgaagttgaagtagaagtcatcatggtcaacgt caactacaagagaacgGACGTAAACGAAACGGTGCACGAGAGCGTCCACTAAACC AACCACAGTATCACACCACTACTCAACCGATGCCAACAAGGCATAAACCA CGACTCTCCACCAATCAAAACGCTTCACTTTTTCTTTCСТАААСТАСССТТС CCCTCGCCGATACTGTAGGGACAAAATTGTCAAAGAACACTCGCTTCATCA TCAGGGAAATATAAAGGGAAGAACAgaaaaaccttttcggctaataattgaaaaatccettattcga agattcctttggcgattcgtagtagtagcttacggcggcggaagatcgATGTCGACGAACTCGGCGAGT GATGGGGATTTCGGAACTAATTCGGTGCCGGCAGAGATGGCTGAGGCTCA

GTACGTGAGAGCGAAGACTTCAGTGTGGTGGGACATAGAGAATTGCCAAG TCCCTAACGGTCTTGATGCTCATGGAATTGCTCAGAATATCACTTCGGCGC TTCAGAAGATGAACTATTGTGGTCCTGTTTCTATCTCTGCTTATGGTGATAC CAATCGCATCCCTTTGACCATTCAACATGCTCTTAATTCCACCGGAATTGC GCTCAACCACGTCCCCGCCGgtaacttccccettttaaaactatctctattacttagtttctgtatctttatctgct tggttagatttcaattgtgaattgattgtttttcttttcatgtgtatggttactgtttcagGGGTGAAAGATGCGAGT GATAAGAAGATACTAGTGGACATGTTGTTTTGGGCGTTAGACAATCCTGCA CCTGCGAATTTTATGTTAATATCAGGAGACAGAGACTTCTCCAATGCTCTT CACGGATTGAGAATGAGACGTTACAATGTTCTTTTGGCACAGCCTCTCAAA GCATCTGTGCCACTTGTTCATGCTGCAAAGACTGTGTGGCTTTGGACTAGC CTATCTGCTGGTGGAATCCCTCTTACACGAGCCGAAAGCTTACAACTTGTT GCCAACCAAACGACCCCAAAGCCTGGTTCAGAGATTCCATCAAGCCAACC TCTGGATTCAAATTCTGACTCCAGGAGAGTCTTTGACAACAAATCCAAAGT CAAATATGTTCCAAAACCATCTAATCATCAGCCTAACAATAATTACCGTCA GCAACAACAGAATACTCAAGGGAAACAGTTTAAGAAAGCCCCACATGAGT TCTTTGGCACTAGCGAGCCATCTGTTTCCACTAGCAGACCTCCTCCTCCCA ATTTACCCTCTAGCAATGTTAACACTTTCCCTGGTAATGTCATGACTAATCC TCAGAATCAGAATCAGTACACTTATCCTCCTCGCCCTGGTCCATTCCCTCCT AGACAACCTTACCCTAACACTGATCCTTCTTGGAATAATGGAAACAGCATC CCAAACCATGCTCAGAACTATTATCCCAATGCTGCTAGGCCAGGTGCTGCC ACTATGCGGCCACCTTATGGTAATGTTTTCCGTCCTTACCGCCCAGAGAAT CTGAATCCTCCAGTTGGTAATGGTTTCCGTCCAATGCAGCACCCGCGAAAT GACGGGCCTAGATTTCCGTCTCCACCTCTCTTGACTCCACTTGATATCAGC AATCTAAGTGTGTCTCAATATCCTAGTCAAACTCAAAACCGTCCTAATTTC AATCCTCAAGTTAGACAAGAATTCAGACCAAAGATGGAATCCTCATATAC TCATAATGGTCCAAATAAGAGTTATATTCCACGGTGTAGTAGTGCCCCGGT AACTCAATCTACGACAACCACTGCCCACACCTACCCTTCCAGTCCTGGAGT TCCACCTTCTCAGCCTCCAATGGTTACTGGATCTGGTTCTAGCAATGATAG GTGGGGAACACAAGAGTGTCCACCACCATCTGAGTATGTTCAAGGCCTTA TAGGCGTTATCTTACATGCTCTACACATCTTGAAAACTGAAAAAGTTATGC CTACCGAGCCAAATATCTCGGATTGCATTCAGTATGGAGATCCTAAGCATC ACGGTACTGACGTAAAGAAGGCTTTGGAAAGTGCTTTGGAGCATCATATG ATCATGATGACAAATGTAGGTAAACTAAAGCTCTACATTGGCAAAAATGA AGCTCTATGGAACTGTGTAAACCCTTTAGGGGCAAACGCTAAGCAATACC CAAAAGAAACTTGGGATAGAATACAACAGTTTCTAACCTCATCTTCTGGGC GTGTGGAGTTTACGGCAACTACGTGCAGgttagaatgtttgtttacttaaggatctatagttcettcct tacccatgaaaatgttataacatccttttcgtcctcttttgatctaatatatagGTATGAAGCTGCACAAGTTC TGAAAAAGGAATGTCTAAAAGAGTTTACTTTAGGTGACATACTACAGATC TTGAATATAACAGCAACCACAAAGAAATGGATTACCCATCATCAAACAGG ATGGAAACCAATTACTATTAGCCTTGCTGCAGAGACCACAAACGAGACAG CTACTGAAGCGGATCCAGGTATCCAAACCGTTGCCTGAatctaatctttgttcaatgaaat accgaagaagatgtagtagcagccataaacagagcatatatgggtactaccttgaatatcaaatatagagaacactaagaca tggaaaaatagggttttccatatctaaaacttcagaatgagatgattctgaagtctaagtactagttccttagtagaagcagcac
tttttttttaatttactttcagtcggttcagtatgaaggagttaaggctaaaagtttggggtagttaaagtttggacctaaatgtca tgtactagatcatgattaggttcgtaaaaaccttagtgttgccaacttgccatcatcaagaagatcgcacaaattcatgaggatg tgattgggaatctctgcatcaccaaagtttctgcattagttacggcaatctgtttctttggtcttactatagaggttacagttatatt cgtgatattcctaatgtcgttgggaaaaaatatctgtaatcttacctctttttcttttacctacgtatgtcttattctgaaccttcaagc ttttccttttctttaaaaagacgtttatgtttatgtatgaaagtaatttctactggtactttcgtttatcatattgtttaacaaacaggtgt tagaaatgatgatctgaaagttgtagcgaaatcttgcgcattcattctataatttctaaattttaaataaagctatcgatcctgagat acaagtttcttaacaaaggtccaagtagattcattcgtacaatacaaaggttacatatccaatgattcaaagtgttgtgttgaaag agactttgaa

