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## Executive Summary

Delawareans are doing better than the nation and the region in obtaining health insurance. Less than 9 percent of Delaware's population was without health insurance in 2002, down from almost 14 percent in 1999. Currently 76,000 people are without health insurance. The uninsured rate for the region, which includes Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and New York was 12.9 percent. The national rate is $14.5 \%$.

Research suggests that the uninsured are more likely to delay seeking primary care. They are also less likely to be screened for cancer and cardiovascular disease and as a result are apt to be diagnosed in the later stages of the disease.

The uninsured are 10 times more likely to say they use the emergency room for their health care and are six times more likely than those that have health insurance to say they could not see a doctor because of the cost. However, the uninsured do not assess their current health as being much different than those that have health insurance.

The biggest reasons for the overall drop in the uninsured are the expansion of the programs that insure children, SCHIP and Medicaid. Increases in Medicaid participation among adults have also been a factor. Still more than $24 \%$ of the uninsured are likely qualified for either Medicaid or SCHIP and have yet to enroll in either program.

While these results are quite favorable, health care costs are beginning to rise again. This makes employers reassess the benefits they offer employees. This is also taking place at the end of a recession and a slow recovery with little job growth.

Who are the 76,000 uninsured?

- $76 \%$ are over the age of 17 - $32 \%$ with household income over $\$ 50,000$
- $61 \%$ are male - $65 \%$ are working
- $81 \%$ are White - $7 \%$ are self-employed
- $13 \%$ are Hispanic
- $72 \%$ own or are buying their home
- $14 \%$ live alone
- $10 \%$ are non-citizens
- $83 \%$ are above the poverty line


## Introduction

The Delaware Health Care Commission has, since its inception, been concerned about access to health care for all Delawareans. While that is not its only focus, since the Commission's mandate is broad, improving access to health care is a primary goal. Access to health care has several dimensions. One of those dimensions is covered in this report, and that is health insurance coverage. Those with health insurance typically enjoy greater access to health care providers than do those who are without it.

Persons who do not have health insurance are still likely to require medical care at some point in time. When they do require such services, their condition may be significantly worse than had it been detected and addressed at an earlier stage. In addition, the uninsured will tend to use one of the most expensive providers, the emergency room. Ultimately, providers must cover all of their costs. Services delivered to the insured and the uninsured alike, figure into that cost. As a result, some of the cost of services provided to the uninsured is shifted to the insured population. This raises the overall cost of fringe benefits to employers.

To better understand the nature of the uninsured population, the Delaware Health Care Commission has been monitoring its size and structure for a number of years. This report is a significant update and offers both new information and analysis. It adds information for the year 2002 to the database. This is the first year in which the estimates for the most recent threeyear averages fully reflect data that formally verifies a person's health insurance status. This change was first introduced in 2000. Data gathered in years prior to 2000 was adjusted indirectly to produce a consistent time series. Also, the Current Population Survey was increased in size by about 500 households, in order to provide better estimates of the impact of the SCHIP program. This has the effect of decreasing sampling error in the entire survey as well.

The report has three major sections. In the first section, the current status of the uninsured in Delaware and the region (DE, MD, PA, NJ, and NY) is discussed. A time series, beginning in 1982 and ending in 2002 is used to show any trends. The second section focuses on the labor market in Delaware and existing and future trends that might affect employer provided health coverage. The third section contains information on health insurance coverage for a variety of demographic variables. The implications of current demographic trends are also considered in this section.

## The Uninsured

## Background

Two primary sources of data are available for measuring access to health insurance in Delaware. The first source is the March Current Population Survey (CPS), conducted annually by the U.S. Bureau of Census. The second source is the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, conducted monthly for the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention by the Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research at the University of Delaware, through the Delaware Division of Public Health. Both sources are valuable in their own right, but each has associated advantages and disadvantages.

The CPS is conducted monthly throughout the nation and is designed to measure the unemployment rate and other employment related statistics for the 50 states and the nation. Some 78,265 households were interviewed in the sample in March 2002 and data was gathered on 217,219 persons in those households. Each month, the basic employment information is gathered along with optional information that changes from month to month. The March CPS is usually referred to as the annual demographic file, since it captures a broad array of demographic information along with basic employment data. Part of that demographic information concerns health insurance coverage.

In Delaware, the 2002 March CPS involved 1,001 households monthly, containing 2,817 persons. This sample size is sufficient for producing statewide estimates on a wide variety of demographic indicators. When measuring the percentage of the population without health insurance, for example, the accuracy is approximately $+/-0.8 \%$. Three-year averages can be reported reliably at the county level although the accuracy is less.

The health insurance questions were added to the CPS in 1982. There were modifications to the questions in 1989 and again in 1995. However, a consistent data series can be constructed in spite of the changes. One aspect of the health insurance questions, time frame, is important to understand, since it differs between the three primary sources of data. The questions on the CPS are asked with reference to the previous year. Thus, in March 2002, respondents were asked about health insurance coverage in 2001. However, there is considerable evidence to suggest that the responses given are highly correlated with their current health insurance status or at least to
the current quarter. The U.S. Bureau of Census conducted significant parallel testing between the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) and the Current Population Survey. The SIPP sample of households is part of a panel that is re-interviewed quarterly for more than two years. Thus, the survey is able to more accurately follow the respondent's health insurance status over time. The comparisons of estimates of health insurance coverage obtained from the CPS show a strong relationship between the SIPP responses and the CPS responses at the time the questions were asked. Thus, for purposes of this report, the year referenced in the tables and text always refers to the year in which the survey was conducted.

The second source of health insurance information is the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). The survey has been carried out by the Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research since 1990. The sample consists of residents of the state who are 18 years old or older. Each month approximately 330 households are contacted statewide and then an adult respondent is randomly chosen from within each household to be interviewed. The survey is wide-ranging. Among the questions asked are whether the person being interviewed currently has health coverage. If they are not covered, they are asked how much time has elapsed since they were covered. The limitation of BRFSS is that it only represents adults. However, the sample size is sufficient to obtain county level estimates that are more accurate than those that can now be obtained from the CPS.

The third source of information about health insurance status comes from the Consumer Assessment of Health Plans survey of 1800 Delaware adults conducted annually since 1998. The Delaware Healthcare Commission currently sponsors this work. While the sample is smaller than the BRFSS, the CAHPS contains information about the uninsured and their healthcare not found in either BRFSS or the CPS. Together the BRFSS, CAHPS, and the CPS provide a powerful set of data for understanding the health insurance problems in Delaware today. A comparison of the three measures of the uninsured among Delaware's adults is provided in the figure below.

The figure clearly shows that the CPS estimates have been above those of the other two surveys during this five-year period. As the verification information was added to the CPS survey, those estimates became congruent with CAHPS and BRFSS. When the 2003 CPS data becomes available later in 2003, the nature of the relationship between the three sources will be even clearer.

Figure 1-1
Comparison of the Uninsured Measured by Alternative Data Sources


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1999-2002
Delaware Health Care Commission, 1998-2002 CAHPS Survey
Delaware Health and Social Services, 1998-2002 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

In the balance of this section, the current estimates of the uninsured will be presented. In addition, time series information will be used to show trends contained within those estimates. Finally, county level estimates will be provided along with a comparison of Delaware with the larger region.

## The Uninsured 1982-2002

The point estimates for the number of persons without health insurance from 1982 to 2002 are shown in Figure 1-2 below. The term "point estimate" is used here to describe the results obtained from the CPS for a single year. There are several general observations that can be made about the information contained in this figure. First, the number of persons without

Figure 1-2

## Estimated Persons without Health Insurance in the State of Delaware



# Calendar Year <br> QTotal Population Uninsured 

Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1982-2002

Figure 1-3
Estimated Persons without Health Insurance in the State of Delaware (3-year average)


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1982-2002
health insurance in $2001(82,000)$ increased somewhat during the past year. Last year's estimate could have been the result of random variation since it represented a substantial drop from the prior year.

Second, while the number of uninsured has remained reasonably stable, the population of Delaware has increased by more than 197,000 since 1982. Had the number of uninsured kept pace with population growth, there would have been more than 43,000 additional persons without health insurance in 2001 based on the one-year estimate. Clearly, there are other factors operating that impact the number of uninsured apart from population growth.

Figure 1-3 shows the same information as a three-year moving average. This tends to remove some of the year-to-year fluctuations that are due to random variation associated with sample surveys. The number of uninsured varies between 80,000 and 97,000 over the entire period, which is a relatively small range given that the standard error is about 13,000 . The sudden increase in the 1996 estimate appears to have been a statistical artifact that was not confirmed in either 1997 or 1998 (see Figure 1.1above). A similar pattern occurred in 1999-2001. The 3-year average tends to moderate those movements.

Figure 1-4

## Percent of Persons without Health Insurance for Delaware and the Region



Area
-Delaware -Region
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1982-2002
The point estimates for the proportion of the population without health insurance, shown in Figure 1-4 above, have also shown distinct improvement since their recent peak in 1996. The
rate has fallen over the years from about $15 \%$ in the 1982-1987 time period to approximately $10.0 \%$ in the early 2000s. Some of this is undoubtedly due to legislative and policy initiatives, but at least some of the shift may be attributed to favorable demographics. In either case, Delaware is better off.

Also found in Figure 1-4 are comparative rates for the region which includes Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and New York. From 1982 through 1992 Delaware's percentage of uninsured tended to be about $2 \%$ higher than that calculated for the entire region. However, as the graph shows, the percentage in the region began to rise after 1989 and has been flat or higher until very recently. Delaware's rates, although more variable, tended to fall during the same period. At least part of this has to do with Delaware's economy, until recently a job creation machine that was even able to absorb the impact of major job cuts by some of the state's larger employers. The CHIP program and the liberalization of Medicaid also contributed to the decline.

Figure 1-5

## Percent of Persons without Health Insurance in Delaware by County (3-year average)



Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002
Since 1996, the Census Bureau has provided county level identifiers on the CPS data.
The sample sizes are sufficient to produce some rudimentary estimates at the county level. Since the sample sizes are small in Kent and Sussex counties, more random variation can be expected. The percentage of uninsured in each county is found in Figure 1-5, above. These three-year
averages show significant differences between the county rates. Residents of New Castle County enjoy the lowest rate consistently during the three-year period. Kent County is highest, with the percentage of uninsured reaching more than almost $15 \%$ for the 1998-2000 period. Kent County residents are more than $40 \%$ more likely to be without insurance than those in New Castle County. This, in part, is attributable to less robust economic conditions in Kent County, which have persisted for sometime.

Figure 1-6
Persons without Health Insurance in Delaware by County (3-year average)


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002
The estimates of uninsured persons by county are provided in Figure 1-6, above. New Castle County residents are the most numerous even though the rate is significantly lower. Almost $60 \%$ of the uninsured reside in New Castle County. The only major change is a substantial reduction in the uninsured living in Sussex County.

There are several interesting questions that can be addressed by either the BRFSS or the CAHPS, information particularly about those who are without health insurance. Those respondents were asked, "About how long has it been since you had health coverage?" Their answers are displayed in Figure 1-7, below. The data is reported as a three year average since there is a great deal of variability in the responses given the sample size is constrained to the number of persons currently without health insurance. Even with that constraint, the results are quite consistent. A little more than $37 \%$ of the uninsured respondents report being without
insurance for up to a year. These data suggest that the majority (almost 63\%) of Delaware's uninsured adults have remained uninsured for a significant amount of time. The longer the period an individual is without coverage, the higher the likelihood that they will develop a need for medical services.

Figure 1-7
Length of Time without Health Insurance in Delaware 1998-2002


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware Delaware Health Care Commission, 1998-2002 CAHPS Survey

If $63 \%$ of adult Delawareans remain uninsured for one year or more, there is a high likelihood that they may need medical services of some kind. In addition, it is also likely that routine preventative measures may be overlooked. The BRFSS gives some insight to this issue in a question addressed to all respondents. They were asked if they had needed to see a doctor in the past 12 months but could not because of the cost. Their answers are tabulated in Figure 1-8, below.

About $5 \%$ of the people who currently had health insurance answered affirmatively to that question. In contrast, those currently uninsured were six times more likely to say that they had to forego a visit with a doctor. Those same results apply equally well across the three counties.

Figure 1-8
Needed a Doctor but too Costly
by Insurance Status and County


Insurance Status
$\square$ All $\square$ Insured $\square$ Uninsured
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware Delaware Health and Social Services, 2000-2002 Behavioral Risk Factor Survey

Figure 1-9
Health Status
by Insurance Status


[^0] Delaware Health and Social Services, 2000-2002 Behavioral Risk Factor Survey

There is also reason to be concerned about the uninsured and their need for medical coverage. They may need a doctor more often if their health status is less positive than those who are insured. Evidence to this possibility is found in Figure 1-9 above, where the uninsured tend to be less optimistic about their health status.

Figure 1-10
Emergency Room Use by Insurance Status


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware Delaware Health and Social Services, 2002 Behavioral Risk Factor Survey

One other often mentioned feature of the uninsured is that they tend to use expensive health services, the emergency room. This position is supported by the data displayed in Figure 110 above. A person who reports being without insurance during the last year is far more likely to use the emergency room than their insured counterparts. The data suggest that 10,000 uninsured people could potentially arrive at Delaware's emergency rooms in a typical year.

Finally, it is useful to understand something about how people obtain their health coverage. This can be particularly important in determining the amount of influence government policy can have on Delaware's population. Figure 1-11 below shows that Delawareans get their health insurance in many different ways. Excluding the 76,000 uninsured, about 197,000 people receive their health insurance through one of three government programs, Medicare, Medicaid, or one of several military sources (CHAMPUS). Medicare estimates are lower than what the state actually has enrolled which is over 100,000 . The difference is partly from the fact that people use
multiple sources of insurance during the year and a recognized tendency of the CPS to underestimate this number.

The public sector at all levels insures some 77,000 residents. Within the private sector there are two distinct groups. The large employers (more than 500 employees) are largely selfinsured and don't utilize the insurance market in a conventional way. These account for the largest single group of residents numbering more than 215,000 . The balance, some 219,000 obtain their insurance through smaller employers who purchase various group plans in the insurance market or obtain insurance as individuals.

Figure 1-11
Number of Persons in Delaware by Source of Insurance


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

One interesting feature of this information, not found in Figure 1-11, is that many people report having multiple sources of health insurance over the year. For example in 2001, 13.2\% of the population reported receiving Medicare, but only $4.6 \%$ say that Medicare was the only source of insurance that they had during the year. Similarly, $10.4 \%$ reported Medicaid as their source of coverage, but only $4.4 \%$ said that it was their only means of coverage. These two situations probably represent two different dynamics. Medicare recipients are quite often carrying additional insurance to cover any medical services not handled by that program. Medicaid recipients, on the other hand, seem to be more likely to move from some type of group coverage to Medicaid and back again as their life situation changes.

In conclusion, it should be noted that, while at any point there are approximately $8.9 \%$ of Delawareans uninsured, the proportion that are uninsured at some point during the year is closer to $18 \%$ based on national statistics. The same statistic derived from the Survey of Income and Program Participation, points to a median time without coverage of 7.1 months. This rate is lower than the one shown in Figure 1-6 above because children, who are less likely to experience periods without coverage, are included in the estimate. Overall, it appears that health insurance coverage in Delaware continues in the right direction and, with the addition of Medicaid managed care and the Children's Health Insurance Program, the proportion of uninsured Delawareans will at least be stable absent changes in other demographic and economic variables.

## Labor Market Issues

## Background

Health care coverage is inexorably linked to an individual's employment status along with the type and size of firm for which they work. Many Delawareans have recently experienced more instability in their labor market activity and this has, inevitably, affected aspects of their coverage. The factors producing this increased instability are varied and are both national and international in scope. There are, however, some basic trends that are important to understand since they are affecting and will continue to affect health care coverage in the years to come.

Figure 2-1
US Non-Agricultural Employment: Selected Sectors 1939-2002


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Labor Statistics
In Figure 2-1 above, the total employment for the United States from 1939 through 2002 is shown along with three of the ten employment sectors namely: manufacturing, services, and FIRE (finance, insurance, and real estate). The graph clearly shows the impact that the business cycle has had on total employment in the mid-1970s, the early 1980s, and the early 1990s. All of these economic events are associated with rapid increases in the percentage of persons without health coverage. The more subtle influence is related to the change in the structure of
employment. Manufacturing employment reached its peak in the late 1970s and has been in a steady but very shallow decline for the most part. Service industry employment increased steadily over the entire period and began accelerating its growth when manufacturing employment was at its peak. In 1981, service sector employment surpassed manufacturing employment and today it accounts for nearly twice as much employment as manufacturing. This trend will probably continue unabated for the foreseeable future.

Figure 2-2
Delaware Non-Agricultural Employment:
Selected Sectors 1939-2002


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Labor Statistics, Delaware Department of Labor

The pattern was similar in Delaware, although the recession of the mid-1970s was more severe and the later ones were perhaps less damaging than they had been nationwide. For instance, statewide manufacturing employment peaked during 1989. This marked the end of the expansion of the 1980s. Since then, the number of manufacturing jobs available to Delawareans has dropped significantly and continues to fall even today. In 1986, four years after it happened nationally, statewide service industry employment surpassed manufacturing employment. The rate of growth in service sector employment in recent years has slowed somewhat compared with the rate for the U.S. but this has been offset by the incredible growth in the FIRE sector.

Employment in the FIRE sector clearly exploded after the passage of the Financial Center Development Act in the early 1980s. It continued to grow dramatically until the 1990-1991 recession. To most observers' surprise, the growth re-ignited in 1992 and continued until 2000 when the economic downturn began. A comparison of the trends in Figure 2-1 and Figure 2-2 show this to be a Delaware phenomenon.

Figure 2-3
Average Annual Earnings by Sector, Age, and Education


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware US Bureau of Census Current Population Survey, March 2000-2002

The importance of these inter-sector employment shifts is shown in Figure 2-3 above.
Figure 2-3 shows the average annual earnings by age, education, and industrial sector. The top two lines represent annual earnings for college graduates in the manufacturing and service sector respectively. The bottom two lines depict the same information for high school graduates in the same two sectors.

The graph shows a difference of more than $\$ 40,000$ in annual earnings between the two sectors for both levels of education. If the same health care benefits were offered in both sectors, the cost to employers would be a much larger proportion of the annual salary in the service sector than in manufacturing. This suggests that employees in the service sector will likely be offered fewer benefits.

In addition, those employed in manufacturing are much more likely to be represented in a collective bargaining unit, a union. They are also more likely to work full-time with significant overtime, which further reduces the impact of the cost of benefits on total compensation. In contrast, service sector workers are more likely to be employed by non-union companies and are much more likely to work part-time. These factors, coupled with the increasing number of service sector workers relative to the number of manufacturing workers will tend to increase the number of uninsured or under-insured people.

## Firm Sector and Size

There are significant differences in both the level and pattern of the uninsured, depending upon the type of industry in which an individual is employed. For instance, according to Figure 24 below, construction workers frequently report being uninsured. Although it may be noted that some construction workers are unionized, and are usually provided health coverage, many more are either employed by a non-union company or are self-employed. Overall, it is estimated that about $25 \%$ of all construction workers are uninsured.

Figure 2-4
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance in Delaware by Industrial Sector


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Many persons employed in the trade industry (retail and wholesale) also find themselves without health coverage. Because this sector is not heavily unionized and is reliant on a large number of part-time workers (most of whom do not qualify for a typical health insurance
package), it is not unexpected that an estimated $17 \%$ of those employed in the trade industry currently lack health coverage. The data since 1996 suggest that the trend for this industry is either declining or stabilizing.

Of the other industries represented in Figure 2-4, approximately $10 \%$ of all those employed in the service industry are not offered access to health insurance as part of a benefits package. This number appears to be declining or at least stabilizing over the period. This probably reflects the changing nature of the service industry.

Roughly 7\% of those employed in manufacturing and FIRE do not have health coverage. However, the proportion uninsured in the FIRE sector that had appeared to be increasing, has now stabilized.

Finally, it also should be pointed out that the differences in coverage between industries are among the largest observed for any variable in this report. The importance of this information relates to the changing structure of the economy. As employment shifts from manufacturing to the service sector, the percentage of uninsured workers increases by more than $3 \%$. The importance of the FIRE sector in Delaware cannot be over estimated at least with respect to health coverage. As the percentage of uninsured in the region has risen, Delaware's rate has either been falling or remaining steady. This appears, in large part, to be related to the accelerating FIRE sector and to a less rapidly growing service sector.

The other important inter-sector shift that is subtler is associated with the nature of downsizing in Delaware's manufacturing sector. A significant portion of those employees who were "downsized" belonged to headquarters support operations as opposed to the factory floor. In many cases, those same employees started or joined firms that supplied services to their previous employer who simply wanted to "out-source" those functions. Many of these new jobs are classified as business services, part of the service sector, and are far from the typical "hamburger flipper" often discussed in the media. This has produced increases in annual earnings in the service sector that bodes well for benefit programs in the future.

Figure 2-5

## Percent of Persons without Health Insurance in the US <br> by Size of Firm



Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Employees who work for small firms (under 25 employees) are far less likely to have health insurance than those that work for large firms (more than 1000 employees). Figure 2-5 above shows this relationship.

The graph shows that there are two distinct groupings: (1) firms with less than 25 employees where the percentage without health insurance is $19 \%$ and (2) firms with more than 500 employees where the percentage of those without health insurance is about $7 \%$. The larger firms are perhaps more likely to be unionized at least to the extent that larger firms have a higher probability of being in sectors such as manufacturing. They are also more likely to pay higher wages, which makes the relative cost of health insurance more tolerable. From a tax perspective, the provision of health insurance also provides a convenient way to increase total compensation.

It appears, at least from the national perspective, that those working for smallest firms have improved their health insurance coverage in comparison with five years ago. This trend may reflect the fact that the economy expanded for almost ten years. The same cannot be said for larger firms (100-999), however. One explanation for this lack of improvement is the lack of increases in wages nationally and the restructuring and cost cutting practiced by most firms, in order to produce significant increases in earnings.

In conclusion, these data suggest that any effort to increase coverage must focus on smaller firms. Those firms will tend to provide lower levels of compensation, will probably use more part-time employees, and may offer less stable employment. However, they are growing faster and becoming a bigger part of the economy. This fact may tend to mitigate some of the negative factors over time. On the other hand, the large firms with better coverage are becoming smaller and that does not help the long-term outlook. There is no doubt, however, that all of these factors will tend to make the goal of better access to health care a challenge for the foreseeable future.

## Employment Status and Class

Some form of group health insurance covers approximately $70 \%$ of all Delawareans. The majority of them are covered through their employer and therefore any disruption in employment will undoubtedly increase the likelihood that coverage will lapse. Coverage may not automatically lapse since another worker in the family may also cover them, or the employees may extend the coverage through payments themselves, or the individual may qualify for some government plan like Medicaid or Medicare. Still, the disruption is significant as is shown in Figure 2-6, below.

The information reported in Figure 2-6 shows that the probability of being without health insurance increases by nearly a factor of four when the individual is unemployed. The percentage on the average rises from about $8 \%$ to in the vicinity of $27 \%$ as the individual's employment status changes. There is considerably more volatility in the estimates in Kent and Sussex counties because of small sample sizes, but the relationship mirrors that in New Castle County where sample size is not a problem. While those that are self-employed are also found in relatively small numbers in the BRFSS survey, the lack of health insurance is at least twice as prevalent as that of those with traditional employment. This year there is little observable difference between the counties with respect to the self-employed.

Figure 2-6

## Percent of Adults without Health Insurance in Delaware by County and Employment Status



Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
Delaware Health and Social Services, 1996-2002 Behavioral Risk Factor Survey

The other piece of information that deserves comment is the relative differences between the coverage for employed workers in the three counties. The rate in New Castle County is significantly lower than those observed in Kent and Sussex counties. Following the earlier argument, this probably arises from differences in the economic base, since larger firms with higher wages and more stable employment are located primarily in the northern part of the state.

In Figure 2-7 below, further evidence is found about the relationship between insurance coverage and employment status. In this analysis, the receipt of unemployment compensation is used as an indicator of an interruption of employment at some point during the year. In both Delaware and the region, there is a significant rise in the lack of health coverage associated with receiving benefits. While the effect is more muted than in Figure 2-6, where a more direct measure was available, the percentage is always higher in the region where the sample size permits a better estimate.

Figure 2-7
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Receipt of Unemployment Compensation and Area


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

The final graph in this section of the report represents the percentage of workers without health insurance in Delaware and the region as indicated by three broad classes namely: private sector workers, government workers, and the self-employed. In Figure 2-8 below, Delaware workers in the private sector average more than $3 \%$ fewer uninsured than those in the region. Within the private sector, Delaware seems to be improving slightly over the time period, which is consistent with the increase in workers in the FIRE sector. The rates in the region, for the private sector, have stabilized and recently may have declined slightly.

It is no surprise that government employees both in Delaware and the region are far more likely to have health insurance than the private sector in general. Government rates are comparable with very large private sector firms operating in a unionized work place. The only government workers who are likely to lack coverage are temporary/part-time workers or private contractors.

Figure 2-8

## Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Class of Worker and Area



Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002
A more interesting structural shift, which has been underway for some time, is that government workers are representing a smaller proportion of the labor force, since that sector is growing less rapidly than employment overall. This implies that the percentage of uninsured workers will tend to rise, even if all the rates within these classes remain constant.

The information about the self-employed corroborates the information from the BRFSS discussed earlier. The data for the region, however, shows that the significant upward trend previously identified has moderated. There is a variety of potential explanations. One reason, which is consistent with other data, is that tight labor markets have allowed many of those previously classified as "self-employed" to find work and to gain benefits. Those that remain selfemployed are likely to be financially stronger and better able to obtain health insurance.

## Demographic Characteristics

## Background

Labor market characteristics are only some of the variables that play a role in influencing the proportion of people without health insurance. Demographic variables also may help explain a population's lack of health insurance. Others simply provide a convenient method for describing this condition among subsets of the population. Both will be addressed in this section.

Before returning to the health insurance issue, a few important factors driving population growth need to be addressed. In the first section of the report, it was reported that the number of uninsured had remained reasonably stable while the population increased substantially. There are, however, some recent indications, also discussed in the previous section, that future population increases could be accompanied by increasing numbers of uninsured. For that reason, it is important to understand how Delaware is growing.

Figure 3-1
Population of Delaware and Counties


In Figure 3-1 above, the pattern of population growth for the state and for each county is shown from the first U.S. census in 1790 through the current 30 -year projection in 2030. The
state grew at a fairly steady rate from 1840 to 1950 , when population growth began to explode. This pattern continued unabated for 20 years until the oil-crisis induced recession and the migration to the "sun-belt" began. Population growth resumed in 1980, although at a much slower rate, and is predicted to continue to grow at rates around $1 \%$ annually. Kent County continues to grow slowly at rates that are consistent with those of the state in the last century. However, Sussex County has been growing at a rate of $3 \%$ per year, which approaches those observed in New Castle County during 1950-1970.

If current conditions continue, this population growth would likely generate another 15,000-20,000 uninsured persons over the next 30 years. But, current conditions, especially those in the labor market, are unlikely to continue. In fact, global competition and pressure on production costs may cause employers to rethink the total compensation package. The structural changes in the labor market alone will probably lead to an increase in the uninsured. Legislative changes and innovative government programs may also act to mitigate any increase in those numbers. However, it is difficult to speculate as to how these different factors will average out.

Figure 3-2
Sources of Population Growth in Delaware
Thousands


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware

Figure 3-2 above illustrates the components of Delaware's population growth since 1980. The darkest (blue) line in the graph represents annual population growth. It has been as little as 2,000 persons in 1982, at the end of the recession, and as much as 13,000 persons just after the economy peaked in 1990.

Overall growth is dependent upon two components: natural increase and net migration. Natural increase is the number of births to Delaware residents less the number of Delaware residents that die. That quantity is represented by the lightest curve in Figure 3-2 and has been around 4,500 per year until the "baby boomlet" started in 1985 and ended in 1991.

Net migration, which is the result of persons moving into Delaware less persons moving out of Delaware, is clearly the volatile component of the growth picture. It has moved from net out-migration in 1980 of -5000 to a high of +8000 net in-migration at the peak of the economic cycle. It then fell during the recession years of the early 1990s and today accounts for more than half of all population growth. From these data, it is easy to see that Delaware's population growth is heavily influenced by local labor market conditions. Delaware's economy has consistently produced unemployment rates below those for the nation and region and has continued to generate new jobs sufficient to attract net in-migration. The characteristics of those jobs, in particular their health benefits, can and probably have affected coverage rates in Delaware.

## Household Composition

The size and structure of the households, within which individuals live, has much to do with the probability of having health care coverage. Each of the variables addressed in this section, to include household size, marital status, and relationship to head of household, give a slightly different slant on the problem. Figure 3-3 below, contains information about the percentage of uninsured in relation to household size within Delaware and the region. The most disadvantaged group is the single person household. The percentage of uninsured is well above the proportions for most of the other categories. Single person households also fare somewhat worse in Delaware than in the region. Those individuals are somewhat disadvantaged since there is no second worker in the household to share the risk of losing coverage. They are also more likely to be a younger person at the low-end of the life cycle of earnings and are more likely to work in a job that does not provide health insurance coverage. Of course, the rate is reduced somewhat by older persons living alone who are covered by Medicare.

Figure 3-3

## Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Household Size and Area



Household Size by Area
$\square 1996$-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998$-2000 $\square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Two and four person households were least likely to report lacking health coverage. The two-person household has a high probability of being a married couple with two incomes. The four-person household is also likely to have two working adults within it. The three-person household is a mixed picture since it also includes a single parent with two minor children, thus the risk of being without coverage rises. Overall the relationship between household size and the lack of health insurance coverage in Delaware tracks well with that of the region.

Marital status is closely linked to household size and composition. This relationship can be easily seen in Figure 3-4 below. For instance, the lowest rates observed over the period, usually under $4 \%$, are reported by the widowed. This is expected since the largest majority of this group is qualified for Medicare. Thus, age may have more to do with their higher insurance rate than marital status. Married people have the next lowest rate, $6.4 \%$. Married couples, with or without children, usually have two chances to obtain coverage. That may not be true if one spouse is not in the labor force or only works part-time. Still, the probabilities of having health insurance increases and household members are more likely to be protected against the loss of coverage during times when one or the other is unemployed.

Figure 3-4
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Marital Status and Area


Marital Status by Area
$\square$ 1996-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998-2000 \square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002
Younger adults heavily populate the "never married" category and, as will be explained later, are less likely to have coverage. For this reason, their risk of being uninsured is more than twice that of a married person.

The last two groups, which are usually one-adult households, are interesting for different reasons. First, the "separated" group in Delaware is quite volatile but has been declining. It is now about the same as that observed for the younger, "never married" category. This group is typically a transitional one and the person will probably move on to the divorced category. The separated person's lack of coverage is only slightly higher than that of the divorced person. Presumably this convergence is related to legal arrangements made to retain coverage until a final disposition of the marriage is reached. Once the person is divorced, the probability of having coverage will depend in large part on the person's labor force status. It should be kept in mind that a significant number of people in this category are making major transitions and may suffer significant income losses. Interestingly, Delawareans in this category are significantly better off than their regional counterparts.

Figure 3-5
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance in Delaware by Relationship to Head


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

The final demographic variable in this series is relationship to the head of household.
Figure 3-5 above depicts its association with the risk of being without health insurance. There are, once again, two distinct groupings. First, there are the typical spouses and minor children whose risk levels are around $6 \%$. (This group of children excludes many who are not the children of the head of household but are living in the house.) The head group also includes all of those single person households whose risks were also elevated. This is the reason why the spouse group has about a $2 \%$ less risk of being without health insurance. Minor children are dependent on the adult(s) health insurance coverage and there may be either one or two adults in the household. Thus, the risk will always be higher than that for the spouse group where there must be two married adults in the household.

The second major grouping includes adult offspring who are living at their parent's home, relatives or non-related persons. The risk level for all three groups is more than twice that of the first group. With the exception of full-time students who still might be covered by their parent's insurance, all will require health insurance through some other means. The fact that they are adults living in a household, where they are not the head or spouse in the household, suggests that they are less likely to be active labor force participants. In addition, there are many children in these groups as well.

Taken together these demographic variables point in the same direction. Does the person have multiple opportunities to obtain health insurance coverage? For instance, households that contain two married adults have a lower risk not only for themselves, but also for any minor children. Unfortunately, demographic trends do not favor this model. First, from 1990 to 2000 the number of single person households rose from $23 \%$ of all households to $25 \%$ and is continuing to grow. Second, those living in non-family households rose from $13 \%$ in 1990 to $16 \%$ in 2000 . The number of married couple households with or without children has fallen from $57 \%$ in 1990 to $51 \%$ in 2000 . Finally, the number of children under the age of 18 living with only one parent has risen from $19 \%$ to $26 \%$ over the decade. None of these trends favors reducing the risk of being without health insurance coverage and it is unlikely that those trends will be easily reversed.

## Age Structure

By and large, age appears to be a factor that influences the probability a person has health coverage. The most obvious example is the relationship between age and one's eligibility to qualify for Medicare, i.e. the person is 65 years old or older. Thus, the question for that age group must focus on the extent of coverage and not on its existence.

Because the majority of persons 65 years and older have access to health coverage, only the percentage of persons without health insurance coverage for the other age groups is found in Figure 3-6 below. In both Delaware and the region, dependent children, those under the age of 18 , have the lowest risk of being uninsured. Only about $7 \%$ of them are estimated to lack health coverage. Their uninsured rate is somewhat higher than it was in Figure 3-5, which imposed the additional requirement that they also live in and were related to the head of household. Thus, it should be remembered that the following graph contains information for all children, regardless of their living arrangement. Only recently has the CHIP program affected these measurements.

For a variety of reasons, persons aged 18-29 were most likely to report being uninsured. In both the state and the region, the risk of not having health coverage for this group approaches $22 \%$. There is really no improvement in the time series presented here. This group suffers from a multitude of disadvantages. First, they are more likely to be unmarried. Second, they are more likely to hold lower paying jobs which provide no health benefits. Third, because their income levels are generally lower, it is often difficult for them to purchase private insurance. Fourth, since they are generally healthy, it may seem reasonable not to expend the additional resources
needed to purchase health coverage. As this group ages into the next group, aged 30-64, the risk begins to fall as those disadvantages recede.

Figure 3-6
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Age Group and Area


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Given these very predictable differences, the way the age distribution changes over time will have a definite impact on the overall level of health insurance coverage in Delaware. This progression is found in Figure 3-7 below. In 2000, the largest age group is 40-64 and contains about $30 \%$ of the population. This group contains the boomers and will continue to be the largest population cohort through the next 30 years.

There are several observations to be made about Figure 3-7 below. First, the proportion of the population ages 0-19 and 20-39 decreases steadily over the coming decades. The falling proportions in these groups are part of the reason Delaware's health coverage rates have been stable. As the proportion of population in the two oldest groups increases, overall risk of being uninsured should fall. As the "baby boomers" age (and they represent a significant part of the age distribution), their overall risk level should decrease. The real issue, therefore, will be economic conditions in the state and in the nation as this huge group reaches what would normally be their peak earning years.

Figure 3-7
Age Structure in Delaware
1950-2030


Age Groups

| $\square 0-19 \quad \square 20-39 \quad \square 40-64 \quad \square 65+$ |
| :---: |

Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
Delaware Population Consortium, October 2002

Will they be the victims of another round of downsizing? Will they become frustrated with the lack of advancement since there are so many competing for the same jobs? Will they turn to self-employment as a means of increasing their standard of living? All of these are unknown at this point but are likely to have an effect either positive or negative on health insurance coverage. This aging population will also put pressure on health care costs and will probably alter the behavior of employers.

## Income and Education

Economic wellbeing has two different effects on the probability of having health insurance coverage. At the low end of the income spectrum, there are programs such as Medicaid available as part of the social safety net. Individuals at the high end of the income spectrum have the assets and income that allow them to be unconcerned about insuring their health. They can afford to take the risk. The biggest problem arises among those that do not qualify for a government program, cannot afford insurance, and certainly cannot pay the medical bills if their luck runs out. Figure 3-8 below provides data with respect to annual income and lack of health insurance.

Figure 3-8

## Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Household Income and Area



Income Level by Area
$\square 1996$-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998-2000 \square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$

## Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Persons whose annual income is under $\$ 20,000$ per year have a risk of about 1 in 5 of being without health insurance coverage. In the lowest income category, Delaware averages about the same as the region as a whole. As income increases, the percentage of persons without coverage falls. At the $\$ 50,000$ and over level, about $6 \%$ or 1 in 16 are without health insurance and some of those may have sufficient assets to warrant self-insurance. This strong relationship undoubtedly represents the fact that health insurance as a percentage of total compensation falls as income rises and thus holders of those jobs are likely to be given those benefits.

Poverty is a function of two variables, household income and household size. It is poverty status that tends to be used to define who is eligible for government health insurance programs. In Figure 3-9 below data are found relating poverty to the lack of health insurance coverage. There seems to be very little difference between those below poverty and the near poverty group, which is between 1.0 and 1.5 of the poverty level. The effect of Medicaid serves to keep the rate somewhat lower for those below poverty than it would be in the absence of the program. Some people in the second group also qualify for Medicaid, but the proportion is smaller than in the below poverty group. The trend for the lowest group is in the right direction.

Figure 3-9

## Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Poverty Level and Area



Poverty Level by Area
$\square$ 1996-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998$-2000 $\square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Overall, the percentage of persons without health insurance falls as the distance from the below poverty group increases. The lowest level of risk appears to be experienced by households with incomes above $\$ 47,000$, the median household income in Delaware. Finally, the rates in Delaware are roughly comparable to those in the region. However, there does seem to be a steady decrease in the proportion of persons without health insurance in the poverty group in Delaware, while the regional proportion has increased for that group. Increased Medicaid coverage in Delaware is probably the reason.