## AtMFL3 (AT3G62210)

actattattcagccaaatacgaagatgttgtaacggtaataatttgatttgtttataaaagaaatggaaaaagaaaataattaaa attacaaaatgatacgaaaactaaccgaattttggatcttatcatttgatatagacatttgatcgttaagcgtatctaataattcaac ttgttttatacaacaaagaacacatcgttcatagaattctgatgttgatagtaatgattgaccactaaactatcctgtttaaacta aaacaagtggtggtaattgaaaactttctaaaataaatttaaatttgaaacaagtgggagtaatttattacatttgttatagatgattt tcttagaaatttgtttttctttattattagataaaagataaaattttatattccctattgatcaactgttacgttattgactatttatcaa acataccaaaaaaaaacatgaatatctcgtaaaaaattgtggaaaattgaatgtgcaatgaagaaatgagttgaaaaggtcgtg tgacataagtataatacaaattactcgataagaaaacggaaggaagtttcgtcgggctaacaagcattgcttcgtgctcactta gaaagctcttgttgagctaatgatgagtttcaaattccettttttttttgtctgtgcctagtaaacaaacatttctctgtaaagaagt actagttgatgtaatatatttaaccttttacgtaaaataaaataaagtttcatcgtggaaagtggaaacactgacgagtgaggag tcttggtcgtcaactaggagattgagattcctttgaagaagattgaagagaaagaagtggtttcgttgataacagaaagtcctt caaaacaaaaattccttttcgcgatcggcgATGAATACGGCGGGGAAAGAAGATTTGGGAA CGGCGGATACGGCTGAGGCTCAGTACGTGATGGCGAAGACCTCAGTATGG TGGGATATAGAGAATTGTCAAGTCCCTAAGGGTCTTGATGCACATGGGATT GCTCAGAATATTAGTTCGGCGCTTAAGAAAATGAACTATTGTGGTCGGGTC TCAATCTCCGCTTATGGTGATACGAGTGGTATCCCTCATGTCATCCAACAT GCTCTTAATTCCACCGGAATCGAGCTCCACCATGTCCCGGCCGgtgaattccttaaa ccctttatggttttctaaatctagatctactctcttttcctttcttttatttgtttttttttctgataaaaaagatctgctagtgagcttg aatgttgttgatcgttgatgtatgccttatgtttctttcattcttgtttttgttaacaactaacgttgtaggcatttataatcattggttg tattatttcacttctcaatcgaaatcgttttagggtttatactgtttggtttgctgttgatttgactacctcgatcgttgttattgatca aagagtgttaataaccaaagtgtttcgttttccttttttttgtgtgtgtgtcgatgtgttatttcagGGGTGAAAGATG CGAGTGACAAGAAGATTCTAGTGGACATGTTGTTTTGGGCATTTGACAATC CTGCGCCTTCAAATATTATGTTAATTTCAGGAGATAGAGACTTTTCCAATG CTCTTCACAAATTGAGTCTGAGACGGTACAATATTCTCTTAGCACACCCTC CTAAAGCATCAGCGCCGCTAAGTCAAGCTGCAACAACAGTATGGCTTTGG ACAAGTCTATTAGCCGGAGGAAACCCTCTCATACGAGGCAAAGTTAAAAC CTCACAACTTGTTGCCAATGCGTCAACTTCTAGTAATGTCATGAGTAGTCC TCCTCACAATCAGTTTCCTGATCCTCCTAGATCAGGTCCTTTGCATGCTCGT CAGCCTTACCTAAACCCTGATCCTTTTGTGAATAACAGAGATCCCAATGCT GCCAGACCAGGACCTTCTAATATGCGGCCACTTTGTCCTAATGCTATCCGT CGTCATCGCCAAGAGAAGCTGGAACGCGCTCTCCCTCTACTGATTTTATTG GTCTTTATGTTTATGATACTTGCAACTGTGAACTCAAATTAAatgctgcatgcaccat tttcataataatgagctgttttggctgttgccgtgaagatgatttgcttggagctgcagactacggagaacttaatcaactcaga
agttaaatgetgcagccactatgtctttctctttattgattcttttctttctcagcttgtgttttcgagcttagttgtgtgagtatttacce tgatgtgattatacgcaattacgcattgttttatctccatgggagaaatcacgattttgaattccgacgaatgattttattgttatata tttttatataatttgtttaatatttataaccatccatatattttcttgtaaatcctaattcatcatcgacaagtttagcacaagggaactt cttttaagttttagctacaaacattcatattcgataatgccagaagtaatgtacattgtcactcaaagaacaagaagtgggtacat tagtgttatgcgtattatataatacttttaaacaacataatgccacaatcaatgattaaagtagaaaatttgctagaattatctcatt tattaaaaaggacggctttccgaaatattaattttaaccaactattaagatgtcgacaataatcatttgttttatcaacttgtttcttgt tttcatactaccacgttaataaataggcttagcttaggaaatttgaaaaacaaaattcaccattttcaa

## Appendix B

## ATMFL1-LIKE PROTEIN SEQUENCES

## NYN domain

LOTUS domain
>ChrMFL1
MKSIGATALANLKRLQLRGRDGPEQKEVVIAWDIENARPPPGIPVEEVERAISN AFQHLPRRKRHAFLAAASPRSYAAMTAVYGQDQVNHLLSHTDFMLAPGGAK STSTDAKLLQRIDEFIERQCALGRASYSVLVLITGDDDYTPRVKRAMHAGMD VELLHPAGITSRSLMATLEGRANVWHAEWQQFLEYWINANSSNSGSAGPSGG GQASSRQPQQGGPRASESASGSGKPAAAAAAGGNKGTQPPPPPQQRTPQQQQ QAPQPAAAASSRGSDDSRSKNGTTTSKERASAVLALSSLPVVRQSCDPDRALA AVCGWLASERRDNSAFYQSLHAFASECGCKLVVDRDLVTAAAEGGGELTLT CVDDDERHPQRAVQSARDRLRNEINEVLLGDPHVLLLAAMRQQQQQQQQQQ QQQSQWQQWQWQQSPRQQQQPFFQPQPQPQQPSQPPHNRQPQQAQQQAPA QRAQQQAAPQVPPPVPPAHGVGSSSSSSRVGKERVSTTLRLPDLDFVRQSDCP EAALAMVLGWLDAARTDNSAFYQSLHALASECGCKLVVGRDLVAARGELTL ACVDDDERHPQRAVQSARDRLRLALDAELRQPGHVLGAKLGSVVVNATKKL CDWLFSPGAAATGGGAGGGRGGGGAAPPCVEDLEALLRQRGFCAAAAPPG WVWPAREEQLRAAAKAVVLVEEGLGLAPLPLPDGRALTARPFEPPAAAGGR AADRFPEFEVAAVAAMLRSRLQPNELEAQVVWHAAAICDAAASLGPGG WISGGGGRGGGGSHSSSSSSSSSSHYDQQVRGLAAALWLRHCDGAGLCPPLQ QAPQPAAAAAAAASTAAASKPAAAAAGAAASSVAAPRVSDAADAGSTDARS SSTPASPATTAPTAAAATPLAALPYPALAALLLRHGHDAAVLTDPQLYGTAFA LLWLDARAAAAASTAAACTAAGGTASGAAGVGVGGTAAGRDGGDGGGGG PGGVAAAPQVGRLPDGGGWVRVVLPTAFAGTAAARAAVAQVLGSGLSCGEL VAALRGLGAAPPPGSEKSEIAGLLAELVIAAAAAAAAS
>PpMFL1
MASYSRSLTPSRQPPGMDSAAIARAQQQHRANNMQQQLRAPPPLQPTSCPVAI LWDIENCPVPGEVNAEDVAGNIRIALREHPHVGAVTMFSAYGDFNHFPRKVR EGCQRTGVNLIDVPNGKKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSTIFLITGDVDFAP ALHKLGQRGYVVVLVIPDGVGVSSALRGAGRFVYDWPCLCRGEGLQNPQRQ GRQFNNLCPVDDRNQGRVPTSIYTDVDRIPVSQFQDSYPEYMRPLYTEESDPRI DDDVYGEDILAWGGITNPIYNVQPVDYGHQQYVMPGQVQPQGPRISGYRTSH SASSGQLRNQVSGGFVDSRGRSGISSSDSGMQPGESEEPTSPGGSQPLWVQPG DLTGLKRQLVQLLNLNGGQMMLSKVPAEYNKLFGRPLYLSEYNAQRLVHLI DKMKDALLIKGDGTNKTLHVLKKEAGRVTNKYKPSSNRASAPKGDKDKDTS EDSEPEGSVPPSKELEKDVAKIEFATDVLSKTSLLEVDKVTEKVPFSEPVEAKR