Table 3-1
Persons by Poverty Status, Age Group, and Health Insurance Coverage (3-year average 2000-2002)

| Poverty | $0-18 \mathrm{All}$ | $0-18 \mathrm{No} \mathrm{HI}$ | $19+$ | $19+$ No HI |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Not Measured | 2,989 | 1,293 | 0 | 0 |
| under 0.50 | 10,667 | 1,242 | 14,038 | 4,252 |
| 0.50 to 0.74 | 8,314 | 472 | 9,984 | 2,443 |
| 0.75 to 0.99 | 11,024 | 824 | 13,761 | 3,224 |
| 1.00 to 1.24 | 7,906 | 2,033 | 15,680 | 4,277 |
| 1.25 to 1.49 | 11,304 | 309 | 22,731 | 3,453 |
| 1.50 to 1.74 | 10,106 | 1,505 | 22,829 | 3,015 |
| 1.75 to 1.99 | 7,779 | 1,051 | 19,265 | 3,878 |
| 2.00 to 2.49 | 23,931 | 1,366 | 44,599 | 6,771 |
| 2.50 to 2.99 | 18,681 | 1,577 | 46,061 | 4,947 |
| 3.00 to 3.49 | 17,029 | 680 | 49,616 | 6,108 |
| 3.50 to 3.99 | 16,211 | 488 | 43,985 | 4,825 |
| 4.00 to 4.49 | 13,455 | 1,133 | 35,577 | 3,110 |
| 4.50 to 4.99 | 14,389 | 389 | 38,202 | 1,598 |
| $5.00 \&$ over | 41,542 | 1,740 | 193,275 | 8,297 |
| Totals | 215,328 | 16,103 | 569,603 | 60,197 |

Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 2000-2002
In Table 3-1 above, the distribution of persons by poverty, age, and health insurance status is shown. A three-year moving average is used to reduce the sampling variability. These data have particular meaning for those charged with providing healthcare to those 18 years and younger in Delaware. The table shows that an estimated 16,103 are without health insurance. Of those, only 2,538 are officially classified as being under the poverty line, and over $45 \%$ are above 2.00 times the poverty line. The very first line in the table shows those without insurance for which poverty measures are not provided, e.g. foster children. In Delaware, these children would have separate Medicaid eligibility.

Another measure of economic wellbeing is the accumulation of assets. One such measure of that accumulation is home ownership. Those results are found in Figure 3-10, below. The graph shows that for renters, the percentage of those without coverage is about twice the rate for those who own or are buying their principal place of residence. That pattern is confirmed by the results for the region, which are quite comparable to those reported for Delaware. Certainly, this finding is not unexpected given that renters tend to be younger and have lower incomes, both

Figure 3-10
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Home Ownership and Area


Home Ownership by Area
$\square$ 1996-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998$-2000 $\square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Figure 3-11
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Years of Education and Area
Percent


Years of Education by Area
1996-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998-2000 \square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002
factors that are correlated with higher risk. They are also less likely to have the assets to continue their insurance privately if there is an interruption in coverage.

The final figure in this section, Figure 3-11 above, relates the educational level of the respondents and their health insurance status. Education could have two significant effects on health insurance coverage. First, it is possible that more educated people are better able to understand the advantages and disadvantages of health coverage and therefore, make better decisions. More likely, however, education is having an indirect effect with higher education being correlated with higher incomes and better jobs/benefits.

Coverage rates increase significantly as educational level increases. Predictably, those without a high school diploma are the most at risk of being without health insurance. It appears that the most disadvantaged group fares about the same in Delaware as in the region. The uninsured rate falls slightly for a high school diploma, another $6 \%$ for post high school education and finally $3 \%$ for those completing college.

## Race and Hispanic Origin

Health insurance coverage or lack thereof within sub-groups of the general population is shown in Figure 3-12 below to illustrate the impact of all the underlying contributing variables which determine who has health insurance coverage and who does not. Most of the research in this area suggests that there are significant differences, but do not report any divergence in cultural or risk-taking characteristics that would explain those differences. Thus, the differences are the result of other variables, which themselves differ within segments of the population.

There are significant differences between the three racial groups. Those respondents who classify themselves as black have nearly a $26 \%$ higher risk of being without health insurance coverage as those that report being white. However, the historical trend has been decreasing for African-Americans. The "other" category includes primarily Native Americans, Asians, those of mixed race, and those who do not find any of the categories listed to be appropriate. Overall, these rates throughout are consistent between Delaware and the larger region.

Figure 3-12
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance
by Race and Area


Race by Area
$\square$ 1996-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998$-2000 $\square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$
Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Figure 3-13
Percent of Persons without Health Insurance by Hispanic Origin and Area


Hispanic Origin by Area
$\square$ 1996-1998 $\square 1997-1999 \square 1998-2000 \square 1999-2001 \square 2000-2002$

[^1]The results for Hispanic respondents are shown in Figure 3-13, above. The percentages within Delaware are quite volatile because of the small sample size, but on average during the period, slightly more than $23 \%$ of those respondents who classify themselves as being of Hispanic origin are without health insurance coverage. This rate is about double that for nonHispanics. In 2002, just more than $13 \%$ of all the uninsured are estimated to be Hispanic. The regional results are similar to those found in Delaware.

## Observations

Those lacking health care coverage in Delaware are a diverse group. This is summarized by the list below:

Figure 4-1
Who are the 76,000 Uninsured?

- $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ are over the age of 17
- 61\% are male
- $81 \%$ are white
- $13 \%$ are Hispanic
- 72\% own or are buying their home
- $14 \%$ live alone
- $82 \%$ are above the poverty line
- $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ have household incomes over $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0}$
- $40 \%$ are working full-time
- 7\% are self-employed
- $10 \%$ are non-citizens

This list illustrates both the complexity of the task and the need to use targeted strategies. Since $24 \%$ of the uninsured are children efforts to increase the coverage of Medicaid, the CHIP program, and the clinics offered by the A. I. DuPont Institute are likely to be effective. There are, however, still likely to be children who may never qualify under Medicaid because their parents are above the income limits and yet may still experience periodic unemployment. It is this population that the CHIP program is designed to help. The effectiveness of the program in covering children will depend significantly on the actions taken by the parent(s) of those children.

Since $40 \%$ of the uninsured are working full-time, legislative initiatives that encourage employer offered health coverage may have some effect. It's not clear at this point in time if any
plan can help the low wage earner or part-time employee, since the cost of the insurance might represent a huge increase in labor costs. The working poor, in particular those in the 1.0-1.5 category of poverty, are of particular concern.

Figure 4-2
Percent of Persons who Moved from Uninsured to Insured Status by Age Group


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Dealing with the uninsured is not an easy task because people are continually joining and leaving the ranks of the uninsured (see Figure 4-2, above). Nearly half of those that are uninsured this year (48.9\%) will have insurance next year. That proportion is higher for adults than for children.

The problem is not only a question of different rates of movement in and out of the uninsured status. It is also spatially different within the state (see Figures 4-3 and 4-4, below). This may require the execution of very different strategies.

Figure 4-3
Percent of Persons 18-64 Without Health Insurance
by Area


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

Figure 4-4
Percent of Persons 0-17 Without Health Insurance by Area


Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

First of all, the information provided for the 18-64 year old age group excludes most dependents and Medicare recipients. This core group of adults is reasonably stable over the past eight years. Even the differences between the counties are reasonably consistent.

In contrast, the pattern with dependents age 0-17 shown in Figure 4-4 above is strikingly different. While the rates in New Castle County appear reasonably stable (excluding 1999), those in the combined Kent/Sussex region increased dramatically from 1995 to 1998 and then fell sharply. This is consistent with the implementation of the CHIP program and outreach efforts in lower Delaware. Age and/or geography specific programs are clearly warranted. What is not clear is the reason for the rise in 2001 for the Kent/Sussex region and that level remained in 2002.

Overall, Delaware seems to be doing better than the region in keeping the percentage of uninsured down. However, the longer-term demographics of the population and the labor market suggest that this will probably be a continuing challenge. In addition the focus on the CHIP program coupled with identification of Medicaid eligible children is likely to reap significant benefits. It is also clear that there will need to be continued focus on the problems in Kent and Sussex counties if this problem is to be controlled.

The final table in the report, Table 4-1 below, shows the number of uninsured persons by three key characteristics, namely age, poverty status, and employment status. Following the estimates are the existing programs (Medicaid and CHIP) and potential programs that could possibly alleviate this problem. The total number of the current uninsured that could be assisted and the proportion of the uninsured accounted for are found at the bottom of the table. Currently, nearly $25 \%$ of the uninsured are eligible for an existing program but were not enrolled at the time of the survey. Clearly there are people who do not enroll in programs until the need arises and there will always be processing time when they do enroll.

Approximately $32 \%$ of the uninsured are working full-time and are earning wages above $200 \%$ of the poverty level. They may either not have access to employer sponsored health insurance or are unwilling to pay their share. This is a group that may best be addressed through employers with or without government assistance. In addition, about $9 \%$ of the uninsured are working full-time but clearly do not earn wages sufficient to pay the employee share and are unlikely to have access to employer sponsored health insurance. Clearly government would have to play a larger role to solve this problem perhaps with some employer assistance.

Table 4-1
The Uninsured by Age, Poverty Status, and Employment Status

| Characteristics | Estimate | Medicaid | CHIP | Employers | Emp\&Govt | Govt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-14:Foster Child | 1,293 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 0-18: 0-100\% Poverty | 2,539 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 0-18: 100-200\% Poverty | 4,898 |  | X |  |  |  |
| 0-18: 200\% + Poverty | 7,373 |  |  |  |  | X |
| 19-34: 0-100\% Poverty, not FT | 3,912 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 19-34: 0-100\% Poverty, FT | 1,119 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 19-34: 100-200\% Poverty, not FT | 3,385 |  |  |  |  | X |
| 19-34: 100-200\% Poverty, FT | 4,542 |  |  |  | X |  |
| 19-34: 200\%+ Poverty, not FT | 7,734 |  |  |  |  | X |
| 19-34: 200\%+ Poverty, FT | 10,545 |  |  | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35-49: 0-100\% Poverty, not FT | 1,772 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 35-49: 0-100\% Poverty, FT | 834 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 35-49: 100-200\% Poverty, not FT | 2,262 |  |  |  |  | X |
| 35-49: 100-200\% Poverty, FT | 2,216 |  |  |  | X |  |
| 35-49: 200\%+ Poverty, not FT | 3,799 |  |  |  |  | X |
| 35-49: 200\%+ Poverty, FT | 7,179 |  |  | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64: 0-100\% Poverty, not FT | 2,105 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64: 0-100\% Poverty, FT | 177 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 50-64: 100-200\% Poverty, not FT | 1,603 |  |  |  |  | X |
| 50-64: 100-200\% Poverty, FT | 615 |  |  |  | X |  |
| 50-64: 200\%+ Poverty, not FT | 2,824 |  |  |  |  | X |
| 50-64: 200\%+ Poverty, FT | 3,573 |  |  | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 76,300 | 13,751 | 4,898 | 21,297 | 7,373 | 28,981 |
| Percent of Total | 100\% | 18.0\% | 6.4\% | 27.9\% | 9.7\% | 38.0\% |

Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 2000-2002

The final group in the table comprises $38 \%$ of the uninsured. These are both children and adults who are above the poverty line but who currently do not have full-time employment. In the absence of full-time employment, the average individual has little or no chance to obtain employer-sponsored health insurance. These are the most difficult cases to deal with from a public policy perspective.
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# What the Uninsured Say about the Quality of Their Health Care: Delaware CAHPS Notes 

Prepared for the Delaware Health Care Commission by Eric Jacobson, Amanda Litzau, and Charles Whitmore, Institute for Public Administration, and Edward C. Ratledge, Center for Applied Demography and Survey Research, College of Human Services, Education \& Public Policy, April 2003.

## Overview

Compared to the national average of $14.6 \%$, Delaware fares better in number of residents who lack health insurance. From its peak at $14.5 \%$ in 1996, the rate of Delaware residents without health insurance dropped to $10.4 \%$ in 2001. Though this represents a decrease in rate of uninsured, because of population growth, the absolute number of uninsured Delaware residents has remained relatively stable. The uninsured in Delaware create problems for the health care system. According to a report by the Kaiser Family Foundation, the lack of health insurance is associated with many health problems, including decreased access to care, less preventive care, more incidences of chronic conditions, and higher rates of treatment at acute care clinics (2003). The Kaiser Family Foundation also reports on the common misperception that the uninsured receive the care they need and do not suffer adverse health consequences. The Delaware CAHPS Notes could help correct that misperception in Delaware.

This report, along with the forthcoming study of racial and ethnic differences, complement the longer, more detailed 2001 Delaware CAHPS Notes: What Delawareans Say About the Quality of Their Health Plans and Medical Care. This brief report highlights the health care experience of the uninsured in Delaware as compared to those respondents with health insurance. Three major sections comprise this report. Key findings include comparison of the insured to the uninsured in overall results, access to care, and health care ratings and experiences. Next, methodology of the Delaware CAHPS survey is reviewed, and explanations of rating and experience data are included. Finally, concluding remarks are made.

## Key Findings

The following results are for uninsured adults between 18 and 64 years old. Key findings include:

## Overall Results

- In general, Delaware's uninsured population is more likely to avoid or delay seeking necessary and proper medical care. Specifically, the uninsured respondents in this study are:
- Much less likely to have someone they think of as a personal doctor
- Less likely to see a specialist
- More likely to use the emergency room
- Much less likely to visit a doctor for non-emergency room care
- More likely to give negative reports about their specific health care experiences
- Before explaining these results in more detail, it is important to note that some of these differences may be attributable to demographic factors. Mirroring the results presented in Edward Ratledge's report, "Delawareans without Health Insurance - 2001," our CHAPS data indicates that Delaware's uninsured are younger, are less educated, and have lower incomes than the insured. For example, $45 \%$ of the
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uninsured are between the ages of 18 and 34 , while only $32 \%$ of the insured fall in the same age category. Eighteen percent of the uninsured have less than a high school education. By contrast, just 4\% of the insured population fails to receive a high school diploma. Finally, low income people are at the greatest risk of being uninsured. Nearly $41 \%$ of the uninsured earn $\$ 25,000$ or less per year, compared to just $15 \%$ of the insured population.

## Access to Care

- The Delaware CAHPS Survey asks participants to respond to specific questions regarding their experiences with health care providers over the last year. Table 1 illustrates the responses to two of these questions for both the insured and the uninsured. Eighty-seven percent of insured respondents report having a personal doctor compared to only $56 \%$ of uninsured respondents. Likewise, $42 \%$ of insured respondents report having visited a specialist within the past year as compared to $20 \%$ of uninsured respondents.

Table 1:
Summary of Responses to Questions Regarding Use of Care

| 1) | Do you have one person you think of as your personal doctor? <br> In the last 12 months, did you see a specialist? | Percent of Respondents Answering "Yes" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Insured Respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=4007$ ) | Uninsured Respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=430$ ) |
|  | Personal Doctor | 87\% | 56\% |
|  | Specialist | 42\% | 20\% |

- Of respondents who classified their health as fair or poor, the uninsured are again less likely to have someone they think of as a personal doctor and less likely to see a specialist.
- The uninsured are more likely to seek treatment from hospital emergency rooms as compared to the insured; this difference in frequency of emergency room use is statistically significant. Conversely, the insured are more likely to not use emergency rooms and instead seek treatment during visits to a personal doctor.
- Figure 1 illustrates the differences with respect to these two findings. The chart on the left compares the percent of uninsured and insured respondents who report having visited the emergency room two or more times within the last year. Almost $12 \%$ of uninsured respondents report having visited the emergency room two or more times within the last year as compared to only $6 \%$ of insured respondents. The chart on the right compares non-emergency room doctor visits. Sixty-five percent of insured respondents reported having visited a doctor's office two or more times within the last year to get care while just $40 \%$ of the uninsured respondents reported the same. According to the Delawareans Without Health Insurance 2001 report, cost is the reason most often cited by uninsured respondents as to why they have not sought a doctor's care.

Figure 1:

## The uninsured are more likely to use emergency rooms and less likely to visit a personal doctor.

In the last twelve months, did you visit an emergency room two or more times? In the last twelve months, did you visit a personal doctor two or more times?



## Differences in Ratings and Experiences with Care

- Overall, in terms of the percentage of respondents giving the lowest ratings ( 0 to 6 on a scale from 0 to 10 ), the uninsured rate their quality of health care, personal doctors, and specialists lower than do the insured population. Figure 2 summarizes the overall ratings of personal doctor, specialists, and quality of health care.
- The difference in ratings between uninsured and insured for overall quality of health care is statistically significant. Forty-nine percent of the insured gave the most positive ratings to their overall health care while just $39 \%$ of the uninsured reported similarly. Likewise, the difference in ratings of personal doctor between the two groups is statistically significant. The variation in ratings of specialists is almost statistically significant.
- As illustrated in Figure 2, the uninsured are twice as likely as the insured to give the lowest ratings for quality of health care. This finding, along with the aforementioned ten-percentage point difference in reporting the most positive health care ratings, reinforces the notion that the uninsured suffer adverse health outcomes. Though it may seem that this disparity should be even wider, it is important to bear in mind the following:
- First, based on national CAHPS findings and on our main report, a ten-point difference is a relatively large discrepancy.
- Second, our survey design allows only those respondents who reported seeing a doctor in the last 12 months to rate the quality of their health care. Since many of the uninsured are less likely to visit a personal doctor, it is likely that the uninsureds' ratings of health care probably would have been even lower had we allowed all of the uninsured in our sample to rate their overall health care.
- Finally, the uninsured are generally without health insurance for a short period of time. These short-
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term uninsured are, all things being equal, healthier than those who are uninsured for long periods of time. We suspect that our uninsured sample might have reported even lower health care ratings had we controlled for the length of time the respondent was uninsured.

- With respect to the personal doctor ratings, it is interesting to note that the uninsured are a bit more likely to give their personal doctors the highest ratings. Although, overall, the uninsured still rate doctors lower than the insured ( $90 \%$ of insured rate 7 or higher, compared to $87 \%$ of the uninsured), the finding that the uninsured are more likely to rate their doctors 9 or 10 seems counterintuitive. However, it is plausible that these high ratings are simply a function of lowered expectations. The uninsured are less likely to actually see a doctor. Given the opportunity to see a doctor, it is probable that an uninsured individual would be grateful for the opportunity and thus more disposed to rate favorably.
- Differences between the insured and uninsured are statistically significant for ratings of health care and personal doctor, at the 0.01 level. Differences in ratings for specialists are not statistically significant.

Figure 2:
Summary of Ratings for Uninsured and Insured Respondents (Scale from 0 to 10, where 10 is the best possible)


- As compared to insured respondents, the uninsured generally report more negative experiences with specific aspects of their health care. For example, the uninsured are three times more likely than the insured to report that they have had a "big problem" getting needed care ( $10 \%$ uninsured vs. $3 \%$ insured).
- Figure 3 illustrates the differences between the insured and uninsured reports of experiences with specific aspects of their care. The questions used in Figure 3 are the same questions used to build the composites in our main report. Differences in ratings by insured and uninsured for each question are statistically significant. For this report, we eliminated those questions that presuppose the respondent is insured. And rather than calculate a composite score for categories such as "getting needed care" or "getting care quickly," we simply report respondents' replies to questions targeting experiences with specific aspects of care.
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- The uninsured are more likely to say that doctors do not spend enough time with them, do not show respect for what they have to say, and do not explain things in an understandable manner. Moreover, the uninsured are more likely to say that they do not get the care they need as soon as they had wanted.

Figure 3: The uninsured are more likely to give negative reports about their experiences with specific aspects of care.