YLLGMDMDENMDETIVVQSSDWIPVPVDVKNNVDARKYEPVVDVEEDQIKV EDFITPDVNLTHQTNLQTFRRQLQELLVVYSYQIPYENFIRVYQQRYARTLDLS SFGVESVNSLLAKVKDVAVIKDVRGNFFLTAV

## >MpMFL1

MRATSTKELLSTRREVSSVMRAQQQQQQIVLTGPVAILWDIENCPVPSEVKAE DVAGNIRMALRLHPVIEGAVTLFSAYGDFNHFPRKVREGCQRTGVNLIDVPN GKKDASDKAILVDLFLFALDNPPPCTIFLISGDVDFAPALHKLGQRGYTVVLAI PSGVPVSSALCSAGRFVWYWPSVARGEGLVPAKSFVYRVGPEEKNCEISSSVC QAWSPSDDSDPASDELVYGEEQIVWKSSKTQHENVIADGSASRMVPSALYGS MSIQVTSQVTSQACAVGPVPVYWGENTSTQGSYVYGPSGAPHPSGYVKPSQG AASREGNTGAVSREGSTAARHLAESVEADCRGSNAAGPASLGGLLNLREQLV KLISFHGGKLELVRVPPEYSRHYGRPLYLAEYGYTKLVPMLEKMREWMYIRG EGTYKTLHLTKTGFRVAAKLKRDARVSRAVTGEETEVSVCQKIPSDESIYAEK IPSDESIYAEKIPSDESIYADNEVVLEDGVAACPTKDIQTFTEKDEPSAKTIVAD LPSDVEALIPTYGWRYDSGDEKRTDSQEEKDVRVDDSASELVDITNEILEDTN SEDLIVEASLDSEDPCVEIRLQVFRQELQELLVSHACKICMASFLALYEQRYSR ALDCPSFGVQELESLIEKVNDVAVLMEDPGSKFKYVVASCVN

## >AmtMFL1

MASPSNFPSKATNPSPENSNETSPTDPKTGITPSPRASNQQSRVLSGPVAILWDI ENCPVPSDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRVHPVIKGAVTLFSAYGDFNVFPRRLREGCQ RTGVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNRPPSSILLISGDVDFAPALHIL GQRGYTVILVIPSGVGVSSALSNAGRFVWDWPSVARGEGFVPPKSFISRNPEA SSLTAFLSLYEPSEAQHEEESIVYRGLAQNEFTSSHINQTFRFNSSHVSWDHQN RASQSLTEYSASNLMAVSALPSSRSLSLPSGLNEVPSSERNVPPEWVQPGDIQG LKGQLVKLLELFGGSLPLVRVPPEYQKFFGRPLYLSEYGSCKLVHLVKKMAD KLTIEGKGHAKFLSLKGSSQRLDKASVGGTPGKRENKKGKAQIESCGMNENE EAHYCHPNVGCSSDDGSSEEDGNHENIFREAGLVGQIKDFSRELEELLVSYAG RILLDSFELLYKQRYKKVLDYRSLGVGGLEELIEKVREFAILHEEMGTNRKFV VASCLGRTQ

## >CmMFL1

MQILRPRLFSLPLGLQVLGPHPLPPPPPPRPTLFLIFTYNIASVSPPLFSLLFSLHG DHPSSKEDNSMLVALHSFQSLIAIVAVFIIFPSSVEKACTHHHHPNMMASPNPS SRALSLESSYTSGANTMNPNMRPPHAPNQQSRALNEPVAILWDIENCPVPSDV RPEDVAGNIRMALRVHPVIKGAVTMFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGCQRTGVKLID VPNGRKDAADKAILIDMFLFALDNHPPSSILLISGDVDFAPALHILGQRGYTIIL VIPAGVGVSSALSNAGRFVWDWPSVARGEGFVPPKAVMSRGPGITRYLMGC HLGENPDAQNEAIVYRGISQNESSTDANINQMYSFSSSLVSRDSSRMPNSFSDY RSNLIGASYFPASICQSLPSTSNEVLTGAFAGNVSETQEETLWVQPGDFQGLKG QLVRLLELAGGSLPLVRVPADYIKIYGRPLYVAEYGEFKLVNLLKKMADSLT VEGSGNRRFVCLRNFLLRPESVGPSARWGTGSKNNKEIQEENMDVVANVDM