Percent responding only "sometimes" or "never" to the following questions regarding their experiences with the health care system in the past 12 months.


## About the Delaware Survey

In this study, Delaware's uninsured population is compared to the insured population; this report details further findings discussed in the main Delaware CAHPS report. The University of Delaware administers the CAHPS survey for the Delaware Health Care Commission. The survey data is collected over 12 months, with approximately 150 monthly surveys conducted throughout Delaware of adults aged 18 and older. In the analysis, participants aged 65 and older are excluded from the data. Respondents without health insurance, as well as those who are insured, are included in the survey panel. Respondents are classified as insured or uninsured based on answers to the question, "Do you have any kind of health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare?" An affirmative response to the question categorizes the participant as insured; a negative response classifies the participant as uninsured.

The format of the Delaware CAHPS data reporting has changed for 2001. These changes ensure consistency with the CAHPS standards and allow Delaware's results to be compared to national conventions. In years past, the overall average ratings are presented for each aspect of health plans and health care.

Now, according to national guidelines, the percentage of respondents who give the most positive rating is calculated for each aspect. Likewise, composites are created to group results in meaningful ways: ratings of $1-6$ are compiled, ratings of $7-8$ are compiled, and ratings of $9-10$ are compiled. Such grouping better highlights rating differences and maintains consistency with NCBD methods. To ensure that the sample is representative and to adjust for sampling biases due to sociodemographic differences between respondents and non-respondents, responses are weighted based on the most recent U. S. Census data for county of residence, age, and gender.

## Consumers' Reports on Their Experiences with Care

Integral to CAHPS design is an assessment of consumer experiences with quality of care rather than simple satisfaction measurement, a function of expectations. Therefore, most CAHPS survey questions ask respondents to report on their experiences with different aspects of their health care. Questions assuming enrollment in health plans are omitted for this analysis. Appendix A displays the exact wording of questions used in this report.

## Consumers' Ratings of Their Health Care

CAHPS gathers information from four separate ratings to report on important aspects of care. The four questions ask respondents to rate their experiences within the last year with: their personal doctors, specialists, health care received from all doctors and health care providers, and health plans. Appendix B shows the specific questions asked for each rating category. Ratings are scored on a $0-10$ scale, where " 0 " is the worst possible and " 10 " is the best possible. Ratings are analyzed and collapsed into three categories: the percentage of consumers who gave ratings of $0-6,7-8$, or $9-10$.

## Conclusion

This summary report compares the health care ratings and experiences of the uninsured to responses reported by persons with health insurance. Basic differences in access to care emerge between the two studied populations. As compared to the insured, the uninsured are more likely to use the emergency room, while less likely to see a doctor for routine care; the uninsured are also less likely to identify one person as a personal doctor, or see a specialist.

Overall, compared to the insured, the uninsured rate their health care, doctors, and specialists lower and report less positive experiences with aspects of care. This trend is particularly prominent in ratings of health care, for which a ten-point gap is observed for positive ratings between the insured and uninsured populations. Relative to other CAHPS data, a ten-point margin represents a large disparity and is statistically significant. Similarly, reports on all specific experiences with health care show a similar pattern whereby uninsured respondents are more likely to report negative experiences than insured participants. Moreover, with the exception of reports on the ability to get needed care, reported differences between the insured and uninsured are statistically significant. These findings suggest that insurance status influences consumers' experiences with their health care as well as their ratings of their health care.
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## Appendix A: Questions Used to Report Experiences with Care

The following chart lists the questions used in this report to highlight the differences in how the uninsured and insured populations assess their health care experiences.

| Consumer Reports and Items | Response Grouping for Presentation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Getting needed care |  |
| Q22: In the last 12 months, how much of a problem, if any, was it to get the care you or a doctor believed was necessary? | A big problem, A small problem, Not a problem |
| Getting care quickly |  |
| Q15: In the last 12 months, when you called during regular office hours, how often did you get the help or advice you needed? | Never + Sometimes, Usually, Always |
| Q19: In the last 12 months, when you needed care right away for an illness or injury, how often did you get care as soon as you wanted? | Never + Sometimes, Usually, Always |
| Doctor's Communication |  |
| Q27: In the last 12 months, how often did doctors or other health providers listen carefully to you? | Never + Sometimes, Usually, Always |
| Q28: $\begin{aligned} & \text { In the last } 12 \text { months, how often did doctors or other health providers explain } \\ & \text { things in a way you could understand? }\end{aligned}$ | Never + Sometimes, Usually, Always |
| Q29: $\begin{array}{l}\text { In the last } 12 \text { months, how often did doctors or other health providers show } \\ \text { respect for what you had to say? }\end{array}$ <br> Q30:  | Never + Sometimes, Usually, Always |
| Q30: In the last 12 months, how often did doctors or other health providers spend enough time with you? | Never + Sometimes, Usually, Always |
| Courteous and Helpful Office Staff |  |
| Q25: In the last 12 months, how often did office staff at a doctor's office or clinic treat you with courtesy and respect? | Never + Sometimes, Usually, Always |

## Appendix B: Definition of Consumer Ratings

The following chart presents the exact wording for each of the four ratings questions presented in this report.

| Consumer Ratings | Response Grouping for Presentation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall Rating of Personal Doctor |  |
| Q8: Use any number on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 is the worst personal doctor <br> or nurse possible, and 10 is the best personal doctor or nurse possible. How <br> would you rate your personal doctor or nurse now? | 0-6, 7-8, 9-10 |
| Overall Rating of Specialist |  |
| Q12: Use any number on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 is the worst specialist possible, and 10 is the best specialist possible. How would you rate the specialist? | 0-6, 7-8, 9-10 |
| Overall Rating of Health Care |  |
| Q31: $\begin{array}{l}\text { Use any number on a scale from } 0 \text { to } 10 \text { where } 0 \text { is the worst health care } \\ \text { possible, and } 10 \text { is the best health care possible. How would you rate all your } \\ \text { health care? }\end{array}$ | 0-6, 7-8, 9-10 |
| Overall Rating of Health Plan |  |
| Q38: Use any number on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 is the worst health plan possible, and 10 is the best health plan possible. How would you rate all your health plan? | 0-6, 7-8, 9-10 |
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#### Abstract

Reversing 2 years of falling uninsured rates, the share of the population without health insurance rose in 2001. An estimated 14.6 percent of the population or 41.2 million people were without health insurance coverage during the entire year in 2001, up from 14.2 percent in 2000, an increase of 1.4 million people.


The estimates in this report are based on the 2002 Current Population Survey (CPS) Annual Demographic Supplement, conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau. Respondents provide answers to the best of their ability, but as with all surveys,
the estimates may differ from the actual values. A copy of the CPS Supplement questionnaire is available electronically at http://www.census.gov/apsd /techdoc/cps/cps-main.html.

## Highlights: ${ }^{1}$

- The number and percentage of people covered by employment-based health insurance dropped in 2001, from 63.6 percent to 62.6 percent, the foundation

[^2]Current Population Reports

## By

Robert J. Mills

## NEW POPULATION CONTROLS AND EXPANDED SAMPLE

The estimates in this report are based on the 2000, 2001, and 2002 Current Population Survey Annual Demographic Supplements (CPS ADS) and provide information for calendar years 1999, 2000, and 2001, respectively. These estimates use population estimates based on Census 2000. Earlier reports presenting data for calendar years 1993 through 2000 used population estimates based on the 1990 census.

In 2001, the Census Bureau tested a sample expansion of 28,000 households to the CPS ADS. The sample expansion was officially implemented in the estimates presented here. It is primarily designed to improve the reliability of state estimates of children's health insurance coverage, but the larger sample size also improves the reliability of national estimates of other topics.

Because results presented in this report from the 2001 survey have been recalculated based on the expanded sample and the Census 2000-based weights, they may differ slightly from earlier estimates that did not incorporate the sample expansion and were based on the 1990 census. Appendix B presents more detail on the introduction of the sample expansion and new population controls based on Census 2000.

All statements in this report have undergone statistical testing, and all comparisons are significant at the 90 -percent confidence level. Further information on the source and accuracy of the estimates is at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm.
of the overall decrease in health insurance coverage.

- The number and percentage of people covered by government health insurance programs rose in 2001, from 24.7 percent to 25.3 percent, largely from an increase in the number and percentage of people covered by medicaid (from 10.6 percent to 11.2 percent).
- The proportion of uninsured children did not change, remaining at 8.5 million in 2001 , or 11.7 percent of all children.
- Although medicaid insured 13.3 million poor people, 10.1 million poor people still had no health insurance in 2001, representing 30.7 percent of the poor, unchanged from 2000.
- Hispanics (66.8 percent) were less likely than non-Hispanic Whites ( 90.0 percent) to be covered by health insurance. ${ }^{2}$ The coverage rate for Blacks in 2001 (81.0 percent) did not differ from the coverage rate for Asians and Pacific Islanders (81.8 percent).
- American Indians and Alaska Natives were less likely to have health insurance than other racial groups, based on 3-year averages (1999-2001) - 72.9 percent, compared with 80.8 percent of Blacks, 81.5 percent of Asians and Pacific Islanders, and 90.2

[^3]percent of non-Hispanic Whites. However, American Indians and Alaska Natives were more likely to have insurance than were Hispanics (67.0 percent).

- Among the entire population 18 to 64 years old, workers (both full- and part-time) were more likely to have health insurance (83.0 percent) than nonworkers (75.3 percent), but among the poor, workers were less likely to be covered (5 1.3 percent) than nonworkers (63.2 percent).
- Compared with 2000, the proportion who had employmentbased policies in their own name fell for workers employed by firms with fewer than 25 employees, but was unchanged for those employed by larger firms.
- Young adults (18 to 24 years old) were less likely than other age groups to have health insurance coverage - 71.9 percent in 2001, compared with 83.3 percent of those 25 to 64 and, reflecting widespread medicare coverage, 99.2 percent of those 65 years and over.


## More people did not have health insurance in 2001.

The number of people without health insurance coverage rose to 41.2 million ( 14.6 percent of the population) in 2001 , up 1.4 million from the previous year, when 14.2 percent of the population lacked coverage (see Table 1). Interestingly, the number of people covered by health insurance also increased in 2001 , up 1.2 million to 240.9 million ( 85.4 percent of the population). Both increases can be attributed in part to an overall population growth from 2000 to 2001.

## A decline in employmentbased insurance prompted the decrease in insurance coverage rates. ${ }^{3}$

Most people (62.6 percent) were covered by a health insurance plan related to employment for some or all of 2001 , a decrease of 1.0 percentage point from the previous year. The 1.1 percentage point decline in private health insurance coverage, to 70.9 percent in 2001, largely reflects the decrease in employment-based insurance (see Figure 1).

Although it did not offset the overall decline, health insurance coverage provided by the government increased between 2000 and 2001. This increase largely reflects the increase in medicaid coverage, which rose by 0.6 percentage points to 11.2 percent in 2001. ${ }^{4}$ Among the entire population, 25.3 percent had government insurance, including medicare ( 13.5 percent), medicaid (11.2 percent), and military health care ( 3.4 percent). Many people carried coverage from more than one plan during the year; for example, 7.6 percent of people were covered by both private health insurance and medicare.

[^4]Table 1.
People Without Health Insurance for the Entire Year by Selected Characteristics: 2000 and 2001
(Numbers in thousands. For an explanation of confidence intervals (C.I.), see "Standard errors and their use" on the Census Bureau's CPS Web site at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm)

| Characteristic | 2001 |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  | Difference, 2001 less 2000 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Uninsured |  |  | Total | Uninsured |  |  | Uninsured |  |  |
|  | Total | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | Percent <br> C.I. ( $\pm$ ) |  | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 90-\mathrm{pct} \\ & \text { C.I. } \pm \text { ( } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 90-\mathrm{pct} \\ & \text { C.I. }( \pm) \end{aligned}$ |
| People |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 137,871 | 21,722 | 15.8 | 0.2 | 136,559 | 20,791 | 15.2 | 0.2 | *931 | *0.5 | 0.2 |
| Female. | 144,211 | 19,485 | 13.5 | 0.2 | 142,958 | 19,013 | 13.3 | 0.2 | *472 | *0.2 | 0.2 |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic | 194,822 | 19,409 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 193,931 | 18,683 | 9.6 | 0.2 | *726 | *0.3 | 0.1 |
| Black | 36,023 | 6,833 | 19.0 | 0.3 | 35,597 | 6,683 | 18.8 | 0.3 | 150 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander . | 12,500 | 2,278 | 18.2 | 0.7 | 12,693 | 2,287 | 18.0 | 0.7 | -9 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 37,438 | 12,417 | 33.2 | 0.3 | 36,093 | 11,883 | 32.9 | 0.3 | *534 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 72,628 | 8,509 | 11.7 | 0.2 | 72,314 | 8,617 | 11.9 | 0.2 | -108 | -0.2 | 0.2 |
| 18 to 24 years | 27,312 | 7,673 | 28.1 | 0.5 | 26,815 | 7,406 | 27.6 | 0.5 | *267 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 25 to 34 years | 38,670 | 9,051 | 23.4 | 0.3 | 38,865 | 8,507 | 21.9 | 0.3 | *544 | *1.5 | 0.4 |
| 35 to 44 years | 44,284 | 7,131 | 16.1 | 0.3 | 44,566 | 6,898 | 15.5 | 0.3 | *233 | *0.6 | 0.4 |
| 45 to 64 years | 65,419 | 8,571 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 63,391 | 8,124 | 12.8 | 0.2 | *447 | *0.3 | 0.3 |
| 65 years and over. | 33,769 | 272 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 33,566 | 251 | 0.7 |  | 21 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Nativity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native. . | 249,629 | 30,364 | 12.2 | 0.2 | 247,706 | 29,529 | 11.9 | 0.2 | *835 | *0.2 | 0.1 |
| Foreign born. | 32,453 | 10,843 | 33.4 | 0.5 | 31,811 | 10,275 | 32.3 | 0.5 | *568 | *1.1 | 0.6 |
| Naturalized citizen. | 11,962 | 2,060 | 17.2 | 0.7 | 11,785 | 1,930 | 16.4 | 0.7 | *131 | *0.9 | 0.8 |
| Not a citizen. | 20,491 | 8,782 | 42.9 | 0.7 | 20,026 | 8,345 | 41.7 | 0.7 | *437 | *1.2 | 0.8 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 53,300 | 6,399 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 53,046 | 6,372 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 27 | $0-$ | 0.3 |
| Midwest | 63,779 | 6,840 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 63,631 | 6,703 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 136 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| South | 100,652 | 16,712 | 16.6 | 0.2 | 99,420 | 16,000 | 16.1 | 0.2 | *712 | *0.5 | 0.3 |
| West. | 64,351 | 11,257 | 17.5 | 0.3 | 63,420 | 10,728 | 16.9 | 0.3 | *528 | *0.6 | 0.3 |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$25,000.. | 62,209 | 14,474 | 23.3 | 0.3 | 61,792 | 14,094 | 22.8 | 0.3 | *380 | *0.5 | 0.4 |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999. | 76,226 | 13,516 | 17.7 | 0.2 | 77,084 | 13,385 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 131 | *0.4 | 0.3 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999. | 58,114 | 6,595 | 11.3 | 0.2 | 59,089 | 6,513 | 11.0 | 0.2 | 81 | *0.3 | 0.3 |
| \$75,000 or more | 85,532 | 6,623 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 81,553 | 5,812 | 7.1 | 0.2 | *811 | *0.6 | 0.2 |
| Education <br> (18 years and older) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 209,454 | 32,698 | 15.6 | 0.2 | 207,203 | 31,186 | 15.1 | 0.2 | *1,512 | *0.6 | 0.2 |
| No high school diploma | 35,423 | 9,776 | 27.6 | 0.5 | 34,994 | 9,406 | 26.9 | 0.5 | *370 | *0.7 | 0.5 |
| High school graduate only... | 66,682 | 11,618 | 17.4 | 0.3 | 66,327 | 11,137 | 16.8 | 0.3 | *481 | *0.6 | 0.3 |
| Some college, no degree.... | 40,282 | 5,815 | 14.4 | 0.3 | 40,298 | 5,400 | 13.4 | 0.3 | *415 | *1.0 | 0.4 |
| Associate degree ....... | 16,183 | 1,754 | 10.8 | 0.5 | 16,075 | 1,721 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 34 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher . | 50,884 | 3,734 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 49,510 | 3,522 | 7.1 | 0.2 | *212 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Work Experience (18 to 64 years old) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . | 175,685 | 32,426 | 18.5 | 0.2 | 173,638 | 30,935 | 17.8 | 0.2 | *1,491 | *0.6 | 0.2 |
| Worked during year. | 142,474 | 24,230 | 17.0 | 0.2 | 142,447 | 23,525 | 16.5 | 0.2 | *704 | *0.5 | 0.2 |
| Worked full-time. . | 118,776 | 19,014 | 16.0 | 0.2 | 119,067 | 18,707 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 307 | *0.3 | 0.3 |
| Worked part-time. | 23,698 | 5,216 | 22.0 | 0.5 | 23,381 | 4,818 | 20.6 | 0.5 | *398 | *1.4 | 0.7 |
| Did not work...... | 33,211 | 8,197 | 24.7 | 0.5 | 31,190 | 7,410 | 23.8 | 0.5 | *787 | *0.9 | 0.6 |

[^5]${ }^{2}$ Hispanics may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2001 and 2002 Annual Demographic Supplements.

## The uninsured rates for the poor and the near poor did not change between 2000 and 2001.

Despite the medicaid program, 10.1 million poor people, or 30.7 percent of the poor, had no health insurance of any kind during 2001. This percentage - more than double the rate for the total population - did not change significantly from the previous year. The uninsured poor comprised 24.5 percent of all uninsured people (see Table 2).

Medicaid was the most widespread type of health insurance among the poor, with 40.5 percent ( 13.3 million) of those in poverty covered by medicaid for some or all of 2001. This percentage did not change from the previous year. ${ }^{5}$

Among the near poor (those with a family income greater than or equal to, but less than 125 percent of, the poverty level), 26.5 percent (3.3 million people) lacked health insurance in 2001, unchanged from 2000. Although private health insurance coverage among the near poor declined in 2001 - from 40.3 percent to 37.8 percent - their rate of government health insurance coverage did not change from 2000 (it was 47.1 percent in 2001).

## Key demographic factors affect health insurance coverage.

Age - People 18 to 24 years old were less likely than other age groups to have health insurance coverage, with 71.9 percent covered for some or all of 2001 . Because of medicare, almost all people 65 years and over (99.2 percent) had health insurance in 2001. For other age groups, health insurance coverage ranged from 76.6 percent to 88.3 percent (see Figure 2).

[^6]Figure 1.
Type of Health Insurance and Coverage Status: 2000 and 2001

2001
(In percent)
Private



No insurance
Not covered $\square 14.6$
*Statistically different at the 90-percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ Military health care includes: CHAMPUS (Comprehensive Health and Medical Plan for Uniformed Services)/Tricare and CHAMPVA (Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Department of Veterans' Affairs), as well as care provided by the Veterans' Administration and the military.
Note: The estimates by type of coverage are not mutually exclusive; people can be covered by more than one type of health insurance during the year.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Surveys, 2001 and 2002 Annual Demographic Supplement.

Among the poor, people 18 to 64 years old had a markedly lower health insurance coverage rate (57.7 percent) in 2001 than either people under 18 ( 78.7 percent) or 65 years and over ( 97.3 percent). ${ }^{6}$

Race and Hispanic origin - While the uninsured rate rose in 2001 for non-Hispanic Whites - from 9.6 percent to 10.0 percent - the uninsured rates among Blacks (19.0 percent) and among Asians and Pacific Islanders ( 18.2 percent) did not change from 2000.7 The uninsured

[^7]rate among Hispanics (33.2 percent in 2001) also did not change from 2000 (see Table 1). ${ }^{8}$

The CPS Annual Demographic Supplement, the source of these data, obtained interviews from 78,000 households nationwide but is not large enough to produce reliable annual estimates for American Indians and Alaska Natives. However, Table 3 displays 3-year averages of the number of American Indians and Alaska Natives, their uninsured rate, and 3-year-average uninsured rates for other race groups. The 3-year average (1999-2001) shows that 27.1 percent of American Indians

[^8]Table 2.
Poor People Without Health Insurance for the Entire Year by Selected Characteristics: 2000 and 2001
(Numbers in thousands. For an explanation of confidence intervals (C.I.), see "Standard errors and their use" on the Census Bureau's CPS Web site at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm)


[^9]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2001 and 2002 Annual Demographic Supplements.

Figure 2.
People Without Health Insurance for the Entire Year by Selected Characteristics: 2001


NA Not Applicable. ${ }^{1}$ Hispanics may be of any race.
Note: For discussion of significant differences between groups, see text.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2002 Annual Demographic Supplement.

Table 3.
People Without Health Insurance Coverage for the Entire Year by Race and Ethnicity
(3-Year Average): 1999 to 2001 (3-Year Average): 1999 to 2001
(Numbers in thousands. For an explanation of confidence intervals (C.I.), see "Standard errors and their use" on the Census Bureau's CPS Annual Demographic Supplement Web site at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm)

| Characteristic | 3-year average 1999-2001 |  | Average 2000-2001 |  | Average 1999-2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Difference, 2000-2001 } \\ & \text { less 1999-2000 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90-pct } \\ & \text { C.I. }( \pm) \end{aligned}$ | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90-pct } \\ & \text { C.I. }( \pm) \end{aligned}$ | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90-pct } \\ & \text { C.I. ( } \pm \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90-pct } \\ & \text { C.I. }( \pm) \end{aligned}$ |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races. | 14.5 | 0.1 | 14.4 | 0.1 | 14.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| White | 13.3 | 0.1 | 13.4 | 0.1 | 13.2 | 0.1 | *0.2 | 0.1 |
| Non-Hispanic | 9.8 | 0.1 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Black.......... | 19.2 | 0.3 | 18.9 | 0.3 | 19.3 | 0.4 | *-0.4 | 0.3 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native . | 27.1 | 1.1 | 25.5 | 1.2 | 27.7 | 1.4 | *-2.2 | 1.3 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander ..... | 18.5 | 0.5 | 18.1 | 0.5 | 18.6 | 0.6 | -0.5 | 0.6 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 33.0 | 0.3 | 33.0 | 0.3 | 32.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 40,413 | 243 | 40,506 | 261 | 40,016 | 301 | *490 | 278 |
| White . .......................... | 30,378 | 215 | 30,634 | 231 | 29,970 | 266 | *664 | 246 |
| Non-Hispanic . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 18,998 | 174 | 19,046 | 187 | 18,792 | 216 | *254 | 199 |
| Black............................. | 6,878 | 106 | 6,758 | 114 | 6,901 | 132 | *-143 | 124 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native .. | 872 | 42 | 831 | 44 | 856 | 52 | -25 | 50 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander .. | 2,286 | 66 | 2,283 | 71 | 2,290 | 82 | -7 | 76 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 11,921 | 117 | 12,150 | 125 | 11,673 | 142 | *478 | 124 |

*Statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ As a result of rounding, some differences may appear to be slightly higher or lower than the difference of the reported rates.
${ }^{2}$ Hispanics may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2000, 2001, and 2002 Annual Demographic Supplements.
and Alaska Natives were without coverage, higher than the 19.2 percent for Blacks, 18.5 percent for Asians and Pacific Islanders, and 9.8 percent for non-Hispanic Whites. ${ }^{9}$ However, the 3-yearaverage uninsured rate for Hispanics (33.0 percent) was higher than the uninsured rate for American Indians and Alaska Natives.

[^10]Comparisons of 2-year moving averages (1999-2000 and 20002001) show that while the uninsured rate fell for American Indians and Alaska Natives from 27.7 percent to 25.5 percent and for Blacks from 19.3 percent to 18.9 percent, uninsured rates among nonHispanic Whites, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and Hispanics did not change.

Nativity - In 2001, the proportion of the foreign-born population without health insurance (33.4 percent) was more than double that of the native population ( 12.2 percent). ${ }^{10}$ Among the foreign born,

[^11]noncitizens were much more likely than naturalized citizens to lack coverage - 42.9 percent compared with 17.2 percent.

Educational attainment - Among all adults, the likelihood of being insured increased as the level of education rose. Compared with the previous year, coverage rates decreased for those with no high school diploma, those who are high school graduates only, and those with some college education but no degree. Coverage rates did not change from 2000 to 2001 for adults with an associate degree or higher.

## Economic status affects health insurance coverage.

Income - The likelihood of being covered by health insurance rises with income. Among households with annual incomes of less than
$\$ 25,000$, the percentage with health insurance was 76.7 percent; the level rises to 92.3 percent for those with incomes of $\$ 75,000$ or more (see Figure 2). Compared with the previous year, coverage rates decreased at every level of household income.

Work experience - Of those 18 to 64 years old in 2001, full-time workers were more likely to be covered by health insurance ( 84.0 percent) than part-time workers (78.0 percent), and part-time workers were more likely to be insured than nonworkers ( 75.3 percent)." However, among the poor, nonworkers (63.2 percent) were more likely to be insured than part-time workers ( 54.0 percent), who were more likely to be insured than fulltime workers (49.7 percent).

Firm size - Of the 142.6 million workers in the United States who were 18 to 64 years old, 56.3 percent had employment-based health insurance policies in their own name (see Figure 3). The proportion increased with the size of the employing firm from 31.3 percent for firms with fewer than 25 employees to 69.6 percent for firms with 1000 or more employees. (These estimates do not reflect the fact that some workers were covered by another family member's employment-based policy).
Compared with the previous year, the proportion who had employment-based policies in their own name decreased for workers employed by firms with fewer than 25 employees, but was unchanged for those employed by larger firms.

[^12]Figure 3.

## Workers Age 18 to 64 Covered by Their Own Employment-Based Health Insurance by Firm Size: 2001

(In percent)


Note: For discussion of significant differences between groups, see text.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2002 Annual Demographic Supplement.

## The uninsured rate for children did not change between 2000 and 2001.

The percentage of children (people under 18 years old) without health insurance did not change in 2001 (see Table 1), remaining at 8.5 million or 11.7 percent. A decline in employment-based health insurance coverage of children was offset by an increase in coverage by medicaid or the State Children's Health Insurance Program.

Among poor children, 21.3 percent ( 2.5 million children) had no health insurance during 2001, unchanged from the previous year (see Table 2). For this group, employmentbased coverage decreased from 20.1 percent to 18.6 percent, while government health insurance coverage increased from 60.9 percent to 63.3 percent. Poor children made up 29.3 percent of all uninsured children in 2001.

Among near-poor children (those in families whose income was greater than or equal to, but less than 125 percent of, the poverty level),
21.6 percent ( 0.9 million children) were without health insurance in 2001, unchanged from 2000. ${ }^{12}$ For this group, private health insurance coverage decreased from 39.8 percent to 36.4 percent, but government health insurance coverage did not change.

## The likelihood of health insurance coverage varies among children.

- Children 12 to 17 years old were more likely to be uninsured than those under 12 13.1 percent compared with 11.0 percent.
- The uninsured rate declined in 2001 for Hispanic children from 25.3 percent to 24.1 percent. The uninsured rates for non-Hispanic White children (7.4 percent), Black children (13.9 percent), and Asian and Pacific Islander children (11.7 percent)

[^13]Figure 4.
Uninsured Children by Race, Ethnicity, and Age: 2001
(In percent)

'Hispanics may be of any race.
Note: For discussion of significant differences between groups, see text.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2002 Annual Demographic Supplement.

Figure 5.
Children Covered by Medicaid by Race and Ethnicity: 2001

'Hispanics may be of any race.
Note: For discussion of significant differences between groups, see text.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2002 Annual Demographic Supplement.
were unchanged from 2000 (see Figure 4).

- While most children (68.4 percent) were covered by an employment-based or privately purchased health insurance plan in 2001, nearly 1 in 4 ( 22.7 percent) was covered by medicaid.
- Black children had a higher rate of medicaid coverage in 2001 than children of any other racial or ethnic group -- 38.3 percent, compared with 34.9 percent of Hispanic children, 18.0 percent of Asian and Pacific Islander children, and 15.3 percent of non-Hispanic White children (see Figure 5).
- Children living in single-parent families in 2001 were less likely to be insured than children living in married-couple families 84.3 percent compared with 90.4 percent.


## Some states had higher uninsured rates than others.

The proportion of people without health insurance ranged from 7.2 percent in Rhode Island to 23.2 percent in New Mexico, based on 3 -year averages for 1999, 2000, and 2001 (see Table 4). Although the data presented suggest that New Mexico had the highest uninsured rate, its rate was not statistically different from the rate for Texas. Similarly, although the data suggest that Rhode Island had the lowest uninsured rate, its rate was not statistically different from the rate for Minnesota.

Comparisons of 2-year moving averages (1999-2000 and 20002001) show that the proportion of

Table 4.
Percent of People Without Health Insurance Coverage for the Entire Year by State (3-Year Average): 1999 to 2001
(For an explanation of confidence intervals (C.I.), see "Standard errors and their use" on the Census Bureau's CPS Annual Demographic Supplement Web site at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm)

| State | 3 -year average 1999-2001 |  | Average 2000-2001 |  | Average 1999-2000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Difference, 2000-2001 } \\ & \text { less 1999-2000 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | 90-pct. $\text { C.I. }( \pm)$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90-pct. } \\ & \text { C.I. ( } \pm \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | 90-pct. <br> C.I. ( $\pm$ ) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90-pct. } \\ & \text { C.I. }( \pm) \end{aligned}$ |
| United States | 14.5 | 0.2 | 14.4 | 0.2 | 14.4 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 |
| Alabama. | 13.2 | 0.7 | 13.2 | 0.7 | 13.3 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.8 |
| Alaska. | 17.7 | 0.7 | 17.3 | 0.7 | 18.6 | 0.9 | *-1.4 | 0.8 |
| Arizona. | 18.4 | 0.8 | 17.3 | 0.8 | 18.6 | 0.9 | *-1.3 | 0.8 |
| Arkansas | 15.0 | 0.7 | 15.2 | 0.8 | 14.4 | 0.9 | *0.8 | 0.8 |
| California | 19.2 | 0.3 | 19.0 | 0.3 | 19.0 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |
| Colorado | 15.1 | 0.7 | 14.9 | 0.7 | 14.9 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 |
| Connecticut | 9.7 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Delaware. | 9.5 | 0.7 | 9.2 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 0.8 | -0.4 | 0.7 |
| District of Columbia | 13.6 | 0.7 | 13.4 | 0.8 | 14.1 | 0.9 | -0.7 | 0.8 |
| Florida | 17.8 | 0.5 | 17.6 | 0.5 | 17.9 | 0.5 | -0.4 | 0.5 |
| Georgia | 15.3 | 0.7 | 15.5 | 0.7 | 14.7 | 0.8 | *0.8 | 0.7 |
| Hawaii. . | 9.7 | 0.7 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 9.8 | 0.8 | -0.3 | 0.7 |
| Idaho. | 16.5 | 0.7 | 15.7 | 0.8 | 16.8 | 0.9 | *-1.1 | 0.8 |
| Illinois. | 13.6 | 0.5 | 13.7 | 0.5 | 13.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Indiana | 10.8 | 0.5 | 11.5 | 0.5 | 10.3 | 0.7 | *1.2 | 0.7 |
| lowa | 8.0 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 0.6 |  | 0.7 |
| Kansas | 11.4 | 0.7 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 11.4 | 0.8 | -0.2 | 0.7 |
| Kentucky | 13.0 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 0.7 | 13.4 | 0.8 | -0.4 | 0.8 |
| Louisiana | 19.7 | 0.8 | 18.7 | 0.8 | 19.9 | 1.0 | *-1.3 | 1.0 |
| Maine | 10.7 | 0.7 | 10.6 | 0.5 | 10.8 | 0.8 | -0.2 | 0.7 |
| Maryland | 11.3 | 0.7 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 10.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Massachusetts | 8.7 | 0.5 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 9.0 | 0.5 | *-0.5 | 0.5 |
| Michigan. . | 9.9 | 0.3 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 9.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Minnesota | 7.8 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Mississippi. | 15.2 | 0.7 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 14.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Missouri | 8.8 | 0.5 | 9.9 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 0.6 | *1.7 | 0.7 |
| Montana. | 16.0 | 0.8 | 15.2 | 0.8 | 17.3 | 1.0 | *-2.1 | 0.8 |
| Nebraska | 9.6 | 0.5 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 0.7 | -0.3 | 0.7 |
| Nevada. | 17.2 | 0.7 | 16.5 | 0.7 | 17.7 | 0.9 | *-1.3 | 0.8 |
| New Hampshire | 9.0 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 8.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| New Jersey | 12.5 | 0.5 | 12.6 | 0.5 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| New Mexico. | 23.2 | 0.8 | 22.4 | 1.0 | 24.4 | 1.1 | *-2.0 | 1.0 |
| New York. | 15.8 | 0.3 | 15.9 | 0.3 | 15.9 | 0.4 | - | 0.3 |
| North Carolina. | 14.2 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 0.7 |
| North Dakota. | 10.9 | 0.7 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 11.5 | 0.8 | *-1.0 | 0.7 |
| Ohio | 10.8 | 0.3 | 11.2 | 0.5 | 10.7 | 0.5 | *0.5 | 0.5 |
| Oklahoma | 17.9 | 0.7 | 18.6 | 0.8 | 17.7 | 0.9 | *1.0 | 0.8 |
| Oregon... | 13.1 | 0.7 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 13.3 | 0.8 | -0.6 | 0.8 |
| Pennsylvania. | 8.7 | 0.3 | 9.0 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.4 | *0.5 | 0.3 |
| Rhode Island. | 7.2 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 0.6 | *0.6 | 0.7 |
| South Carolina | 13.3 | 0.7 | 12.2 | 0.7 | 13.8 | 0.9 | *-1.6 | 0.8 |
| South Dakota | 10.4 | 0.5 | 10.2 | 0.5 | 10.9 | 0.7 | *-0.7 | 0.7 |
| Tennessee. | 10.8 | 0.7 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 10.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Texas | 23.0 | 0.5 | 23.2 | 0.5 | 22.7 | 0.6 | *0.5 | 0.5 |
| Utah | 13.6 | 0.7 | 13.7 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Vermont | 9.7 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 0.8 |
| Virginia . | 11.9 | 0.7 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 12.4 | 0.8 | *-1.1 | 0.7 |
| Washington | 13.5 | 0.7 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 13.7 | 0.8 | -0.4 | 0.8 |
| West Virginia. | 14.2 | 0.7 | 13.6 | 0.7 | 14.7 | 0.8 | *-1.0 | 0.8 |
| Wisconsin .. | 8.5 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 0.7 | *-1.2 | 0.7 |
| Wyoming . . . . . . . . . | 15.6 | 0.7 | 15.8 | 0.7 | 15.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 |

[^14]

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2000, 2001, and 2002 Annual Demographic Supplements.
people without coverage fell in 14 states: Alaska, Arizona, Idaho, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Carolina, South Dakota, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. Meanwhile, the proportion of people without coverage rose in nine states: Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Missouri, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Texas (see Figure 6).

## Accuracy of the Estimates

Statistics from surveys are subject to sampling and nonsampling
error. All comparisons presented in this report take sampling error into account and meet the Census Bureau's standards for statistical significance. Nonsampling errors in surveys may be attributed to a variety of sources, such as how the survey was designed, how respondents interpret questions, how able and willing respondents are to provide correct answers, and how accurately answers are coded and classified. The Census Bureau employs quality control procedures throughout the production process - including the overall design of surveys, the wording of questions,
review of the work of interviewers and coders, and statistical review of reports.

The Current Population Survey weighting procedure uses ratio estimation whereby sample estimates are adjusted to independent estimates of the national population by age, race, sex, and Hispanic origin. This weighting partially corrects for bias due to undercoverage, but biases may still be present when people who are missed by the survey differ from those interviewed in ways other than age, race, sex, and Hispanic
origin. How this weighting procedure affects other variables in the survey is not precisely known. All of these considerations affect comparisons across different surveys or data sources.

For further information on statistical standards and the computation and use of standard errors, contact Jeffrey Stratton of the Demographic Statistical Methods Division on the Internet at dsmd.source.and .accuracy@census.gov.

## Technical Note

This report presents data on the health insurance coverage of people in the United States during the 2001 calendar year. The data, which are shown by state and selected demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, were collected in the 2002 Annual Demographic Supplement to the Current Population Survey (CPS).

## Treatment of major federal health insurance programs

The Current Population Survey (CPS) underreports medicare and medicaid coverage compared with enrollment and participation data from the Centers for Medicare and

Medicaid Services (CMS), formerly the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA). ${ }^{13}$ A major reason for the lower CPS estimates is that the CPS is not designed primarily to collect health insurance data; instead, it is largely a labor force survey. Consequently, interviewers receive less training on health insurance concepts. Additionally, many people may not be aware that they or their children are covered by a health insurance program if they have not used covered services recently and therefore fail to report coverage. CMS data, on the other hand, represent the actual number of people who enrolled or participated in these programs and are a more accurate source of coverage levels.

Changes in medicaid coverage estimates from one year to the next should be viewed with caution. Because many people who are covered by medicaid do not report that coverage, the Census Bureau assigns coverage to those who are generally regarded as "categorically eligible" (those who received some other benefits, usually public
${ }^{13}$ CMS is the federal agency primarily responsible for administering the medicare and medicaid programs at the national level.
assistance payments, that make them eligible for medicaid). Since the number of people receiving public assistance has been dropping, the relationship between medicaid and public assistance has changed, so that the imputation process has introduced a downward bias in the most recent medicaid estimates.

After consulting with health insurance experts, the Census Bureau modified the definition of the population without health insurance in the March 1998 Current Population Survey, which collected data about coverage in 1997. Previously, people with no coverage other than access to the Indian Health Service were counted as part of the insured population. Beginning with the 1997 Health Insurance Coverage report, however, the Census Bureau counted these people as uninsured. The effect of this change on the overall estimates of health insurance coverage was negligible.