VGSSDDFSDDERVIGQDERNTETDSGPAHEFNKQIERFKQELQELLVSYSCKIL LSSFEALYEQRYKKSLDYNSFRVDELEELIEKVRDVVILHEEQGSGRKFLVAN CFNG
>NcMFL1
MTSAASSSLVSCPDCSSPSLSQIADFNMAPSIANNQQSKVPQGPVAILWDIENC PVPNDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRLHPVVNGAVTHFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGCQRT GVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSILLISGDVDFAPALHILG QRGYTIVLAIPAKVSVSSALSSAGRFVWDWPSVARGEGFMPAKSHTVYGAD VLHALPSHLTENPDSQIEDESIIYQGLPNSGCTMRSNFSQVYYLDASCHVSRDV IRAAHSLSEYSSNAISLPQCSGSRSQSLPPVLNDMPMAASSDLNELQHDHWVQ PGDIQGLKGQIVKLLELSAGSLQLVRLPSEYQKMFGRPLYVSEYGSCKLVDLL KKMADAVTVEGKGNRKFVTLGSSAERSQRFLNPSAGSSRRERKGKGILREENI SCDGNELLCAGFQNMGCYSDESTDDERGSGQDDRNLEIPVGNVGSTIKAVAD ACLDRFKEELQELLVSYSCRILVGSFEALYEQRYKKSLNYQSFGVNGLEELIK KVKDVAEICEEQTTKRRFLMVSGSGGLRSV

## >BdMFL1

MVTMRTVFSFTGSVMSFEDKAVASRIASPSPKAVVSESDLRMINATSNMEQPQ ANSQANAVVGPVAIFWDIENCPVPSDVRPDDVAGNIRMALRLHPIVKGAVTM LSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGCQRTGVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDN RPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHILGQRGYTIVLAIPSSVTVSSALSGAGSFVWDWP SLARGVGSVAPRSLGHRAAEPSGYLNSVTLGKYPDTEEEAIVYMGTSRNEYG GRTPGNQMYYYNSSQITGEPCKAFYTVEDGNCGTGTSSRSHNLSCGLNEVPEI DQGFTGEHSWWVRPGDLQGLKGQLIRLFELSGGSVPLVRVPSEYLKLFGRHL YVAEYGAVKLVHLFEKLAESFVVMGQGQRKIICLRNSGDRNVKKYSSTPIILK NEKRLSATLGESAVGTCQQLSSSSDDFSEDEQNISPDVDGAYVFDEHLARCRT EIQDLLVCYYKCRLPLCDFESLYEQRYKKVLDYQSFGVNGLEELAEKLKDVV ELQLDEVSNMKLIKAKSAK

## >OsMFL1

MSFEDKAMASRVASPSPKSMASESDPSMMLAITSNMEHSQANNQSVSVLGPV AIFWDIENCPVPSDVRPEDVAGNVRMALRLHPVVKGAVTMLSAYGDFNAFPR RLREGCQRTGVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNRPPSSIMLISGDV DFAPALHILGQRGYTIVLAIPSSVTVSSALSSAGSFVWDWPSLARGEGIVAPRS IGRRFADPPGYQHGGNFGSFPDTQNEEEAIVYMGTSRNECSGRTTSNQIYCYN SSQTTREPSKAFYTVTDGNCGTSSRSHNLACSLNEGPDVDQGLPDERSWWVR PGDLQGLKGQLLRLFELSGGSVPLVRVPSEYLKLFGRHLYVSEYGAVKLIHLF EKLADSFVVIGKGHRKVICLRNSGDSNLKKYSTTPIILKKENRGGSILDEST IGTGQQLGSSSDDFSEDERNINPDVDGAYAFDSHLDNFRQEIQELLVCYSCPVP LGNFKSLYEQRYKKTLIYESFGVDGLEELVEKVKDVVELCEDQTSKRKYLIAN YRS