## Contact:

Robert J. Mills
301-763-3242
hhes-info@census.gov

## Appendix A: DETAILED TABLES

Table A-1.
Health Insurance Coverage Status and Type of Coverage by Race and Ethnicity: 1987 to 2000
(Numbers in thousands. People as of March of the following year)

| Year | Total people | Covered by private or government health insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Not } \\ \text { covered } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Private health insurance |  | Government health insurance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Total | Employmentbased | Total | Medicaid | Medicare | Military health care ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| ALL RACES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 282,082 | 240,875 | 199,860 | 176,551 | 71,295 | 31,601 | 38,043 | 9,552 | 41,207 |
| $2000{ }^{9}$ | 279,517 | 239,714 | 201,060 | 177,848 | 69,037 | 29,533 | 37,740 | 9,099 | 39,804 |
| $2000^{8}$ | 276,540 | 237,857 | 200,249 | 177,286 | 66,935 | 28,613 | 37,028 | 8,334 | 38,683 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ | 274,087 | 234,807 | 197,523 | 174,093 | 66,582 | 28,221 | 36,109 | 8,564 | 39,280 |
| 1999 | 274,087 | 231,533 | 194,599 | 172,023 | 66,176 | 27,890 | 36,066 | 8,530 | 42,554 |
| 1998 | 271,743 | 227,462 | 190,861 | 168,576 | 66,087 | 27,854 | 35,887 | 8,747 | 44,281 |
| 19976 | 269,094 | 225,646 | 188,532 | 165,091 | 66,685 | 28,956 | 35,590 | 8,527 | 43,448 |
| 1996 | 266,792 | 225,077 | 187,395 | 163,221 | 69,000 | 31,451 | 35,227 | 8,712 | 41,716 |
| 1995 | 264,314 | 223,733 | 185,881 | 161,453 | 69,776 | 31,877 | 34,655 | 9,375 | 40,582 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ | 262,105 | 222,387 | 184,318 | 159,634 | 70,163 | 31,645 | 33,901 | 11,165 | 39,718 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ | 259,753 | 220,040 | 182,351 | 148,318 | 68,554 | 31,749 | 33,097 | 9,560 | 39,713 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ | 256,830 | 218,189 | 181,466 | 148,796 | 66,244 | 29,416 | 33,230 | 9,510 | 38,641 |
| 1991. | 251,447 | 216,003 | 181,375 | 150,077 | 63,882 | 26,880 | 32,907 | 9,820 | 35,445 |
| 1990 | 248,886 | 214,167 | 182,135 | 150,215 | 60,965 | 24,261 | 32,260 | 9,922 | 34,719 |
| 1989 | 246,191 | 212,807 | 183,610 | 151,644 | 57,382 | 21,185 | 31,495 | 9,870 | 33,385 |
| 1988 | 243,685 | 211,005 | 182,019 | 150,940 | 56,850 | 20,728 | 30,925 | 10,105 | 32,680 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ | 241,187 | 210,161 | 182,160 | 149,739 | 56,282 | 20,211 | 30,458 | 10,542 | 31,026 |
| Percents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 70.9 | 62.6 | 25.3 | 11.2 | 13.5 | 3.4 | 14.6 |
| $2000^{9}$ | 100.0 | 85.8 | 71.9 | 63.6 | 24.7 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 3.3 | 14.2 |
| $2000^{8}$ | 100.0 | 86.0 | 72.4 | 64.1 | 24.2 | 10.3 | 13.4 | 3.0 | 14.0 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ | 100.0 | 85.7 | 72.1 | 63.5 | 24.3 | 10.3 | 13.2 | 3.1 | 14.3 |
| 1999 | 100.0 | 84.5 | 71.0 | 62.8 | 24.1 | 10.2 | 13.2 | 3.1 | 15.5 |
| 1998 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 70.2 | 62.0 | 24.3 | 10.3 | 13.2 | 3.2 | 16.3 |
| $1997{ }^{6}$ | 100.0 | 83.9 | 70.1 | 61.4 | 24.8 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 3.2 | 16.1 |
| 1996 | 100.0 | 84.4 | 70.2 | 61.2 | 25.9 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 3.3 | 15.6 |
| 1995 | 100.0 | 84.6 | 70.3 | 61.1 | 26.4 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 3.5 | 15.4 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ | 100.0 | 84.8 | 70.3 | 60.9 | 26.8 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 4.3 | 15.2 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ | 100.0 | 84.7 | 70.2 | 57.1 | 26.4 | 12.2 | 12.7 | 3.7 | 15.3 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ | 100.0 | 85.0 | 70.7 | 57.9 | 25.8 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 15.0 |
| 1991. | 100.0 | 85.9 | 72.1 | 59.7 | 25.4 | 10.7 | 13.1 | 3.9 | 14.1 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 73.2 | 60.4 | 24.5 | 9.7 | 13.0 | 4.0 | 13.9 |
| 1989 | 100.0 | 86.4 | 74.6 | 61.6 | 23.3 | 8.6 | 12.8 | 4.0 | 13.6 |
| 1988. | 100.0 | 86.6 | 74.7 | 61.9 | 23.3 | 8.5 | 12.7 | 4.1 | 13.4 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ | 100.0 | 87.1 | 75.5 | 62.1 | 23.3 | 8.4 | 12.6 | 4.4 | 12.9 |

[^15]Table A-1.
Health Insurance Coverage Status and Type of Coverage by Race and Ethnicity: 1987 to 2000-Con.
(Numbers in thousands. People as of March of the following year)


[^16]Table A-1
Health Insurance Coverage Status and Type of Coverage by Race and Ethnicity: 1987 to 2000-Con.
(Numbers in thousands. People as of March of the following year)

| Year |  | Total people | Covered by private or government health insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Private health insurance |  | Government health insurance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Employmentbased | Total | Medicaid | Medicare | Military health care ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| WHITE NON-HISPANIC-Con. Percents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  |  | 100.0 | 90.0 | 78.4 | 68.4 | 24.5 | 7.7 | 15.8 | 3.7 | 10.0 |
| $2000{ }^{\text {² }}$ |  | 100.0 | 90.4 | 79.3 | 69.2 | 23.9 | 7.1 | 15.8 | 3.4 | 9.6 |
| $2000{ }^{8}$ |  | 100.0 | 90.3 | 79.4 | 69.5 | 23.2 | 7.0 | 15.4 | 3.1 | 9.7 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ |  | 100.0 | 90.1 | 79.2 | 69.1 | 23.2 | 6.9 | 15.2 | 3.3 | 9.9 |
| 1999 |  | 100.0 | 89.0 | 78.3 | 68.4 | 23.1 | 6.8 | 15.2 | 3.3 | 11.0 |
| 1998 |  | 100.0 | 88.1 | 77.6 | 67.8 | 23.2 | 6.7 | 15.1 | 3.5 | 11.9 |
| $1997{ }^{6}$ |  | 100.0 | 88.0 | 77.2 | 66.8 | 23.8 | 7.3 | 15.2 | 3.4 | 12.0 |
| 1996 |  | 100.0 | 88.5 | 77.8 | 66.9 | 24.4 | 7.9 | 15.2 | 3.4 | 11.5 |
| 1995 |  | 100.0 | 88.5 | 78.3 | 67.1 | 24.3 | 7.5 | 15.1 | 3.7 | 11.5 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ |  | 100.0 | 88.5 | 77.9 | 66.7 | 24.6 | 7.8 | 14.8 | 4.3 | 11.5 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ |  | 100.0 | 88.1 | 77.3 | 62.7 | 24.2 | 7.8 | 14.5 | 3.8 | 11.9 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ |  | 100.0 | 88.5 | 78.2 | 63.7 | 23.6 | 7.1 | 14.7 | 3.8 | 11.5 |
| 1991 |  | 100.0 | 89.2 | 79.2 | 65.1 | 23.4 | 6.7 | 14.6 | 3.9 | 10.8 |
| 1990 |  | 100.0 | 89.3 | 79.8 | 65.5 | 22.7 | 6.1 | 14.5 | 4.0 | 10.7 |
| 1989 |  | 100.0 | 89.7 | 80.9 | 66.4 | 21.7 | 5.2 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 10.3 |
| 1988 |  | 100.0 | 89.8 | 81.2 | 67.0 | 21.6 | 5.1 | 14.1 | 4.2 | 10.2 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ |  | 100.0 | 90.2 | 82.0 | 67.0 | 21.5 | 4.9 | 14.1 | 4.3 | 9.8 |
| BLACK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 36,023 | 29,190 | 20,363 | 18,975 | 11,616 | 7,994 | 3,783 | 1,192 | 6,833 |
| $2000{ }^{\text { }}$ |  | 35,597 | 28,915 | 20,485 | 18,922 | 11,579 | 7,735 | 3,871 | 1,372 | 6,683 |
| $2000{ }^{8}$ |  | 35,919 | 29,295 | 21,182 | 19,562 | 11,116 | 7,250 | 3,808 | 1,380 | 6,623 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ |  | 35,509 | 28,546 | 20,304 | 18,730 | 11,251 | 7,570 | 3,594 | 1,203 | 6,963 |
| 1999 |  | 35,509 | 27,973 | 19,805 | 18,363 | 11,165 | 7,495 | 3,588 | 1,198 | 7,536 |
| 1998 |  | 35,070 | 27,274 | 18,663 | 17,132 | 11,524 | 7,903 | 3,703 | 1,111 | 7,797 |
| $1997{ }^{6}$ |  | 34,598 | 27,166 | 18,544 | 17,077 | 11,157 | 7,750 | 3,573 | 1,100 | 7,432 |
| 1996 |  | 34,218 | 26,799 | 17,718 | 16,358 | 12,074 | 8,572 | 3,393 | 1,357 | 7,419 |
| 1995. |  | 33,889 | 26,781 | 17,106 | 15,683 | 12,465 | 9,184 | 3,316 | 1,171 | 7,108 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ |  | 33,531 | 26,928 | 17,147 | 15,607 | 12,693 | 9,007 | 3,167 | 1,683 | 6,603 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ |  | 33,040 | 26,279 | 16,590 | 13,693 | 12,588 | 9,283 | 3,072 | 1,331 | 6,761 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ |  | 32,535 | 25,967 | 15,994 | 13,545 | 12,464 | 9,122 | 3,154 | 1,459 | 6,567 |
| 1991. |  | 31,439 | 24,932 | 15,466 | 13,297 | 11,776 | 8,352 | 3,248 | 1,482 | 6,507 |
| 1990 |  | 30,895 | 24,802 | 15,957 | 13,560 | 11,150 | 7,809 | 3,106 | 1,402 | 6,093 |
| 1989 |  | 30,392 | 24,550 | 16,520 | 14,187 | 10,443 | 7,123 | 3,043 | 1,340 | 5,843 |
| 1988 |  | 29,904 | 24,029 | 15,818 | 13,418 | 10,415 | 7,049 | 3,064 | 1,385 | 5,875 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ |  | 29,417 | 23,555 | 15,358 | 13,055 | 10,380 | 7,046 | 2,918 | 1,497 | 5,862 |
| Percents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2001 .$ |  | 100.0 | 81.0 | 56.5 | 52.7 | 32.2 | 22.2 | 10.5 | 3.3 | 19.0 |
| $2000{ }^{\text {² }}$ |  | 100.0 | 81.2 | 57.5 | 53.2 | 32.5 | 21.7 | 10.9 | 3.9 | 18.8 |
| $2000{ }^{8}$ |  | 100.0 | 81.6 | 59.0 | 54.5 | 30.9 | 20.2 | 10.6 | 3.8 | 18.4 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ |  | 100.0 | 80.4 | 57.2 | 52.7 | 31.7 | 21.3 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 19.6 |
| 1999 |  | 100.0 | 78.8 | 55.8 | 51.7 | 31.4 | 21.1 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 21.2 |
| 1998 |  | 100.0 | 77.8 | 53.2 | 48.9 | 32.9 | 22.5 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 22.2 |
| $1997{ }^{6}$ |  | 100.0 | 78.5 | 53.6 | 49.4 | 32.2 | 22.4 | 10.3 | 3.2 | 21.5 |
| 1996 |  | 100.0 | 78.3 | 51.8 | 47.8 | 35.3 | 25.1 | 9.9 | 4.0 | 21.7 |
| 1995 |  | 100.0 | 79.0 | 50.5 | 46.3 | 36.8 | 27.1 | 9.8 | 3.5 | 21.0 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ |  | 100.0 | 80.3 | 51.1 | 46.5 | 37.9 | 26.9 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 19.7 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ |  | 100.0 | 79.5 | 50.2 | 41.4 | 38.1 | 28.1 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 20.5 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ |  | 100.0 | 79.8 | 49.2 | 41.6 | 38.3 | 28.0 | 9.7 | 4.5 | 20.2 |
| 1991. |  | 100.0 | 79.3 | 49.2 | 42.3 | 37.5 | 26.6 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 20.7 |
| 1990 |  | 100.0 | 80.3 | 51.6 | 43.9 | 36.1 | 25.3 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 19.7 |
| 1989 |  | 100.0 | 80.8 | 54.4 | 46.7 | 34.4 | 23.4 | 10.0 | 4.4 | 19.2 |
| 1988. |  | 100.0 | 80.4 | 52.9 | 44.9 | 34.8 | 23.6 | 10.2 | 4.6 | 19.6 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ |  | 100.0 | 80.1 | 52.2 | 44.4 | 35.3 | 24.0 | 9.9 | 5.1 | 19.9 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-1
Health Insurance Coverage Status and Type of Coverage by Race and Ethnicity: 1987 to 2000-Con.
(Numbers in thousands. People as of March of the following year)

| Year |  | Total people | Covered by private or government health insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not <br> covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Private health insurance |  | Government health insurance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Total | Employmentbased | Total | Medicaid | Medicare | Military health care ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| ASIAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDER Numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  |  | 12,500 | 10,222 | 8,643 | 7,684 | 2,312 | 1,257 | 949 | 414 | 2,278 |
| $2000{ }^{\text {² }}$ |  | 12,693 | 10,405 | 8,916 | 8,104 | 2,249 | 1,288 | 886 | 443 | 2,287 |
| $2000^{8}$ |  | 11,332 | 9,295 | 7,909 | 7,114 | 2,093 | 1,301 | 856 | 290 | 2,037 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ |  | 10,925 | 8,845 | 7,467 | 6,692 | 2,038 | 1,097 | 829 | 412 | 2,080 |
| 1999. |  | 10,925 | 8,653 | 7,285 | 6,588 | 2,023 | 1,087 | 825 | 412 | 2,272 |
| 1998 |  | 10,897 | 8,596 | 7,202 | 6,511 | 2,113 | 1,201 | 819 | 351 | 2,301 |
| 19976 |  | 10,492 | 8,320 | 7,100 | 6,290 | 1,877 | 1,093 | 700 | 334 | 2,173 |
| 1996 |  | 10,071 | 7,946 | 6,718 | 5,888 | 1,768 | 1,071 | 667 | 275 | 2,125 |
| 1995. |  | 9,653 | 7,671 | 6,347 | 5,576 | 2,075 | 1,272 | 586 | 424 | 1,982 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ |  | 6,656 | 5,312 | 4,267 | 3,774 | 1,551 | 883 | 501 | 426 | 1,344 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ |  | 7,444 | 5,927 | 5,026 | 3,970 | 1,408 | 802 | 474 | 345 | 1,517 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ |  | 7,782 | 6,230 | 5,202 | 4,207 | 1,460 | 823 | 507 | 314 | 1,552 |
| 1991 |  | 7,193 | 5,886 | 4,917 | 3,995 | 1,451 | 727 | 560 | 347 | 1,307 |
| 1990 |  | 7,023 | 5,832 | 4,887 | 3,883 | 1,410 | 771 | 463 | 364 | 1,191 |
| 1989 |  | 6,679 | 5,532 | 4,615 | 3,661 | 1,414 | 792 | 444 | 322 | 1,147 |
| 1988 |  | 6,447 | 5,329 | 4,392 | 3,599 | 1,353 | 763 | 401 | 322 | 1,118 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ |  | 6,326 | 5,440 | 4,468 | 3,691 | 1,394 | 702 | 357 | 475 | 886 |
| Percents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 100.0 | 81.8 | 69.1 | 61.5 | 18.5 | 10.1 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 18.2 |
| $2000{ }^{9}$ |  | 100.0 | 82.0 | 70.2 | 63.8 | 17.7 | 10.1 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 18.0 |
| $2000^{8}$ |  | 100.0 | 82.0 | 69.8 | 62.8 | 18.5 | 11.5 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 18.0 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ |  | 100.0 | 81.0 | 68.3 | 61.3 | 18.7 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 3.8 | 19.0 |
| 1999 |  | 100.0 | 79.2 | 66.7 | 60.3 | 18.5 | 9.9 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 20.8 |
| 1998 |  | 100.0 | 78.9 | 66.1 | 59.8 | 19.4 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 3.2 | 21.1 |
| $1997{ }^{6}$ |  | 100.0 | 79.3 | 67.7 | 60.0 | 17.9 | 10.4 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 20.7 |
| 1996. |  | 100.0 | 78.9 | 66.7 | 58.5 | 17.6 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 21.1 |
| 1995 |  | 100.0 | 79.5 | 65.8 | 57.8 | 21.5 | 13.2 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 20.5 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ |  | 100.0 | 79.8 | 64.1 | 56.7 | 23.3 | 13.3 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 20.2 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ |  | 100.0 | 79.6 | 67.5 | 53.3 | 18.9 | 10.8 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 20.4 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ |  | 100.0 | 80.1 | 66.8 | 54.1 | 18.8 | 10.6 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 19.9 |
| 1991. |  | 100.0 | 81.8 | 68.4 | 55.5 | 20.2 | 10.1 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 18.2 |
| 1990 |  | 100.0 | 83.0 | 69.6 | 55.3 | 20.1 | 11.0 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 17.0 |
| 1989 |  | 100.0 | 82.8 | 69.1 | 54.8 | 21.2 | 11.9 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 17.2 |
| 1988. |  | 100.0 | 82.7 | 68.1 | 55.8 | 21.0 | 11.8 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 17.3 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ |  | 100.0 | 86.0 | 70.6 | 58.3 | 22.0 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 14.0 |
| HISPANIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 37,438 | 25,021 | 17,322 | 15,965 | 9,227 | 7,074 | 2,295 | 704 | 12,417 |
| $2000{ }^{9}$ |  | 36,093 | 24,210 | 17,114 | 15,893 | 8,566 | 6,552 | 2,141 | 682 | 11,883 |
| $2000^{8}$ |  | 33,862 | 23,035 | 16,257 | 15,128 | 8,215 | 6,273 | 2,192 | 543 | 10,827 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ |  | 32,804 | 22,238 | 15,775 | 14,481 | 7,919 | 5,978 | 2,054 | 594 | 10,566 |
| 1999 |  | 32,804 | 21,853 | 15,424 | 14,214 | 7,875 | 5,946 | 2,047 | 589 | 10,951 |
| 1998. |  | 31,689 | 20,493 | 14,377 | 13,310 | 7,401 | 5,585 | 2,026 | 503 | 11,196 |
| 19976 |  | 30,773 | 20,239 | 13,751 | 12,790 | 7,718 | 5,970 | 1,974 | 526 | 10,534 |
| 1996 |  | 29,703 | 19,730 | 13,151 | 12,140 | 7,784 | 6,255 | 1,806 | 474 | 9,974 |
| 1995. |  | 28,438 | 18,964 | 12,187 | 11,309 | 8,027 | 6,478 | 1,732 | 516 | 9,474 |
| 19945 |  | 27,521 | 18,244 | 11,743 | 10,729 | 7,829 | 6,226 | 1,677 | 630 | 9,277 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ |  | 26,646 | 18,235 | 12,021 | 9,981 | 7,873 | 6,328 | 1,613 | 530 | 8,411 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ |  | 25,682 | 17,242 | 11,330 | 9,786 | 7,099 | 5,703 | 1,578 | 523 | 8,441 |
| 1991. |  | 22,096 | 15,128 | 10,336 | 8,972 | 5,845 | 4,597 | 1,309 | 522 | 6,968 |
| 1990 |  | 21,437 | 14,479 | 10,281 | 8,948 | 5,169 | 3,912 | 1,269 | 519 | 6,958 |
| 1989 |  | 20,779 | 13,846 | 10,348 | 8,914 | 4,526 | 3,221 | 1,180 | 595 | 6,932 |
| 1988 |  | 20,076 | 13,684 | 10,188 | 8,831 | 4,414 | 3,125 | 1,114 | 594 | 6,391 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ | . | 19,428 | 13,456 | 9,845 | 8,490 | 4,482 | 3,214 | 1,029 | 631 | 5,972 |