## >ZmMFL1 <br> MSSEDKAMANRVTSHSPKAMVSEPDPNRMMMFRSNMEYSQTNGQTNAVLG PVAIFWDIENCPVPCDVRPEDVAGNVRMALRMHPVVRGAVTMLSAYGDFNA FPRRLREGCQRTGVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNHPPSSIMLISG DVDFAPALHILGQRGYTIVIAIPSSVTVSSALSSAGSFVWDWPSLARGEGVVIP RSLVRRLADYPCYVNSGNMGQFPDNQNEEEAIVYTGTSRNEYGGRPPVNQM YCYNTSQTTREPSKALYTVEDVNCGTSSRPHNLSCRQSESPETDQGLTDEQSW WVRPGDLQGLKGQLIRLFELSGGCVPLVRIPSEYLKLFGRHLYVSEYGAVKLV HLFEKLADSFVVVGKGHKKMICLCNSGDRNLKNYPSTPIILTKKNRGNSALEE STIGACQQLGSSSDELSEDEPIINPDINVACVFNEHLDSFRREIQELLVCYSCPVP LGNFESLYEQRYKKIIDYESLGVTGLEELVEKVKDVVDLHEDQTSKSKFLIAN YTTG

## >CarMFL1

MIQKAMSSYYCNPSAAIVSVDSSEQRMNYSSDLNMIANQRRSVTDGPVAVLW DIENCPVPSDVRPEDVASNIRMAIELHPVISGPVVTFSAYGDFNGFPRRVREGC QRTGVKLVDVPNGRKDASDKAILIDMFLFVLDNKPPSTIVLISGDVDFAPALHI LGQRGYTVILVIPSGVYVNSALSSGGRFVWDWHSIVHGEGFVPVPKPRVVPYL MGCNVNDNSHLDGMNEDETILYRGSCCSNARESSVMVSQFQNECSGSVMSC WPSNLRESLVCPPPGQLESTMWVAPGDLNGLKGQLVKLLELSGGCIPLMRIPS EYQRNFSKPLFVSDYGVAKLVDLFKKMGDVITVDGKGNKRIVYLRNSKPNVI SPSSPVVLLRREKKGKEPNEEMTYRGVSSDDLSDTGSVHSERNLEEFKVELQD ILVSYCCRVQLDCFEAIYKLRYKRPLDYKKMGVNHLEQLFDKVKDVVAIYED PATGSKLIGAF

## >GrMFL1

MANLNASTSLVPSESSEQRGTVLVDSNVNVLPPPMNQQKRTSSDGSVAILWDI ENCPVPSDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRVHPVIKGAVMMFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGC QRTGVKLIDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHI LGQRGYIVILVIPAGVGVSSALCSAGKFVWDWPSVARGEGFVPPSKALMPPRG GPVDVARCFMGCHISDNPDGQNEEEAIVYKGASQSCYNPRDFSTVSRSLAEYT SNPSVCLPSYPATFRSQSLPCGLNEASGCPGYYDQNDTMWVQPGDINGLKGQ LVKLLELSGGCMPLTRVPAEYQKIFGRPLYVAEYGALKLVNLFKKMGDTLAI DGKGHKKFVYLRNWKANPSAPPLVLTRKDKKGKGIHEEITDVTAGAGSSDE FSDEERVVVDERDQRKTDDNLEQFKYELQEILVSYSCRIFLGCFEEIYQQRYK KTLDYRKLSVEKLEELFDKVRDVVVLHEEPVSKRKFLCAVGS
>MtMFL1
MANPSRNSTQTMSLDSMEQKGKNITESNASISQCPLSQQHRNSLDGPVAILWD IENCPVPSDVRPDDVAGNIRMALQVHPVIQGAVTTFSAYGDFNSFPRRLREGC QRTGVKLIDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHI LGQRGYTIILVIPAGVGVSSALCNAGKFVWDWPIVARGEGFVPPSKALVAPRG GSVELAGYLMGCHINNDNTEGQNEEEAIVYRGMSQSYYNSREFSMVSQSLSE

YNSPYMPCLPTSMRSYSLPSGVNDVAGGPIPYSDNTECQMWVQPGDLNGLKG QLVRLLELSGGCVPLVRIPAEYQKIYGKTLFISDYGAFKLVDLFKKMDDAISVE GKGARRFVYLKNRKGGPSAPQVSLAKKDKKGKRELEENANVVNGSCSSDEL SDEERVVVEEHDERSFTGKGNKGRAVRCEIDGSVEQFKCELQEILVSYSCRILL SCFEAVYQQRYKKQLEYQRYGVDKLEDLLEKVSDVVTLHEEPVSKRKFLAA VDA