[^17]Table A-1.
Health Insurance Coverage Status and Type of Coverage by Race and Ethnicity: 1987 to 2000-Con.
(Numbers in thousands. People as of March of the following year)

| Year | Total people | Covered by private or government health insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Private health insurance |  | Government health insurance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Employmentbased | Total | Medicaid | Medicare | Military health care ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| HISPANIC-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 100.0 | 66.8 | 46.3 | 42.6 | 24.6 | 18.9 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 33.2 |
| $2000{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 100.0 | 67.1 | 47.4 | 44.0 | 23.7 | 18.2 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 32.9 |
| $2000^{8}$ | 100.0 | 68.0 | 48.0 | 44.7 | 24.3 | 18.5 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 32.0 |
| $1999{ }^{7}$ | 100.0 | 67.8 | 48.1 | 44.1 | 24.1 | 18.2 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 32.2 |
| 1999 | 100.0 | 66.6 | 47.0 | 43.3 | 24.0 | 18.1 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 33.4 |
| 1998 | 100.0 | 64.7 | 45.4 | 42.0 | 23.4 | 17.6 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 35.3 |
| $1997{ }^{6}$ | 100.0 | 65.8 | 44.7 | 41.6 | 25.1 | 19.4 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 34.2 |
| 1996 | 100.0 | 66.4 | 44.3 | 40.9 | 26.2 | 21.1 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 33.6 |
| 1995 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 42.9 | 39.8 | 28.2 | 22.8 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 33.3 |
| $1994{ }^{5}$ | 100.0 | 66.3 | 42.7 | 39.0 | 28.4 | 22.6 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 33.7 |
| $1993{ }^{4}$ | 100.0 | 68.4 | 45.1 | 37.5 | 29.5 | 23.7 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 31.6 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$ | 100.0 | 67.1 | 44.1 | 38.1 | 27.6 | 22.2 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 32.9 |
| 1991. | 100.0 | 68.5 | 46.8 | 40.6 | 26.5 | 20.8 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 31.5 |
| 1990. | 100.0 | 67.5 | 48.0 | 41.7 | 24.1 | 18.2 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 32.5 |
| 1989 | 100.0 | 66.6 | 49.8 | 42.9 | 21.8 | 15.5 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 33.4 |
| 1988 | 100.0 | 68.2 | 50.7 | 44.0 | 22.0 | 15.6 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 31.8 |
| $1987{ }^{2}$ | 100.0 | 69.3 | 50.7 | 43.7 | 23.1 | 16.5 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 30.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes CHAMPUS (Comprehensive Health and Medical Plan for Uniformed Services)/Tricare, Veterans, and military health care.
${ }^{2}$ Implementation of a new March CPS processing system.
${ }^{3}$ Implementation of 1990 census population controls.
${ }^{4}$ Data collection method changed from paper and pencil to computer-assisted interviewing.
${ }^{5}$ Health insurance questions were redesigned. Increases in estimates of employment-based and military health care coverage may be partially due to questionnaire changes. Overall coverage estimates were not affected.
${ }^{6}$ Beginning with the March 1998 CPS, people with no coverage other than access to Indian Health Service are no longer considered covered by health insurance; instead, they are considered to be uninsured. The effect of this change on the overall estimates of health insurance coverage is negligible; however, the decrease in the number of people covered by medicaid may be partially due to this change.
${ }^{7}$ Estimates reflect the results of follow-up verification questions.
${ }^{8}$ Based on a November 2001 weighting correction.
${ }^{9}$ Implementation of Census 2000 based population controls. Sample expanded by 28,000 households.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 1988-2002 Annual Demographic Supplements.

Table A-2
Health Insurance Coverage Status for the Entire Year and Type of Coverage by Selected Characteristics: 2001
(Numbers in thousands)

| Characteristic | Total people | Covered by private or government health insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Not } \\ \text { covered } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Private health insurance |  | Government health insurance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Total | Employment based | Total | Medicaid | Medicare | Military health care ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| NUMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| People |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 282,082 | 240,875 | 199,860 | 176,551 | 71,295 | 31,601 | 38,043 | 9,552 | 41,207 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male.. | 137,871 | 116,149 | 98,150 | 87,960 | 31,764 | 13,769 | 16,360 | 5,118 | 21,722 |
| Female. | 144,211 | 124,726 | 101,709 | 88,591 | 39,531 | 17,832 | 21,683 | 4,434 | 19,485 |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 230,071 | 198,878 | 169,180 | 148,371 | 56,200 | 21,535 | 33,006 | 7,788 | 31,193 |
| Non-Hispanic | 194,822 | 175,412 | 152,821 | 133,295 | 47,661 | 15,035 | 30,811 | 7,144 | 19,409 |
| Black | 36,023 | 29,190 | 20,363 | 18,975 | 11,616 | 7,994 | 3,783 | 1,192 | 6,833 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander . | 12,500 | 10,222 | 8,643 | 7,684 | 2,312 | 1,257 | 949 | 414 | 2,278 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$. | 37,438 | 25,021 | 17,322 | 15,965 | 9,227 | 7,074 | 2,295 | 704 | 12,417 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 72,628 | 64,118 | 49,647 | 46,439 | 18,822 | 16,502 | 423 | 2,381 | 8,509 |
| 18 to 24 years | 27,312 | 19,640 | 17,012 | 13,766 | 3,642 | 2,831 | 180 | 742 | 7,673 |
| 25 to 34 years | 38,670 | 29,619 | 26,905 | 25,306 | 3,653 | 2,587 | 489 | 817 | 9,051 |
| 35 to 44 years | 44,284 | 37,153 | 34,315 | 32,386 | 4,003 | 2,532 | 860 | 1,066 | 7,131 |
| 45 to 64 years | 65,419 | 56,848 | 51,230 | 47,008 | 8,558 | 3,878 | 3,633 | 2,390 | 8,571 |
| 65 years and over | 33,769 | 33,498 | 20,751 | 11,645 | 32,618 | 3,270 | 32,458 | 2,156 | 272 |
| Nativity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native. . | 249,629 | 219,265 | 182,556 | 161,168 | 65,204 | 28,522 | 34,577 | 9,032 | 30,364 |
| Foreign born. | 32,453 | 21,610 | 17,303 | 15,383 | 6,091 | 3,079 | 3,466 | 520 | 10,843 |
| Naturalized citizen | 11,962 | 9,902 | 7,844 | 6,892 | 3,270 | 1,086 | 2,480 | 337 | 2,060 |
| Not a citizen. | 20,491 | 11,708 | 9,459 | 8,491 | 2,821 | 1,994 | 986 | 183 | 8,782 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 53,300 | 46,902 | 39,086 | 35,137 | 13,851 | 6,383 | 7,901 | 997 | 6,399 |
| Midwest | 63,779 | 56,940 | 49,634 | 43,666 | 14,811 | 5,759 | 8,958 | 1,351 | 6,840 |
| South | 100,652 | 83,940 | 67,976 | 60,037 | 26,899 | 11,559 | 13,930 | 4,766 | 16,712 |
| West. | 64,351 | 53,094 | 43,163 | 37,711 | 15,733 | 7,900 | 7,253 | 2,438 | 11,257 |
| PERCENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| People |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 85.4 | 70.9 | 62.6 | 25.3 | 11.2 | 13.5 | 3.4 | 14.6 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 100.0 | 84.2 | 71.2 | 63.8 | 23.0 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 3.7 | 15.8 |
| Female. | 100.0 | 86.5 | 70.5 | 61.4 | 27.4 | 12.4 | 15.0 | 3.1 | 13.5 |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White......... | 100.0 | 86.4 | 73.5 | 64.5 | 24.4 | 9.4 | 14.3 | 3.4 | 13.6 |
| Non-Hispanic | 100.0 | 90.0 | 78.4 | 68.4 | 24.5 | 7.7 | 15.8 | 3.7 | 10.0 |
| Black | 100.0 | 81.0 | 56.5 | 52.7 | 32.2 | 22.2 | 10.5 | 3.3 | 19.0 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander | 100.0 | 81.8 | 69.1 | 61.5 | 18.5 | 10.1 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 18.2 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$. | 100.0 | 66.8 | 46.3 | 42.6 | 24.6 | 18.9 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 33.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 100.0 | 88.3 | 68.4 | 63.9 | 25.9 | 22.7 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 11.7 |
| 18 to 24 years | 100.0 | 71.9 | 62.3 | 50.4 | 13.3 | 10.4 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 28.1 |
| 25 to 34 years | 100.0 | 76.6 | 69.6 | 65.4 | 9.4 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 23.4 |
| 35 to 44 years | 100.0 | 83.9 | 77.5 | 73.1 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 16.1 |
| 45 to 64 years | 100.0 | 86.9 | 78.3 | 71.9 | 13.1 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 13.1 |
| 65 years and over. | 100.0 | 99.2 | 61.5 | 34.5 | 96.6 | 9.7 | 96.1 | 6.4 | 0.8 |
| Nativity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native. . | 100.0 | 87.8 | 73.1 | 64.6 | 26.1 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 3.6 | 12.2 |
| Foreign born. | 100.0 | 66.6 | 53.3 | 47.4 | 18.8 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 1.6 | 33.4 |
| Naturalized citizen. | 100.0 | 82.8 | 65.6 | 57.6 | 27.3 | 9.1 | 20.7 | 2.8 | 17.2 |
| Not a citizen . . . . . | 100.0 | 57.1 | 46.2 | 41.4 | 13.8 | 9.7 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 42.9 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 100.0 | 88.0 | 73.3 | 65.9 | 26.0 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 1.9 | 12.0 |
| Midwest. | 100.0 | 89.3 | 77.8 | 68.5 | 23.2 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 2.1 | 10.7 |
| South | 100.0 | 83.4 | 67.5 | 59.6 | 26.7 | 11.5 | 13.8 | 4.7 | 16.6 |
| West. | 100.0 | 82.5 | 67.1 | 58.6 | 24.4 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 3.8 | 17.5 |

[^18]Table A-2.
Health Insurance Coverage Status for the Entire Year and Type of Coverage by Selected Characteristics: 2001—Con.
(Numbers in thousands)

|  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^19]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2002 Annual Demographic Supplement.

## Appendix B.

## SAMPLE EXPANSION AND INTRODUCTION OF CENSUS 2000-BASED POPULATION CONTROLS

The 2001 Current Population Survey (CPS) served as a tool for testing a sample expansion of the Annual Demographic Supplement and as a bridge to introduce new Census 2000-based population controls. The following section discusses the effects these methodological changes had on health insurance coverage rates.

## Sample Expansion

In 2001, the Census Bureau tested an expansion in the sample for the CPS Annual Demographic
Supplement. The original sample size of approximately 50,000 interviewed households increased to approximately 78,000 households. The primary goal of the sample expansion was to produce more reliable state estimates of the number of low-income children without health insurance to use in the funding formula for the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), through reduced variances. Although the SCHIP sample expansion was specifically targeted toward producing better children's health insurance estimates at the state level, other state estimates, as well as national estimates, improved.

The effect of the sample expansion on major national and state uninsured estimates in 2000 was minimal. Nationally, the difference between the original and expanded samples in the estimated percentage of people without health insurance is not statistically significant (see Table B-1). There are also no significant differences between
original and expanded sample uninsured rates by age or by major race and ethnic groups, except for Blacks, whose expanded sample rate ( 18.9 percent) is significantly higher than the original sample rate (18.4 percent).

For most states and the District of Columbia, the uninsured rates for the expanded sample are not statistically different from the original sample (see Table B-2). Although health insurance coverage estimates were significantly different in 21 states, the differences were moderate (no state had a change greater than 2 percentage points). The uninsured rate increased in 7 states and decreased in 14 states, with differences extending from a 1.8 percentage point increase for Connecticut to a 2.0 percentage point decrease for Vermont.

Further information on the effects of the CPS ADS sample expansion on health insurance estimates is available at
www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads /adsmain.htm.

## Introduction of Census 2000Based Population Controls

The procedure used in developing estimates for the entire civilian noninstitutionalized population from the Current Population Survey (CPS) involves the weighting of sample results to independent estimates of the population by sex, age, race, and Hispanic/non-Hispanic categories. These independent estimates are developed by using civilian noninstitutional population counts from the decennial censuses
and projecting them forward to current years using data on births, deaths, and net migration. Beginning with the 2002 CPS Annual Demographic Supplement, the independent estimates used as control totals for the CPS are based on civilian noninstitutional population benchmarks established by Census 2000.

Table B-3 shows two sets of data for 2000 to show the effect of introducing new population controls - one using new Census 2000-based population controls and the other using controls based on the 1990 census. Following is a brief discussion of the effects of the new population controls on health insurance uninsured rates.

The effect of new population controls on major national uninsured estimates in 2000 was minimal. Nationally, the difference between the Census 2000-based and the Census 1990-based samples in the estimated percentage of people without health insurance is not statistically significant. Use of the new Census 2000-based controls raised the uninsured rate for males by 0.3 percent, but the rate for females did not change. While the new controls left the uninsured rate for most of the major race and ethnic groups unchanged, the uninsured rate for Whites rose by 0.2 percent and the uninsured rate for Hispanics increased by 0.8 percent. Similarly, while the uninsured rate for most age groups did not change, the percentage of uninsured people 18 to 24 or 25 to 34 years old each increased by 0.7 percent.

Table B-1
People Without Health Insurance for the Entire Year by Selected Characteristics: 2000
(Numbers in thousands. For an explanation of confidence intervals (C.I.), see "Standard errors and their use" on the Census Bureau's CPS Web site at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm)

| Characteristic | Expanded sample |  |  |  | Original sample |  |  |  | Difference ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Uninsured |  |  | Total | Uninsured |  |  | Uninsured |  |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | Percent 90-pct C.I. ( $\pm$ ) |  | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | Percent 90-pct C.I. ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Percent | Percen 90-pc C.I. $( \pm$ |
| People |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 276,567 | 38,871 | 14.1 | 0.1 | 276,540 | 38,683 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 188 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 135,255 | 20,162 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 135,245 | 20,149 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 13 |  | 0.1 |
| Female. | 141,312 | 18,709 | 13.2 | 0.2 | 141,295 | 18,535 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 174 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White . . . . . . . . . . | 226,360 | 29,340 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 226,401 | 29,248 | 12.9 | 0.2 | 92 |  | 0.1 |
| Non-Hispanic | 194,120 | 18,906 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 194,196 | 18,877 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 29 |  | 0.1 |
| Black | 35,924 | 6,805 | 18.9 | 0.4 | 35,919 | 6,623 | 18.4 | 0.6 | *182 | *0.5 | 0.4 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander . | 11,535 | 2,046 | 17.7 | 0.7 | 11,332 | 2,037 | 18.0 | 1.0 | 9 | -0.2 | 0.7 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$. | 33,875 | 10,862 | 32.1 | 0.4 | 33,862 | 10,827 | 32.0 | 0.6 | 35 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 72,540 | 8,520 | 11.7 | 0.2 | 72,553 | 8,405 | 11.6 | 0.3 | 115 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 18 to 24 years | 26,980 | 7,249 | 26.9 | 0.5 | 26,965 | 7,350 | 27.3 | 0.7 | -101 | -0.4 | 0.4 |
| 25 to 34 years | 37,479 | 7,962 | 21.2 | 0.4 | 37,440 | 7,926 | 21.2 | 0.5 | 36 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 35 to 44 years | 44,752 | 6,930 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 44,780 | 6,938 | 15.5 | 0.4 | -8 |  | 0.3 |
| 45 to 64 years | 62,079 | 7,950 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 61,824 | 7,819 | 12.7 | 0.3 | *131 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 65 years and over | 32,736 | 260 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 32,978 | 245 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Nativity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native. | 246,646 | 29,404 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 246,629 | 29,219 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 185 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Foreign born. | 29,921 | 9,467 | 31.6 | 0.5 | 29,912 | 9,464 | 31.6 | 0.8 | 3 |  | 0.5 |
| Naturalized citizen. | 11,240 | 1,823 | 16.2 | 0.7 | 11,378 | 1,805 | 15.9 | 1.0 | 18 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Not a citizen | 18,681 | 7,644 | 40.9 | 0.7 | 18,534 | 7,659 | 41.3 | 1.0 | -15 | -0.4 | 0.6 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 51,880 | 6,151 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 52,331 | 5,967 | 11.4 | 0.3 | *184 | *0.5 | 0.2 |
| Midwest. | 63,160 | 6,615 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 63,739 | 6,864 | 10.8 | 0.3 | *-249 | *-0.3 | 0.2 |
| South. | 98,384 | 15,656 | 15.9 | 0.2 | 96,919 | 15,267 | 15.8 | 0.3 | *389 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| West. | 63,143 | 10,450 | 16.6 | 0.3 | 63,552 | 10,586 | 16.7 | 0.4 | -136 | -0.1 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999. | 76,090 | 13,074 | 17.2 | 0.2 | 75,378 | 12,758 | 16.9 | 0.3 | *316 | *0.3 | 0.2 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999. | 58,593 | 6,330 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 59,311 | 6,502 | 11.0 | 0.3 | *-172 | -0.2 | 0.2 |
| \$75,000 or more | 81,164 | 5,665 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 80,784 | 5,534 | 6.9 | 0.2 | *131 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No high school diploma | 34,086 | 8,976 | 26.3 | 0.4 | 33,948 | 9,025 | 26.6 | 0.6 | -49 | -0.3 | 0.4 |
| High school graduate only... | 65,330 | 10,934 | 16.7 | 0.3 | 65,839 | 10,816 | 16.4 | 0.4 | 118 | *0.3 | 0.2 |
| Some college, no degree. . | 40,066 | 5,323 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 40,070 | 5,369 | 13.4 | 0.4 | -46 | -0.1 | 0.3 |
| Associate degree | 15,866 | 1,690 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 15,703 | 1,620 | 10.3 | 0.6 | *70 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher . | 48,680 | 3,429 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 48,427 | 3,449 | 7.1 | 0.3 | -20 | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| Work Experience (18 to 64 years old) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total............... | 171,291 | 30,091 | 17.6 | 0.2 | 171,009 | 30,033 | 17.6 | 0.1 | 58 |  | 0.1 |
| Worked during year. | 140,632 | 22,878 | 16.3 | 0.2 | 140,408 | 22,806 | 16.2 | 0.2 | 72 | - | 0.1 |
| Worked full-time. | 117,339 | 18,129 | 15.5 | 0.2 | 117,483 | 18,056 | 15.4 | 0.3 | 73 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Worked part-time. | 23,293 | 4,749 | 20.4 | 0.6 | 22,925 | 4,750 | 20.7 | 0.7 | -1 | -0.3 | 0.4 |
| Did not work. | 30,658 | 7,213 | 23.5 | 0.5 | 30,601 | 7,227 | 23.6 | 0.6 | -14 | 0.1 | 0.4 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
*Statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ As a result of rounding, some differences may appear to be slightly higher or lower than the difference of the reported rates.
${ }^{2}$ Hispanics may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey 2001 Annual Demographic Supplement, 1990-based controls.