## >CsMFL1

MAYSNTSASLVRLDSYEQTAHIAGSSTNTLEAPFNQPSRSSSDGHVAILWDIEN CPVPSDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRLHPLIEGVVTTFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGCQRT GVKLIDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSFIMLISGDVDFAPALHILGQ RGYTVILVIPSGVGVSSALCNAGKFVWDWPSVARGEGFAPPSRALIPPRAGPA GYFMGCQINDNVQNEEEAIVHRGVSQSYYSSRDLSIVSQSLTEYNSSYITPYNS SALRSNSVPSGLNEASAGPAISADLSDSTLWVQPGDLNGLKGQLVKLLELSGG CLPLNRVPADYHKVFGRPLYVSEYGVVKLVNLFNKMSDTIAVDGKGQRKFV YLWNWKTGPSAPPMILTRKDNKKGKASQEECTDNVTGNVSSDELSDEERIVT EEHDERLNQEKTNLETMTQCDNDYQLEQFKYELQEILVSYSCRIFVGCFEEIYE QRYKKPLDFQKFGVDQLEELFEKLKDVVVLHEEPVSKNKFLAAVGG
>SIMFL1
MELPPTSSFGLSSESVEQSGKLMANTNAKALATFSLQSPNSSQGPVAILWDIEN CPVPSDVRPEDVAGNIRMTLRVHPVIKGAVTLFSAYGDFNSFPRRLREGCQRT GVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHILG QRGYTVILVIPSGVGVSSALCNAGRFVWDWPSVVRGEGFVPPAKALMPCRGG VSDITGILMGCCQINDNPDGQQEDEAILYRGLSQSYYNSREFSMISHSLSEYNT TAISMPCYPTGMRTHSLPSGLNEVSAGGSSSHEQSDLTWVQPGDINGLKGQLV KLLELSGGCLPLTRVPAEYQKIYGRPLYISEYGAAKLVNLLKKMSDAISVGGK GQKKFVYLHNSCAVPSAPPITILKRDNKGKGTQEGNADVVTGVGSSDEFSDD ERGPIKEHGGSCEKSNMVEKSLENFKYELQEILVSYSCRIFLGCFDAIYQQRYK RQLDYESFGVVELEQLLAKVKDIVIVQEEPVSKRKFLAAVGA

## >StMFL1

MELPPTSSFGLSSESSEQSGKLMANTNAKALATFSLQSPNSSQGPVAILWDIEN CPVPSDVRPEDVAGNIRMTLRVHPVIKGAVTLFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGCQRT GVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHILG QRGYTVILVIPSGVGVSSALCNAGRFVWDWPSVVRGEGFVPPAKALMPCRGG VSDITGILMGCCQINDNSDGQHEDEAILYRGLSQSYYNAREFSMISHSLAEYNT TAISMPCYPTGMRTHSLPSGLNEVSAGGPSSHDQSDLTWVQPGDINGLKGQL VKLLELSGGCLPLTRVPAEYQKIYGRPLYVSEYGAAKLVNLLKKMSDAISVG GKGQKKFVYLRNSCAVPSAPPITILKRDNKGKGTQEGNADIVTGVGSSDEFSD DERGPIKEHGGSCEKSNMVEKSLENFKYELQEILVSYSCRIFLGCFDAIYQQRY KRQLDYKIFGVVELEQLLAKVKDVVIVQEEPVSKRKFLAAVGA
>PvMFL1
MATPSKSTPITSSDTFEQKGKTITESNATILQCPLNQQLHSSLDGPVAILWDIEN CPVPNDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRVHPVIKGAVMLFSAYGDFNSFPRRLREGCQR TGVKLIDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHILG QRGYTVILVIPAGVGVSSALCNAGKFVWDWPSVARGEGFVPPSKALMPPRGG PIELAGYLMGCHINDYSEGLNEEEAIVYRGMSQSYYNSREFSLVSQSLSEYNC GTSNGACLPTTMRSHSLPSVFNDVQGGSMMPSGDPNECQLWVQPGDLNGLK GQLVRLLELFGGSLPLARVPAEYQKIY GRPLYVTEYGAIKLVNLFKKMGNAM TVEGKGNRKFVYLRNWKAGPSAPPLSLAKKDKKGKGTQEENVNVVTGGCSS DEFSDEERVVIEEDDERNFTGKGNQGTAAKCEVDDCVIEKFKYELQEILVSYS CRISLGCFEDIYQQRYKKQLEYKRFGVDKLEDLIEKVSDVVVLHEEPVSRSKF LAAVGG

## >GmMFL1

MATPSKSTPIIASDTLEQKGKTMTESNANILQCPLNQQLYSSLDGPVAILWDIE NCPVPCDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRVHPVIKGAVMMFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGC QRTGVKLIDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHI LGQRGYTVILVIPANVGVSSALCNAGKFVWDWPSVVRGEGFVPPSKALVPPR GGPVELAGHFMGCHINDNSDGQNEEEAIVYRGMSQSYYNSREFSMVSQSLSE YNNGTLNTTCLPTTMRTHSLPSVLNDVPGGSMMPSSDLNECQLWVQPGDLN GLKGQLVRLLELFGGCLPLARVPAEYQKLYGRPLYVSEYGAIKLVNLFKKMG DALAVEGKGNRKFVYLRNWKAGPSAPPLSLAKKDKKGKEAQEENVNIVTGG CSSDEFSDEERVVIEEHDERSCIGKGNQGRAAKCEIDDRFIEQFKYELQEILVSY SCRIFLGCFEAIYQQRYKKQLEYQRFGVDKLEDLFEKVSDVVVLHEEPVSKKK FLAAVGG

## $>$ VvMFL1

MADPNTSALIVSSESSEQSGTHMMNPSANPLQPLLNQQGRTSPHGSVAILWDI ENCPVPSDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRVHPVIRGAVTMFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGC QRTGVKLIDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNPPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPALHI LGQRGYTVILVIPSGVGVASALCNAGRFVWDWPSVARGEGFVPPTKVLIPPRG GTADIAGCLMGCHINDNPDGQNEEEAIVYRGMSQGYYSTRDFSIISQSLSEFNS TSSITMSCFPPTLRSQSLPSGLNEASAGPISYGEQNESTLWVQPGDLNGLKAQL VKLLELSGGCLPLARIPSDYQKLFGRPLYVSEYGAFKLVNLFKKMADTLAVE GKGHRKLVYLRNSKAGPSAPPLIMARKEKKGKGIQEENMDNITGCGSSDEFS DDERVVVEEHDERRREEKFGLLASRCEINDQNIEQFKHELQEILVSYSCRIFLG CFEAIYQQRYKKPLDYRKFGVNELEGLFDKVKDVVVLHEEPVTKRKFLDAVG G

## >AcMFL1

MSYEDKPMASHCPSPSPKAVAVELDSSKLIPIGSNMEHSQAPKDQGRAVHCPV AILWDIENCPIPSDVRPEDVSGNIRMALRVHPVVGGAVTMFSAYGDFNAFPRR LREGCQRTGVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNRPPSSIMLISGDVDF

ALALHILGQRGYTVILVIPSGVRVSSALSNAGRFVWDWPSVARGEGFVPPKAV MPYADNQNEEEIITYRGISQNEYGTTRGSVNQMYHCNLSYSSRELSRASEYSN SCSNFILEPYFNSSRSHSLPSGVSEGLMGGDQNSTQEHSWWVRPGDVQGLKG QFIRLLEMSGGSLPLVRVPSEYLKVFGRPLYMAEYGVYKLVNLIKKMTDALIV VGKGHKKMLCLRNTDDRQNIKKCPCPSTPIILKREEKKGKSVVVEENSESSTD EFSDDERNNATYENNDHLESFKQEVQELLVCYSCPVPISALESLYEQRYKKAL DYQSFGVDGLEELIEKLRDVVELREDWVSKRKFLASCG
>MaMFL1
MAYEDKAMASRSPSPKIITPNISNSICTSSETEPVQTQNQQSGTLHGPVAILWDI ENCPVPSDVRPEDVAGNIRMALRVHPVIKGAVTMFSAYGDFNAFPRRLREGC QRTGVKLVDVPNGRKDAADKAILVDMFLFALDNQPPSSIMLISGDVDFAPAL HILGQRGYTVILVIPAGVGVSSALSNAGRFVWDWPSVARGEGFVPAKAYMP RIPDHAGCLSNCNIGANLDFQNEEEAIVYKGVSQNEYGGENNDRGYCYNSNS MRRGLDRISYSMTEYSGSNSVNGPYFTSSRSQSLPSGLSEGAGMDQNVTQEQ AWWVRPGDLQGLKGQIVRLLEMSGGSMPLVRVPSEYLKFFGRPLYVAEYGV CKLVNLIRKMADALVVVGKGHKKLLCLRNAAYFDAKKYPGTSALVRKDKK GKQVLEENMDISISPHSGCSSDELSDDGKNDDPALGAADEFEDQFENVRHEVQ ELLVCYSCPVPLGTFEALYKQRYKKDLDYRSYGVDSLEELMEKLRDIVELCV DQGSKRKFLVLSSES
>AtMFL1
MIQNAMSSYYNPSAATTLVSIDSDEQRRIKYLADLNMIATQRHSSTDGPMAIL WDMENCPVPSDVRPEDVASNIRMAIQLHPVISGPVVNFSAYGDFNGFPRRVRE GCQRTGVKLIDVPNGRKDASDKAILIDMFLFVLDNKPPATIVLVSGDVDFAPA LHILGQRGYTVILVIPSSVYVNSALSNAGKFVWDWHSIVHGEGFVPRCKPRVV PYLMGCNIGDNSNMDGLNEDETILYRGHLESTMWVAPGDLNGLKGQLVKLL ELSGGCIPLMRVPSEYQRKFSKPLFVSDYGVAKLVDLFKKMSDVIVVDGKGN KRFVYLRNSKPNIISPSSPVVLLRRERKGKEPNGVTTNGGVSSDEMSDTGSVQS ERNLEEFKFELQDILVSYCCQVQMDCFEAIYKLRYKRPLAYTNMGVNHLEQL FDKLRDVVAIHEDPATGRKLISPV