Table B-2
People Without Health Insurance for the Entire Year by State: 2000
(Numbers in thousands. For an explanation of confidence intervals (C.I.), see "Standard errors and their use" on the Census Bureau's CPS Web site at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm)

| Characteristic | Expanded sample |  |  |  | Original sample |  |  |  | Difference ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Uninsured |  |  | Total | Uninsured |  |  | Uninsured |  |  |
|  |  | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 90-\mathrm{pct} \end{aligned}$ $\text { C.I. }( \pm)$ |  | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | Percent 90-pct C.I. ( $\pm$ ) | Number | Percent | Percent 90-pct C.I. ( $\pm$ ) |
| United States | 276,567 | 38,871 | 14.1 | 0.1 | 276,540 | 38,683 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 188 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Alabama | 4,396 | 591 | 13.4 | 0.8 | 4,450 | 600 | 13.5 | 1.3 | -9 | -0.1 | 0.8 |
| Alaska | 626 | 116 | 18.5 | 1.0 | 647 | 125 | 19.3 | 1.5 | *-9 | -0.8 | 1.0 |
| Arizona | 4,999 | 812 | 16.2 | 1.0 | 4,917 | 793 | 16.1 | 1.3 | 19 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Arkansas | 2,597 | 374 | 14.4 | 1.0 | 2,625 | 364 | 13.9 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| California | 34,329 | 6,189 | 18.0 | 0.5 | 34,735 | 6,281 | 18.1 | 0.7 | -92 | -0.1 | 0.3 |
| Colorado | 4,213 | 583 | 13.8 | 0.8 | 4,228 | 563 | 13.3 | 1.3 | 20 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Connecticut. | 3,284 | 319 | 9.7 | 0.7 | 3,319 | 263 | 7.9 | 1.2 | *56 | *1.8 | 0.8 |
| Delaware. | 768 | 72 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 787 | 82 | 10.4 | 1.3 | *-10 | *-1.0 | 0.8 |
| District of Columbia. | 513 | 72 | 14.1 | 1.0 | 506 | 73 | 14.4 | 1.5 | -1 | -0.3 | 1.0 |
| Florida | 15,493 | 2,703 | 17.4 | 0.7 | 15,157 | 2,620 | 17.3 | 0.8 | *83 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Georgia | 8,007 | 1,147 | 14.3 | 0.8 | 7,773 | 1,135 | 14.6 | 1.2 | 12 | -0.3 | 0.7 |
| Hawaii | 1,182 | 112 | 9.5 | 0.8 | 1,156 | 117 | 10.1 | 1.3 | -5 | -0.6 | 1.0 |
| Idaho | 1,289 | 198 | 15.4 | 1.0 | 1,257 | 196 | 15.6 | 1.3 | 2 | -0.2 | 0.7 |
| Illinois. | 12,159 | 1,651 | 13.6 | 0.5 | 12,286 | 1,659 | 13.5 | 0.8 | -8 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Indiana. | 5,944 | 673 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 5,818 | 701 | 12.1 | 1.2 | -28 | -0.8 | 1.0 |
| lowa | 2,824 | 251 | 8.9 | 0.7 | 2,863 | 248 | 8.7 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Kansas. | 2,648 | 286 | 10.8 | 0.7 | 2,607 | 301 | 11.5 | 1.3 | -15 | -0.7 | 0.8 |
| Kentucky . | 4,011 | 548 | 13.7 | 0.8 | 3,975 | 513 | 12.9 | 1.3 | *35 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Louisiana. | 4,380 | 797 | 18.2 | 1.0 | 4,233 | 810 | 19.1 | 1.5 | -13 | *-0.9 | 0.8 |
| Maine. | 1,257 | 138 | 10.9 | 0.7 | 1,266 | 145 | 11.5 | 1.3 | -7 | -0.6 | 1.0 |
| Maryland | 5,258 | 534 | 10.2 | 0.7 | 5,119 | 501 | 9.8 | 1.2 | 33 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Massachusetts | 6,176 | 535 | 8.7 | 0.7 | 6,256 | 595 | 9.5 | 0.8 | *-60 | *-0.8 | 0.5 |
| Michigan | 9,876 | 901 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 9,946 | 982 | 9.9 | 0.7 | *-81 | *-0.8 | 0.3 |
| Minnesota | 4,809 | 384 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 4,784 | 430 | 9.0 | 1.0 | *-46 | *-1.0 | 0.8 |
| Mississippi. | 2,811 | 384 | 13.6 | 1.0 | 2,789 | 364 | 13.1 | 1.3 | 20 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Missouri. | 5,458 | 519 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 5,516 | 586 | 10.6 | 1.2 | *-67 | *-1.1 | 0.8 |
| Montana | 898 | 150 | 16.7 | 1.0 | 876 | 162 | 18.5 | 1.5 | *-12 | *-1.8 | 0.8 |
| Nebraska. | 1,653 | 150 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 1,658 | 164 | 9.9 | 1.2 | *-14 | *-0.8 | 0.8 |
| Nevada | 1,934 | 318 | 16.5 | 0.8 | 1,991 | 311 | 15.6 | 1.3 | 7 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| New Hampshire | 1,217 | 102 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 1,240 | 85 | 6.8 | 1.2 | *17 | *1.6 | 0.8 |
| New Jersey. | 8,198 | 979 | 11.9 | 0.7 | 8,306 | 1,049 | 12.6 | 0.8 | *-70 | *-0.7 | 0.5 |
| New Mexico | 1,780 | 422 | 23.7 | 1.3 | 1,793 | 427 | 23.8 | 1.5 | -5 | -0.1 | 0.7 |
| New York. | 18,363 | 2,932 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 18,409 | 2,802 | 15.2 | 0.7 | *130 | *0.8 | 0.3 |
| North Carolina | 7,776 | 1,037 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 7,521 | 980 | 13.0 | 1.0 | *57 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| North Dakota | 615 | 69 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 607 | 69 | 11.3 | 1.3 | - | -0.1 | 0.8 |
| Ohio . | 11,170 | 1,249 | 11.2 | 0.5 | 11,539 | 1,255 | 10.9 | 0.7 | -6 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Oklahoma | 3,378 | 638 | 18.9 | 1.0 | 3,287 | 636 | 19.3 | 1.5 | 2 | -0.4 | 0.8 |
| Oregon. | 3,377 | 423 | 12.5 | 0.8 | 3,400 | 465 | 13.7 | 1.3 | *-42 | *-1.2 | 1.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 11,814 | 1,022 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 11,968 | 905 | 7.6 | 0.7 | *117 | *1.1 | 0.3 |
| Rhode Island | 978 | 72 | 7.4 | 0.7 | 936 | 55 | 5.9 | 1.0 | *17 | *1.5 | 0.8 |
| South Carolina | 3,948 | 481 | 12.2 | 0.8 | 3,769 | 448 | 11.9 | 1.3 | 33 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| South Dakota | 727 | 79 | 10.9 | 0.7 | 697 | 82 | 11.8 | 1.3 | -3 | *-0.9 | 0.8 |
| Tennessee. | 5,586 | 607 | 10.9 | 0.8 | 5,580 | 577 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 30 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Texas | 20,684 | 4,607 | 22.3 | 0.7 | 20,592 | 4,425 | 21.5 | 0.8 | *182 | *0.8 | 0.3 |
| Utah | 2,200 | 271 | 12.3 | 0.8 | 2,210 | 296 | 13.4 | 1.2 | *-25 | *-1.1 | 0.7 |
| Vermont | 596 | 52 | 8.7 | 0.7 | 631 | 67 | 10.7 | 1.3 | *-15 | *-2.0 | 1.0 |
| Virginia. | 6,978 | 807 | 11.6 | 0.8 | 6,978 | 886 | 12.7 | 1.2 | *-79 | *-1.1 | 0.8 |
| Washington. | 5,834 | 781 | 13.4 | 0.8 | 5,855 | 780 | 13.3 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| West Virginia | 1,801 | 256 | 14.2 | 0.8 | 1,778 | 254 | 14.3 | 1.3 | 2 | -0.1 | 0.8 |
| Wisconsin. | 5,278 | 401 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 5,419 | 386 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 15 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Wyoming . | 483 | 76 | 15.7 | 1.0 | 489 | 70 | 14.4 | 1.3 | *6 | *1.3 | 0.8 |

[^20]${ }^{1}$ As a result of rounding, some differences may appear to be slightly higher or lower than the difference of the reported rates.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey 2001 Annual Demographic Supplement, 1990-based controls.

Table B-3.
People Without Health Insurance for the Entire Year by Selected Characteristics: 2000
(Numbers in thousands. For an explanation of confidence intervals (C.I.), see "Standard errors and their use" on the Census Bureau's CPS Web site at www.bls.census.gov/cps/ads/2002/ssrcacc.htm)

| Characteristic | Census 2000 controls |  |  |  | Census 1990 controls |  |  |  | Difference ${ }^{1}$ <br> Uninsured |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Uninsured |  |  | Total | Uninsured |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | Percent $90-\mathrm{pct}$ <br> C.I. $\pm$ |  | Number | Percent ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Percent } \\ 90-\mathrm{pct} \\ \text { C.I } \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br> C.I. $\pm$ | Number | Percent | Percent $90-\mathrm{pct}$ C.I. $( \pm)$ <br> C.I. $\pm$ |
| People Total | 279,517 | 39,804 | 14.2 | 0.2 | 276,567 | 38,871 | 14.1 | 0.1 | *933 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 136,559 | 20,791 | 15.2 | 0.2 | 135,255 | 20,162 | 14.9 | 0.2 | *629 | *0.3 | 0.2 |
| Female. | 142,958 | 19,013 | 13.3 | 0.2 | 141,312 | 18,709 | 13.2 | 0.2 | 304 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White <br> Non-Hispanic | 228,208 193,931 | 30,075 18,683 | 13.2 9.6 | 0.2 0.2 | 226,360 194,120 | 29,340 18,906 | $\begin{array}{r}13.0 \\ 9.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.1 0.1 | *735 | $\begin{array}{r}* \\ * \\ -0.2 \\ \hline 0.1\end{array}$ | 0.2 0.2 |
| Black ....... | 35,597 | 6,683 | 18.8 | 0.3 | 35,924 | 6,805 | 18.9 | 0.4 | -122 | -0.1 | 0.6 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander... | 12,693 | 2,287 | 18.0 | 0.7 | 11,535 | 2,046 | 17.7 | 0.7 | *241 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$. | 36,093 | 11,883 | 32.9 | 0.3 | 33,875 | 10,862 | 32.1 | 0.4 | *1,021 | *0.8 | 0.6 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 72,314 | 8,617 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 72,540 | 8,520 | 11.7 | 0.2 | 97 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 18 to 24 years | 26,815 | 7,406 | 27.6 | 0.5 | 26,980 | 7,249 | 26.9 | 0.5 | 157 | *0.7 | 0.7 |
| 25 to 34 years | 38,865 | 8,507 | 21.9 | 0.3 | 37,479 | 7,962 | 21.2 | 0.4 | *545 | *0.7 | 0.5 |
| 35 to 44 years | 44,566 | 6,898 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 44,752 | 6,930 | 15.5 | 0.3 | -32 |  | 0.4 |
| 45 to 64 years | 63,391 | 8,124 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 62,079 | 7,950 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 174 | - | 0.3 |
| 65 years and over | 33,566 | 251 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 32,736 | 260 | 0.8 | 0.1 | -9 | -0.1 | 0.1 |
| Nativity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native. | 247,706 | 29,529 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 246,646 | 29,404 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 125 | - | 0.2 |
| Foreign born. | 31,811 | 10,275 | 32.3 | 0.5 | 29,921 | 9,467 | 31.6 | 0.5 | *808 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Naturalized citizen | 11,785 | 1,930 | 16.4 | 0.7 | 11,240 | 1,823 | 16.2 | 0.7 | 107 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| Not a citizen | 20,026 | 8,345 | 41.7 | 0.7 | 18,681 | 7,644 | 40.9 | 0.7 | *701 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 53,046 | 6,372 | 12.0 | 0.2 | 51,880 | 6,151 | 11.9 | 0.2 | *221 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Midwest | 63,631 | 6,703 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 63,160 | 6,615 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 88 | - | 0.3 |
| South | 99,420 | 16,000 | 16.1 | 0.2 | 98,384 | 15,656 | 15.9 | 0.2 | *344 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| West. | 63,420 | 10,728 | 16.9 | 0.3 | 63,143 | 10,450 | 16.6 | 0.3 | *278 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$25,000. | 61,792 | 14,094 | 22.8 | 0.3 | 60,720 | 13,803 | 22.7 | 0.3 | *291 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999. | 77,084 | 13,385 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 76,090 | 13,074 | 17.2 | 0.2 | *311 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999. | 59,089 | 6,513 | 11.0 | 0.2 | 58,593 | 6,330 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 183 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| \$75,000 or more | 81,553 | 5,812 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 81,164 | 5,665 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 147 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Education <br> (18 years and older) <br> Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 207,203 | 31,186 | 15.1 | 0.2 | 204,027 | 30,352 | 14.9 | 0.1 | *834 | *0.2 | 0.2 |
| No high school diploma | 34,994 | 9,406 | 26.9 | 0.5 | 34,086 | 8,976 | 26.3 | 0.4 | *430 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| High school graduate only... | 66,327 | 11,137 | 16.8 | 0.3 | 65,330 | 10,934 | 16.7 | 0.3 | 203 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Some college, no degree.... | 40,298 | 5,400 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 40,066 | 5,323 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 77 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Associate degree | 16,075 | 1,721 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 15,866 | 1,690 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 31 | - | 0.6 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher . | 49,510 | 3,522 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 48,680 | 3,429 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 93 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Work Experience (18 to 64 years old) Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 173,638 | 30,935 | 17.8 | 0.2 | 171,291 | 30,091 | 17.6 | 0.2 | *844 | *0.2 | 0.2 |
| Worked during year......... | 142,447 | 23,525 | 16.5 | 0.2 | 140,632 | 22,878 | 16.3 | 0.2 | *647 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Worked full-time Worked part-time | 119,067 | 18,707 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 117,339 | 18,129 | 15.5 | 0.2 | *578 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|  | 23,381 | 4,818 | 20.6 | 0.5 | 23,293 | 4,749 | 20.4 | 0.6 | 69 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Did not work............... | 31,190 | 7,410 | 23.8 | 0.5 | 30,658 | 7,213 | 23.5 | 0.5 | 197 | 0.3 | 0.7 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
*Statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ As a result of rounding, some differences may appear to be slightly higher or lower than the difference of the reported rates.
${ }^{2}$ Hispanics may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey 2001 Annual Demographic Supplement, expanded sample.
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[^0]:    Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware

[^1]:    Source: Center for Applied Demography \& Survey Research, University of Delaware
    US Bureau of Census, Current Population Survey, March 1996-2002

[^2]:    Confidence intervals for estimates are provided in Table 1. These measures of sampling error should be used when comparing estimates.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Because Hispanics may be of any race, data in this report for Hispanics overlap slightly with data for the Black population and the Asian and Pacific Islander population. About 10.9 percent of White households, 3.0 percent of Black households, 2.0 percent of Asian and Pacific Islander households, and 13.1 percent of American Indian and Alaska Native households are maintained by a person of Hispanic origin.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Employment-based health insurance is coverage offered through one's own employment or a relative's.
    ${ }^{4}$ Some of this increased medicaid coverage may be due to expansions in the State Children's Health Insurance Program. For further discussion, see Charles T. Nelson and Robert J. Mills; U.S. Census Bureau; "The Characteristics of People Reporting State Children's Health Insurance Program Coverage in the March 2001 Current Population Survey;" August 2002; at www.census.gov/hhes/hlthins/asa02.pdf.

[^5]:    - Represents zero or rounds to zero. *Statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level.
    ${ }^{1}$ All numbers are derived from unrounded numbers. Some numbers and percentages may therefore appear to be slightly higher or lower than those computed with rounded figures from other columns.

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ Changes in year-to-year medicaid estimates should be viewed with caution. For more information, see the Technical Note on page 12 .

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ The health insurance coverage rates of poor people 18-64 years old and poor people 35-44 years old were not different.
    ${ }^{7}$ Data users should exercise caution when interpreting aggregate results for the Asian and Pacific Islander (API) population because the API population consists of many distinct groups that differ in socio-economic characteristics, culture, and recency of immigration. In addition, the CPS does not use separate population controls for weighting the API sample to national totals.

[^8]:    ${ }^{8}$ Data users should exercise caution when interpreting aggregate results for the Hispanic population because this population consists of many distinct groups that differ in socio-economic characteristics, culture, and recency of immigration.

[^9]:    - Represents zero or rounds to zero. *Statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level.
    ${ }^{1}$ All numbers are derived from unrounded numbers. Some numbers and percentages may therefore appear to be slightly higher or lower than those computed with rounded figures from other columns.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hispanics may be of any race.

[^10]:    ${ }^{9}$ Data users should exercise caution when interpreting aggregate data for American Indians and Alaska Natives (AIAN) because the AIAN population consists of groups that differ in economic characteristics. Data from the 1990 census show that economic characteristics of those American Indians and Alaska Natives who live in American Indian and Alaska Native areas differ from the characteristics of those who live outside these areas. In addition, the CPS does not use separate population controls for weighting the AIAN samples to national totals. See Accuracy of Estimates on page 11 for a further discussion of CPS estimation procedures.

[^11]:    ${ }^{10}$ Natives are people born in the United States, Puerto Rico, or an outlying area of the United States, such as Guam or the U.S. Virgin Islands, and people who were born in a foreign country but who had at least one parent who was a U.S. citizen. All other people born outside the United States are foreign born.

[^12]:    ${ }^{11}$ Workers were classified as part time if they worked fewer than 35 hours per week in the majority of the weeks they worked in 2001.

[^13]:    ${ }^{12}$ The health insurance coverage rates of poor children and near-poor children were not different.

[^14]:    -Represents zero. *Statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level.
    ${ }^{1}$ As a result of rounding, some differences may appear to be slightly higher or lower than the difference of the reported rates.
    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2000, 2001, and 2002 Annual Demographic Supplements.

[^15]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^16]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^17]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^18]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes CHAMPUS (Comprehensive Health and Medical Plan for Uniformed Services)/Tricare, Veterans', and military health care.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hispanics may be of any race.

[^20]:    - Represents zero or rounds to zero. *Statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level.

